



Supplement of

Diagnosing ozone– NO_x –VOC–aerosol sensitivity and uncovering causes of urban–nonurban discrepancies in Shandong, China, using transformer-based estimations

Chenliang Tao et al.

Correspondence to: Yanbo Peng (pengyanbo@mail.sdu.edu.cn) and Qingzhu Zhang (zqz@sdu.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

S1. Variables Selected

Satellite data have been extensively used to derive surface air pollutant concentration^{1,2}. The daily 2 3 tropospheric NO₂ vertical column densities (VCDs) and O₃ total VCDs with a horizontal resolution 4 of $5.5 \times 3.5 \text{ km}^2$ were measured by TROPOMI. The daily AOD data and atmospheric properties with a 1 km resolution were obtained from MODIS Terra and Aqua combined multiangle 5 implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC) land AOD product (MCD19A2)³. In addition, 6 7 we used AOD estimates from the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, 8 version 2 (MERRA-2) as the supplement of MODIS for filling extensively missing values. The 9 meteorological reanalysis data were obtained from the fifth generation ECMWF reanalysis for the 10 global climate and weather (ERA5) hourly products⁴. Ancillary data related to human activity and 11 geographical information were retrieved and rasterized, including daily dynamic industrial 12 emissions, moonlight-adjusted nighttime lights (NTL) product, population density, road density, 13 land use data, the shuttle radar topography mission digital elevation model (DEM), the MOD13Q1 14 vegetation index (VI) product, and the MOD11A1 land surface temperature (LST) product. 15 Industrial emissions amount (unit: kg) contains three categories, i.e. sulfur dioxide (SO₂), NO_x, and 16 particulate matter (PM), collected from SDEM. Geographic covariates directly related to pollution 17 emissions, such as industrial emission, and road density were decomposed into magnitude-related 18 data by using Gaussian convolution kernels to account for the impact of neighboring sources (Text 19 S2).

20 S2. Data Extension of Emission Proxies

The procedure of data extension follows from a previous study⁵, geographic covariates directly related to pollution emissions like industrial emission, road density, and population density were decomposed into magnitude-related data by using Gaussian convolution kernels to account for the impact of neighboring sources. In this study, after rasterizing all spatial data to match with the tarted grid, the Gaussian convolution with the size of width (ranging from 1.5 to 31.5 km) was used to consider the impact of nearby sources. For the Gaussian convoluted values with various at each location, the maximum value () was assigned as the characteristic magnitude of the emission proxy 28 map for describing the influence of potential air pollution emission.

29 S3. Spatiotemporal Proxies

30 Taking the space-time-variant into consideration, three Euclidean spherical coordinates (eqs 1 -

3) and three helix-shape trigonometric sequences (eqs 4-6) were calculated as following:⁶

32
$$s_1 = \cos\left(2\pi \frac{longitude}{360}\right) \cos\left(2\pi \frac{latitude}{180}\right) \tag{1}$$

33
$$s_2 = \cos\left(2\pi \frac{longitude}{360}\right) \sin\left(2\pi \frac{latitude}{180}\right)$$
(2)

$$s_3 = \sin\left(2\pi \frac{longitude}{360}\right) \tag{3}$$

35
$$\cos_sea = \cos\left(2\pi \frac{month}{12}\right)$$
 (4)

36
$$\sin_{\text{sea}} = \sin\left(2\pi \frac{month}{12}\right)$$
 (5)

$$\cos_{\text{mon}} = \frac{month}{360} \tag{6}$$

38 S4. Data Fusion and Gap filling

39 Due to the various data sources and types, we bilinearly interpolated predictor variables to the 40 targeted grid with 500 m resolution to harmonize with other data. The daily Ozone (O₃), fine 41 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) concentrations were assigned to their overlay 42 cells by spatial aggregation.

