Response patterns of moss to atmospheric nitrogen deposition and nitrogen saturation in an urban–agro–forest transition
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Abstract. Increasing trends of atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition resulting from a large number of anthropogenic emissions of reactive N are dramatically altering the global biogeochemical cycle of N. Nitrogen uptake by mosses occurs mainly from the atmosphere, making it a competent bio-indicator of N deposition. However, high uncertainties exist when using mosses to indicate N deposition, especially in choosing sampling periods and sampling frequencies. In this study, atmospheric N deposition and moss N content in the urban–agro–forest transition, a region with a high N deposition level of 27.46–43.70 kg N hm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, were monitored, and the method for monitoring atmospheric N deposition by mosses was optimized. We found that the optimal sampling frequency is within 6 months per time, and the optimal sampling times are winter (January and February), autumn (October and November), and summer (July and August), which provides us with a more accurate estimation of atmospheric N deposition than other scenarios. In addition, the moss N content serves as a more reliable N species. This study eventually allowed mosses to be used more effectively and sensibly as an indicator of atmospheric N deposition and helped to improve the accuracy of the model for quantifying N deposition.

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic perturbations have dramatically influenced the nitrogen (N) cycle on the earth’s surface (Vitousek et al., 1997; Galloway et al., 2008). Several pathways of anthropogenic N input into the earth’s surface, including deposition, manure, fertilizer, and so on (Gu et al., 2015). Atmospheric transport, deposition, and circulation facilitate the conveyance of excess N to nearby or distant terrestrial and aquatic habitats (Erisman et al., 2007; Schlesinger, 2009). Atmospheric N deposition is an important component of the human-accelerated global N cycle and a serious form of atmospheric pollution (Xu et al., 2019), resulting in adverse ecological effects, such as water eutrophication, soil acidification, and biodiversity loss, which have been reported due to excess N deposition in some areas (Clark and Tilman, 2008; Elser et al., 2009; Storkey et al., 2015; Duan et al., 2016). Atmospheric N deposition has increased by 3- to 5-fold over the 20th century (IPCC, 2013). Global N deposition was estimated at 119 Tg N in 2010 (land, 60%; seas, 40%) (Liu et al., 2022). Therefore, it is vital to quantify atmospheric N deposition effectively to provide valuable strategies for N emission mitigation.
by improving sampling parameters. In summary, two ques-
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and plants. A stainless-steel net (pore size 0.02 × 0.02 m²) was used to avoid disturbance of birds and crop stubble contamination. Ultrapure water was added to each collector, and the depth was maintained at approximately 10 cm (Wang et al., 2013). During the summer, 1 mL of 2 mol L⁻¹ copper sulfate solution was added to the collectors to prevent the growth of bacteria and algae. Deposition sampling was conducted at 1-month intervals. The samples were transferred to preclean glass bottles and transported to the laboratory to determine the concentrations of different forms of N deposition, including dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and inorganic N (NH₄⁺–N and NO₃⁻–N) concentrations, within the same day. NH₄⁺–N and NO₃⁻–N were measured in the filtered samples (using 0.45 µm filter membranes) using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV-1100, Meipuda, China). Unfiltered samples were collected for total N (TN) measurement through the alkaline potassium peroxydisulfate oxidation method (APOM). Dissolved organic N (DON) was then calculated using TN subtracted from the sum of inorganic N (i.e., NH₄⁺–N and NO₃⁻–N). It should be noted that some insoluble N compounds may overestimate the DON content in this study.

An estimate of bulk deposition in the sampling fluid could be obtained by multiplying the concentrations by the precipitation amounts as follows:

\[
F_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_i \times P_i}{100},
\]

where \(F_w\) is the flux of N types in monthly deposition, kg N hm⁻² per month; \(C_i\) is the concentration of N types in monthly collected samples, mg N L⁻¹; \(P_i\) is the monthly precipitation amount, mm; and \(i\) represents each month. The precipitation data used in this study are from the Chongzhou Meteorological Bureau, Sichuan Province, China.

