On the potential use of highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOMs) as indicators for ozone formation sensitivity
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Abstract. Ozone (O₃), an important and ubiquitous trace gas, protects lives from harmful solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation in the stratosphere but is toxic to living organisms in the troposphere. Additionally, tropospheric O₃ is a key oxidant and a source of other oxidants (e.g., OH and NO₃ radicals) for various volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Recently, highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOMs) were identified as a new compound group formed from the oxidation of many VOCs, making up a significant source of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). The pathways forming HOMs from VOCs involve autoxidation of peroxy radicals (RO₂), formed ubiquitously in many VOC oxidation reactions. The main sink for RO₂ is bimolecular reactions with other radicals, such as HO₂, NO, or other RO₂, and this largely determines the structure of the end products. Organic nitrates form solely from RO₂ + NO reactions, while accretion products (“dimers”) form solely from RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. The RO₂ + NO reaction also converts NO into NO₂, making it a net source for O₃ through NO₂ photolysis.

There is a highly nonlinear relationship between O₃, NOₓ, and VOCs. Understanding the O₃ formation sensitivity to changes in VOCs and NOₓ is crucial for making optimal mitigation policies to control O₃ concentrations. However, determining the specific O₃ formation regimes (either VOC-limited or NOₓ-limited) remains challenging in diverse environmental conditions. In this work we assessed whether HOM measurements can function as a real-time indicator for the O₃ formation sensitivity based on the hypothesis that HOM compositions can describe the relative importance of NO as a terminator for RO₂. Given the fast formation and short lifetimes of low-volatility HOMs (timescale of minutes), they describe the instantaneous chemical regime of the atmosphere. In this work, we conducted a series of monoterpene oxidation experiments in our chamber while varying the concentrations of NOₓ and VOCs under different NO₂ photolysis rates. We also measured the relative concentrations of HOMs of different types (dimers, nitrate-containing monomers, and non-nitrate monomers) and used ratios between these to estimate the O₃ formation sensitivity. We find that for this simple system, the O₃ sensitivity could be described very well based on the HOM measurements. Future work will focus on determining to what extent this approach can be applied in more complex atmospheric environments. Ambient measurements of HOMs have become increasingly common during the last decade, and therefore we expect that there are already a large number of groups with available data for testing this approach.
1 Introduction

Ozone (O$_3$), as a key trace gas in the atmosphere, directly and indirectly affects human lives, and it plays diametrically opposing roles in the troposphere (“bad” ozone) and stratosphere (“good” ozone) (Sandermann, 1996; Staehelin et al., 2001; Seviour, 2022). The formation and depletion of O$_3$ have been investigated over the past decades (Chapman, 1930; Crutzen, 1970, 1971; Stolarski and Cicerone, 1974; Tiao et al., 1975; Dodge, 1977). Atmospheric O$_3$ is almost entirely produced through the reaction between atomic oxygen (O$_3^P$) and molecular oxygen (O$_2$) (Wang et al., 2017). In the stratosphere, the O$_3^P$ source is O$_2$ photolysis with ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths below 240 nm (Chapman, 1930). Stratospheric O$_3$, which constitutes approximately 90 % of Earth’s atmospheric O$_3$, plays a crucial role in absorbing UV radiation in the UVB band (280–315 nm), protecting organisms on the ground from harmful UV radiation (Grijul and Leun, 2000; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). Although certain man-made substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons, were found to be responsible for significant depletion of stratospheric O$_3$, the implementation of the 1987 Montreal Protocol and its subsequent amendments has contributed to the recovery of the stratospheric O$_3$ layer (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016; Chipperfield et al., 2017).

In the troposphere, in addition to its role as a greenhouse gas (Ehnhalt et al., 2001), O$_3$ serves as a secondary air pollutant due to its detrimental impacts and indirect emissions (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016; Nuvolone et al., 2018). Not only is it toxic, but it also participates in chemical reactions that lead to the formation of other harmful molecules (Nuvolone et al., 2018). In contrast to stratospheric O$_3$ in the troposphere, the source of O$_3^P$ is NO$_2$ photolysis at wavelengths less than 420 nm (Madronich et al., 1983). However, the net formation of tropospheric O$_3$ occurs through chemical reactions involving nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$) and various volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of UV light (Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000). In an ideal “clean” system without any VOCs, once O$_3$ is formed, it readily converts NO back to NO$_2$ by reacting with NO, resulting in a null cycle as shown below (also illustrated as the NO$_x$ cycle in Fig. A1).

$$\text{NO}_2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{O_3} \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \quad \text{(R1)}$$
$$\text{O}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R2)}$$

When VOCs are present, they will be oxidized to form peroxy radicals (RO$_2$) by atmospheric oxidants, such as O$_3$ and OH (Atkinson and Arey, 2003).

$$\text{VOC} + \text{oxidant} \xrightarrow{O_3} \text{RO}_2 \quad \text{(R3)}$$

The RO$_2$ radicals can thus replace O$_3$ in converting NO into NO$_2$ (Reaction R4a). Some fraction of RO$_2$ + NO reactions will also lead to the formation of organic nitrates, RONO$_2$ (Reaction R4b) (Atkinson and Arey, 2003).

$$\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(R4a)}$$
$$\rightarrow \text{RONO}_2 \quad \text{(R4b)}$$

In summary, the presence of RO$_2$ radicals, supplied by VOCs, can perturb the NO$_x$ cycle, leading to a net increase in O$_3$ (Fig. A1). As one of the most characteristic features of photochemical smog episodes in many cities (Tiao et al., 1975; Tang et al., 1995; Dickerson et al., 1997), this O$_3$ formation process (Reactions R1–R4a, Fig. A1), known as O$_3$–NO$_x$–VOC sensitivity or O$_3$ formation sensitivity, has been investigated since the last century (Haagen-Smit et al., 1953; Kinosian, 1982). The empirical kinetic modeling approach (EKMA curve, namely O$_3$ isopleths, was proposed by Dodge (1977) and has been widely used to visually study the O$_3$ formation sensitivity (Liu and Shi, 2021). The O$_3$ isopleths reveal a highly nonlinear response of O$_3$ to its precursors, demonstrating that increasing or decreasing either VOCs or NO$_x$ does not consistently exhibit the same behavior regarding their impact on O$_3$ formation (Meyer et al., 1977; Harris et al., 1982; Sillman et al., 1990). The outcome is dependent on the relative concentrations of VOCs (as a surrogate for RO$_2$) and NO$_x$, leading to the division of the formation area into NO$_x$-limited and VOC-limited regimes (Sillman, 1999; Melkonyan and Kattner, 2012). In the NO$_x$-limited regime, the concentration of O$_3$ generally increases with an increase in NO$_x$, while its response to VOC changes remains relatively small. This is because the supply of RO$_2$ species from VOCs is abundant and Reaction (R4a) is limited by NO (the NO$_x$ cycle is saturated in Fig. A1). Conversely, in the VOC-limited regime, an increase in VOC concentration generally leads to an increase in O$_3$, whereas an increase in NO$_x$ may even result in a decrease in O$_3$ levels. This is because NO$_x$ is in excess compared to RO$_2$. Moreover, very high levels of NO$_x$ can directly titrate O$_3$ (Sillman, 1999) or consume OH radicals (Atkinson et al., 2004), thereby reducing the supply of RO$_2$ species (Reaction R3) and promoting Reaction (R2), which results in a decrease in the O$_3$ concentration. These effects were demonstrated by amplified O$_3$ pollution in cities during the COVID-19 lockdown, when NO$_x$ emissions dropped dramatically (Sicard et al., 2020).