43 The detection of trace gases information below-cloud was prevented by the shielding of ubiquitous 44 clouds in optical remote sensing images, causing the existence of gaps in satellite productions. We 45 utilize the efficient machine-learning model, called Light Gradient Boosting Machine (LightGBM)⁷, 46 to fill the gaps in satellite data. LightGBM is designed to be distributed and efficient with the 47 advantages of faster training speed and higher accuracy. Thus, it can impute a large dataset (1407 \times 48 863 grids in the targeted resolution) with missing data in multiple variables using an iterative way. 49 For each iteration, available daily satellite-based data are regarded as the observations, and the 50 missing values are predicted by the LightGBM with meteorological reanalysis and geographical 51 coordinates. The number of iterations corresponds to the number of satellite products with missing 52 values. Here, the satellite-based production contains MOIDS AOD, TROPOMI NO2 and O3 column density, normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI); enhanced vegetation index (EVI), and land surface temperature (LST). Applying the model of filling missing values, the predictions of all variables are reliable, with the average coefficient of determination (R^2) values ranging from 0.87 to 0.99 in the validation set.

57 **S5.** The Detail of Air Transformer (AiT)

58 In this study, V, T, H and W are configured to 57, 8, 5 and 5, respectively, according to the number 59 of chosen variables and the empirical range of time and spatial. The data size remains unchanged $V \times 8 \times 5 \times 5$ for the first AiT encoder blocks, while for the next 3 blocks, 2 blocks, and 1 60 61 block, the temporal dimensions and spatial window size are reduced by the convolutional embedded 62 block, which includes convolution operation with $2 \times 2 \times 2$ filter with the stride of $2 \times 1 \times 1$, and the number of variables' channels is 64, 96 and 128, resulting in data size of $64 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4$, 63 $96 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3$ and $128 \times 1 \times 2 \times 2$. The data dimensionality is transformed through a 64 65 linear layer in decoder blocks.

66 We train AiT via backpropagation using an AdamW optimizer with a learning strategy of warmup, 67 a learning rate of 0.0005 and a batch size of 256, and apply early stopping on the validation loss 68 using patience of 300 epochs. We combat overfitting by dropout within each layer of linear and self-69 attention. A GeLU activation function is applied throughout the network. The loss function of mean 70 squared error was applied to the errors for the computation of gradients in the optimization. The 71 model is coded and trained using the Pytorch library. Before the data is fed into the model for 72 training, it is normalized over the entire dataset. The total dataset for training and testing has 73 262,656 instances.

For sensitivity analysis, we first simply applied the image and video recognition Transformers for the estimation and also achieved good prediction performance (R^2 of 0.96 for O₃ in Timesformer). However, the spatial distribution of estimation exhibits severe "reticular phenomenon" (Figure S2). We briefly analyze the reasons why original Transformer-based models fell into trouble in terms of pollutant maps. Firstly, these original Transformer-based image models are purely based on pixel units for self-attention computation. Air pollution estimation often involves various features (satellite, meteorological, and emission proxies, etc.)^{2,8–10}, which is unlike image data with just

81 three channels (red, green, and blue). These models overly focus on the correlation between 82 neighboring grids and lack extraction of deep features, resulting in a discontinuous distribution of 83 estimation for our study. Secondly, they paid attention to the full domain of pixels and there were 84 no overlaps between samples, so only the encoder part of Transformer was used. Air quality 85 estimation could be troubled by the overlearning of neighborhood features and extensive data 86 duplication of adjacent samples when existing deep learning models are directly applied. 87 Summarizing the above factors, we believe that it is necessary to build upon a tradeoff between the 88 spatial distribution of estimations and the performance of the model.

89 S6. Multi-task Learning Strategy

90 It not only leverages large amounts of cross-task data but also benefits from a regularization effect 91 that leads to more general representations to help adapt to estimating multiple pollutants simultaneously and efficiently,¹¹ and alleviating overfitting to a specific pollutant. As shown in the 92 93 bottom right of Figure 1, the encoder and decoder blocks are shared across all predictions, while the 94 last block is task-specific combining different estimations of PM2.5, O3, and NO2. The shared blocks 95 can take advantage of the interrelationship between different air pollutants by learning the intrinsic 96 features of data. The task-specific blocks can capture the relevant information needed for the single 97 task from extracted potential features of Transformer blocks.

