Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 1361–1388, 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-1361-2024 © Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Research article

# Diurnal variations in oxygen and nitrogen isotopes of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide and nitrate: implications for tracing NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation pathways and emission sources

Sarah Albertin<sup>1,2</sup>, Joël Savarino<sup>2</sup>, Slimane Bekki<sup>1</sup>, Albane Barbero<sup>2</sup>, Roberto Grilli<sup>2</sup>, Quentin Fournier<sup>3</sup>, Irène Ventrillard<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Caillon<sup>2</sup>, and Kathy Law<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France
<sup>2</sup>IGE, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, INRAE, 38000 Grenoble, France
<sup>3</sup>LIPhy, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, 38000 Grenoble, France

**Correspondence:** Sarah Albertin (sarah.albertin@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

Received: 14 April 2023 – Discussion started: 28 April 2023 Revised: 5 December 2023 – Accepted: 13 December 2023 – Published: 30 January 2024

Abstract. The oxygen ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) isotopic compositions of atmospheric nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) are widely used as tracers of its formation pathways, precursor (nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>)  $\equiv$  nitric oxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$ ) emission sources, and physico-chemical processing. However, the lack of observations on the multi-isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub> perpetuates significant uncertainties regarding the quantitative links between the isotopic composition of NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>, which ultimately may bias inferences about NO<sub>3</sub> formation processes and the distribution of sources, particularly in winter urban atmospheres. We report here on the first simultaneous atmospheric observations of  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> (n = 16) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-1</sup> (n = 14). The measurements were carried out at sub-daily ( $\sim$  3 h) resolution over 2 non-consecutive days in an Alpine city in February 2021. A strong diurnal signal is observed in both NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> multi-isotopic composition.  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranges from 19.6% to 40.8% and from 18.3% to 28.1%, respectively. During the day and night, the variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is mainly driven by the oxidation of NO by ozone, with a substantial contribution from peroxy radicals in the morning. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mass balance equations, constrained by observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , suggest that during the first day of sampling, most of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was formed locally from the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> by hydroxyl radicals by day and via heterogeneous hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide at night. For the second day, calculated and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  do not match, particularly daytime values; the possible effects on  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  of a Saharan dust event that occurred during this sampling period and of winter boundary layer dynamics are discussed.  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranges from -10.0% to 19.7% and from -4.2% to 14.9%, respectively. Consistent with theoretical predictions of N isotope fractionation, the observed variability in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  is explained by significant post-emission equilibrium N fractionation. After accounting for this effect, vehicle exhaust is found to be the primary source of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at the sampling site.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-1})$ is closely linked to  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  variability, bringing further support to relatively fast and local NO<sub>x</sub> processing. Uncertainties in current N fractionation factors during  $NO_2$  to  $NO_3^-$  conversion are underlined. Overall, this detailed investigation highlights the potential and necessity of simultaneously using  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and  $NO_3^-$  in order to better constrain quantitative inferences about the sources and formation chemistry of  $NO_3^-$  in urban environments in winter.

#### **1** Introduction

Despite extensive efforts in emission controls in recent decades, global anthropogenic emissions of nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x \equiv nitrogen monoxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide (NO_2))$ remain more than 2 orders of magnitude higher than before the industrial revolution (Hoesly et al., 2018). Atmospheric nitrate  $(NO_3^- \equiv nitric acid (HNO_3) + particulate nitrate (p NO_3^{-}$ )) is the main end product of  $NO_x$  oxidation and a key component of fine particulate matter (PM), which adversely affects human health (WHO, 2021) and contributes to climate change (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> can be transported far from emission sources and can be removed from the atmosphere through dry and wet deposition within hours to days (Alexander et al., 2020; Park et al., 2004). The additional input of this "reactive" nitrogen  $(N_r)$  into natural environments is known to have detrimental consequences, particularly regarding biodiversity and water quality (Galloway et al., 2008; Vitousek et al., 1997). It is therefore important to have a comprehensive understanding of  $NO_x$ emission sources and oxidation processes, on which effective air quality and climate change mitigation strategies rely (e.g. Bauer et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2018; Tsimpidi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013, 2020).

Several studies have noted that the response of  $NO_3^-$  concentration in air to  $NO_x$  emission reduction is contrasting, particularly in winter (e.g. Shah et al., 2018; Tørseth et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019). This is because a variety of factors controls the  $NO_x$  conversion efficiency and the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> content in PM, including precursor emission sources, complex multiphase chemical reactions with other reactive species, and environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation) (Zhang et al., 2015). It remains difficult to assess the contribution of each parameter to the non-linear  $N_r$  chemistry, which is partly driven by close links between changes in aerosol acidity, gas-particle partitioning, and atmospheric oxidation capacity (Shah et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2019; K. Li et al., 2021). Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000) are the major oxidants in the atmosphere whose chemical cycles are largely controlled by solar radiation. As a result, there are significant diurnal and seasonal variations in  $NO_x$  chemistry (e.g. Prabhakar et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2020). Notably,  $NO_3^-$  formation is generally dominated by homogeneous OH oxidation and heterogeneous O<sub>3</sub> chemistry during the day and summer and during the night and winter, respectively (Alexander et al., 2020). However, assessing the relative contributions of individual formation channels, together with their sensitivity to environmental parameters, is not straightforward and requires extensive in situ observations combined with modelling tools (e.g. Alexander et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2006; Newsome and Evans, 2017; Xue, 2022; Prabhakar et al., 2017).

Upon release into the atmosphere,  $NO_x$ , mainly emitted as NO, undergoes oxidation to form NO<sub>2</sub>. During the day, a rapid photochemical equilibrium is established between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, known as the "photostationary state" (PSS; Leighton, 1961), via key interconversion reactions (Reactions R1-R3):

$$NO_2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{M} O(^{3}P) + NO,$$
 (R1)

$$O(^{3}P) + O_{2} \xrightarrow{M} O_{3}$$
 with *M* being N<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub>, (R2)

$$NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2. \tag{R3}$$

This cycle can be disturbed by peroxy radicals  $(RO_2 \equiv hydroperoxyl radical (HO_2) + methyl peroxy radical (CH_3O_2))$  via typically Reaction (R4):

$$NO + RO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + RO.$$
 (R4)

Note that in polluted atmospheres where  $NO_x$  mixing ratios often exceed parts-per-billion (ppb) levels, Reaction (R4) followed by Reactions (R1)-(R2) leads to the formation of O3 (Crutzen, 1979). Although the role of  $RO_2$  in the  $NO_x$  oxidation is crucial in  $O_3$  formation and  $NO_x$  oxidation rate, measuring the RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio remains challenging due to the need for state-of-the art instrumentation coupled with photochemical models to establish chemical budgets (e.g. Ren et al., 2006; Tan et al., 2018). While NO is usually oxidised relatively quickly into NO<sub>2</sub> in summer due to the high levels of O<sub>3</sub> and solar radiation, the shorter day length and lower temperature in winter result in a contrasting  $NO_x$  cycling. In particular, the formation of a temperature inversion at the surface can trap pollutants emitted close to the surface in a shallow layer for hours to days (e.g. Largeron and Staquet, 2016; Olofson et al., 2009). Under those conditions, it is not uncommon for O<sub>3</sub> levels to be very low due to quasi-complete titration by NO, which can have further impacts on the atmospheric oxidation capacity. However, pronounced O<sub>3</sub> pollution episodes may also arise in winter in highly polluted areas, such as in oil-producing regions due to intense volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions (Edwards et al., 2014) or in China, where pollution control strategies mainly target NO<sub>x</sub> while VOC emissions remain more or less constant (Ren et al., 2022). In urban areas, NO<sub>2</sub> is generally mainly removed from the atmosphere by reaction with OH during the day via Reaction (R5) (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993):

$$NO_2 + OH \xrightarrow{M} HNO_3(g).$$
 (R5)

 $NO_2$  can also react with  $O_3$  to form nitrate radicals ( $NO_3$ ) via Reaction (R6):

$$NO_2 + O_3 \xrightarrow{M} NO_3 + O_2.$$
 (R6)

However, NO<sub>3</sub> is rapidly photolysed during the day, regenerating NO<sub>2</sub> (Wayne et al., 1991). Another important NO<sub>3</sub> loss reaction is that with NO in polluted environments (Brown and Stutz, 2012). At night, without photolytic activity and with lower precursor emissions, the lifetime of  $NO_3$  radicals substantially increases.  $NO_3$  reacts with  $NO_2$  to form dinitrogen pentoxide ( $N_2O_5$ ; Reaction R7), which then undergoes heterogeneous hydrolysis to form  $HNO_3$  (Reaction R8):

$$NO_3 + NO_2 \stackrel{M}{\longleftrightarrow} N_2O_5,$$
 (R7)

 $N_2O_5 + H_2O(aerosol) \rightarrow 2HNO_3(aq).$  (R8)

Reaction (R7) is temperature dependent, so  $N_2O_5$  can eventually decompose to reform NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>, with the N2O5/NO3 ratio being negatively correlated with temperature. N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is an important nocturnal sink for NO<sub>x</sub>, notably in winter in urban atmospheres due to high aerosol loads and low temperatures. However, the efficiency of Reaction (R8) is difficult to determine because it strongly depends on parameters such as the aerosol surface density and its chemical composition (Brown et al., 2006), which are not often well characterised. In addition, NO<sub>3</sub> can react with hydrocarbons to produce HNO<sub>3</sub>, which could significantly contribute to the formation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in industrialised regions with high hydrocarbon emissions (Brown et al., 2011). It is estimated that the Reactions (R1) to (R8) lead to the formation of 82 % of  $NO_3^$ near the surface on a global scale (Alexander et al., 2020). In polluted environments, the respective contributions of Reaction (R5) (OH pathway) and Reactions (R6)-(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway) are more contrasted and are still debated (e.g. Chan et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2020). In addition, the reaction of  $N_2O_5$  with chlorine on aerosols can contribute to  $NO_3^-$  production in urban atmospheres (Thornton et al., 2010), with further impacts on O<sub>3</sub> production in continental polluted atmospheres in winter (X. Wang et al., 2019). Other reactions, such as those involving halogen and organic intermediates, may become significant for  $NO_3^-$  production in specific regions, such as in polar, oceanic, and coastal areas (Alexander et al., 2020; Penkett et al., 2007; Savarino et al., 2013; Simpson et al., 2015).