2.3 Moss sampling and analysis

The moss materials (Haplocladium microphyllum (Hedw.) Broth. subsp. capillatum (Mitt.) Reim.) at all study sites were sampled. This species was chosen based on its greater pres-
ence under different growing conditions in this study area, which made the study possible. Moss sampling and preparation were conducted according to guidelines in the ICP vegetation guidelines (ICP Vegetation, 2010), and temporal and spatial synchronization was maintained with deposition sampling. Moss samples were collected every month, which was consistent with collecting N deposition. In this study, two to five subsample sites were selected for moss collection within 1 km of the N deposition sampling site (Fig. 1). Within a 50 m range (a square of 50 × 50 m), 5 to 10 samples were collected to combine into a representative one for each subsample site. Each subsample was of similar weight and distributed homogenously and as separated as possible within the area, avoiding the collection of concentrated mops within the areas.

All mosses were collected from natural rocks without canopies or overhanging vegetation to avoid the effect of throughfall N compounds. The sampling sites are more than 300 m away from the main roads and at least 100 m away from other roads or houses, free of the direct impact of stagnant water and surface water splashes, traffic, and other artificial pollution sources (human and animal excrement, fertilization, and stamping). The moss samples, which were stored in polythene zip-lock bags, had dead branches, leaves, and debris removed in the laboratory before separating green and brownish parts for analysis, with only the green part undergoing analysis and the brownish part being discarded (Harmens et al., 2014). After the mosses were dried to constant weight in a forced-air oven (at 40 °C for 48 h), they were ground to a powder for the moss N content, which was measured by the “Kjeldahl” method after H₂SO₄–H₂O₂ digestion.

### 2.4 Correlation between moss N content and atmospheric N deposition

The correlation between the moss total N content and various forms of atmospheric N deposition under different accumulation timescales (1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months) was analysed. This approach enabled the study to discern the appropriate sampling frequency for continuous monitoring of N deposition, revealing that the moss N content in a given month was sensitive to the cumulative N deposition in the preceding months. For example, to analyse the correlation between the moss N content in October 2018 and N deposition under the sampling frequency of 3 months, the value of moss N content should be given as a value in October 2018, while the N deposition should be the sum of August, September, and October 2018.

Furthermore, correlations between the moss N content and various species of N deposition were analysed in each sampling month, which could obtain the optimal sampling time for moss response to atmospheric N deposition. Note that the timescale of the moss N content was from October 2018 to September 2019, while the N deposition collection period was more than 1 year, from April 2018 to September 2019, which could enhance the optimality of the sampling frequency for this study.

### 2.5 Response model of moss N content to deposition of different N species

Linear and logarithmic regression analyses of the moss N content were fitted to various forms of atmospheric N deposition in SPSS® (version 25.0). Notably, the analysis was carried out at a sampling frequency of 1 month. The moss N content was the dependent variable, and the monthly atmospheric N deposition was the independent variable. The R² values derived from the observations were instrumental in evaluating the model’s optimal fit to the data, thereby aiding in the selection of the most suitable regression approach.

### 2.6 Statistical analyses and quality assurance and control (QA/QC)

Pearson correlation analysis with a two-tailed significance test was used to examine the relationship between moss N content and bulk N deposition, including different sampling times and frequencies. All studies were conducted using SPSS® 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

Utmost care was taken to avoid any contamination during the sampling and analytical programme. For the quality assurance (QA) of the moss N content measurements, three replicates of each sample were analysed to provide a stable determination process. Additionally, quality control (QC) was ensured by using certified reference material and laboratory standards for N determination. Additionally, for the determination of the elemental concentrations in the reference material, laboratories followed the same analytical procedure as that used for the collected samples. The certified reference materials used in the experiment all conformed to national standards. The standard solutions of NH₄⁺–N, NO₃⁻–N, and TN complied with GSB 04-2832-2011, GSB 04-1772-2004, and GSB 04-2837-2011 (b). These certified reference materials were stored and utilized correctly.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Monthly variation in N deposition and moss N content