To mitigate the uncertainties associated with photochemical models and efficiently determine the O$_3$ formation sensitivity, various photochemical indicators have been utilized since the last century (Wang et al., 2017; Liu and Shi, 2021). For example, the O$_3$ production efficiency (OPE = $\frac{\text{O}_3}{2\text{NO}_x}$) is defined as the number of O$_3$ molecules produced per molecule of NO$_x$ before the NO$_x$ is oxidized to more stable products, i.e., NO$_2$ species (Trainer et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2017). Smaller values of OPE indicate the inefficiency of the NO$_x$ cycle (Fig. A1), suggesting that the supply of RO$_2$ from VOCs becomes the limiting factor. As a result, the photochemical system tends to be VOC-limited. Conversely, when OPE values are higher, the system tends to be
NO\textsubscript{x}-limited. Additionally, several modifications have been made to the OPE indicator to account for different situations, such as replacing \( \Delta O\textsubscript{3} \) with \( \Delta O\textsubscript{2} \) (\( O\textsubscript{3} = O\textsubscript{2} + NO\textsubscript{x} \)) (Kleinman et al., 2002) and replacing \( \Delta NO\textsubscript{x} \) with \( \Delta NO\textsubscript{2} \) (\( NO\textsubscript{2} = NO + NO\textsubscript{x} \)) (Wang et al., 2006). Other chemical species have also been utilized as photochemical indicators, including the ratio of \( H_2O \) to \( HNO\textsubscript{3} \) (Hammer et al., 2002). High values of \( H_2O/HNO\textsubscript{3} \) indicate high potential for cross-reactions of two \( HO\textsubscript{2} \) radicals, which is associated with high \( VOC \) and thus indicative of the \( NO\textsubscript{x} \)-limited regime. For a more widespread application, space-based \( HCHO \) measurements from global \( O\textsubscript{3} \) monitoring satellites have been introduced as an indicator (Martin et al., 2004) based on the fact that levels of \( HCHO \) and \( NO\textsubscript{2} \) in the tropospheric column are closely linked to \( VOC \) and \( NO\textsubscript{2} \) emissions, respectively. However, all these indicators are not inherently linked to the \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation process, and the corresponding threshold values depend on environmental conditions (Liu and Shi, 2021). This makes it challenging to universally apply these indicators.

During the past decade, highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOMs) have been recognized as a new group of \( VOC \) oxidation products, particularly important for the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) due to their fast formation and low volatilities (Ehn et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2019). Aerosols play a significant role in both impacting human health adversely (Kelly and Fussell, 2015) and influencing climate (Boucher et al., 2013). Formed in the atmosphere-mimicking gas phase and containing six or more oxygen atoms (Bianchi et al., 2019), HOMs are produced via \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) autoxidation, which rapidly increases their oxygen content through intramolecular \( H \) atom abstractions followed by \( O\textsubscript{2} \) additions (Crounse et al., 2013; Ehn et al., 2014). Eventually, these highly oxygenated \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) will generally be terminated similarly as other \( RO\textsubscript{2} \), such as through bimolecular reactions with \( NO\textsubscript{x} \), \( RO\textsubscript{2} \), or \( HO\textsubscript{2} \) radicals (i.e., Reactions R4–R6).

\[
RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2} \rightarrow ROOR + O\textsubscript{2} \quad \text{(R5a)}
\]
\[
\rightarrow ROH + RC=O + O\textsubscript{2} \quad \text{(R5b)}
\]

\[
RO\textsubscript{2} + HO\textsubscript{2} \rightarrow ROOH + O\textsubscript{2} \quad \text{(R6a)}
\]
\[
\rightarrow RC=O + H\textsubscript{2}O + O\textsubscript{2} \quad \text{(R6b)}
\]

The \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation precursors, namely \( NO\textsubscript{x} \) and \( VOC \), are thus also intrinsically connected to HOM formation through \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) chemistry. As such, if the daytime HOM distribution is dominated by organic nitrates, it suggests that the majority of \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) is being terminated by reactions with \( NO \) (Reaction R4), thus contributing to \( O3 \) formation. On the other hand, if we observe large quantities of \( VOC \) dimers or non-nitrate monomers, formed from \( RO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2}/HO\textsubscript{2} \) (Reactions R5 and R6), there must be a large fraction of \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) that does not contribute to the \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation process, suggesting that increased \( NO\textsubscript{x} \) would also lead to more \( O\textsubscript{3} \). In other words, ratios of different types of HOMs can function as another indicator for determining the sensitivity of \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation. The situation is complicated by several factors, including challenges in identifying which HOMs might have formed from \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) termination by \( RO\textsubscript{2} \) or \( HO\textsubscript{2} \) and knowing if a HOM is a monomeric product of a larger \( VOC \) precursor or a dimeric product from smaller VOCs. Still, if HOMs could be used even as a qualitative indicator for \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation, one particular benefit would be that they would serve as a real-time indicator. This is because both the formation (through autoxidation) and loss (through condensation onto aerosol particles) of HOMs take place on timescales of minutes or less.

In this study, our objective is to assess the viability of using the ratio of HOM dimers or non-nitrate monomers to HOM organic nitrates as an indicator of \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation sensitivity. We conducted a series of experiments in an atmosphere simulation chamber (Riva et al., 2019a), focusing on the ozonolysis of \( \alpha \)-pinene, the most abundantly emitted monoterpene (Pathak et al., 2007). By varying the concentrations of \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation precursors \( NO\textsubscript{x} \) and \( \alpha \)-pinene, as well as the \( NO\textsubscript{2} \) photolysis rate, we explored the shift between \( NO\textsubscript{x} \)-limited and VOC-limited regimes in the chemical system. We employed mass spectrometers and gas monitors to measure HOM products, \( \alpha \)-pinene, \( O\textsubscript{3} \), and \( NO\textsubscript{x} \). We also developed a simple 0-D box model to simulate the concentrations of \( O\textsubscript{3} \) and its precursors in the chamber under different conditions. Finally, by analyzing both experimental and model outcomes, we evaluated the potential of the HOM ratios as indicators of \( O\textsubscript{3} \) formation sensitivity (either VOC-limited or \( NO\textsubscript{x} \)-limited) in this system.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Experiments

The experiments were conducted in the COALA chamber, as presented by Riva et al. (2019a). The cuboid chamber is made of fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) and has a volume of \( 2 \text{ m}^3 \) with a volume to surface area ratio of 0.2. The chamber was run in “steady-state mode” (Peräkylä et al., 2020; Kreehmer et al., 2020), meaning there was a continuous flow of air and reagents (\( O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{2}, \) and \( \alpha \)-pinene) through the chamber. The total flow was around \( 55 \text{ L min}^{-1} \), giving an average residence time of approximately 36 min \((\tau = \frac{2000 \text{ L}}{55 \text{ L min}^{-1}} \approx 36 \text{ min})\). Each stage, where the experimental conditions remain unchanged, lasted at least 1.5 h, which is approximately 3 times the residence time, allowing the chamber to reach a pseudo-steady state, as confirmed by the time series obtained during the experiments (e.g., Fig. 4).