To help better constrain the atmospheric  $N_r$  chemistry and budget, the last 3 decades has seen a growing interest in stable oxygen (O) and nitrogen (N) isotopes, notably in  $NO_3^-$ (Elliott et al., 2019; Savard et al., 2018). The isotopic composition is reported as an isotopic enrichment ( $\delta$ ) with respect to a reference material, defined as  $\delta = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{reference}} - 1)$ , and expressed in per mill ( $\%_0$ ). R refers to the elemental abundance ratio of the heavy isotope to the light isotope (e.g.  ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ ,  ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ ,  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ ) in the sample and in an international isotopic reference material (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water for O, Li et al., 1988; atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> for N, Mariotti, 1984). A powerful tool to help trace the relative importance of different  $NO_x$ -to- $NO_3^-$  oxidation pathways is through the use of the <sup>17</sup>O excess  $(\Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 0.52 \times$  $\delta^{18}$ O).  $\Delta^{17}$ O is transferred to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by O<sub>3</sub>, which possesses a very unique  $\Delta^{17}$ O ((26.2 ± 1.3)%; Vicars and Savarino, 2014) due to mass-independent fractionation during its formation process (Thiemens, 2006). In comparison, the  $\Delta^{17}$ O of other atmospheric oxidants such as OH is near zero due to isotopic exchange with atmospheric water vapour (Dubey et al., 1997). Similarly, as the isotopic anomaly of atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> is very close to 0% (Barkan and Luz, 2003) and since RO<sub>2</sub> is mostly produced by the reactions R + O<sub>2</sub> and H + O<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta^{17}$ O of RO<sub>2</sub> can be considered negligible (Alexander et al., 2020). Therefore,  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> represents a unique tracer of the O<sub>3</sub> implication in its formation processes that can provide valuable constraints on the relative contributions of individual reactions (e.g. Morin et al., 2011; Alexander et al., 2009; Michalski et al., 2003). By a simple mass balance calculation of O atoms in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, the <sup>17</sup>O excess of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced by an individual NO<sub>2</sub>-to-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion process *i*  $((\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)_i))$  can be expressed as

$$\Delta^{17} O(NO_3^-)_i = \frac{2}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O(NO_2) + \frac{1}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O(add. O)_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is the <sup>17</sup>O excess of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta^{17}O(\text{add. O})_i$  is the transferrable <sup>17</sup>O excess of the oxidant responsible for the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Michalski et al., 2003). From Eq. (1), if  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is constrained, one can derive individual  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> relative to an *i* conversion process and compare this value with observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ .

Recent studies in urban areas have attempted to interpret the variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in aerosols in order to quantify the relative contribution of homogeneous and heterogeneous processes to  $NO_3^-$  formation (e.g. Fan et al., 2023, 2022; He et al., 2020, 2018; Lim et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023; Y. L. Wang et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2023; Y.-L. Zhang et al., 2022; Z. Li et al., 2022). However, to that end, it is necessary to have a clear quantitative understanding of the transfers of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the  $N_r$  cycle. To date, due to very limited observational data, there is a lack of well-established knowledge on the dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>2</sub>, the key intermediate species in the formation of  $NO_3^-$ . Consequently, strong assumptions about  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  have to be made when interpreting  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  measurements, which could potentially lead to biased conclusions. Notably, the most difficult regions for the interpretation of  $NO_3^-$  records are potentially polluted areas where the isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub> is expected to be highly variable in space and time. Most studies typically estimate  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during the day by assuming that an isotopic steady state (ISS) is reached between  $NO_x$  and O<sub>3</sub>, resulting in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  depending only on the relative contributions of different oxidants to NO oxidation. A recent study reported the first in situ observations of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ in an urban environment (Grenoble, France) in spring (Albertin et al., 2021). Time-resolved NO<sub>2</sub> sampling (ca. 3 h) during 24 h revealed a strong diurnal cycle in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , reaching ca. 40% during the day and decreasing down to ca. 20% at night. The observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values and dial variability were consistent with its expected behaviour derived from  $\Delta^{17}$ O mass balance equations under the ISS assumption during the day. However, this first  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ dataset is very small. More measurements are needed to test the applicability of this new isotopic tool in different environments and seasons and to assess whether the ISS is still valid. At night, the low  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measured by Albertin et al. (2021) is consistent with the oxidation of freshly emitted NO by O<sub>3</sub>. Nonetheless, since the timescale for the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> into  $NO_3^-$  is thought to exceed the duration of the night (Alexander et al., 2020), it is also common to assume that the isotopic composition of nocturnal NO<sub>2</sub> reflects more daytime formation and conditions of the previous days. While this assumption may hold true in remote areas (Morin et al., 2011), significant uncertainties subsist in urban areas where the nighttime  $NO_3^-$  chemistry may be more efficient. In such circumstances, the production of  $NO_3^-$  from NO2 formed at night would lead to a lower-than-expected  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. For these reasons, the dual survey of the O isotopic composition of NO2 and NO3 would certainly help to accurately interpret  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  observations in polluted atmospheres, particularly with sampling at subdaily timescales, which would allow us to study the diurnal dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and its links with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ .

In addition to  $\Delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)) can be used as a tracer of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> sources and/or chemical processing. As different  $NO_x$  emission sources often have distinct  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprints depending on the NO<sub>x</sub> production mechanism (Heaton, 1990; Felix et al., 2012; Fibiger and Hastings, 2016; Walters et al., 2015a, b; Yu and Elliott, 2017; Miller et al., 2018),  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  is a potentially valuable tool to trace the origins of its gaseous precursor. However, due to N fractionation effects associated with physico-chemical processing,  $\delta^{15}$ N is altered during the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Elliott et al., 2019). Therefore, the variability in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$ can be attributed to the following: (1) a change in  $NO_x$  emission sources and (2) N isotopic fractionations between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and during the transport of NO<sub>3</sub>. These effects co-exist with relative contributions varying according to environmental conditions and the mix of  $NO_x$  emissions. Numerous observations in diverse environments have emphasised the substantial influence of N fractionation effects in altering the original <sup>15</sup>N composition of emitted gaseous NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> precursors (e.g. Bekker et al., 2023; Chang et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2023; Vicars et al., 2013; J. Li et al., 2021). Although some N fractionation factors are available from calculations (Walters and Michalski, 2015) and laboratory experiments (Li et al., 2020; Walters et al., 2016), there is still a lack of observational constraints on the magnitude of the N isotopic partitioning between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO $_3^-$ , which could lead to biased interpretations of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  observations.

Following the preliminary work of Albertin et al. (2021), this study presents for the first time simultaneous measurements of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> multi-isotopic compositions. The sampling took place at a high temporal resolution (~ 3 h) in late February 2021 in an urban Alpine city.  $\Delta^{17}O/\delta^{15}N$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, meteorological parameters, and atmospheric observations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and PM) are collated in order to investigate diurnal  $N_{\rm r}$  chemistry, N fractionation effects, and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Our winter measurements extend the atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> multi-isotopic composition record, which is only composed of spring measurements performed during a single day by Albertin et al. (2021). The general aim of this case study is to test NO<sub>2</sub>based isotopic approaches for tracing the origins and fate of  $NO_x$ , for instance in urban areas on sub-daily timescales. The added value of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements in N<sub>r</sub> chemistry studies is more critically assessed here than in Albertin et al. (2021) through the use of accurate  $NO_x$  measurements. Besides, using the isotopic theoretical framework developed previously, we explore the potential benefits of combining isotopic observations of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to gain a more detailed and quantitative understanding of the links between atmospheric  $N_r$  chemistry processes and variability in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition. The framework used in inferring dominant NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources from NO<sub>2</sub> $\delta^{15}$ N measurements is also tested.

### 2 Material and methods

#### 2.1 Study site and sample collection

The study was conducted in February 2021 in Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, France (45°55'21" N, 6°52'11" E; altitude 1035 m above sea level, m a.s.l.). This narrow ( $\sim 2 \text{ km}$  wide on average in Chamonix) 23 km Alpine valley of about 12000 inhabitants is surrounded by high-elevation mountains. The city can experience severe PM pollution events during the winter season, mainly due to wood-combustion for domestic heating and road traffic (Chazette et al., 2005; Quimbayo-Duarte et al., 2021; Weber et al., 2018; Aymoz et al., 2007). The study's sampling site was located at a CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique) facility in a residential area, 1.2 km south of the Chamonix city centre and 1.4 km north of the Mont-Blanc tunnel. Ambient air monitoring inlets and off-line gas and aerosol samplers were installed on the facility's terrace, 3 m above ground level (m a.g.l.). Over the campaign, the surface was partly covered with snow.

Atmospheric particles (aerosols) were collected using a high-volume sampler (Digitel<sup>®</sup>, DH77, total suspended particle inlet,  $1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ) and glass fibre (GF) filters (Whatman<sup>®</sup>, 150 mm diameter). Evaluating the collection efficiency of total NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> has long been debated (e.g. Schaap et al., 2002; Appel et al., 1980), and, although not free from sampling artefacts (e.g. potential volatilisation of HNO<sub>3</sub> after exposure to ambient air), GF filters have been used on several times to study nitrate isotopes, mainly in coastal sites (e.g. Savarino et al., 2007; Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2009; Frey et al., 2009; Morin et al., 2007; Vicars et al., 2013). Under these conditions, the aerosol alkalinity is supposed to allow the collection of HNO<sub>3</sub> (Prospero and Savoie, 1989). In our case, as the ambient air in Chamonix is expected to be free of sea salt, the GF filters were not washed before use in order to keep the initial NaCl coating inherited from the filter manufacturing process. Therefore, in addition to p-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, we are confident that the high GF filter NaCl loading allowed the quantitative collection of HNO<sub>3</sub> at our site, as has been shown previously in the literature (Appel et al., 1981; see also our reply to the comments of Reviewer 2 and related data on this specific issue, Albertin, 2023). Two field blanks were performed to evaluate the initial content of trace elements and possible contamination during handling. Atmospheric NO2 was collected concurrently to filter samples using a pre-cleaned honeycomb denuder tube coated with a mixture of 2.5 M KOH (in methanol) and ultrapure guaiacol inserted into a ChemComb<sup>®</sup> 3500 speciation cartridge (Thermo Scientific<sup>®</sup>, USA). A second coated denuder was placed in series into the cartridge to check for NO<sub>2</sub> breakthrough. After sampling, denuders were rinsed with 10 mL of deionised water to solubilise trapped NO<sub>2</sub>. Detailed information on the denuder sampling protocol is available in Albertin et al. (2021). Similarly to blank filters, two blank denuders were prepared. Blank filters and denuders were subjected to the same handling, storage, and analytical treatment as field samples. Filters and denuder extractions were stored and transported frozen to IGE (Grenoble, France) for analysis.

Following the objective to investigate the diurnal isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, denuder and filter samplings were conducted continuously for 24 h with sampling time steps ranging from 1.5 to 7.5 h. During the day, denuder and filter samplings were synchronised. At night, two filter samplings were performed while three sets of denuder tubes were collected. This sampling protocol was conducted on 2 non-consecutive days, from 19 February 2021 at 21:00 local time (LT) to 20 February 2021 at 21:00 LT (sampling period no. 1 denoted SP 1) and from 24 February 2021 at 07:30 LT to 25 February 2021 at 07:30 LT (sampling period no. 2 denoted SP 2).

## 2.2 Chemical and isotopic analysis

Concentrations of major ions from filter extractions in deionised water were determined by ion chromatography (Thermo Scientific<sup>®</sup> Dionex<sup>TM</sup> Integrion<sup>TM</sup> HPIC). Reported to the total filter surface, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contribution from blank filters represented on average  $(8 \pm 9)$  % of sampled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Atmospheric mass concentrations (expressed in  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) were calculated as the ratio of the total ion filter loading (corrected for the blank contribution) to the total volume of air pumped through the filter in standard temperature and pressure (STP) conditions. NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in denuder extractions were first estimated using the Griess–Saltzman reaction and UV–Vis spectrometry at 544 nm. Even though the eluted matrix can interfere with colorimetric analyses, measured concentrations on first denuder tubes were relatively well correlated with ambient NO<sub>2</sub> measurements during atmospheric

sampling and allowed us to give indications regarding field blanks and regarding the volume needed to perform isotopic analysis.