98 S7. Method: Inferring Surface HCHO

99 Column-to-surface Conversion Factor

100 The satellite-derived surface HCHO concentrations (S_g) from Tropospheric Ozone Monitoring 101 Instrument (TROPOMI) formaldehyde (HCHO) vertical columns density (VCD) by the simulated 102 surface-to-column conversion factor method described in literatures^{12,13}:

103
$$S_g = \frac{v_{V_M} - v_M^{upper}}{v_M^{lower}} \times \frac{S_M}{v_M} \times V_g^-$$
(7)

104 where, S_g is the inferred surface level HCHO mixing ratio, S_M and V_M are the surface and 105 tropospheric HCHO concentration, V_M^{lower} is the lower partial column, V_M^{upper} is the upper partial 106 columns simulated by the CAM-Chem chemical transport model, V_g^- is the averaged tropospheric 107 TROPOPMI HCHO VCD within the WRF-model, and v represents the satellite-observed sub108 model-grid spatial variability calculated as:

109

$$v = \frac{v_g}{v_q^-} \tag{8}$$

110 where V_g is the tropospheric HCHO VCD in the TROPOMI grid. HCHO below the lower layer is 111 considered to be well mixed in the vertical direction, and a large portion of HCHO (~70%) appears 112 over the boundary layer, causing a nonhomogeneous distribution of upper partial columns. 113 Therefore, in this study, the altitude where the HCHO partial column reaches the half maximum of 114 its profile is regarded as the lower layer, following a previous study¹².

115 ECMWF Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4 (EAC4)

To derive the surface HCHO concentration, we used the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4 (EAC4) at 0.75×0.75 horizontal resolution simulation with 25 vertical levels.¹⁴ Reanalysis combines model data with observations from across the world into a globally complete and consistent dataset using a model of the atmosphere based on the laws of physics and chemistry. The monthly averaged field of EAC4 was used in our study.

122 S8. Cross Validation

The performance of our AiT model is evaluated through two cross-validation (CV) methods: outof-sample 10-fold CV and out-of-site 10-fold CV. The out-of-sample CV, where all samples are randomly divided into 10 folds, saving one-fold for testing, is widely used for comparing measurements with the predictions of the out-of-bag sample. In addition, the generalization capability of spatial prediction at the location without monitors is evaluated by out-of-site CV, which randomly divides all sites into 10 subsets and then trains the model using nine subsets and tests the model on the remaining subset.



Figure S1. Map of study domain and location of monitoring stations. Purple triangles
show the county-level air quality monitoring stations from SDEM, and red markers
show the city-level air quality monitoring stations from CNEMC. The base map is the
overlay of satellite images (© Google Maps 2023) and Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
data.



Figure S2. The estimated O₃ concentration on May 12, 2018, in Shandong, China using

- 140 Timesformer (left) and also the zoomed-in map in region-scale distribution (right). The
- 141 blue area represents the ocean.



144 Figure S3. Out-of-sample cross-validation of daily surface O₃, NO₂ and O₃ estimates

145 at each monitoring site.

146



148 Figure S4. Out-of-site cross-validation of daily surface O₃, NO₂ and O₃ estimates at

- 149 each monitoring site.
- 150



Figure S5. Out-of-sample cross-validation (A-C) of daily ground-level O₃, NO₂ and
 PM_{2.5} concentration in the validation set based on the AiT model trained by monitoring

- 154 data of CNEMC.
- 155



157 Figure S6. Validation for daily ground-level O₃, NO₂, and PM_{2.5} concentration in the

- 158 SDEM dataset based on the AiT model trained by monitoring data of CNEMC.
- 159



Figure S7. Spatial distribution of the annual mean (A-D) O₃, (I-L) NO₂ and (Q-T) PM_{2.5} concentrations from observations, Air Transformer (AiT), Random Forest (RF) and ChinaHighAirPollutants (CHAP), respectively, in 2020. The region enclosed by the red rectangular box in (A-T) corresponds to the zoomed-in maps of the satellite (© Tianditu: www.tianditu.gov.cn) and pollutant concentrations at a city scale for the capital city of Shandong Province, Jinan.



Figure S8. Spatial distribution of annual mean disparities for (A-D) O₃, (I-L) NO₂ and (Q-T) PM_{2.5} concentrations from observations, Air Transformer (AiT), Random Forest (RF) and ChinaHighAirPollutants (CHAP), respectively, during 2019-2020. The region enclosed by the red rectangular box in (A-T) corresponds to the zoomed-in maps of the satellite (© Tianditu: www.tianditu.gov.cn) and pollutant concentrations at a city scale for the capital city of Shandong Province, Jinan.



177 Figure S9. Comparison of spatial distribution between estimations from AiT trained

178 with all data and AiT with CNEMC data during the dust storm.