The details of the conducted experiments are provided in Table 1. The UV LED lights (wavelength \( \sim 400 \text{ nm} \), manufactured by LEDlightmake Inc., Shenzhen, China) (Zhao...
Table 1. Experimental conditions. Each experiment consisted of three to nine “stages” that corresponded to a specific time period during which the inputs remained constant. The parameter that was varied included input O$_3$, α-pinene, or NO$_2$ concentrations, as well as NO$_2$ photolysis rate (J$_{NO_2}$). These variations are indicated in the table by multiple values or ranges in a given cell. The experiment number (no.) and number of total stages per experiment are shown in the first two columns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment no.</th>
<th>Number of stages</th>
<th>J$_{NO_2}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>O$_3$ (ppb)</th>
<th>α-pinene (ppb)</th>
<th>NO$_2$ range (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.85 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>30/60</td>
<td>0–21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.85 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15/45/60</td>
<td>0–21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.85 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>10/45/60</td>
<td>0–35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.15 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15/45/60</td>
<td>0–44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.35 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15/45/60</td>
<td>0–44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.85 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>10/15.5/22.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.85 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30/45/60</td>
<td>0–21.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

et al., 2023a) used for photolyzing NO$_2$ were kept on throughout each experiment, while the input concentrations of the precursors NO$_2$, O$_3$, and α-pinene were varied across experiments and stages to map out a wide range of different conditions. The photolysis rate was varied by using varying numbers of LED light strips (one, three, or five). Experiments without VOC addition (nos. Z1–Z5, Table A1) were used to evaluate the photolysis rates (given in Table 1) for each number of light strips. We acknowledge that using alkene ozonolysis for this type of study is not ideal as O$_3$ oxidizes other organic compounds without any nitro- gen atoms, which are C$_8$–C$_{10}$ compounds without any nitrogen atoms; and (3) HOM dimers (HOM$_{Di}$), which are C$_{18}$–C$_{20}$ compounds without any nitrogen atoms.

2.2 Instrumentation

2.2.1 Mass spectrometers

A nitrate-adduct chemical ionization mass spectrometer (NO$_3$-CIMS, Tofwerk AG/Aerodyne Research, Inc.) was used for online measurements of HOMs with high selectivity (Jokinen et al., 2012; Ehn et al., 2014; Riva et al., 2019b). A large sheath flow of 20 L min$^{-1}$ (to minimize wall losses) carries nitric acid (HNO$_3$) across X-rays, producing nitrate ions (NO$_3^-$). Then, in an electric field, NO$_3^-$ is guided towards a 10 L min$^{-1}$ sample flow, ionizing targeted HOM molecules by clustering with them (Kürten et al., 2014). Finally, the charged sample molecules are directed through a critical orifice and into an atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (APi-TOF), where they are detected based on mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) (Junninen et al., 2010). The NO$_3$-CIMS was equipped with a standard TOF (HTOF), having a mass resolution of 5000 at m/z 188 Th. The concentrations of HOMs were converted from their normalized signals (i.e., the ratio of HOM-containing ions to reagent ions) by multiplying with a calibration factor (C), which takes different efficiencies into account (Jokinen et al., 2012; Bianchi et al., 2019).

$$\text{[HOM]} = C \cdot \frac{\text{HOM(NO}_3^-)}{\sum_{i=0}^{2}(\text{HNO}_3)x(\text{NO}_3^-)} \ (R7)$$

Calibrating with sulfuric acid (Kürten et al., 2012), we determined C to be 1.56 × 10$^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (±50 %) based on a flow-tube model (He et al., 2023). However, in this study, the accuracy of C is less important since the normalized signals of HOMs were sufficient for relative comparisons.

A proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF 8000, Ionicon Analytik GmbH), designed for online measurements of VOCs (Jordan et al., 2009), was utilized in our study specifically for the detection of α-pinene. The PTR is an ionization method where water molecules (H$_2$O) are ionized in a hollow cathode discharge, resulting in the formation of hydronium ions (H$_3$O$^+$) (Hansel et al., 1995). Then, as proton donors, H$_3$O$^+$ is directed into a drift tube, where trace organic compounds are ionized by proton transfer process with proton affinity as the key parameter (Hansel et al., 1995; Graus et al., 2010). After a differentially pumped ion transfer unit, the charged molecules enter a TOF, where collisions are negligible under a low pressure of ~10$^{-6}$ mbar with a high vacuum (Graus et al., 2010). The inlet flow was 1 L min$^{-1}$ with 0.1 L min$^{-1}$ being sampled into the ion drift tube. Further details regarding the calibration and settings of the PTR can be found in Zhao et al. (2023b), and the calibration factor for α-pinene (detected as...
The concentrations of NO$_x$ and O$_3$ were measured by gas monitors. A photometric O$_3$ analyzer – model 400 (Teledyne API) was used to detect O$_3$ in the chamber. The amount of O$_3$ determines how much of a 254 nm UV light signal is absorbed in the sample cell. The absorption difference between the intact sample air and the O$_3$-removed air, achieved by a switching valve periodically, enables the determination of the stable O$_3$ concentrations.

As for NO$_x$, an NO–NO$_2$ analyzer – model T200UP (Teledyne API) – was utilized. With a high-efficiency photolytic converter, NO$_2$ is transformed to NO with minimal interference from other gases. Using the chemiluminescence detection principle, NO is measured by reacting with O$_3$, yielding light in direct proportion to the amount of NO (Archer et al., 1995). In this way, both sampled NO and total NO$_x$ can be measured, without and with using the photolytic converter, respectively. This enables the determination of the NO$_2$ concentration in the sample by subtraction.

### 2.3 Box model

As O$_3$ was both injected and produced in the chamber, and sinks included reactions with NO and VOCs as well as flush-out, we constructed a simple 0-D box model (14 reactions, Table A2) to mimic the main reactions and to generate O$_3$ isopleth diagrams. These isopleths were then used to determine sensitivity regimes for O$_3$ formation. Reaction rates were adapted from the NIST Chemical Kinetics Database (https://kinetics.nist.gov/kinetics/index.jsp, last access: 25 August 2023). The model did not include closed-shell HOM products and all peroxy radicals were treated as a single term (i.e., RO$_2$). The box model was first employed to determine NO$_2$ photolysis rates under different numbers of UV lights in the zero-VOC experiments (Table A1), where only O$_3$ and NO$_2$ were used as input species. The high agreement between the model and observations (detailed results are shown in Sect. 3.1 and 3.3) showed that the model was adequate for simulating the targeted reactions in our chamber.