The range of total N (TN) deposition fluxes in this study was 1.00–6.44 kg N hm⁻² per month during the monitoring period from October 2018 to September 2019, which was significantly higher in summer than in other seasons (Fig. S1a in the Supplement; P < 0.05). NH₄⁺–N was the predominant form of N deposition, ranging from 0.20–3.89 kg N hm⁻² per month, followed by NO₃⁻–N (0.13–2.33 kg N hm⁻² per month) and DON (0.00–1.46 kg N hm⁻² per month). In addition, the different N forms displayed distinct patterns of seasonal variation (Fig. S1). Notably, NH₄⁺–N, NO₃⁻–N, and...
DON attained their peak values during the summer and spring seasons. Mosses in the study area had N contents of 1.51%–2.96%. Notably, the monthly fluctuations in moss samples from the five designated moss sampling sites were notably similar. The curve depicting the monthly average variation in moss N contents showed characteristics characterized by a single valley value along with several peaks (Fig. 2a–e). The lowest values were commonly observed in the range of January to March. The lowest value was in February (JGM, 1.51%), while the highest was in August (YT, 2.96%). Additionally, the averages of atmospheric N deposition and moss N content across the five sites are shown in Fig. 2f, providing an overview of the temporal variations in the study area. It was found that the variation in the N content in moss highly matched the monthly fluctuation patterns of N deposition (all N species) in the study area.

3.2 Correlations between moss N content and N deposition

Different N species (TN, NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, and DON) were used to analyse the correlation between N deposition and moss N content (Table 1). The results showed that when the sampling frequency of mosses was within 6 months (i.e. every 1, 3, and 6 months), significantly positive correlations ($P < 0.05$) between N species in deposition and the N content of moss were observed. However, at a sampling frequency of 1 year (i.e. 12 months), the moss N content and NO$_3^-$-N deposition were found to be negatively correlated ($r = -0.293$, $P < 0.05$).

Based on the sampling frequency (less than 6 months per time) that showed a significant positive correlation, the preferred sampling season was further studied using correlation analysis (Fig. 3). Under the sampling frequency of 1 month, the moss N content showed a significant positive correlation with TN, NH$_4^+$-N, and NO$_3^-$-N deposition in winter (January and February), summer (July and August), and autumn (October and November) ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, DON deposition in spring (March) also showed an exact correlation with the moss N content. Under the sampling frequency of 3 months per sampling event, the correlations between moss N content and N deposition were similar to those under the sampling frequency of 1 month per sampling event. Under the sampling frequency of 6 months per sampling event, significant positive correlations were observed only in late autumn and winter, particularly for NH$_4^+$-N.

3.3 Response model and N saturation state

Both linear and logarithmic models were used to evaluate the response of the moss N content to the different forms of N deposition (Fig. 4). There were linear and logistic regression relationships between TN, NH$_4^+$-N, and NO$_3^-$-N and moss N content. At the same time, there was no relationship between DON and moss N content. The logarithmic models had a high $R^2$ ($P < 0.05$) for TN. However, for NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N, the linear models had high $R^2$ values ($P < 0.05$). Here, the increase in moss N content along the atmospheric N deposition gradient was much faster at low levels than at high levels of atmospheric N input.

4 Discussion

4.1 Response pattern to various sampling strategies

The moss N content is a promising indicator for estimating N deposition in the urban–agro–forest transition of this study, owing to the substantial covariation that has been observed (Fig. 2). The ability of mosses to monitor atmospheric N deposition has been validated through chamber experiments (Salemaa et al., 2008). Field sampling in seven European countries revealed that moss N content is correlated with various forms of N deposition (Harmens et al., 2014). Due to the physiological characteristics of mosses, especially epilithic mosses, the atmosphere provides a major source of nutrients, not the soil. Therefore, mosses are susceptible to changes in atmospheric N deposition and can also be used to monitor N deposition. Additionally, mosses can monitor not only atmospheric N deposition but also atmospheric pollutants, such as heavy metals (Fernández et al., 2015).