Isotopic analyses were performed using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS; Thermo Finnigan<sup>™</sup> MAT 253) for analyses of  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ ,  ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ , and  ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$  in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and  $NO_2$  samples. Briefly,  $NO_3^-$  from filter extractions was converted into gaseous N2O by the bacterial denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Kaiser et al., 2007) in which  $\approx 100 \text{ nmol of NO}_3^-$  ions were injected into 2 mL of a bacteria medium (strain of Pseudomonas aureofaciens) under anaerobic conditions. NO<sub>2</sub> denuder extractions were treated separately with the azide method (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005; Albertin et al., 2021) in which 2 mL of a 2 M sodium azide / acetic acid 100 % buffer was injected into  $\approx 100 \,\mathrm{nmol}$  of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, allowing quantitative conversion into N2O. For both filter and denuder extractions, ions were converted into N<sub>2</sub>O, which was further thermally decomposed into  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  in a gold tube heated at 850 °C. Then,  $O_2$ and N2 molecules were separated on a chromatography column and sent separately into the IRMS for the dual analysis of O and N isotopes (see Morin et al., 2009, for more details on the analytical line). The isotopic composition of  $NO_{2}^{-}$  samples was analysed in triplicate (the mean value of replicate measurements and the associated repeatability are reported in Table S2 in the Supplement). The limited number of NO<sub>2</sub> samples did not allow for replicate measurements. From UV-Vis analysis, all NO<sub>2</sub> samples presented a negligible blank (< 4 %; mean of 1.7 nmol mL<sup>-1</sup>) except for the sample collected between 13:30 and 16:30 LT during SP 2, which showed a blank of around  $(14.0 \pm 1.4)$  %. Therefore, the measured  $\Delta^{17}$ O of this sample was corrected for the blank effect assuming that the contaminated  $NO_2^-$  possessed a  $\Delta^{17}$ O of 0%. No correction from this blank effect was applied to the  $\delta^{15}$ N measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> because the  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint of the contamination could not be characterised. This uncertainty is propagated in the calculations of Sect. 3 and considered in the discussions. Possible isotopic changes resulting from the conversion and analysis process of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> samples were evaluated using international NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic reference materials, respectively (Table S3). Accuracy of the analytical method was estimated as the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of the residuals between measurements of the reference materials and their expected values. In our study, average measurement uncertainties in  $\delta^{15}$ N,  $\delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\Delta^{17}$ O were estimated to be  $\pm 0.3\%$ ,  $\pm 0.9\%$ ,  $\pm 1.3\%$ , and  $\pm 0.4\%$ , respectively, for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> samples and  $\pm 0.3\%$ ,  $\pm 0.4\%$ ,  $\pm 0.9\%$ , and  $\pm 0.3\%$ , respectively, for NO<sub>2</sub> samples. Detailed information about the calibration procedure can be found in Morin et al. (2009) and in Albertin et al. (2021) for  $NO_3^-$  and  $NO_2$  samples, respectively.

## 2.3 Ancillary data

During atmospheric samplings, surface NO<sub>x</sub> mixing ratios were measured at the study site using an incoherent broadband cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for NO2 (IBBCEAS; Barbero et al., 2020) and an optical-feedback cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for NO (OFCEAS; Richard et al., 2018). PM concentrations (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) were monitored by an optical particle counter (GRIMM<sup>®</sup>, EDM 164). The O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio was monitored at the local air quality monitoring site located a kilometre north of the sampling site (Environnement SA<sup>®</sup>, O3 42M; https: //www.atmo-auvergnerhonealpes.fr/, last access: 5 November 2021). Surface temperature  $(T_{surface})$  and relative humidity (RH) were measured by a portable logger (Tinytag, TGP-4500, Gemini Data Loggers) located at the air quality monitoring site. Vertical temperatures were measured from 11 similar loggers fixed along the Planpraz cable car (45°55'39" N, 6°51'55" E) from 1098 to 2021 m a.s.l. (data obtained from personal communications with Catherine Coulaud, IGE, 2021). The NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate  $(J_{NO_2})$ was calculated for the two sampling periods using a photochemical box model (CiTTyCAT version 2.02; Galeazzo et al., 2018; Pugh et al., 2012) using the Fast-J photolysis scheme of Wild et al. (2000) and a surface albedo fixed to 0.65, a value representative of a snow-covered surface (average value between fresh and old snow; more details can be found in Text S1 in the Supplement).

#### 2.4 Interpretation framework for isotopic signals

In this section, we briefly state the key concepts and equations necessary to interpret isotopic signals measured in  $NO_2$ and  $NO_3^-$ . A more detailed description and complete equation derivations can be found in the cited references.

# 2.4.1 $\Delta^{17}$ O mass balance equations

Because NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> loss processes do not fractionate in terms of the oxygen mass-independent anomaly and considering that each source reaction induces a transfer of  $\Delta^{17}$ O to NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, one considers the mass conservation of  $\Delta^{17}$ O during fractionation processes in the  $N_r$  cycle. Hence, one can implement  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the general mass balance equation of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. An overall expression of the time derivative of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the species X ( $\Delta^{17}$ O(X), with X being NO<sub>2</sub> or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) is derived as a function of its deviation from  $\Delta^{17}$ O transferred through each production channel *i* ( $P_i$ ) ( $\Delta^{17}$ O<sub>i</sub>(X)), weighted according to the relative contributions of the production channels (Vicars et al., 2013):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}(X) \right) = \frac{1}{\tau(X)} \times \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}}{\sum_{i} P_{i}} \times (\Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}_{i}(X) - \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}(X)),$$
(2)

where  $P_i$  expresses reaction rate constant times of the atmospheric concentrations of reacting species and  $\tau$  is the atmospheric lifetime of the species X at steady state ( $\tau = [X]/\sum_i P_i$ , with [X] being the atmospheric mixing ratio of the species X).

During the day, the rapid photochemical cycling of NO<sub>x</sub> (Reactions R1–R4) leads to an isotopic equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>; i.e.  $\Delta^{17}O(NO) \approx \Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  (Michalski et al., 2014). Therefore, using the steady-state approximation and considering NO + O<sub>3</sub> (Reaction R3) and NO + RO<sub>2</sub> (Reaction R4) the main sources of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site, the overall daytime  $\Delta^{17}O$  in NO<sub>2</sub> can be expressed by

$$\Delta^{17}\mathcal{O}_{day}(\mathrm{NO}_2) \approx T_{\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_3} \times \Delta^{17}\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_3}(\mathrm{NO}_2), \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) is the O<sub>3</sub> isotopic anomaly transferred to NO through Reaction (R3) (Savarino et al., 2008).  $T_{NO+O_3}$ , sometimes named A in the literature, represents the proportion of O atoms originating from O<sub>3</sub> in NO<sub>2</sub>, hence the relative importance of Reaction (R3) in the conversion of NO into NO<sub>2</sub> (Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b; Albertin et al., 2021):

$$T_{\rm NO+O_3} = \frac{k_{\rm NO+O_3} \,[O_3]}{k_{\rm NO+O_3} \,[O_3] + k_{\rm NO+RO_2} [\rm RO_2]},\tag{4}$$

where  $k_{\rm NO+O_3}$  and  $k_{\rm NO+RO_2}$  are the kinetic constants of Reactions (R3) and (R4), respectively. The kinetic constants used in this study are listed in Table A1 in Appendix A. At night, considering that (1)  $\Delta^{17}O(\rm NO) \approx 0\% c$  (NO<sub>x</sub> emission without NO<sub>2</sub> recycling), (2) there is no  $\Delta^{17}O$  equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> (no photochemical cycling), and (3) O<sub>3</sub> is the main oxidant of NO (no nighttime production of RO<sub>2</sub>),  $\Delta^{17}O(\rm NO_2)$  is determined by the  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer via Reaction (R3) and by the nighttime residuals of NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the previous daytime hours (Albertin et al., 2021) following

$$\Delta^{17}O_{\text{night}}(\text{NO}_2) \approx x \times \Delta^{17}O_{\text{day}}(\text{NO}_2) + \frac{(1-x)}{2} \times (\Delta^{17}O_{\text{NO}+\text{O}_3}(\text{NO}_2) + \Delta^{17}O(\text{NO})),$$
(5)

where x is the NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the day as a fraction of the total NO<sub>2</sub> measured at night.

At our sampling site, we hypothesise that Reaction (R5) (OH pathway) and Reactions (R6)–(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway) are the main daytime and nighttime NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production channels, respectively. At steady state, from Eq. (2), we derive general expressions for  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day and night, associated with the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathways, respectively

#### S. Albertin et al.: Diurnal variations in stable isotopes of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide and nitrate

(Alexander et al., 2020):

$$\Delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{day} \left( \mathcal{NO}_3^- \right) \approx \frac{2}{3} \times \Delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{day} \left( \mathcal{NO}_2 \right), \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta^{17} O_{\text{night}} \left( NO_3^- \right) \approx \frac{1}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O_{\text{night}} \left( NO_2 \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{6} \times \Delta^{17} O_{\text{NO}_2 + O_3} \left( NO_3 \right), \tag{7}$$

where  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_3}$  (NO<sub>3</sub>) is the <sup>17</sup>O excess transfer from O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub> during Reaction (R6) (Berhanu et al., 2012). Without wet scavenging, dry deposition is the main sink of  $NO_3^-$ (Park et al., 2004). Assuming a mean NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> deposition velocity of  $0.5 \,\mathrm{cm}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  (mean value of the dry-deposition velocities of HNO<sub>3</sub> and p-NO<sub>3</sub>; Zhang et al., 2009), and considering the maximum daytime and minimum nighttime boundary layer heights of 500 and 100 m a.g.l., respectively (estimations based on measured vertical temperature profiles; Fig. S2 in the Supplement), the estimated residence time of  $NO_3^-$  against dry deposition can reach up to 28 h during the day and 6 h at night (Table B1 in Appendix B). Therefore, on sub-daily timescales, the  ${}^{17}$ O excess in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day is more likely to reflect a combination of daytime and nighttime production processes than that during the night. Note that our estimated residence times for  $NO_3^-$  against dry deposition are upper limits as they represent the time required to reduce by a factor e the concentration of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> present at the top of the boundary layer;  $NO_3^-$  close to the surface would have a much shorter residence time.