### 3 Results and discussions

#### 3.1 NO$_2$ photolysis rate determination

Figure 1 illustrates an example of a zero-VOC experiment, while Table A1 provides a comprehensive list of all zero-VOC experiments conducted. Using the box model, NO$_2$ photolysis rates ($J_{\text{NO}_2}$) were determined by varying the $J_{\text{NO}_2}$ parameter in the model until the simulated O$_3$ and NO$_x$ values agreed with the observations in the zero-VOC experiments (Figs. 1 and A2–A5). With $J_{\text{NO}_2} = 1.85 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ with five UV lights, the modeled gas concentrations agreed extremely well with measured values (Fig. 1). Similar agreement was observed for different inputs of O$_3$ and NO$_2$ (Figs. A2 and A3), indicating the robustness of both the model itself and the fitted $J_{\text{NO}_2}$. Furthermore, employing the same procedure, the photolysis rates with three UV lights and one UV light were determined to be $1.15 \times 10^{-3}$ and $0.35 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively (Figs. A4 and A5). The values for $J_{\text{NO}_2}$ could also be computed from the observed steady-state and input concentrations of NO$_x$/O$_3$ for each condition (details see Table A1).

#### 3.2 HOM determination

A steady-state spectrum (experiment no. 2) obtained from the NO$_3$-CIMS (Fig. 2) illustrates the identified closed-shell HOM products, including HOM$_{\text{Mono}}$ (light green), HOM$_{\text{ON}}$ (blue), and HOM$_{\text{Di}}$ (dark green). Table 2 provides the formulas of all the selected HOM species. Figure A6 displays the steady-state spectra of all stages, with the corresponding input information described in Fig. 4a. As expected, with the injection of more NO$_2$, the signals of HOM$_{\text{ON}}$ increased, while those of HOM$_{\text{Mono}}$ and HOM$_{\text{Di}}$ decreased considerably (stages 2–5 in Fig. A6). This observation is consistent with the dominance of the RO$_2$ + NO reaction over the RO$_2$ cross-reactions at a few parts per billion of NO$_x$ (Yan et al., 2016, 2020). After the addition of more α-pinene, all signals showed a noticeable rise (stages 6–7 in Fig. A6) due to the increased supply of RO$_2$ species.

Our experiments showed that HOM organic nitrates with fewer than nine oxygen atoms (HOM$_{\text{ON},O\leq8}$) exhibited the slowest decay at the end of the experiment (Fig. 3). This can be explained by the evaporation of these semi-volatile HOM$_{\text{ON},O\leq8}$ compounds from chamber walls even after the gas-phase production had stopped. Additionally, non-nitrate HOM monomers with fewer than nine oxygen atoms (HOM$_{\text{Mono},O\leq8}$) also showed an overall slow decay (Fig. 3). As a result, prior to subsequent experiments, the concentration levels of HOM$_{\text{ON},O\leq8}$ and HOM$_{\text{Mono},O\leq5}$ remained high, obscuring actual concentration changes following the addition of NO$_x$. As such, the ratios of the different compound groups are impacted by memory effects from previous experiments and wall interactions and would therefore be poor real-time indicators of the O$_3$ formation sensitivity. In the atmosphere, the long-lived oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) could linger for hours to days, and the ratios of, e.g., nitrates to non-nitrates would be greatly influenced by various loss terms (photolysis, oxidation, condensation, hydrolysis, etc.) that may differ dramatically between different compound groups. In contrast, HOM$_{\text{ON},O>8}$, HOM$_{\text{Mono},O>8}$, and HOM$_{\text{Di}}$ experienced fast decays due to their very low
Figure 1. A zero-VOC experiment (Z1) for determining the photolysis rate of NO$_2$. Measured (abbreviated as Meas.) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod.) concentrations of different compounds (abbreviated as Comp.) are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with corresponding labels for each operation. Note that the operation labels show input information (input NO$_2$: measured NO$_2$ + NO).

Figure 2. The steady-state spectrum (15 min average) at stage 7 of experiment no. 2 from the NO$_3$-CIMS. The spectrum was corrected by subtracting the corresponding background signals. Light green bars show HOM$_{Mono}$, dark green ones show HOM$_{Di}$, blue ones show HOM$_{ON}$, and grey ones show peaks not of interest. The peaks not of interest either exhibited relatively low signals, represented radicals, contained too small a number of carbon and oxygen atoms, or had uncertain mass-to-charge ratios. The peaks larger than 450 Th are multiplied by 10.

volatilities, corresponding to lifetimes well below 1 h when accounting for the fact that HOM formation (roughly estimated by the dashed purple line in Fig. 3) continued while the precursors were being flushed out (the higher normalized background level of HOM$_{Di}$ is due to their lower initial concentrations, but this stable level allowed for accurate background subtraction in each experiment). Therefore, we excluded the less oxygenated and more volatile HOM species, specifically HOM$_{ON}, O \leq 8$ and HOM$_{Mono}, O \leq 8$, to obtain parameters with short enough lifetimes to truly reflect the ongoing chemistry in real time. The remaining differences in decay rates in Fig. 3 may also reflect slight differences in loss rates for the highly oxygenated species, but also the yields of the different compound groups are a function of, e.g., reaction rates. For example, dimer formation requires RO$_2$ + RO$_2$ reactions, which are more favored at higher VOC oxidation rates. Consequently, the indicating ratio used in this study is defined as the ratio between the sum of HOM$_{Di}$ or HOM$_{Mono}, O > 8$ and the sum of HOM$_{ON}, O > 8$, represented as $\frac{\sum \text{HOM}_{Di}}{\sum \text{HOM}_{ON}, O > 8}$ (indicating ratio 1, abbreviated as IR1) or $\frac{\sum \text{HOM}_{Mono}, O > 8}{\sum \text{HOM}_{ON}, O > 8}$ (IR2). We emphasize that these ratios will be
changes in O₃ concentrations (Fig. 4), suggesting a possible sensitivity of O₃ formation. From stages 1 to 5, injection of more NO₂ led to increased formation of HOMONO, O₃>8, while production of HOMDO and HOMONO, O₃>8 was suppressed. As a result, the indicating ratios decreased. Additionally, the concentration of O₃ increased during this period, but the rate of increase decreased with higher NO₂ inputs. This trend suggests a gradual shift from a NO₂-limited regime to a more VOC-limited regime in the system. For example, during stage 5 with 9.5 ppb NO₂, the O₃ concentration remained relatively constant. This observation indicates the system may have shifted to the VOC-limited regime. Next, when additional α-pinene was introduced (~45 ppb during stage 6), a significant increase in O₃ concentration was again observed, consistent with the system being in the VOC-limited regime during stage 5. However, after injection of ~60 ppb α-pinene during stage 7, the O₃ concentration reached a plateau, indicating that the system had shifted back to the NO₂-limited regime. Moreover, during these two stages, the indicating ratios experienced a substantial increase. In the last stage, stage 8, when additional NO₂ was injected to reach 21.5 ppb while the input α-pinene concentration remained unchanged, the O₃ concentration increased again, and the indicating ratios decreased noticeably, confirming that the previous stage was NO₂-limited. However, it should be noted that the system in this stage might not yet be VOC-limited.

Other experiments with five UV lights (Figs. A7, A8, and A11) also exhibited similar time series patterns as described above. One noticeable difference is that higher initial O₃ concentrations resulted in less pronounced increases in O₃ during the first five stages with varying NO₂ levels. This can be attributed to the reaction O₃ + NO (Reaction R2) becoming faster, competing with the formation of O₃ from the RO₂ + NO reaction (Reaction R4a) followed by NO₂ photolysis (Reaction R1). As a result, there was reduced O₃ formation in the presence of higher initial O₃ concentrations due to the scavenging of NO by existing O₃.