However, a suitable sampling frequency for mosses remains to be determined. Theoretically, the higher the sampling frequency is, the more accurate the monitoring of N deposition is. Nevertheless, synergistic monitoring frequencies need to be found due to financial and other difficulties. In previous studies, mosses were generally believed to retain N deposition for an extended period (i.e. more than a year), and the relationships between moss N content and atmospheric N deposition within 1-year periods were rarely considered in these works (Harmens et al., 2014; Kosonen et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2013b). In this study, significant covariations between moss N content and N deposition for

Table 1. Correlation coefficients between the moss N content in the current month and N deposition accumulation in the study area under different sampling frequencies (from 1 month per time to 1 year per time).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N species</th>
<th>Sampling frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>0.589**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4^+$-N</td>
<td>0.511**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$-N</td>
<td>0.517**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that "**" and "***" indicate $P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.05$, respectively. N deposition samples ($n = 60$) and moss samples ($n = 60$) for each correlation.
more than 6 months were absent. However, when the sampling frequency of mosses was in the range within 6 months (i.e. 1, 3, and 6 months), significantly positive correlations ($P < 0.05$) between N species in deposition and the N content of moss were observed. This relation means at least every 6 months for continuous monitoring of N deposition. The optimal sampling frequency for mosses was explained as the sampling frequency that showed a significant positive correlation with atmospheric N deposition in this study. This indicates that moss N can only reflect N deposition in a short period (i.e. less than 6 months). High atmospheric N deposition levels in the study region (27.46–43.70 kg N hm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) can explain this phenomenon. It has been reported that the atmospheric N deposition in southwest China is approximately 12.05 kg N hm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, which is significantly lower than that in this study (Zhu et al., 2016). As a result, when the accu-
Figure 3. Pearson correlation between the moss N content in the current month (from left to right) and cumulative N deposition values at different accumulation times at all sites. The grey slash indicates significance at $P < 0.05$.

Figure 4. Regression relationship between moss N content and bulk N deposition. The nitrogen species considered are TN (a), NH$_4^+$–N (b), NO$_3^-$–N (c), and DON (d), depicted by solid and dashed lines for linear and logarithmic regressions, respectively.

Accumulated N deposition exceeds the moss N sequestration capacity, the responses of mosses to atmospheric N deposition may become less sensitive. Therefore, given the high levels of N deposition observed in this study area, it is advisable to increase the frequency of moss sampling beyond the current 6-month interval for effective N deposition monitoring. This principle of high-frequency monitoring should also be extended to regions characterized by substantial N deposition.

The covariation between the moss N content and atmospheric N deposition depends on the season. For example, significant positive correlations were found between the moss N content and TN, NH$_4^+$–N, and NO$_3^-$–N deposition in winter (January and February), summer (July and August),
and autumn (October and November) (Fig. 3; *P* < 0.05), but these correlations were absent during spring. This phenomenon is relevant to the growing season of mosses. As mentioned in several studies, the growth of mosses generally occurs from March to May and from October to December (Thöni et al., 2011; Yurukova et al., 2009). Since mosses undergo a period of nutrient accumulation during growth (Faust-Kessler et al., 2001), they can better monitor atmospheric N deposition after growth (Boquete et al., 2011; Thöni et al., 2011). Thus, the optimal sampling seasons are winter (January and February), summer (July and August), and autumn (October and November) within this area. Moss growth status and regional N deposition level influence the moss response patterns, subsequently influencing the design of effective sampling strategies.

### 4.2 Response patterns of mosses to various N species

Significant positive correlations (*P* < 0.05) between various N species in deposition and the N content of moss were observed when adopting the optimal frequency, i.e., every 1, 3, and 6 months. The relationships between moss N content and deposition of different N forms were diverse in this study. Specifically, moss N content was more strongly correlated with TN deposition than with other N species. This is consistent with results from several European countries (Harmens et al., 2011).