Figure S10. The spatial distribution of ground-level O₃ (A-C), NO₂ (D-F), and PM_{2.5} (G-I) from AiT and monitoring stations in three cities experiencing diverse dust storm pollution on 15 March 2021 in Shandong, China. J-L represents the satellite maps of these cities (© Tianditu: www.tianditu.gov.cn).

185





Figure S11. Urban extents (red) in Shandong province, China in 2019.



Figure S12. The urban-nonurban disparities of O₃, NO₂, PM_{2.5} and HCHO calculated
by AiT across cities with administrative divisions in Shandong, China during summer
in 2019 (A, D, G) and 2020 (B, E, H), and the changes of differences between 2019 and
2020 (C, F, I).



Figure S13. The urban-nonurban disparities of O₃, NO₂, and PM_{2.5} were calculated by

monitoring station data across cities in Shandong, China in 2019 (A, D, G) and 2020

- (B, E, H), and the changes of differences between 2019 and 2020 (C, F, I).



202 Figure S14. The urban-nonurban disparities of O₃, NO₂, and PM_{2.5} calculated by CHAP

203 across cities in Shandong, China in 2019 (A, D, G) and 2020 (B, E, H), and the changes

of differences between 2019 and 2020 (C, F, I).

205



Figure S15. The seasonal changes of surface HCHO mixing ratio inferred from TROPOMI and EAC4 (A-D), and surface NO₂ (E-D), PM_{2.5} (I-L) and O₃ (M-P) derived from Air Transformer across Shandong, China, in 2010 and 2020.



211

Figure S16. Results of 10-fold cross-validation in validation dataset based on
 XGBoost for modeling the nonlinear response of monthly O₃ variations to meteorology

- and chemical indicators from 2019 to 2020.
- 215



Figure S17. The geographical distribution of the averaged SHAP values for the 217 important driving factors of O3 production (A-K) in XGBoost model, and O3 218 concentration (L) from May to October across Shandong, China in 2019 and 2020. The 219 above color demonstrates how different variables each contribute to pushing the model 220 output away from the base value (the average model output over the training dataset) 221 222 towards the actual model output. Variables pushing the O₃ higher are shown in red, indicating they promote O₃ formation. In contrast, variables pushing the estimations 223 lower are in blue, revealing they inhibit O₃ formation. 224



227 Figure S18. The seasonal changes of SHAP values in HCHO (A-D), NO₂ (E-H) and

- $PM_{2.5}$ (I-L) for O₃ formation across Shandong, China in 2019 and 2020.



231 Figure S19. Comparison of urban-nonurban disparities in meteorological conditions

- 232 (A), and mean absolute SHAP values (B) between 2019 and 2020 across Shandong,
- 233 China during the COVID period.



Figure S20. Contribution of each covariate to the near-surface O₃ (a), NO₂ (b), and 235 PM_{2.5} (c) concentration quantified with the Shapley Additive explanations (SHAP) 236 method in the training dataset. The estimations of the model are shown above the 237 heatmap matrix and the global importance of each model input is shown as a bar plot 238 on the right side of the plot. The top fifteen variables of global importance are listed in 239 240 order from top to bottom. The abbreviation of "people density", "road gau", and "land use" represents the people density, road density and land use data, respectively. 241 Another full form of the abbreviation can be found in Text S2 and Table S1. 242

Data category	Data name	Spatial resolution	Temporal resolution	Data source
Ground	O. NO. PM mansuraments	Point	Hourly	http://www.sdem.org.cn
observation	$O_{3x} = NO_{2x} = 1 M_{2.5}$ incastrements			http://www.cnemc.cn
Satallita data	TROPOMI O ₃ , NO ₂ ^[1]	5.5×3.5 km ^[2]	Daily	https://scihub.copernicus.eu
Salenne dala	MAIAC AOD ^[3]	$1 \times 1 \text{ km}$	Daily	https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mcd19a2v006/
Meteorological fields	ERA5 ^[4]	$0.25^{\circ} imes 0.25^{\circ}$	Hourly	https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu
	Industry emission	Point	Hourly	http://www.sdem.org.cn
	Land use	$30 \times 30 \text{ m}$	-	http://www.globallandcover.com
	People density	100m	-	https://hub.worldpop.org
	Road density	$0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ km}$	-	https://www.openstreetmap.org
Amaillam, data	Digital elevation model (DEM)	$0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ km}$	-	https://www.resdc.cn
Ancillary data	MODIS vegetation index ^[5]	$0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ km}$	16-daily	https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mod13q1v061/
	Nighttime lights (NTL)	$0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ km}$	Daily	https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/missions-and- measurements/products/VNP46A2/
	Land surface temperature (LST)	$1 \times 1 \text{ km}$	Daily	https://e4ftl01.cr.usgs.gov
	MERRA-2 AOD reanalysis ^[6]	$0.625^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$	3-hourly	https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/M2I3NXGAS_5.12.4/summary
Spatial-temporal	Euclidean spherical coordinates			
information	Temporal trend ^[7]	-	-	-