Compared to experiment no. 2 (Fig. 4), experiments with fewer lights (but similar initial concentrations; Figs. A9 and A10) provide insight into the effect of UV light intensities on O₃ formation sensitivity and the consistency of the indicating ratios under different light intensities. More lights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomers</th>
<th>Dimers</th>
<th>Organic nitrates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₆H₁₂O₄ (x = 6–9)</td>
<td>C₁₈H₂₂O₄ (x = 17–20)</td>
<td>C₈H₁₃NO₃ (x = 8, 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₀H₁₄O₄ (x = 7–11)</td>
<td>C₁₈H₂₄O₄ (x = 12, 14, 16, 17)</td>
<td>C₉H₁₅NO₃ (x = 8, 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₀H₁₆O₄ (x = 6–11)</td>
<td>C₁₈H₂₆O₄ (x = 11–14)</td>
<td>C₁₀H₁₅NO₃ (x = 7–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₈H₂₈O₄ (x = 10–14)</td>
<td>C₁₀H₁₇NO₄ (x = 7, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₈H₃₀O₁₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₉H₂₈O₄ (x = 10–19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C₁₉H₂₉O₄ (x = 9–16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Indicating ratios

In this section, we detail the conducted experiments. Experiment no. 2 is depicted in Fig. 4, while the other experiments are shown in Figs. A7–A12. It is worth noting that our experiments did not result in notable particle formation, and condensation to walls was always the dominant loss term for HOMs. If aerosol formation had been significant, as has been observed in our chamber at higher oxidation rates (Zhao et al., 2023a), HOMs would first increase due to fast formation and then decrease due to condensation sink. Variation of NO₂ and α-pinene input concentrations leads to changes in both indicating ratios (IR1 and IR2) that correspond to
led to a more pronounced increase in O₃ concentrations at the same stages because additional O₃ was produced from NO₂ photolysis. On the other hand, fewer lights resulted in lower NO levels in the system since NO₂ input was the sole source of NOₓ. This led to reduced NO₂ formation from RO₂ + NO reaction (Reaction R4a) and subsequently less O₃ formation.

This aspect is crucial in determining the O₃ sensitivity. In this sense, the presence of fewer lights implies that higher levels of NO₂ input are required to ensure sufficient NO levels for reaching the VOC-limited regime. This can be confirmed by the stage where ~45 ppb α-pinene was injected (Figs. 4, A9, and A10). During this stage, the O₃ concentration showed a significant increase with either three or five UV lights, indicating that the system had reached the VOC-limited regime in the previous stage. However, when only one UV light was used, the O₃ concentration remained relatively constant, suggesting that the system with one light did not reach the VOC-limited regime. It is worth mentioning that the indicating ratios exhibited more significant changes when using more lights. This can be attributed to the fact that more lights resulted in increased production of O₃ and NO, leading to more drastic changes in HOM distributions and thereby influencing the indicating ratios to a greater extent.

Using the box model described in Sect. 2.3, the concentrations of O₃ and its precursors were captured well both qualitatively and quantitatively (e.g., Fig. 4a). In general, simulated concentrations of O₃ during the steady states differed from measured values by at most 10 %, while differences for NOₓ were even smaller. The largest discrepancy in concentrations (~15 %) was observed for α-pinene, which can be
attributed to the simplifications made in the model. Specifically, the OH concentration will be underestimated if the model does not accurately capture the yields of HO₂, which can be converted into OH via reactions with NO.

Overall, both indicating ratios are promising as indicators of O₃ formation sensitivity. However, in all time series (Figs. 4c, A7c–A12c), IR1 \((\sum_{\text{HOMON, O} = 2}^{\text{ON, O} = 3})^{\sum_{\text{HOMMON, O} = 2}^{\text{MONO, O} = 3}}\) exhibited more pronounced changes compared to IR2 \((\sum_{\text{HOMON, O} = 8}^{\text{ON, O} = 3})^{\sum_{\text{HOMMON, O} = 8}^{\text{MONO, O} = 3}}\) as we shifted the O₃ formation regimes. This highlights that in the well-controlled chamber systems we investigated, IR1 may hold better potential for indicating O₃ formation sensitivity in the absence of other perturbing factors. It can be explained by the fact that the nitrates are solely from RO₂ + NO and the dimers solely from RO₂ + RO₂. But HOM monomers can be from both of these reactions. On the other hand, in the real atmosphere, IR1 is expected to be much less robust, as discussed in more detail in Sect. 3.5. The intensity of light (i.e., NO₂ photolysis rate) played a crucial role in determining O₃ sensitivity regimes by controlling the amount of NO. Our box model successfully reproduced the measured values of O₃ and its formation precursors, making it reasonable to extend the model to generate O₃ isopleths under chamber conditions beyond those covered in our experiments. With these we can better elucidate how well the HOM ratios can function as O₃ sensitivity indicators in this system.

3.4 Viability of the indicating ratios

In order to validate the indicating ratio, we generated O₃ isopleths by modeling various combinations of input NO₂ and α-pinene concentrations. The indicating ratios obtained from all steady-state stages were scattered on the same coordinate system (IR1: Figs. 5 and 6 and IR2: Figs. A13 and A14). The purpose of varying the injection rates of either NOₓ or α-pinene within each experiment (e.g., colored curves in Fig. 5) was to shift the system between the VOC-limited and NOₓ-limited regimes. Unlike previous studies that used the concentration of VOCs as the x axis (Kinoshin, 1982; Chameides et al., 1992), in this study, the x axis represented the product of the measured α-pinene and O₃ concentrations. This is because the product reflects the potential for RO₂ formation, which reacts directly with NO to contribute to O₃ accumulation (Reactions R1–R4a). In typical EKMA plots the oxidant is primarily thought to be OH, the concentration of which is independent of the VOC concentrations; thus, the x axis would be largely equivalent regardless of whether plotting VOCs or VOCs times oxidant. In our case, the VOC concentration will directly influence the oxidant (i.e., O₃) concentration through chemical reactions, and therefore we chose to use the current x axis. The ridge line of the EKMA curves was plotted for each experiment, represented by dotted lines in, e.g., Fig. 5b–d. The reason for separating panels (b)–(d) from each other is due to the differences in constant O₃ inputs or NO₂ photolysis rates in each experiment, resulting in distinct O₃ isopleths. For the context of this work, we do not separate a transition regime where O₃ formation is sensitive to both NOₓ and VOCs but simply define the VOC- and NOₓ-limited regimes based on the ridge line. Farther above the ridge line, the system is more VOC-limited, while farther below the line, the system is more NOₓ-limited. It is notable that in the VOC-limited regime, instead of a reduction, the O₃ concentration even increased slowly with the addition of NOₓ, primarily due to the photolysis of the input NO₂.