A comparison among different N species (NH$_4^+$−N, DON, and NO$_3^-$−N) revealed a stronger correlation between moss N content and NH$_4^+$−N and DON than between moss N content and NO$_3^-$−N. Notably, at the moss sampling frequency of 6 months, the correlation coefficient between DON and moss N content had the highest *r* value (*r* = 0.602, *P* < 0.01). This outcome might be attributed to the adaptability of mosses to their N assimilation processes in response to anthropogenic N deposition (Wiedermann et al., 2009). Research employing $^{15}$N labelling techniques revealed that mosses exhibit inducible assimilation of NO$_3^-$−N when NO$_3^-$−N constitutes the sole source of N, but such assimilation of NO$_3^-$−N becomes negligible in natural environments where the supply rate of reduced dissolved N (NH$_4^+$−N plus DON) surpasses that of NO$_3^-$−N. The limited assimilation of NO$_3^-$−N in mosses across different habitats results from the inhibition of nitrate reductase activity, which results from the high supply rate of NH$_4^+$−N plus DON (Liu et al., 2012). In this study, the annual rate of NH$_4^+$−N plus DON (24.21 kg N ha$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) was 2.03 times greater than that of NO$_3^-$−N (11.91 kg N ha$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$). This habitat situation drives the preference for various N forms for moss uptake. Through $^{15}$N labelling of NO$_3^-$−N, NH$_4^+$−N, alanine, and glutamic acid, a previous study revealed that mosses preferred NH$_4^+$−N and DON, with deficient uptake of NO$_3^-$−N under different levels of N deposition (Wiedermann et al., 2009). The relatively greater uptake of NH$_4^+$−N than of NO$_3^-$−N in mosses is probably due to the high cation-exchange capacity typical of mosses (Glime, 2007).

Notably, during autumn (October and November) and spring (March), there was a noteworthy and statistically significant positive correlation between the deposition fluxes of NH$_4^+$−N and DON and the moss N content (Fig. 3; *P* < 0.05). This observed correlation can be attributed to a main factor. The elevated ambient concentrations of N compounds render mosses more responsive to atmospheric N deposition. The flux of NH$_4^+$−N deposition was greater in autumn than in the other seasons (Fig. S1b). This heightened flux in autumn can be attributed to the peak agricultural activity, including N fertilizer application. It is worth mentioning that such fertilizer practices lead to ammonia emissions (Cui et al., 2014). Furthermore, the high level of dissolved N nutrients in the topsoil of agricultural land also facilitates the absorption of N by mosses (Glime, 2007). For the same reason, the moss N content responded better to DON in spring (March). The fluxes of DON were significantly greater in spring than in autumn and winter in this study (Fig. S1d). It is composed of various organic compounds, primarily from fossil fuel combustion and fireworks (Deng et al., 2018).

Finally, this study underscores the preference for atmospheric NH$_4^+$−N and DON in moss N utilization, highlighting the importance of considering the effect of the ambient concentration effect on the response.

### 4.3 Relationships between various N forms and the N saturation state

Logarithmic models demonstrated a superior fit for the relationship between moss N content and atmospheric TN deposition (with higher $R^2$, *P* < 0.05) compared to linear models with the combined dataset encompassing the whole study area (Fig. 4a). This suggests that the increase in moss N content with increasing atmospheric N deposition is much faster at low levels than at high levels of N deposition.

The utilization of logarithmic models to describe the moss response to N deposition is grounded in the concepts of the “minimum nutrient rate” and the “N saturation effect”. The minimum nutrient rate suggests that the growth of crops is influenced by the least-available relative concentration of nutrients within the environment. At low N deposition levels, the limitation tends to be N, whereas at high N deposition levels, it may be limited by other nutrients, such as phosphorus. As a result, the rate at which mosses absorb N is influenced by the presence of different limiting nutrients at different N deposition levels, leading to a non-linear relationship with N (Vitousek et al., 2010). Additionally, a distinct N saturation effect has been observed in the relationship between moss N content and N deposition. This phenomenon signifies that there is a point at which the response of mosses to N deposition becomes saturated. When the total N (TN) deposition reaches a state of N saturation, the capacity of mosses to absorb N becomes constrained (Harmens et al., 2014; Liu et al.,...
2013a, b; Salemaa et al., 2020). For instance, when the N deposition level falls below the state of N saturation, mosses display heightened sensitivity to N deposition, leading to significant increases in moss N content. In contrast, when N deposition surpasses the N saturation state, mosses become less responsive to further N deposition, and the expected increases in moss N content may not materialize. In fact, in such scenarios, the moss N content might even decrease due to growth limitations and physiological disruptions (Shi et al., 2017). In summary, the presence of the minimum nutrient rate and the N saturation effect during deposition influences and restricts the response patterns of mosses.