## 2.4.2 Nitrogen isotopic fractionation effects

Each source of NO<sub>x</sub> generates a  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint which depends on the type and conditions (temperature and pressure) of combustion and on the type of fuel (e.g. coal, oil, gas) (Heaton, 1990; Felix et al., 2012; Fibiger and Hastings, 2016; Walters et al., 2015a, b; Yu and Elliott, 2017; Miller et al., 2018). The mean  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>)) emitted in the atmosphere results from the sum of each NO<sub>x</sub> emission  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint weighted by their relative contribution to the total  $NO_x$  emissions. Once in the atmosphere,  $NO_x$  is subjected to oxidation processes and isotopic exchanges that alter the initial  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>). As a result,  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is a complex function of both the  $\delta^{15}$ N signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and N isotopic effects. These latter can be categorised into three groups: (1) the equilibrium isotope effect (EIE), (2) the kinetic isotope effect (KIE), and (3) the photochemical isotope fractionation effect (PHIFE) (Miller and Yung, 2000; Young et al., 2002). The magnitude of these isotopic effects is quantified as the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment factor ( $\varepsilon$ ), which is defined as  $(\alpha - 1)$ , where  $\alpha$  represents the N isotopic fractionation factor.

A general expression for  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) can be derived as a function of a factor  $F_N$ , which represents the overall N isotopic fractionation effects between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub> (expressed in %<sub>o</sub>), the fraction of NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to NO<sub>x</sub>  $(f_{NO_2} = [NO_2]/[NO_x])$ , and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  (Albertin et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020):

$$\delta^{15} N(NO_2) = F_N \times (1 - f_{NO_2}) + \delta^{15} N(NO_x).$$
(8)

Therefore, the <sup>15</sup>N isotopic shift between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  is given by

$$\delta^{15} N(NO_2) - \delta^{15} N(NO_x) = \Delta^{15} (NO_2 - NO_x)$$
  
= F<sub>N</sub> × (1 - f<sub>NO2</sub>). (9)

Physico-chemical processes between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> can preferentially promote or deplete <sup>15</sup>N in NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> (i.e.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2) \neq \delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ). The importance of this fractionation shift is modulated by the factor  $(1 - f_{NO_2})$ . When NO is almost entirely converted into NO<sub>2</sub>  $(f_{NO_2} \approx 1)$ , N fractionation effects can be neglected (i.e.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2) \approx \delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ).

From samples collected at Jülich, Germany, Freyer et al. (1993), observed for the first time the linear relation described by Eq. (8) and set the theoretical framework to interpret  $\delta^{15}$ N variabilities in atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub>. They showed that the observed seasonal variation in  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) was driven by N fractionation effects (represented in the  $F_N$  factor) caused by photochemistry and isotopic equilibrium. Based on this work, as well as that of Li et al. (2020), Albertin et al. (2021) derived an expression of  $F_N$  during the day assuming that the NO–NO<sub>2</sub> system is in isotopic equilibrium (steady state):

$$(F_{\rm N})_{\rm day} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\rm LCIE}^* A_{\rm day}^* + (\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)} - 1)}{A_{\rm day}^* + 1},$$
 (10)

with  $\alpha_{\text{LCIE}}^* = \alpha_{\text{KIE(NO+O_3)}} - \alpha_{\text{PHIFE}}$ and  $A_{\text{day}}^* = \frac{J_{\text{NO}_2}}{k_{\text{NO+NO}_2} [\text{NO}]}$ ,

where  $\alpha^*_{\text{LCIE}}$  is the fractionation factor of combined KIE and PHIFE (LCIE stands for Leighton cycle isotope effect) and  $\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)}$  is the EIE fractionation factor between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>.  $\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm KIE(NO+O_3)}$  are temperature dependent and can be calculated following the theoretical approach of Walters and Michalski (2015) (Table D1 in Appendix D). From calculations based on the zero-point energy of <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>2</sub> and the absorption cross section of  $^{14}NO_2$ ,  $\alpha_{PHIFE}$  is estimated to vary between 1.0020 and 1.0042 for a range of solar zenith angles between 90 and 0° (Fang et al., 2021). In this study we use a mean value of  $\alpha_{\text{PHIFE}}$  at 1.0031.  $A_{\text{dav}}^*$  is defined as the ratio of the NO2 lifetime with respect to isotopic exchanges over the daytime NO<sub>2</sub> chemistry lifetime.  $J_{NO_2}$ is the NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate,  $k_{NO+O_3}$  is the rate constant of Reaction (R3), and  $k_{NO+NO_2}$  is the rate constant of the isotopic exchange  ${}^{15}NO_2 + {}^{14}NO_2 + {}^{15}NO_2$ . During the day,  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  varies according to the environmental conditions. In low-NO $_x$  conditions (e.g. remote and polar regions)  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  is predicted to be controlled by

LCIE factors  $(A_{day}^* \gg 1)$ , whereas an EIE-dominated regime  $(A_{day}^* \ll 1)$  is expected in polluted environments (high-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions). At night,  $J_{NO_2}$  and  $\alpha_{PHIFE}$  are null and  $A_{night}^*$  is defined as the ratio of the NO lifetime with respect to isotopic exchange with NO<sub>2</sub> to the NO chemical lifetime at night  $\left(A_{night}^* = \frac{k_{NO+O_3}[O_3]}{k_{NO+NO_2}[NO_2]}\right)$ . In this study, we consider only one particular case with  $A_{night}^* \ll 1$ , which means that isotopic exchanges are much faster than NO oxidation by O<sub>3</sub>. In this scenario, KIEs are negligible compared to EIEs and  $(F_N)_{night}$  can be expressed as

$$(F_{\rm N})_{\rm night} \approx \frac{(\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)} - 1)}{\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)}}.$$
 (11)

The complete derivation of Eqs. (10) and (11) is given in Albertin et al. (2021).

KIE and EIE are also expected during the conversion of  $NO_2$  to  $NO_3^-$ . The <sup>15</sup>N partitioning associated with isotopic equilibrium between N2O5 and NO2 (Reaction R7) can be theoretically computed as a function of temperature (Walters and Michalski, 2015; Table D1). At 298 K, if N isotopic equilibrium is reached, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is predicted to have  $\delta^{15}$ N values 27.6% higher than NO<sub>2</sub>. Considering that the NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic fractionation through the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway is solely controlled by EIE,  $NO_3^-$  is therefore expected to be enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to NO<sub>2</sub>. However, to date, no experimental study has reported on <sup>15</sup>N partitioning between atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and the fractionation factors are still being debated (Freyer, 1991; Fang et al., 2021). The dominant  $NO_x$ -to- $NO_3^-$  conversion processes considered in this study, along with corresponding  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer factors and the known <sup>15</sup>N enrichment factors at 298 K (determined from both experimental and computational studies), are illustrated in Fig. C1 in Appendix C.

#### 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Temporal variations in general atmospheric observations

Surface temperatures during SP 1 and SP 2 show similar values, with a marked diurnal cycle (from -2 to 16 °C; Fig. 1). A positive temperature gradient with altitude is observed from the late evening to morning. Surface temperature rises around midday and reaches a maximum at around 15:00 LT, resulting in a negative temperature gradient with altitude. In deep alpine valleys, the diurnal variability in surface air temperature is strongly influenced by the temporal evolution of the boundary layer structure, particularly in winter with the formation of a surface layer inversion (Whiteman, 1982). As previously observed in Chamonix (Chazette et al., 2005), the nocturnal surface layer inversion regularly thickens during the night of the sampling periods. After sunrise, air masses warm up until the nocturnal inversion layer breaks down in the late morning. Observed RH behaviour relatively correlates with the increase in temperature during the day, showing a rapid decrease between the mid-morning and early afternoon (from 96% to 23% and from 96% to 30% for SP 1 and SP 2, respectively; Fig. 1).

During SP 1 and SP 2, the mixing ratios of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> exhibit diurnal patterns (Fig. 1) that are typical in Chamonix in late February (Fig. S3) and more generally in urban areas (Mayer, 1999). The highest NO level is observed in the morning, peaking at around 10:30 LT  $(82 \text{ and } 152 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1} \text{ for } \text{SP } 1 \text{ and } \text{SP } 2, \text{ respec-}$ tively). The concurrent increase in NO2 and decrease in  $O_3$  (down to 1 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) can be attributed to Reaction (R3) (NO + O<sub>3</sub>). NO<sub>x</sub> decreases in the late morning, likely due to a combination of lower emissions, NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, and the dilution effect. Meanwhile, O<sub>3</sub> gradually recovers to ca.  $30 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ , a typical winter background air level in Europe (Gaudel et al., 2018). Due to local emissions, NO<sub>x</sub> increases again from 16:00 LT, resulting in  $O_3$ titration, and subsequently to an increase in NO<sub>2</sub> (up to 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). After 18:30 LT, NO remains low until the morning, and NO<sub>2</sub> decreases slowly until midnight, stalls around 10 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, and then rises again at 05:30 LT. After the late-afternoon titration, O<sub>3</sub> gently recovers and stays relatively low throughout the night, likely due to a titration effect from nocturnal NO emissions which are confined in the surface layer inversion.

Both SP 1 and SP 2 show diurnal variations in PM mass concentrations (Fig. 1), with morning and evening peaks related to local emissions from traffic and home heating (Aymoz et al., 2007). PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations display an additional increase at midday following the breakdown of the temperature inversion. At 12:30 LT, while PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations increase moderately during SP 1 to reached  $38.6 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$ , a sharp increase to  $119 \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$  is observed during SP 2. Then PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations decrease during the afternoon of SP 1 but remain high during the afternoon of SP 2 until the surface inversion layer forms. On average, PM<sub>10</sub> concentration is 3 times higher during SP 2 ((59.4  $\pm$  37.6) µg m<sup>-3</sup>) than during SP 1 (( $20.6 \pm 10.2$ ) µg m<sup>-3</sup>). The considerable increase in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations between SP 1 and SP 2 is likely to be explained by a Saharan dust episode that started on 23 February (Figs. S3, S4, and S5). Saharan dust deposition is a well-known phenomenon in the Alps and is characterised by a sudden increase in coarse particles, mainly composed of alumino-silicates as well as calcium and potassium (Angelisi and Gaudichet, 1991; Delmas, 1994; Di Mauro et al., 2019; Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Greilinger et al., 2018; Schwikowski et al., 1995; Sodemann et al., 2006).

The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mass concentration varies from 0.3 to  $3.4 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$ , with an average of  $(0.9 \pm 0.6) \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  for SP 1 and of  $(1.2 \pm 0.9) \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  for SP 2 (Fig. 1). During both sampling periods, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration is within the range of previous observations made in Chamonix in winter (Allard, 2018). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> shows a distinctive peak at  $3.4 \,\mu\text{g}\,\text{m}^{-3}$  during SP 2 between 10:30 and 13:30 LT, correlated with the

 $PM_{10}$  surge. During transport, dust can undergo heterogeneous uptake and conversion of gases on its surface, leading to the inclusion of secondary species such as  $NO_3^-$ , sulfate, and ammonium (Usher et al., 2003).  $NO_3^-$  on dust results mainly from HNO<sub>3</sub> uptake and heterogeneous reactions of  $N_2O_5$  (see Usher et al., 2003, for a review and also references therein). Mineral dust is believed to significantly contribute to  $NO_3^-$  formation and size distribution, particularly in regions close to dust emission sources (Karydis et al., 2016). However, the origin of  $NO_3^-$  during SP 2 at our site remains unclear and could be attributed to the advection of both nitrated-dust particles formed through heterogeneous processes during transport and anthropogenic fine particles (Aymoz et al., 2004).