Comparing experimental and model results, the steady-state stages of all experiments with five UV lights exhibited a consistent pattern for the indicating ratios, allowing qualitative determination of O₃ formation sensitivity (Figs. 5 and A13). Generally, the farther the steady-state point is from the ridge line, the darker the coloring (either blue or green). Specifically, when the color is darker blue, it indicates a smaller value of the indicating ratios, suggesting a higher likelihood of the system being in the VOC-limited regime. Conversely, when the color is darker green, it signifies a higher value of the ratios, indicating a higher likelihood of the system being in the NOₓ-limited regime. It is worth noting that experiment no. 7 was essentially a duplicate of experiment no. 1, but with additional stages. When comparing the indicating ratios at stages with the same inputs, as shown in Figs. 5d and A13d, it becomes apparent that the values are highly consistent and closely aligned. Moreover, the background signals of HOMON, O = 8, HOMMON, O = 8, and HOMDb increased substantially with experiments going on, from 5 × 10⁻³–2 × 10⁻³ (Fig. A7b) to 4 × 10⁻³–2 × 10⁻² (Fig. A11b). However, the accumulating background did not have a significant impact on the indicating ratios after the background subtraction (Fig. 5d and A13d). This highlights the remarkable reproducibility of the indicating ratios in our chamber experiments.

To investigate the impact of light intensities, a similar comparison was conducted for experiments with the same initial inputs using five UV lights, three UV lights, and one UV light (Figs. 6 and A14). The observed changes in the pattern of the indicating ratios are in line with those observed in the experiments with five UV lights described above. The most significant observation is that at lower UV light intensity, the ridge line shifted towards higher NOₓ levels at the same potential for RO₂ formation (i.e., the same value of x axis) (Figs. 6b–d and A14b–d). This finding aligns with the time series comparison presented in Sect. 3.3, which indicates that at weaker UV intensity, higher input NO₂ is required to generate sufficient NO levels to shift the system towards the VOC-limited regime. When comparing stages with the same conditions except different UV lights, we generally observed that a lower intensity of UV lights corresponded to higher values of the indicating ratios (Figs. 6 and A14), showing a higher likelihood of the system being in the NOₓ-limited regime. This finding is in agreement with the shift of the ridge line. The correlation between UV intensities, the indicating ratios, and the position of the ridge line rein-
forces the relationship between the indicating ratios and $O_3$ formation sensitivity. It suggests that in addition to the relative changes, the absolute values of the indicating ratios are also informative.

The conclusion can be drawn that the indicating ratios can qualitatively predict the $O_3$ formation regimes (either VOC- or $NO_x$-limited). More specifically, based on modeled EKMA curves and steady-state HOM ratios (Figs. 5, 6, A13, and A14), regardless of the light intensity, IR1 and IR2 consistently indicate the VOC-limited regime when below 0.2 and 0.4 and the $NO_x$-limited regime when above 0.5 and 0.7.

### 3.5 Implications and further improvements

Our chamber study (Sect. 3.4) confirmed the significant role of the indicating ratios in determining $O_3$ formation sensitivity qualitatively. However, in the real atmosphere, the conditions will vary significantly more than in our simple system. Most importantly, the number of different precursor VOCs will be vastly greater, and in reactions with OH, the distribution of different types of RO$_2$ radicals will also be far more complex. As an example, in a rural setting there can be a wide variety of small VOCs (C$_1$–C$_4$), isoprene (C$_5$), aromatics (C$_6$–C$_9$), and monoterpenes (C$_{10}$) that all produce significant amounts of RO$_2$. Consequently, from these molecules, dimers can form with any carbon number between 2 and 20, while monomers can have carbon numbers between 1 and 10. In addition, both VOC and oxidant concentrations as well as radiation and meteorological patterns will vary over time. This means that it will be difficult to find universal compounds to include in the HOM$_{Di}$, HOM$_{Mono}$, and HOM$_{ON}$ groups used to calculate the indicating ratios for a given site and a given time. For example, it is likely that there will be few environments where C$_{10}$ RO$_2$ from monoterpenes would be efficiently reacting with each other during daytime, meaning that the C$_{20}$ dimers used here are unlikely to be usable. It remains to be seen whether the HOM$_{Mono}$-to-HOM$_{ON}$ ratio can be used for C$_{10}$ compounds in areas with high

---

**Figure 5.** Steady-state IR1 ($\sum_{\text{HOMs}}$) of experiments from 4 d with five UV lights. The x axis is the multiplication of steady-state $\alpha$-pinene and $O_3$ concentrations, while the y axis is the steady-state $NO_x$. The scatter points (exp. no. 1: diamond; exp. no. 2: star; exp. no. 3: round; exp. no. 7: square) are colored by values of IR1 (abbreviated as R. in the figure) and are connected by curves (exp. no. 1: blue; exp. no. 2: orange; exp. no. 3: green; exp. no. 7: purple) showing the sequence (Seq.) of experimental stages. Panel (a) combines stages of all 4 d, and the other three panels respectively show the stages of experiments with different initial (Ini.) inputs (exp. nos. 1 and 7 are in the same panel (d) due to the same initial inputs). EKMA curves (isopleths of $O_3$ concentrations in ppb), simulated by the box model, are solid black lines, while dotted lines are the corresponding ridge lines.
monoterpene emissions. Nevertheless, conceptually the link between HOM formation pathways and O$_3$ formation should hold, and it may be possible to determine suitable compound groups for various sites. Our study focused exclusively on $\alpha$-pinene, but the intrinsic connection between the indicating ratios we proposed and O$_3$ formation (Fig. A1) is not limited to this specific VOC. Further laboratory and ambient studies are necessary to investigate additional VOCs of interest and expand our understanding of the indicating ratios’ applicability and generalizability in predicting O$_3$ formation sensitivity under various atmospheric conditions. In addition, at the extremes, ranging from clear formation of dimeric species to complete lack of dimeric species with abundant organic HOM nitrates, this can be considered a strong qualitative indicator of a NO$_x$-limited or VOC-limited regime, respectively.

4 Conclusions

Both O$_3$ and HOMs are of significant interest, given their impacts from small-scale personal health to large-scale global climate. Due to the intrinsic connection between the formation mechanisms of O$_3$ and HOMs, we suggest new indicators, denoted as $\Sigma$HOM$_{Di}$ and $\Sigma$HOM$_{ON}$, for determining O$_3$ formation regimes based on the distribution of HOMs. One main improvement of using HOM-based indicating ratios compared to those suggested earlier would be the short lifetimes of HOMs, which means that these new indicators would be real-time indicators of the formation regime. To assess the viability of the indicating ratios, a series of chamber experiments were carried out using a NO$_3$-CIMS, a PTR-TOF, and O$_3$ and NO$_x$ monitors. As expected, an increase in NO$_x$ inputs led to an increase in HOM$_{ON}$ and a decrease in HOM$_{Di}$, HOM$_{Mono}$, and the indicating ratios. Conversely, an increase in $\alpha$-pinene resulted in a rise in the indicating ratios. Furthermore, when adding enough of one
of the O₃ formation precursors (either NOₓ or α-pinene), the rate of increase in O₃ concentration slowed down or even stopped. This indicates that the system was shifting to or had already reached the other limited regime.