244 **Table S1.** Summary of the dataset used in Air Transformer from multiple sources*

^{*} The dataset covers the Shandong province of China from May 1, 2018 to July 1, 2021.

246 ^[1] TROPOMI satellite data contains: Tropospheric NO₂ column density (NO2); Total O₃ column density (O3); NO₂ slant columns density (NO2_slant); Absorbing

247 aerosol index (AAI); cloud fraction. The Level-2 data from TROPOMI were filtered based on quality assurance values (>0.5).

^[2] 7.5 × 3.5 km from 30. May 2018 to 6. August 2019.

^[3] MAIAC AOD data including Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) and column water vapor over land and clouds (AOD_cwv). The AOD was calculated by averaging the

AOD at 0.47 μm and 0.55 μm. MAIAC AOD has better accuracy in the brighter areas¹⁵ compared with AOD products generated from the Deep Blue¹⁶ or Dark Target

- 251 algorithms¹⁷.
- 252 ^[4] ERA5 hourly data on single levels (reanalysis). It contains 18 variables: 10 meter U wind component (u10), 10 meter V wind component (v10), 2 meter dewpoint
- 253 temperature (d2m); 2 meter temperature (t2m); Boundary layer height (blh); Evaporation (e); Total precipitation (tp); Surface pressure (sp); Boundary layer dissipation;
- 254 Cloud base height; Low vegetation cover; Forecast albedo; Instantaneous large-scale surface precipitation fraction; Medium cloud cover; Mean evaporation rate (mer);
- 255 Mean surface downward long-wave radiation flux, clear sky (msdwlwrfcs); Mean surface downward short-wave radiation flux, clear sky (msdwswrfcs); Mean sea level
- 256 pressure (msl); Total columns ozone; Total columns water (tcw).
- ^[5] MODIS vegetation index contains: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI); Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI).
- ^[6] MERRA-2 AOD reanalysis contains: Aerosol Optical Depth Analysis, Aerosol Optical Depth Analysis Increment.
- 259 ^[7] Temporal trends contain: Helix-shape trigonometric month sequence; Julian day; Year; Month. One-hot encoding was used to process categorical variables.
- 260

Table S2. The performances of AiT in estimating multiple targeted pollutants as well as single
targeted pollutants. All four models was trained using the same input dataset, but different targets
(The targets of AiT are O₃, NO₂, and PM_{2.5}. The target of AiT_O₃, AiT_NO₂, AiT_PM_{2.5} is O₃, NO₂

Model		AiT		AiT_O ₃	AiT_NO ₂	AiT_PM _{2.5}	
	O ₃	NO ₂	PM _{2.5}	O ₃	NO ₂	PM _{2.5}	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.96	0.92	0.90	0.97	0.92	0.90	
RMSE (µg/m ³)	9.96	4.72	11.99	9.27	4.75	12.57	
MAE ($\mu g/m^3$)	7.06	3.48	5.38	6.35	3.46	6.14	

and PM_{2.5}, respectively).