Figure 2 shows the temporal evolution of measured  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in Chamonix during the two sampling periods (SP 1 and SP 2). All isotopic data used in this study are reported in Tables S1 and S2. In the following analysis, first we describe  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements and give interpretation in light of  $NO_x$  chemistry cycling (Sect. 3.2). Then, an analysis of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  measurements is proposed, aggregating daytime and nighttime periods and comparing them with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  estimates derived from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ measurements and  $\Delta^{17}$ O mass balance for major chemical processes (Sect. 3.3). In light of these results and atmospheric conditions during SP 1 and SP 2, sub-daily  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  dynamics are investigated. In Sect. 3.4, N fractionation effects in the NO<sub>x</sub> cycle are quantified and the dominant NO<sub>x</sub> emission source is identified. The dynamics of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  are also described, and its use to trace  $NO_x$  emission sources and oxidation processes is discussed.

# 3.2 $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> diurnal cycling

Over the course of SP 1 and SP 2,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  shows a large diurnal variability (from 19.6% to 40.8%) with a weighted mean  $\pm 1$  standard deviation of  $(25.2 \pm 7.1)$  %.  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ values during the day  $(07:30-18:00 \text{ LT}, (28.5 \pm 7.3)\%)$  are significantly higher (p value = 0.002, n = 16) than during the night  $(18:00-07:30 \text{ LT}, (20.8 \pm 1.0)\%)$ . By day,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  follows a similar increasing trend during SP 1 and SP 2, reaching a respective maximum of 40.8 % between 13:30-16:30 LT and 35.0% between 10:30-13:30 LT. For both sampling periods, after sunset,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  stabilises between 21:00 and 07:30 LT at ca. 20 %. Using the same sampling methodology in a mid-latitude urban area in spring, Albertin et al. (2021) reported very similar  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values over the course of a day (20.5 % -39.2 %), following a comparable diurnal pattern. As presented above (Sect. 2.4.1), according to the ISS (isotopic steady state) framework, the variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  reflects changes in the relative contributions of Reaction (R3)  $(NO + O_3)$  and Reaction (R4)  $(NO + RO_2)$  to the overall production of NO<sub>2</sub>. At our site,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  drops rapidly during the 16:30–18:00 LT interval to 23.3 % and 20.9 % during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively.

Since the isotope recycling rate in the NO<sub>x</sub>–O<sub>3</sub> system is driven at first order by  $J_{NO_2}$  (Michalski et al., 2014), due to low solar radiation between 16:30–18:00 LT at our site, such a rapid drop of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  suggests that sampled NO<sub>2</sub> might not be at ISS anymore when the NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis is very slow, notably at the end of the day in winter. Therefore, we only consider that ISS holds between 07:30 and 16:30 LT in order to avoid the questionable end-of-the day measurements in our ISS-based analysis. At night (i.e. no ISS), the observed drop of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  in the early evening reflects the rapid replacement of NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the day by NO<sub>2</sub> produced during the night via the conversion of freshly emitted NO, in line with Eq. (5). Then, high NO<sub>2</sub> throughout the night, along with relatively low O<sub>3</sub>, supports observations of low  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  at night (i.e.  $\approx 20\%_0$ ).

Using Eq. (3), we derive from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  observations the relative contribution of Reaction (R3) (NO + O<sub>3</sub>) to Reaction (R4) (NO + RO<sub>2</sub>) in the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $T_{NO+O_3}$ ):

$$T_{\rm NO+O_3} = \frac{\Delta^{17} O_{\rm day} (\rm NO_2)}{\Delta^{17} O_{\rm NO+O_3} (\rm NO_2)}.$$
 (12)

Between 07:30 and 16:30 LT,  $T_{NO+O_3}$  varies from 0.55 to 1.00 (Table 1), with a mean of 0.88 and 0.75 for SP 1 and SP 2, respectively. The  $NO + O_3$  pathway is dominant between 13:30 and 16:30 LT, corresponding to the time when O<sub>3</sub> is highest (Fig. 1). In contrast, the maximum contribution for the  $NO + RO_2$  pathway is observed between 07:30 and 10:30 LT, when NO levels are high and rising continuously. Interestingly, previous studies have reported a high sensitivity of  $RO_2$  to changes in  $NO_x$ , particularly at high  $NO_x$  levels (Ren et al., 2006; Stone et al., 2012). Sources of RO<sub>2</sub> in wintertime are mainly driven by the production of OH radicals from HONO photolysis, alkene ozonolysis, and formaldehyde photolysis (Tan et al., 2018). During winter, HONO plays a crucial role in  $NO_x/O_3/RO_2$  chemistry, particularly in the morning, as its photolysis can potentially accelerate daytime oxidation processes, leading to increased RO<sub>2</sub> production (Alicke et al., 2003; Aumont et al., 2003). Direct emissions from vehicle exhaust could be a significant source of VOCs and HONO at our site (Brulfert et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2019; Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2023). Heterogeneous processes acting on ground surfaces and aerosols can also contribute to HONO formation (Aumont et al., 2003). In addition, snowpack releases may also be a potential source of HONO (Grannas et al., 2007), as detected in Paris after a snow event, which could significantly impact the urban OH budget (Michoud et al., 2015).

Following the approach of Albertin et al. (2021), combining Eqs. (3) and (4) allows us to derive the RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio from observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and the O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio following

$$[\text{RO}_2] = \frac{k_{\text{NO}+\text{O}_3}[\text{O}_3]}{k_{\text{NO}+\text{RO}_2}} \left(\frac{\Delta^{17}\text{O}_{\text{NO}+\text{O}_3}(\text{NO}_2)}{\Delta^{17}\text{O}_{\text{day}}(\text{NO}_2)} - 1\right).$$
(13)



**Figure 1.** Temporal evolution of the 1 h rolling mean of (a) NO<sub>2</sub> (black line), O<sub>3</sub> (dashed line), and NO (green line) mixing ratios; (b) PM (dashed line for PM<sub>10</sub> and solid line for PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (orange line) mass concentrations; and (c) temperature at the surface (black line), at 1206 m (dashed grey line), and at 2021 m (light dashed grey line) and surface relative humidity (blue line). Data were collected during the two sampling periods (SP 1 and SP 2) in Chamonix. Grey-backdrop-shaded areas represent the nighttime (sunset to sunrise).

Between 07:30 and 16:30 LT, we estimate an average RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio at our site of  $(0.88 \pm 0.88)$  pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $(4.92 \pm 5.16)$  pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively (Table 1). Studies conducted in urban winter environments reported  $RO_2$  measurements at a few pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Ren et al., 2006; Emmerson et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2018; Kanaya et al., 2007), in good agreement with our estimations. Similarly, RO2 mixing ratios derived by Albertin et al. (2021) from observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  in spring (mean of  $(13.8 \pm 11.2)$  pmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) were also found to be in line with studies conducted in the same season. The fact that our isotopic inference of RO2 mixing ratios carried out in two different seasons (winter and spring) are both comparable to direct in situ RO<sub>2</sub> measurements confirms the sensitivity of our method in probing the  $NO_x/O_3/RO_2$  chemical dynamics. We think that our method may be very valuable in deciphering oxidation processes of  $N_r$  species, down to sub-daily temporal scales. Nonetheless, we recognise that without concurrent in situ measurements of RO<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , it is not possible to validate unambiguously the quantitative estimation of RO<sub>2</sub> levels with our method.

At this point, it is important to recall that the choice of the  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  in Eq. (12) is of particular importance for quantifying  $T_{NO+O_3}$  (as for RO<sub>2</sub>). In the literature,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  varies between 35% and 41%

Table 1. $T_{\rm NO+O_3}$ and RO <sub>2</sub> mixing ratio (mean value $\pm$ overa	ll un-
certainty) derived from the isotopic measurements.	

Sampling interval (start–end)	$T_{\rm NO+O_3}$	$RO_2$ (pmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )
SP 1		
20 Feb 07:30–20 Feb 10:30 20 Feb 10:30–20 Feb 13:30 20 Feb 13:30–20 Feb 16:30	$\begin{array}{c} 0.72 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.91 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.00 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \pm 0.75 \\ 1.77 \pm 0.36 \\ 0.00 \pm 0.91 \end{array}$
Mean SD	0.88 0.14	0.88 0.88
SP 2		
24 Feb 07:30–24 Feb 10:30 24 Feb 10:30–24 Feb 13:30 24 Feb 13:30–24 Feb 16:30	$\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.86 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.84 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.58 \pm 1.67 \\ 3.56 \pm 0.50 \\ 10.63 \pm 6.75 \end{array}$
Mean SD	0.75 0.18	4.92 5.16





**Figure 2.** Temporal evolution of observed (a)  $\Delta^{17}$ O and (b)  $\delta^{15}$ N of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> (top and bottom solid black line) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (top orange and bottom dashed magenta line) in Chamonix (length of horizontal line denotes sampling period; shaded area denotes overall analytical error). The NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate ( $J_{NO_2}$ , top grey line) is from CiTTyCAT box-model output.  $f_{NO_2}(f_{NO_2} = [NO_2]/([NO_2] + [NO])$ ; bottom grey line) is calculated from the hourly mean mixing ratio of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>.

(Michalski et al., 2003; Savarino et al., 2016; Vicars et al., 2012; Y.-L. Zhang et al., 2022; Y. Li et al., 2022). This relatively wide range of values is partly a result of some confusion in defining  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>), the  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer from O<sub>3</sub> to NO. Indeed, the term  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3^*)$  is sometimes erroneously used as the transfer function of  $\Delta^{17}$ O from O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> during Reaction (R3).  $\Delta^{17}O(O_2^*)$  is actually defined as  $\Delta^{17}$ O of O<sub>3</sub> terminal atoms and is also named  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{term}$  in the literature. As the  $\Delta^{17}O$  in O<sub>3</sub> is borne by its terminal atoms,  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3^*) = 1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$ . However,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) can be equal to  $1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$ if only terminal atoms of O3 react with NO. But laboratory experiments by Savarino et al. (2008) did show that O<sub>3</sub> does react with NO not solely with its terminal atoms but also, to a small but significant extent, with its central atom (probability of  $(8 \pm 5)$ % for the abstraction of central atoms during the reaction  $NO + O_3$ ). Consequently,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  is slightly lower than  $1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$ and the  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  expression determined by Savarino et al. (2008) should be used:  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2) =$  $1.18 \pm 0.07 \times \Delta^{17} O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}} + (6.6 \pm 1.5)\%$ 

Assuming that their maximum measured daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  reflects the conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> only through Reaction (R3) (i.e.  $T_{NO+O_3} = 1$ ), Albertin et al. (2021) derived a  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  value of 39.2% from Eq. (3). Given the respective analytical uncertainties

(around  $\pm 1\%$ ), their value is in very good agreement with the maximum daytime value of 40.8 % we observed in Chamonix. Similarly to Albertin et al. (2021), assuming that the highest daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  value at our site corresponds to  $T_{\rm NO+O_3} \approx 1$  leads to  $\Delta^{17} O_{\rm NO+O_3} (\rm NO_2) = 40.8 \%$ . Using the experimental  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) transfer function determined by Savarino et al. (2008), we estimate a bulk <sup>17</sup>O excess of O<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$ ) at (29.0 ± 2.2)%. This value is consistent with the range of direct  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$  measurements at mid-latitudes (mean of  $(26.2 \pm 1.3)$  %; Vicars and Savarino, 2014), although falling at the upper end of the range. Interestingly, Vicars and Savarino (2014) reported a significant peak in  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$  during February–March in Grenoble, France (located 120 km southwest of Chamonix), based on year-round measurements with  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$ values 2%-3% higher than the annual mean of 26.2%. Although the cause of increased values during this period is unknown, our derived  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$  matches remarkably well the February-March measurements reported by Vicars and Savarino (2014).