Notably, the response models constructed using the data from this study indicated that the moss N content exhibited a relatively subdued reaction to TN deposition increases exceeding approximately 4.0 kg N hm$^{-2}$ per month (Fig. 4a). This observation suggested that the mosses were approaching the N saturation state. This phenomenon of N saturation is usually accompanied by a significant decrease in moss abundance and growth, along with the inhibition of photosynthesis and subsequent degradation of chlorophyll (Britton and Fisher, 2010; Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2013). These findings could indicate that the threshold of adverse impacts of N on the moss sampled becomes apparent when TN deposition reaches 4.0 kg N hm$^{-2}$ per month. The N saturation state in this study was greater than that in other field studies conducted in European countries (1.2 and 1.7 kg hm$^{-2}$ per month; Harmens et al., 2011, 2014). This value was also greater than the large number of fluxes on a global scale, such as in the Atlantic oak woods (0.9–1.5 kg hm$^{-2}$ per month; Mitchell et al., 2005) and the Yunnan montane forest (1.5 kg hm$^{-2}$ per month; Shi et al., 2017). These results could be attributed to the study area being located in a traditionally high N deposition region in China (Deng et al., 2018) because it includes agricultural, urban, rural, and forest areas, which are commonly formed in the process of urbanization and are deeply influenced by human activities. Therefore, the composition of the moss species was adapted to the elevated N deposition levels in this region. In locations marked by elevated N pollution, more tolerant species tend to thrive more than sensitive ones (Muñiz et al., 2019).

In conclusion, the N saturation rate exhibited by mosses is significantly influenced by the background atmospheric N deposition, and this phenomenon displays substantial spatial variation. Notably, this rate was determined to be 4.0 kg N hm$^{-2}$ per month in the specific study area under consideration.

Additionally, Fig. 4 shows the relationships between the moss N content and the various forms of bulk N deposition (NH$_4^+$–N and NO$_3^-$–N). The results showed that the linear models could better fit the moss N content and atmospheric NH$_4^+$–N and NO$_3^-$–N deposition than the logarithmic models (with higher $R^2$, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4b and c). This suggests that the increase in moss N content with increasing atmospheric N deposition is the same at low levels as at high levels of N deposition. Therefore, the moss N content responds differently to various forms of N deposition. This provides a new perspective for monitoring N deposition by mosses, which allows NH$_4^+$–N and NO$_3^-$–N deposition to be observed separately.

### 4.4 An optimal guide for using mosses to predict atmospheric N deposition

The following parameters should be noted to improve this technique’s accuracy in using mosses to indicate atmospheric nitrogen deposition. First, the optimal sampling frequency and sampling time are determined. Mosses should be sampled more frequently than every 6 months and during winter (January and February), autumn (October and November), and summer (July and August) as a method of monitoring N deposition. Second, the moss N content correlated best with TN deposition, followed by NH$_4^+$–N, DON, and NO$_3^-$–N. Additionally, the application of this method requires certain preconditions. Understanding the background deposition is needed to determine a more appropriate relationship model and quantify N deposition.

In summary, improving the accuracy of using moss as an indicator of atmospheric nitrogen deposition involves optimizing the sampling frequency and timing, determining the correlation hierarchy among different nitrogen species, and ensuring that certain preconditions are met for accurate results. Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of this method. First, the method is contingent upon the specific environment where mosses thrive; for instance, it necessitates the collection of epilithic mosses and demands that they be situated in an unshaded area. Second, spatial limitations exist when applying quantitative relationships.

### 5 Conclusion

The moss technique remains a valuable tool for cost-effectively identifying areas at risk of high N deposition, with this study optimizing its parameters. First, the optimal sampling frequency is within 6 months per time. Second, the optimal sampling periods were winter, summer, and autumn, allowing for a more accurate estimation of atmospheric N deposition. Third, the moss N content exhibited the strongest correlation with TN deposition, indicating its heightened sensitivity to TN deposition. In addition, a new perspective on monitoring N deposition by mosses allows NH$_4^+$–N and NO$_3^-$–N deposition to be observed separately. Enhancing the model’s accuracy in quantifying N deposition includes grasping background N deposition values. Considering that some limitations exist, further research is needed on moss response patterns to atmospheric N deposition in various ecosystems across China, particularly those with high N exposure levels.
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