M - 1-1	Spatial	Cre	oss-validation	- D - 11	Literature	
Widdel	resolution	\mathbb{R}^2	RMSE ($\mu g/m^3$)	Ponutant		
RF	0.05°	0.87	13.03	O ₃	Zhu et al., 2022 ¹⁸	
STET	0.1°	0.87	17.1	O ₃	Wei et al., 2022 ¹⁹	
LSTM	0.1°	0.94	10.64	O3	Wang et al., 2022 ²⁰	
DP	0.003°	0.94	11.29	O ₃	Li et al., 2022 ¹⁰	
LightGBM	0.05°	0.91	14.14	O3	Wang et al., 2021 ²	
XGBoost	0.05°	0.83	7.58	NO_2	Liu, 2021 ²¹	
LightGBM	0.05°	0.83	6.62	NO_2	Wang et al., 2021 ²	
GTWR-SK	0.025°	0.84	6.70	NO_2	Wu et al., 2021 ²²	
FSDN	0.01°	0.82	8.80	NO_2	Li & Wu, 2021 ²³	
SWDF	0.01°	0.93	4.89	NO_2	Wei et al., 2022 ²⁴	
DP	0.04°	0.88	11.27	PM _{2.5}	Song et al., 2022 ¹	
DEML	0.01°	0.87	5.38	PM _{2.5}	Yu et al., 2022 ²⁵	
RF	0.1°	0.83	13.9-22.1	PM _{2.5}	Geng et al., 2021 ²⁶	
STET	0.01°	0.89	10.33	PM _{2.5}	Wei et al., 2020 ⁹	
RF	0.01°	0.88	15.73	PM _{2.5}	Huang et al., 2021 ²⁷	
		0.90	15.5	O3		
RF^*	0.005°	0.82	7.2	NO_2	This study	
		0.92	10.72	PM _{2.5}		
		0.96	10.11	O3		
AiT	0.005°	0.92	4.82	NO_2	This study	
		0.95	8.54	PM _{2.5}		

266 **Table S3.** Comparison of model performance with previous studies.

STET: Space-time extremely randomized trees; LSTM: Long short-term memory network; DP: 267 268 deep forest; semi-SILDM: tree-based ensemble deep learning model; LightGBM: Light gradient 269 boosting machine; XGBoost: Extreme gradient boosting; GTWR-SK: Geographically and temporal 270 weighted regression with spatiotemporal kriging; SFDN: Full residual deep networks; SWDF: Spatiotemporally weight deep forest; DEML: deep ensemble machine learning; RF: random forest; 271 272 AiT: Air Transformer. *: While training RF with variables involving neighboring grids is necessary, ML models are limited 273 to accepting only one-dimensional data. Flattening four-dimensional data ($X \in R^{57 \times 8 \times 5 \times 5}$) causes 274

a significant increase in the number of features, which results in a reduction in model performance.

276 Thus, to ensure optimal performance, only variables in situ were employed to train RF.

Table S4. The average concentration of four pollutants across urban and non-urban areas in 2019and 2020.

Year	Туре	O ₃	NO_2	PM _{2.5}	HCHO
2019	Nonurban	141.1	24.7	33.3	3.5
	Urban	141.1	26.3	32.6	4.2
2020	Nonurban	129.2	24.2	30.8	3.3
	Urban	130.4	25.4	29.5	4.0
Relative	Nonurban	-8.43	-2.02	-7.51	-5.71
Changes (%)	Urban	-7.58	-3.42	-9.51	-4.76

281 **Table S5.** The number of monitoring stations across urban and non-urban areas. (YT: Yantai, BZ:

282 Binzhou, DY: Dongying, WH: Weihai, DZ: Dezhou, JNA: Jinan, QD: Qingdao, WF: Weifang, ZB:

283 Zibo, LC: Liaocheng, LW: Laiwu, TA: Taian, LY: Linyi, RZ: Rizhao, JNI: Jining, HZ: Hezhe, ZZ:

284	Zaozhuang)
20 1	Euclinaang)

City Name	BZ	DY	DZ	HZ	JNA	JNI	LC	LW	LY
Non-urban	9	2	10	6	2	6	7	2	8
Urban	7	11	14	14	17	15	15	1	14
City Name	QD	RZ	TA	WF	WH	YT	ZB	ZZ	
Non-urban	1	5	4	9	3	3	10	2	
Urban	11	5	7	15	7	18	6	8	