It is worth pointing out that a more accurate calibration of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements is desirable. There is currently no internationally accepted nitrite salt standard with a positive  $\Delta^{17}O$ . Nevertheless, we are rather confident in our present calibration methodology. Indeed, the  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values measured in Chamonix closely align with previous obser-

vations in Grenoble. In both studies, the maximum (daytime) and minimum (nighttime)  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements conform to the expected values derived from the  $\Delta^{17}$ O theoretical framework (based on well-established NO<sub>x</sub> chemistry and  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)$  measurements) when  $O_3$  overwhelmingly dominates the NO-to-NO2 conversion. Therefore, at this stage, we do not consider that  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  calibration is an issue for this study. However, as we cannot completely rule out a small bias in our calibration, we have manufactured enriched nitrite salts and are presently working on refined new nitrite salt standards. Note that the rapid exchange of nitrite O isotopes in aqueous solution (Casciotti et al., 2007) is a challenge for inter-laboratory data comparisons. If new measurements of nitrite  $\Delta^{17}$ O references are found to differ from our current calibration, we plan to publish a correction to the paper with updated values and any potential implications.

# 3.3 Interpretation of $\Delta^{17}$ O in atmospheric nitrate

Over the two sampling periods,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  varies significantly (from 18.3% to 28.1%), with a weighted mean of  $(22.5 \pm 3.1)$ %. While  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values are relatively similar during the two sampling periods,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values are systematically higher during SP 2 than during SP 1, except during the 07:30–10:00 LT interval.  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in Chamonix is in the same range as that of most previous observations in urban environments (9%-44%; e.g. Kim et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2023; Y.-L. Zhang et al., 2022; Lim et al., 2022; Z. Li et al., 2022) but lower than most values measured during the cold season, which are typically > 25%. Unlike  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , daytime and nighttime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values at our site are not significantly different (p value > 0.05, n = 14). Similarly, from 12 h resolved sampling in winter Beijing, He et al. (2018) found no significant difference between daytime and nocturnal  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ and suggest that each sample reflects  $NO_3^-$  produced during both the day and the night. From high-time-resolution (3 h) aerosol sampling in winter Beijing, Y.-L. Zhang et al. (2022) reported  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values of between 23.4 ‰ and 39.3 ‰, with higher values observed at night  $((31.0 \pm 2.6)\%)$  than during the day  $((29.3 \pm 3.0)\%)$ . This diurnal behaviour of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  was attributed to the changes in the branching ratio of nocturnal and photochemical reactions on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation. In Chamonix, the range of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values is very different from Y.-L. Zhang et al. (2022) observations, with consistently lower values and a distinct diurnal tendency. However, in the cases of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  measurements at sub-daily temporal scale, the atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is critical for comparing  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  records from one site to another. Pollutant levels and atmospheric conditions between Chamonix and Beijing are very different, notably in winter when Asian urban areas can experience severe haze pollution episodes with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mass concentration exceeding  $70 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ , which is over 10 times higher than in Chamonix (Lim et al., 2022; He et al., 2018; Y.-L. Zhang et al., 2022). In such conditions, PM can reach several hundreds of  $\mu g m^{-3}$  for several days, which can significantly impact atmospheric processes involved in the formation of secondary species. Aside from the intrusion of Saharan dust during SP 2, the pollutant level in Chamonix is indicative of a moderately polluted region, with significant diurnal variations.

# 3.3.1 Steady-state evaluation of $\Delta^{17}(NO_2^-)$

To investigate the factors influencing the variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at our site, one compares observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  with estimated values of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  derived from  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass balance and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , assuming the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathways dominate the formation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at our site. Therefore, calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  reflects the theoretical  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer during the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at our site through the dominant chemical process during the day (i.e. OH pathway) and at night (i.e. N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway).

As presented, during the day, we consider the conversion of NO2 into NO3 to be predominantly influenced by Reaction (R5) (OH pathway). Hence, the theoretical corresponding  ${}^{17}\text{O}$  excess transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is estimated using Eq. (6) and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  between 07:30 and 18:00 LT (n = 3per sampling period). Then, in order to estimate a daytime average value of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  which is representative of the potential for the formation of  $NO_3^-$  from surface  $NO_2$  by the OH pathway, each calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  is weighted by the product  $[NO_2] \times J_{NO_2}$  (the diurnal variability in the OH mixing ratio is assumed to follow the diurnal  $J_{NO_2}$  variation; Liu et al., 2021). Finally, an overall mean daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  for SP 1 and SP 2 is estimated by taking the sum of the weighted calculated values (=  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$ ). The same approach is used during the night, assuming that the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is dominated by Reactions (R6)–(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway). Equation (7) and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  between 18:00 and 07:30 LT (n = 3 per sampling day) are used to estimate  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ . Each calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  is weighted by the product  $[NO_2] \times [O_3]$ (i.e. NO<sub>3</sub> production rate) and summed to estimate a mean nighttime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  for SP 1 and SP 2. The <sup>17</sup>O excess transferred from O3 to NO2 during Reaction (R6)  $(\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_3}(NO_3))$  is fixed at 44.7%. This value is set according to the transfer function reported by Berhanu et al. (2012), whereby  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_3}(NO_3) = (1.23 \pm 0.19) \times$  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk} + (9.02 \pm 0.99)$  and  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk} = 29.0\%$ (see Sect. 2.4.1). We compare hereafter  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$ with the weighted day and night averages of observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at our site. During the day,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  is compared with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  observations averaged between 07:30 and 18:30 LT (n = 3). At night,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  is compared with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  observations averaged between 18:30 and 07:30 LT.

At night during SP 1, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  and  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  are in good agreement  $(\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-) \Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-) = \Delta^{17}(NO_3^- calc - NO_3^- obs) = 0.9\%)$ , suggesting a local and rapid (<12h) conversion of NO2 into  $NO_3^-$  via the  $N_2O_5$  pathway. During the day, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  is 0.5 % higher than  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$ , also suggesting that  $NO_3^-$  is formed locally during the day for oxidation of surface NO2 through the OH pathway. Small differences between observed and calculated  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day and night could be explained by the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> residues formed during the previous night and day, respectively, which are not considered in the calculations since they do not account for the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> lifetime. In contrast to SP 1,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  during SP 2 is significantly lower than the mean observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ , particularly during the day with a  $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^- calc - NO_3^- obs)$  of -6.4%. The significant gap between observed and calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  suggests a different origin and/or formation process of  $NO_3^-$  during SP 2 compared to SP 1. Although less important than during the day,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  values for SP 2 at night are lower by 2.2% compared to the observed value. This small shift can be explained by residuals of enriched daytime  $NO_3^-$ . It is important to point out that, although the NO<sub>2</sub> sample collected on 24 February between 13:30 and 16:30 LT presents an important blank (ca. 14%), ambient NO<sub>2</sub> is low during the sampling period (mean of  $(4.5 \pm 1.8)$  nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, as each  $\Delta^{17}$ O value used to estimate  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$ is weighted by the mean ambient NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio over the sampling period, the incertitude related to this blank has little influence on the daily average of  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$ . Given the low  $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^- calc - NO_3^- obs)$  during SP 1, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  can be explained by the local and rapid (< 12 h) oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub>, dominated by the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway during the day and night, respectively. However, in contrast to SP 1, the <sup>17</sup>O excess measured in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day of SP 2 cannot be fully constrained by the oxidation of surface NO<sub>2</sub> through the OH pathway, suggesting that the formation mechanisms of  $NO_3^-$  are different between SP 1 and SP 2 and/or the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> not formed locally during SP 2. Below we examine the changes in the sub-daily dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  between SP 1 and SP 2 in light of atmospheric observations.

# 3.3.2 $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^-)$ sub-daily dynamics

Between 07:30–10:30 LT,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  is very similar during SP 1 (18.3%) and SP 2 (18.6%). Nonetheless, in the following sampling time step (i.e. between 10:30–13:30 LT),  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  is significantly different between SP 1 (21.5%) and SP 2 (24.7%). Intriguingly, between 07:30–13:30 LT,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during SP 2 (26.9%) is lower compared to SP 1 (32.8%). As a consequence, if one considers the notion that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is formed from the oxidation of local NO<sub>2</sub> through identical pathways during SP 1 and SP 2, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  should be lower during SP 2 than during SP 1. Therefore, the more pronounced increase in observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  during the 10:30–12:30 LT interval of SP 2 suggests a different origin and/or formation channel of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, as mentioned previously. One more piece of evidence is that, during this period of time, PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> levels increase significantly during SP 2, alongside the disruption of the inversion layer (depicted in Fig. 1). It can be inferred that this rise in PM<sub>10</sub> is mostly due to the presence of Saharan dust. The simultaneous increase in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  corroborates the hypothesis that this NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was not formed from the oxidation of ambient NO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, such an increase in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  can only be supported by the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> through the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, which is not expected to be important during the day due to the rapid photolysis of NO<sub>3</sub> and its titration by NO (Brown and Stutz, 2012).