With a box model, which closely reproduced the measured concentrations of O₃ and its precursors, O₃ isopleths were obtained for different concentrations of NOₓ and α-pinene. After drawing ridge lines of the isopleths, it was observed that the indicating ratios provide a qualitative prediction of the O₃ formation regimes: lower values of the ratios indicate a greater likelihood of the system being located in the VOC-limited regime, and vice versa. With less intense UV light (λ ≈ 400 nm), a higher amount of NO₂ was required to shift the system towards the VOC-limited regime. This can be attributed to a decrease in the formation of NO from NO₂ photolysis. Nevertheless, the absolute values of the indicating ratios exhibited a consistent behavior across different intensities of UV light, suggesting that these absolute values are highly valuable for analyzing O₃ formation sensitivity.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the concept of using HOM distributions in indicating O₃ formation sensitivity. Based on our outcomes, we can conclude that the ratio of HOM dimers or non-nitrate monomers to HOM organic nitrates (i.e., \( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Di,NO}} / \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{ON,NO}} \)) or (i.e., \( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Mono,NO}} / \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{ON,NO}} \)) has the capability to indicate O₃ formation regimes (either VOC- or NOₓ-limited) in this simple system of monoterpene ozonolysis. An indicating ratio of this kind would aid in better control of O₃ pollution and have the potential to be incorporated as a useful parameter into global models for analyzing O₃ formation sensitivity under diverse environmental conditions.

Nevertheless, future studies will need to assess whether this approach is feasible to be applied in real-world conditions where the chemistry is far more complex. The variability of VOC precursors alone will greatly perturb the ideal situation observed in our chamber. Still, we posit that environments with high monoterpene emissions will also produce abundant C₁₀ RO₂ concentrations, and the comparison of the highly oxygenated monomeric termination products (i.e., nitrates vs. non-nitrates) can provide an indication of the relative RO₂ termination pathways. Further studies, both ambient observations and chamber experiments involving multiple VOCs and oxidants will be necessary to determine the potential of HOM-based indicators for O₃ formation.

### Appendix A: Additional figures and tables

**Figure A1.** A sketch of the connection between HOMs and O₃ formation. Based on the formation connection, two indicating ratios between the HOM species are defined. RONO₂ (HOM): nitrate-containing HOM monomers, dimer (HOM): non-nitrate HOM dimers, monomer (HOM): non-nitrate HOM monomers.
Figure A2. A zero-VOC experiment (Z2) for determining the photolysis rate of NO$_2$. Measured (abbreviated as Meas.) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod.) concentrations of different compounds (abbreviated as Comp.) are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with corresponding labels for each operation.

Figure A3. A zero-VOC experiment (Z3) for determining the photolysis rate of NO$_2$. Measured (abbreviated as Meas.) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod.) concentrations of different compounds (abbreviated as Comp.) are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with corresponding labels for each operation.
**Figure A4.** A zero-VOC experiment (Z4) for determining the photolysis rate of NO\(_2\). Measured (abbreviated as Meas.) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod.) concentrations of different compounds (abbreviated as Comp.) are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with corresponding labels for each operation.

**Figure A5.** A zero-VOC experiment (Z5) for determining the photolysis rate of NO\(_2\). Measured (abbreviated as Meas.) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod.) concentrations of different compounds (abbreviated as Comp.) are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with corresponding labels for each operation.
Figure A6. Steady-state spectra (15 min average) for experiment no. 2 from the NO$_3$-CIMS. All spectra were corrected by subtracting the corresponding background signals. The number in each row shows the order of the stage, consistent with the time series in Fig. 4. Light green bars show HOM$_{\text{Mono}}$, dark green ones show HOM$_{\text{Di}}$, blue ones show HOM$_{\text{ON}}$, and grey ones show peaks not of interest. The peaks larger than 450 Th are multiplied by 10.
Figure A7. Time series of experiment no. 1 with 30 ppb α-pinene and 10.5 ppb O$_3$ as initial inputs. Five UV lights were on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured (abbreviated as Meas., solid lines) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod., dashed lines) concentrations of O$_3$, NO$_x$ (both shown by the left $y$ axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., α-pinene, shown by the right $y$ axis); (b) normalized signals of $\sum_{\text{HOM Mono},O>8}$ (sum of non-nitrate HOM monomers with more than eight oxygen atoms), $\sum_{\text{HOM ON},O>8}$ (sum of HOM organic nitrates with more than eight oxygen atoms), and $\sum_{\text{HOM} \text{ Di}}$ (sum of HOM dimers); (c) IR1 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM Di}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM ON},O>8}}$) and IR2 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM Mono},O>8}}{\sum_{\text{HOM ON},O>8}}$). The grey shaded area represents the time period selected for background subtraction before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A8. Time series of experiment no. 3 with 10 ppb α-pinene and 22.5 ppb O₃ as initial inputs. Five UV lights were on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured (abbreviated as Meas., solid lines) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod., dashed lines) concentrations of O₃, NOₓ (both shown by the left y axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., α-pinene, shown by the right y axis); (b) normalized signals of $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8}}$ (sum of non-nitrate HOM monomers with more than eight oxygen atoms), $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}$ (sum of HOM organic nitrates with more than eight oxygen atoms), and $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}}$ (sum of HOM dimers); (c) IR1 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}}$) and IR2 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}}$). The grey shaded area represents the time period selected for background subtraction before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A9. Time series of experiment no. 4 with 15 ppb α-pinene and 15.5 ppb O$_3$ as initial inputs. Three UV lights were on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured (abbreviated as Meas., solid lines) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod., dashed lines) concentrations of O$_3$, NO$_x$ (both shown by the left y axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., α-pinene, shown by the right y axis); (b) normalized signals of $\sum$HOM$_{Mono,O>8}$ (sum of non-nitrate HOM monomers with more than eight oxygen atoms), $\sum$HOM$_{ON,O>8}$ (sum of HOM organic nitrates with more than eight oxygen atoms), and $\sum$HOM$_{Di}$ (sum of HOM dimers); (c) IR1 ($\sum$HOM$_{Di}$/$\sum$HOM$_{ON,O>8}$) and IR2 ($\sum$HOM$_{Mono,O>8}$/$\sum$HOM$_{ON,O>8}$). The grey shaded area represents the time period selected for background subtraction before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A10. Time series of experiment no. 5 with 15 ppb \( \alpha \)-pinene and 15.5 ppb \( \text{O}_3 \) as initial inputs. One UV light was on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured (abbreviated as Meas., solid lines) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod., dashed lines) concentrations of \( \text{O}_3 \), \( \text{NO}_x \) (both shown by the left \( y \) axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., \( \alpha \)-pinene, shown by the right \( y \) axis); (b) normalized signals of \( \sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono}, \text{O}>8}} \) (sum of non-nitrate HOM monomers with more than eight oxygen atoms), \( \sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON}, \text{O}>8}} \) (sum of HOM organic nitrates with more than eight oxygen atoms), and \( \sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}} \) (sum of HOM dimers); (c) IR1 (\( \frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON}, \text{O}>8}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}}} \)) and IR2 (\( \frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono}, \text{O}>8}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON}, \text{O}>8}}} \)). The yellow and red shaded areas represent the time periods selected for background subtraction of dimers and organic nitrates before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A11. Time series of experiment no. 7 with 30 ppb α-pinene and 10 ppb O₃ as initial inputs. Five UV lights were on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured (abbreviated as Meas., solid lines) and modeled (abbreviated as Mod., dashed lines) concentrations of O₃, NOₓ (both shown by the left y axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., α-pinene, shown by the right y axis); (b) normalized signals of $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8}}$, $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}$, and $\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}}$; (c) IR1 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Di}}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}}$) and IR2 ($\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8}}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8}}}$). The grey shaded area represents the time period selected for background subtraction before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A12. Time series of experiment no. 6, which was meant for collecting more data at the highest NO\textsubscript{2} input (at \( \sim 44.5 \) ppb). Five UV lights were on during all stages. Three panels show the time series of different compounds: (a) measured concentrations of O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{x} (both shown by the left y axis; the NO concentration is multiplied by 10), and AP (i.e., \( \alpha \)-pinene, shown by the right y axis); (b) normalized signals of \( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8} \) (sum of non-nitrate HOM monomers with more than eight oxygen atoms), \( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8} \) (sum of HOM organic nitrates with more than eight oxygen atoms), and \( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Di}} \) (sum of HOM dimers); (c) IR\textsubscript{1} (\( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8} / \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8} \)) and IR\textsubscript{2} (\( \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{Mono},O>8} / \sum \text{HOM}_{\text{ON},O>8} \)). The grey shaded area represents the time period selected for background subtraction before calculating the ratio. Dashed vertical lines indicate specific time points of operations, with the corresponding labels for each operation in panel (a).
Figure A13. Steady-state IR2 \(\frac{\sum HOM_{\text{Mono}, O_3 \times \text{pinene}}}{\sum HOM_{\text{ON}, O_3 \times \text{pinene}}}\) of experiments from 4 d with five UV lights. The x axis is the multiplication of steady-state \(\alpha\)-pinene and \(O_3\) concentrations, while the y axis is the steady-state \(NO_x\). The scatter points (exp. no. 1: diamond; exp. no. 2: star; exp. no. 3: round; exp. no. 7: square) are colored by values of IR2 (abbreviated as R. in the figure) and are connected by curves (exp. no. 1: blue; exp. no. 2: orange; exp. no. 3: green; exp. no. 7: purple) showing the sequence (Seq.) of experimental stages. Panel (a) combines stages of all 4 d, and the other three panels respectively show the stages of experiments with different initial (Ini.) inputs (exp. nos. 1 and 7 are in the same panel (d) due to the same initial inputs). EKMA curves (isopleths of \(O_3\) concentrations in ppb), simulated by the box model, are solid black lines, while dotted lines are the corresponding ridge lines.
Figure A14. Steady-state IR2 (\(\frac{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{Mono}, \text{O}=8}} \text{IR2}}{\sum_{\text{HOM}_{\text{ON}, \text{O}=8}} \text{IR2}}\)) of experiments from 3 day with five UV lights, three UV lights, and one UV light, respectively. The x axis is the multiplication of steady-state \(\alpha\)-pinene and \(O_3\) concentrations, while the y axis is the steady-state \(\text{NO}_x\). The scatter points (exp. no. 2: star; exp. no. 4: diamond; exp. no. 5: round) are colored by values of IR2 (abbreviated as R. in the figure) and are connected by curves (exp. no. 2: orange; exp. no. 4: blue; exp. no. 5: green) showing the sequence (Seq.) of experimental stages. Panel (a) combines stages of all 3 d with the same initial (Ini.) inputs, and the other three panels respectively show the stages of experiments with different numbers of UV lights. EKMA curves by the box model are black lines and the dotted lines are the corresponding ridge lines.
Table A1. Zero-VOC experiment conditions. The experiment number (no.) and number of total stages are shown in the first two columns. Input information includes the number and NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis rates (\(J_{\text{NO}_2}\)) of UV lights (\(\lambda \approx 400\) nm) as well as concentrations of O\textsubscript{3}, \(\alpha\)-pinene, and NO\textsubscript{x}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment no.</th>
<th>Number of stages</th>
<th>Number of lights</th>
<th>(J_{\text{NO}_2}) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>O\textsubscript{3} (ppb)</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} range (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z1./Z2./Z3.</td>
<td>9/7/7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.85 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>10/15.5/22.5</td>
<td>0.7–44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.15 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7–44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.35 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.7–44.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the steady-state (ss in subscript) balance of the O\textsubscript{3} concentration, we can write the following expression:

\[
\frac{d[O_3]}{dt} = J_{\text{NO}_2}[O_3]_{\text{ls}} + \frac{[O_3]_{\text{input}} - [O_3]_{\text{ls}}}{\tau} - k_{O_3, NO}[O_3]_{\text{ls}}[NO]_{\text{ls}} = 0,
\]

where \(\tau = \frac{2000\text{L}}{55\text{L mm}^{-1}}\) is the residence time. O\textsubscript{3} has NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis (\(J_{\text{NO}_2}[O_3]_{\text{ls}}\)) and its input \(\left(\frac{[O_3]_{\text{input}}}{\tau}\right)\) as sources, with reaction to NO \(-k_{O_3, NO}[O_3]_{\text{ls}}[NO]_{\text{ls}}\) and flush-out \(\left(-\frac{[O_3]_{\text{ls}}}{\tau}\right)\) as sinks. We can solve the equation to get the NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis rate.

\[
J_{\text{NO}_2} = \frac{k_{O_3, NO}[O_3]_{\text{ls}}[NO]_{\text{ls}} + [O_3]_{\text{ls}}[O_3]_{\text{input}}}{[NO]_{\text{ls}}}
\]

This expression can be used for each steady state to estimate \(J_{\text{NO}_2}\) in the corresponding experiment.

Table A2. Reactions and their reaction rate coefficients used for the box model. Note that RO\textsubscript{2} represents all kinds of peroxy radicals, and thus there are huge uncertainties regarding reaction rates. This model is only meant for simulating concentrations of O\textsubscript{3} and its precursors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Reaction rate coefficients(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. (\text{NO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{O}_3) (\rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_3)</td>
<td>0.35/1.15/1.85 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. (\text{O}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2)</td>
<td>1.8 \times 10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. (\alpha)-pinene + (\text{O}_3) (\rightarrow \text{RO}_2) + (\text{OH})</td>
<td>8.7 \times 10^{-17}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (\alpha)-pinene + (\text{OH}) (\rightarrow \text{RO}_2)</td>
<td>5.5 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2)</td>
<td>1 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. (\text{RO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{RO} + \text{O}_2)</td>
<td>8 \times 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. (\text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2)</td>
<td>Instantaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. (\text{NO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2)</td>
<td>4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. (\text{NO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2)</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. (\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3)</td>
<td>4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. (\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2)</td>
<td>1 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. (\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2)</td>
<td>3 \times 10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. (\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2/\text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{peroxides})</td>
<td>2 \times 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. (\text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{wall})(^b)</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Except the NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis and wall loss rates (s\(^{-1}\)), all the other reaction rates (cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)) are adapted from the NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) Chemical Kinetics Database (for more information see https://kinetics.nist.gov/kinetics/index.jsp (last access: 25 August 2023). \(^b\) In our model, we only considered RO\textsubscript{2} with a wall loss lifetime of 400 s (Perakyla et al., 2020).
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