286 **References**

- (1) Song, Z.; Chen, B.; Zhang, P.; Guan, X.; Wang, X.; Ge, J.; Hu, X.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y. High
 Temporal and Spatial Resolution PM2.5 Dataset Acquisition and Pollution Assessment Based
 on FY-4A TOAR Data and Deep Forest Model in China. *Atmospheric Research* 2022, 274,
 106199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106199.
- Wang, Y.; Yuan, Q.; Li, T.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, L. Estimating Daily Full-Coverage near Surface
 O₃, CO, and NO₂ Concentrations at a High Spatial Resolution over China Based on S5P TROPOMI and GEOS-FP. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 2021, *175*,
 311–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2021.03.018.
- 295 Lyapustin, A.; Wang, Y. MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Aerosol Optical Depth Daily L2G Global (3) 296 1km SIN Grid V061. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC 2022. 297 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD19A2.061.
- (4) Hersbach, H.; Bell, B.; Berrisford, G.; Horányi, A.; Muñoz Sabater, J.; Nicolas, J.; Peubey,
 C.; Rozum, I.; Schepers, D.; Simmons, A.; Soci, C.; Dee, D.; Thépaut, J.-N. ERA5 Hourly
 Data on Single Levels from 1959 to Present. *Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS)* 2023. https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47.
- Kim, M.; Brunner, D.; Kuhlmann, G. Importance of Satellite Observations for High Resolution Mapping of near-Surface NO2 by Machine Learning. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2021, 264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112573.
- Sun, H.; Shin, Y. M.; Xia, M.; Ke, S.; Wan, M.; Yuan, L.; Guo, Y.; Archibald, A. T. Spatial
 Resolved Surface Ozone with Urban and Rural Differentiation during 1990–2019: A Space–
 Time Bayesian Neural Network Downscaler. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *56* (11), 7337–
 7349. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04797.
- Ke, G.; Meng, Q.; Finley, T.; Wang, T.; Chen, W.; Ma, W.; Ye, Q.; Liu, T.-Y. LightGBM: A
 Highly Efficient Gradient Boosting Decision Tree. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30* 2017, 3149-3157.
- (8) Zang, Z.; Guo, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Zuo, C.; Li, D.; Shi, W.; Yan, X. Tree-Based Ensemble Deep
 Learning Model for Spatiotemporal Surface Ozone (O₃) Prediction and Interpretation. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 2021, *103*, 102516.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2021.102516.
- Wei, J.; Li, Z.; Cribb, M.; Huang, W.; Xue, W.; Sun, L.; Guo, J.; Peng, Y.; Li, J.; Lyapustin,
 A.; Liu, L.; Wu, H.; Song, Y. Improved 1 Km Resolution PM_{2.5} Estimates across China Using
 Enhanced Space–Time Extremely Randomized Trees. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2020, 20 (6),
 3273–3289. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3273-2020.
- (10) Li, M.; Yang, Q.; Yuan, Q.; Zhu, L. Estimation of High Spatial Resolution Ground-Level
 Ozone Concentrations Based on Landsat 8 TIR Bands with Deep Forest Model. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *301*, 134817. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134817.
- (11) Liu, X.; He, P.; Chen, W.; Gao, J. Multi-Task Deep Neural Networks for Natural Language
 Understanding. arXiv May 29, 2019. http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11504 (accessed 2022-11-22).
- (12) Su, W.; Hu, Q.; Chen, Y.; Lin, J.; Zhang, C.; Liu, C. Inferring Global Surface HCHO
 Concentrations from Multisource Hyperspectral Satellites and Their Application to HCHORelated Global Cancer Burden Estimation. *Environment International* 2022, *170*, 107600.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107600.