Interestingly, aerosol samplings conducted at various heights (8, 120, and 260 m a.g.l.) in Beijing, China, revealed a positive vertical gradient of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in winter, from on average 29% to 33% (Fan et al., 2022). In summer, the  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values at the three altitudes were very similar. This increase in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  with altitude in winter was believed to result from a stratification of NO<sub>2</sub>-to-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> oxidation processes due to low vertical mixing and elevated surface  $NO_x$  emissions. However, the authors did not consider the potential variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  with altitude, which can be substantial in urban areas at night as low  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ results from surface NO oxidation. For our study, we propose an alternative interpretation of the vertical variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ , where  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is considered the main driver. During the formation of the nocturnal boundary layer, NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the day can be trapped above the surface layer in the nocturnal residual layer (NRL). This NO2 has a high  $\Delta^{17}$ O because it was formed during the previous daytime hours under the ISS framework (Eq. 3). Throughout the night, this highly enriched NO<sub>2</sub> (ca. 37 ‰, which is the average of the maximum  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during SP 1 and SP 2) can be converted to  $NO_3^-$  via the  $N_2O_5$  pathway, hence leading to a substantial  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at around 32%, which is in the range of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  observed by Fan et al. (2022) in winter. In the meantime, NO emitted at the surface during the night can be converted to NO<sub>2</sub> by O<sub>3</sub>, with a  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer of ca. 20% (Eq. 5 with x = 0). This low enriched NO<sub>2</sub> can be further oxidised to  $NO_3^-$  by the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, which results in a  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer at around 21 ‰. This NO<sub>2</sub> with a low  $\Delta^{17}$ O is very likely to be formed only at the surface during the night in areas experiencing important  $NO_x$  emissions (Michalski et al., 2014). Furthermore, surface NO<sub>2</sub> with low  $\Delta^{17}$ O is not expected to be transported aloft as it is formed in the surface inversion layer during the night. Therefore,  $NO_3^-$  formed in the NRL during winter nights may be more enriched than the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formed concurrently at the surface, regardless of the NO2 oxidation process involved. When the inversion layer breaks during the following day, the  $NO_3^-$  that was formed in the NRL during the night is mixed with the  $NO_3^-$  formed at the surface, resulting

		$\Delta^{17}{ m O(NO_2)}$ (%)	$\Delta^{17}{ m O(NO_3^-)}$ (%)	$\Delta^{17}\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{calc}}(\mathrm{NO}_3^-)(\%)$	$\Delta^{17}(\mathrm{NO}_3^-\mathrm{calc}-\mathrm{NO}_3^-\mathrm{obs})$
Daytime (07:30–18:00)	SP 1	$30.0 \pm 7.3$	$23.0 \pm 3.1$	$22.5 \pm 4.6$	-0.5
	SP 2	$26.1\pm6.9$	$23.9\pm3.8$	$17.5\pm4.6$	-6.4
Nighttime (18:00–07:30)	SP 1	$21.2 \pm 1.1$	$20.5 \pm 1.1$	$21.4 \pm 0.7$	0.9

 $23.2 \pm 1.0$ 

**Table 2.** Mean observed  $\Delta^{17}O$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>( $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ ) in Chamonix and mean calculated  $\Delta^{17}O$  of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$ ) using Eqs. (6) and (7) in the day and at night, respectively, constrained with observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ . Calculated day and night values were weighted by [NO<sub>2</sub>] × J<sub>NO<sub>2</sub></sub> and [NO<sub>2</sub>] × [O<sub>3</sub>], respectively

in an increase in the overall surface  $\Delta^{17}$ O. In this scenario, the presence of the Saharan dust during SP 2 may have increased the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> loading aloft by promoting heterogeneous processes acting on aerosol surfaces in the vicinity of Chamonix. Hence, NO<sub>2</sub> stratification at night could explain the observed increase in  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at the surface following the collapse of the nocturnal inversion layer. However, we cannot determine whether the enriched NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was formed in the vicinity of Chamonix and/or transported to our site by Saharan dust.

SP 2

 $20.8 \pm 1.0$ 

Although the exact nature of the high <sup>17</sup>O excess measured in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during SP 2 remains unclear, boundary layer dynamics is thought to play a significant role in the variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at the surface due to the stratification of NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, a wider consideration of such factors should be explored to avoid possible over-interpretation of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  variabilities at the surface, especially in urban areas experiencing significant boundary layer dynamics in winter and high surface emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> at night. Measuring  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  at various altitudes could provide better insights into the vertical dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  and subsequently quantitative information on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production processes.

#### 3.4 Nitrogen isotopic compositions

## 3.4.1 N fractionation effects in the NO<sub> $\chi$ </sub> cycle

Over the two sampling periods,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  shows substantial diurnal variability (from -10.0% to 19.7%, n = 16) with a weighted mean of  $(4.0 \pm 9.1)\%$ . In contrast, Albertin et al. (2021) reported a weak diurnal fluctuation in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ in spring in Grenoble, in a narrow range from about -12%to -10%. In summer in an urban–suburban location, Walters et al. (2018) also observed a wide range of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ values; however, unlike in our study, these are almost consistently negative (from -31.4% to 0.4%) with an overall mean at  $(-11.4 \pm 6.9)\%$ . As shown in Eq. (8), fluctuations in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  reflect changes in NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources and/or N fractionation effects, these latter being weighted by  $1 - f_{NO_2}$ ; i.e. the more NO<sub>x</sub> is in the form of NO, the greater the N fractionation effects (see Sect. 2.4). Hence, in the previous works of Albertin et al. (2021) and Walters et al. (2018), due to high  $f_{NO_2}$  (> 0.7), isotope effects were small (< 2.7 %) and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  was mostly driven by changing contributions of  $NO_x$  emission sources. At our site,  $f_{NO_2}$  shows a wider range, from 0.3 to 1.0, suggesting significant N isotopic fractionation effects, with minimum and maximum contributions corresponding to the highest and lowest observed value of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ , respectively. This pronounced seasonal behaviour of N isotope fractionation effects within the  $NO_x$  cycle has previously been outlined in a seminal study of Freyer et al. (1993). Overall, compared with summer, lower  $f_{NO_2}$  during winter months due to lower  $O_3$  concentrations and higher  $NO_x$  emissions favours EIE between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, which also has a higher fractionation factor due to the lower temperatures (see Appendix D: "Equilibrium N fractionation factors"). Besides, this seasonal fluctuation in  $f_{NO_2}$  can be expected to be observed on smaller timescales, typically on the diurnal scale in urban areas where NO is generally fully oxidised into NO<sub>2</sub> at night due to a lower  $NO_x$  emission rate, resulting in higher  $f_{\rm NO_2}$  at night than during the day, as observed in our sampling (Fig. 2).

 $21.0 \pm 0.6$ 

-2.2

Figure 3 shows the linear dependence of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  on  $(1 - f_{NO_2})$  over the two sampling periods, indicating the significant influence of atmospheric processes that alter the N isotopic distribution during the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> into NO<sub>2</sub>. The linear regression gives a slope and an intercept of about  $(43.6 \pm 3.3)\%_0$  and  $(-8.8 \pm 1.0)\%_0$ , respectively. According to Eqs. (10) and (11), the linearity between daytime (07:30–18:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00–07:30 LT) values suggests that EIE dominates the N fractionation processes between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. The influence of LCIE during the day could explain the greater variability around the linear fit in the daytime observations.

The relative importance of EIE and LCIE in the N fractionation between emitted NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> is assessed by calculating the A\* factor during the day (07:30–18:00 LT;  $A_{day}^*$ ) and night (18:00–07:30 LT;  $A_{night}^*$ ) (Table 3).  $A_{day}^*$  and  $A_{night}^*$  expressions are given in Sect. 2.4.2. Overall, during NO<sub>2</sub> sampling intervals, the A\* values are small (mean ± 1 standard deviation: 0.21 ± 0.51) and reflect an EIE-dominated regime with high NO<sub>x</sub> (Li et al., 2020). It is interesting to note that the highest A\* values are observed between 13:30 and



0.6

0.8

1.0

NO = NO

1 - *f*<sub>NO<sub>2</sub></sub>  $NO_2 = NO_x$ **Figure 3.** Correlation plot of  $\delta^{15}$ N of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vs. (1 –  $f_{\rm NO_2}$ ) from observations in Chamonix in February 2021.  $f_{\rm NO_2}$  values are averaged over the collection period of each NO<sub>2</sub> sample. The grey shading is the 95 % confidence interval. White diamonds and blue dots represent the nighttime (18:00-07:30 LT) and daytime (07:30-18:00 LT) observations, respectively. The linear regression

0.4

 $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) × 10<sup>5</sup>

0.0

0.2

is plotted over the nighttime and daytime observations.

16:30 LT and correspond to the two data points in Fig. 3 that lie outside the 95 % confidence interval of the regression line. These results suggest that EIE is the dominant N fractionation process between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  during both the day and the night ( $A^* < 0.46$ ), with the exception of mid-afternoon when LCIE competes with EIE ( $A^* > 0.46$ ).

To quantify the overall N fractionation effect  $(F_N)$  between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$ , we dissociate the two samples collected between 13:30 and 16:30 LT into a different group (group no. 1 denoted GP 1) from the other samples (group no. 2 denoted GP 2). F<sub>N</sub> of GP 1 and GP 2 is calculated using Eq. (10) (which combines LCIE and EIE regimes) and Eq. (11) (which considers only the EIE regime), respectively. Calculated  $F_{\rm N}$  values are reported in Table 3, and data used for calculations can be found in Sect. 2.4.2 and in the Supplement. Calculated  $F_N$  is significantly different between GP 1 and GP 2, with a mean of 16.4% and 42.3%, respectively. The close match between the calculated average  $F_N$  of GP 2 and the observed  $F_{\rm N}$  ((43.6 ± 3.3)%; slope of the regression line in Fig. 3) provides strong evidence for the reliability of Eq. (11), as well as the expression of  $\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)}$ used therein, to accurately describe the N fractionation between  $NO_x$  emissions and  $NO_2$  at our site and, hence, to describe most of the variability in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  measurements. This result holds significant importance in confirming the theoretical N isotopic fractionation framework used in prior research studies. It is also important to stress the influence of LCIE effects for GP 1, highlighting the high dependency of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  on local environmental conditions. According to the  $A^*$  factor, a greater influence of LCIE in the midafternoon could have contributed to the outlying of the two samples collected between 13:30 and 16:30 LT (GP 1). However, as mentioned above, the sample collected on 24 February between 13:30 and 16:30LT has a significant blank. Therefore, it cannot be confirmed with certainty that the reason this sample falls outside the 95% confidence interval of the regression line is solely due to LCIE. Nevertheless, the overall conclusion that EIE dominates the variability in  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) at our site is not affected by this uncertainty.

The  $\delta^{15}N$  shift in NO<sub>2</sub> relative to emitted NO<sub>x</sub>  $(\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x))$  is calculated for individual NO<sub>2</sub> samples using the mean ambient temperature during each sampling period. The mean atmospheric  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ) is then estimated by subtracting the  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  value from the observed  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  value.  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$ and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  estimates are reported in Table 3.  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  varies greatly over the two sampling periods (from 0.7% to 30.7%) with a mean value of ca. 9% (mean of GP 1 and GP 2).  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>) shows much less variability with an overall mean at  $(-7.8 \pm 1.9)\%$  (mean of GP 1 and GP 2), in very good agreement with the value derived from the regression relationship (-8.8%); intercept of the regression line in Fig. 3). Therefore, there appears to be little variation in  $NO_x$  emission sources at our site, and the wide variability in  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) is mainly driven by important equilibrium post-emission isotopic effects.