- (13) Cooper, M. J.; Martin, R. V.; McLinden, C. A.; Brook, J. R. Inferring Ground-Level Nitrogen
 Dioxide Concentrations at Fine Spatial Resolution Applied to the TROPOMI Satellite
 Instrument. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2020, *15* (10), 104013. https://doi.org/10.1088/17489326/aba3a5.
- (14) Inness, A.; Ades, M.; Agustí-Panareda, A.; Barré, J.; Benedictow, A.; Blechschmidt, A.-M.;
 Dominguez, J. J.; Engelen, R.; Eskes, H.; Flemming, J.; Huijnen, V.; Jones, L.; Kipling, Z.;
 Massart, S.; Parrington, M.; Peuch, V.-H.; Razinger, M.; Remy, S.; Schulz, M.; Suttie, M.
 The CAMS Reanalysis of Atmospheric Composition. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *19* (6), 3515–3556. https://doi.org/10/ghdkrm.
- (15) Lyapustin, A.; Wang, Y.; Laszlo, I.; Kahn, R.; Korkin, S.; Remer, L.; Levy, R.; Reid, J. S.
 Multiangle Implementation of Atmospheric Correction (MAIAC): 2. Aerosol Algorithm. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 2011, *116* (D3).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014986.
- (16) Hsu, N. C.; Jeong, M.-J.; Bettenhausen, C.; Sayer, A. M.; Hansell, R.; Seftor, C. S.; Huang,
 J.; Tsay, S.-C. Enhanced Deep Blue Aerosol Retrieval Algorithm: The Second Generation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 2013, *118* (16), 9296–9315.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50712.
- (17) Kaufman, Y. J.; Wald, A. E.; Remer, L. A.; Gao, B.-C.; Li, R.-R.; Flynn, L. The MODIS 2.1/Spl Mu/m Channel-Correlation with Visible Reflectance for Use in Remote Sensing of
 Aerosol. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 1997, *35* (5), 1286–1298.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/36.628795.
- (18) Zhu, Q.; Bi, J.; Liu, X.; Li, S.; Wang, W.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, Y. Satellite-Based Long-Term
 Spatiotemporal Patterns of Surface Ozone Concentrations in China: 2005–2019. *Environ Health Perspect* 2022, *130* (2), 027004. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP9406.
- Wei, J.; Li, Z.; Li, K.; Dickerson, R. R.; Pinker, R. T.; Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Sun, L.; Xue, W.;
 Cribb, M. Full-Coverage Mapping and Spatiotemporal Variations of Ground-Level Ozone
 (O₃) Pollution from 2013 to 2020 across China. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2022, 270,
 112775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112775.
- Wang, S.; Mu, X.; Jiang, P.; Huo, Y.; Zhu, L.; Zhu, Z.; Wu, Y. New Deep Learning Model to
 Estimate Ozone Concentrations Found Worrying Exposure Level over Eastern China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2022, *19* (12), 7186.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19127186.
- 361 (21) Liu, J. Mapping High Resolution National Daily NO₂ Exposure across Mainland China Using
 362 an Ensemble Algorithm. *Environmental Pollution* 2021, 279, 116932.
 363 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116932.
- Wu, S.; Huang, B.; Wang, J.; He, L.; Wang, Z.; Yan, Z.; Lao, X.; Zhang, F.; Liu, R.; Du, Z.
 Spatiotemporal Mapping and Assessment of Daily Ground NO2 Concentrations in China
 Using High-Resolution TROPOMI Retrievals. *Environmental Pollution* 2021, 273, 116456.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116456.
- 368 (23) Li, L.; Wu, J. Spatiotemporal Estimation of Satellite-Borne and Ground-Level NO₂ Using Full
 369 Residual Deep Networks. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2021, 254, 112257.
 370 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112257.
- Wei, J.; Liu, S.; Li, Z.; Liu, C.; Qin, K.; Liu, X.; Pinker, R. T.; Dickerson, R. R.; Lin, J.;
 Boersma, K. F.; Sun, L.; Li, R.; Xue, W.; Cui, Y.; Zhang, C.; Wang, J. Ground-Level NO₂

- Surveillance from Space Across China for High Resolution Using Interpretable
 Spatiotemporally Weighted Artificial Intelligence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022,
 acs.est.2c03834. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c03834.
- 376 (25) Yu, W.; Li, S.; Ye, T.; Xu, R.; Song, J.; Guo, Y. Deep Ensemble Machine Learning
 377 Framework for the Estimation of PM2.5 Concentrations. *Environ Health Perspect* 2022, *130*378 (3), 037004. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP9752.
- (26) Geng, G.; Xiao, Q.; Liu, S.; Liu, X.; Cheng, J.; Zheng, Y.; Xue, T.; Tong, D.; Zheng, B.; Peng,
 Y.; Huang, X.; He, K.; Zhang, Q. Tracking Air Pollution in China: Near Real-Time PM_{2.5}
 Retrievals from Multisource Data Fusion. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 55 (17), 12106–12115.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01863.
- (27) Huang, C.; Hu, J.; Xue, T.; Xu, H.; Wang, M. High-Resolution Spatiotemporal Modeling for
 Ambient PM_{2.5} Exposure Assessment in China from 2013 to 2019. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*2021, 55 (3), 2152–2162. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05815.