## 3.4.2 NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources derived from $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$

To identify the main source of  $NO_x$  that contributes to the calculated  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  values at our site, Fig. 4 displays the temporal variation in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  obtained from individual NO2 samples (dashed horizontal line) and the  $\delta^{15}$ N range for different NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources (coloured bands) such as for coal combustion  $((19.5 \pm 2.3)\%)$  for power plants with selective catalytic reduction technology; Felix et al., 2012; Elliott et al., 2019), fossil gas combustion  $((-16.5 \pm 1.7)\%)$ ; Walters et al., 2015a), and fertilised soils  $((-33.8 \pm 12.2)\%;$  Miller et al., 2018). The  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>x</sub> released during biomass combustion is primarily driven by the  $\delta^{15}$ N of the biomass burnt (Fibiger and Hastings, 2016). We estimate an average  $\delta^{15}$ N of biomass combustion NO<sub>x</sub> at  $(-0.1 \pm 1.3)$  %, using the empirical relationship of Chai et al. (2019) (which was derived from the combustion of several North American wood species), and an average  $\delta^{15}N$ of biomass at  $(-2.8 \pm 2.0)$ % representative of temperate forests (Martinelli et al., 1999). Regarding road traffic emissions, we have to stress that  $\delta^{15}$ N values reported in the literature are rather variable mainly because N fractionations during the process of  $NO_x$  production can vary depending on the type of fuel used, the type of vehicle, the presence of an emission control system, and the time of commuting (Ammann et al., 1999; Felix and Elliott, 2014; Heaton, 1990; Miller et al., 2017; Walters et al., 2015b; Zong et al., 2020, 2017). We use here the mean vehicle-emitted  $\delta^{15}N(NO_r)$  value given

**Table 3.** Summary table of data used to estimate the N isotopic fractionation between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub> at our site ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$ ) and to derive NO<sub>x</sub> emissions  $\delta^{15}N$ -fingerprint ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ). The data reported are the mean values for each NO<sub>2</sub> sampling period (mean value  $\pm$  absolute uncertainty).

Sampling interval (start-end)	$A^{*a}$	$F_{N}^{b}$	$f_{\rm NO_2}$	$\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)^{c}$ (%)	$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N(NO}_x)$ (%)
GP 1					
20 Feb 13:30–20 Feb 16:30	$0.46\pm0.08$	$25.00\pm2.27$	$0.74\pm0.02$	$6.5 \pm 0.9$	$-7.2 \pm 0.9$
24 Feb 13:30–24 Feb 16:30	$2.09\pm0.39$	$6.90 \pm 1.97$	$0.78\pm0.02$	$1.5\pm0.4$	$-6.9\pm0.6$
Mean	1.27	16.39	0.76	4.1	-7.1
SD	1.14	12.58	0.03	3.5	0.2
GP 2					
19 Feb 21:00–20 Feb 00:30	$0.03\pm0.01$	$43.06\pm0.17$	$0.97\pm0.01$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$-7.8 \pm 0.3$
20 Feb 00:30-20 Feb 04:30	$0.07\pm0.01$	$43.51\pm0.18$	$0.98\pm0.01$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$-9.7\pm0.3$
20 Feb 04:30-20 Feb 07:30	$0.02\pm0.01$	$43.78\pm0.22$	$0.89\pm0.01$	$4.7\pm0.4$	$-8.6\pm0.5$
20 Feb 07:30-20 Feb 10:30	$0.05\pm0.01$	$43.92\pm0.18$	$0.60\pm0.02$	$17.6 \pm 1.0$	$-10.4\pm1.1$
20 Feb 10:30-20 Feb 13:30	$0.10\pm0.02$	$43.06\pm0.18$	$0.57\pm0.02$	$18.4\pm1.0$	$-6.1\pm1.0$
20 Feb 16:30-20 Feb 18:00	$0.03\pm0.01$	$39.97 \pm 0.18$	$0.69\pm0.02$	$12.3\pm0.8$	$-5.3\pm0.8$
20 Feb 18:00-20 Feb 21:00	$0.01\pm0.01$	$41.75\pm0.19$	$0.90\pm0.01$	$4.3 \pm 0.5$	$-7.9\pm0.6$
24 Feb 07:30-24 Feb 10:30	$0.01\pm0.01$	$43.21\pm0.18$	$0.29\pm0.02$	$30.7\pm0.9$	$-11.9\pm0.9$
24 Feb 10:30-24 Feb 13:30	$0.07\pm0.01$	$41.95\pm0.18$	$0.51\pm0.02$	$20.6 \pm 1.0$	$-4.1\pm1.1$
24 Feb 16:30-24 Feb 18:00	$0.16\pm0.03$	$39.80 \pm 0.16$	$0.79\pm0.02$	$8.4 \pm 0.6$	$-7.2\pm0.7$
24 Feb 18:00-24 Feb 21:00	$0.01\pm0.01$	$40.88 \pm 0.18$	$0.82\pm0.02$	$7.2 \pm 0.6$	$-7.3\pm0.6$
24 Feb 21:00-25 Feb 00:00	$0.03\pm0.02$	$42.20\pm0.19$	$0.95\pm0.01$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	$-7.3 \pm 0.4$
25 Feb 00:00-25 Feb 04:00	$0.19\pm0.03$	$42.48\pm0.18$	$0.99 \pm 0.01$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$-10.3\pm0.3$
25 Feb 04:00-25 Feb 07:30	$0.09\pm0.01$	$42.69\pm0.17$	$0.86\pm0.02$	$5.8\pm1.0$	$-7.3\pm1.0$
Mean	0.06	42.31	0.77	9.6	-7.9
SD	0.06	1.32	0.21	9.1	2.0

<sup>a</sup> Calculated from  $A^*_{day}$  between 07:30–18:00 LT and from  $A^*_{night}$  between 18:00–07:30 LT ( $A^*_{day}$  and  $A^*_{night}$  expressions are given in Sect. 2.4.2). <sup>b</sup> Calculated from Eq. (10) for GP 1 and from Eq. (11) for GP 2. <sup>c</sup> Calculated from Eq. (9).

by Song et al. (2022) at  $(-7.1 \pm 4.1)$  %, calculated from 181 measurements reported in the literature.

As previously noted, the values of estimated  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ show much less variability than  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ , with no significant differences observed between daytime and nighttime values. The values of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  range from -11.0% to -4.1%, and despite the associated uncertainty, they are consistent with the  $\delta^{15}$ N range of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from vehicle exhaust. The two sampling periods show similar  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ values with a slight diel variability. The estimated small variation in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  throughout the day can be attributed to the temporal changes in the  $\delta^{15}N$  signature of mobile NO<sub>x</sub> sources. It has been shown that  $NO_x$  emitted by cold engines has a lower  $\delta^{15}N$  signature compared to NO<sub>x</sub> emitted from warm engines (Walters et al., 2015b). Hence, the early morning drop in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  could be attributed to the influence of  $NO_x$  emitted from cold engines. As the day progresses, the time of commuting increases and therefore  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  tends to be less negative. Conversely, during the night, the slow  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>) decline could be due to the replacement of NO<sub>x</sub> from vehicle exhaust by  $NO_x$  emitted by fossil gas combustion, which is commonly used in Chamonix for home heating. Although biomass burning used for home heating would also tend to increase  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  during the day, it is unlikely to contribute more during the day than at night.

According to local NO<sub>x</sub> emission inventories (Atmo-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, 2018; ORCAE, 2022), road transport is responsible for 64 % of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, ahead of heating-oil and fossil gas combustion. Despite the consistency between our results and existing inventories, the significant variability in the  $\delta^{15}$ N signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from vehicle exhaust precludes a reliable quantitative source apportionment of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from our estimated  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>). Furthermore, the lack of information on the exact  $\delta^{15}$ N signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emitted from heating-oil combustion could also contribute to the potential bias of the emission source apportionment.

# 3.4.3 Interpretation of $\delta^{15}N(NO_2^-)$ observations

 $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  also exhibits substantial variability during the day, ranging from -1.3% to 14.9% and from -4.2% to 9.7% during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively. At night,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  is less variable, with an overall mean of



Local date and time (2021, UTC +01:00)

**Figure 4.** Time evolution of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  (dashed black line) estimated from  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  observations in Chamonix after correction of N fractionation effects (length of horizontal line denotes sampling period; dark-grey-shaded area denotes overall calculation error bar). Coloured shaded areas represent the standard deviation of the mean  $\delta^{15}N$  value of individual NO<sub>x</sub> emission source (coal combustion in red, biomass burning in blue, vehicle exhaust in grey, fossil gas in orange, and soil emissions in green). Grey-backdrop-shaded areas represent the nighttime (sunset to sunrise).

 $(1.4 \pm 1.2)\%$  and  $(-1.1 \pm 0.4)\%$  during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  is within the range of observations reported in urban areas (He et al., 2020; W. Zhang et al., 2022). A similar diurnal pattern was observed in samples collected during a cruise along the Californian coast in spring 2010 (Vicars et al., 2013), and isotopic exchanges between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> during the day were found to be the primary driver of the diel variability. In the previous section, we demonstrated that there is a significant <sup>15</sup>N partitioning between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub>, the latter being enriched in <sup>15</sup>N compared to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Interestingly, important enrichments in <sup>15</sup>N are also observed in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

As described above, at night during SP 1 and SP 2,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  is close to  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  due to small N fractionation effects. However, between 18:00–07:30 LT, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to NO<sub>2</sub> by +6.3% and +1.4% on average during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively (Table 4). If we assume that, at night,  $NO_3^-$  is formed mainly by the conversion of surface NO<sub>2</sub> via the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, then the difference between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$ ) should reflect the N enrichment factor associated with this oxidation process. It is likely that an isotopic equilibrium is established between NO2, NO3, and N2O5, hence affecting the partitioning of  ${}^{15}N$  between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced at night (Walters and Michalski, 2016). Neglecting KIE associated with the N2O5 pathway and using the expression of the EIE fractionation factor between N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> given by Walters and Michalski (2015) (Appendix D) constrained with the mean nighttime temperature at our site, the isotopic composition of  $NO_3^-$  is expected be enriched in <sup>15</sup>N by about  $29\,\%$  compared to  $NO_2.$  This estimated  $^{15}N$  enrichment is about 3 times higher than the observed  $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$  at our site. As daytime NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> exhibits higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values than during the night, it is not possible for daytime residuals at

night to account for the lower-than-predicted fractionation effect between NO2 and NO3. These results highlight the importance of improving our understanding of the <sup>15</sup>N fractionation between NO2 and NO3 associated with the N2O5 pathway. This could be achieved in an atmospheric simulation chamber that allows us to reproduce individual processes in controlled conditions. The <sup>15</sup>N isotopic enrichment of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> collected from 07:30 to 18:00 LT shows a very contrasted distribution between SP 1 and SP 2, with a respective average  $\Delta^{15}(\text{NO}_3^- - \text{NO}_2)$  of -0.4% and -10.0% (Table 4). Although subjected to significant uncertainties (Fan et al., 2019), the OH pathway is often associated with a KIE of -3% (Freyer, 1991), which is at odds with our observations. Similarly to the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, there is an important need to better estimate the fractionation factor associated with the OH pathway.

There are significant differences in  $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$  between SP 1 and SP 2, providing further evidence that  $NO_3^$ collected during these two periods has undergone different formation processes and/or originates from different sources of NO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, possible fractionation associated with phase change between HNO<sub>3</sub> and *p*-NO<sub>3</sub> during transport of Saharan dust could influence the  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> collected during SP 2. However, given the lack of knowledge about N fractionation factors between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and our limited dataset, we cannot conclude on whether the changes in the distribution of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopes during SP 2 result from changes in the phase distribution of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or in NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation processes.

#### 4 Summary and implications

This study reports the first simultaneous measurements and analysis of  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The samplings

		$\delta^{15} N(NO_2) (\%)$	$\delta^{15} N(NO_3^-)$ (%)	$\delta^{15}$ N(NO <sub>x</sub> ) (‰)	$\Delta^{15} N(NO_3^ NO_2)$ (%)
Daytime (07:30–18:00)	SP 1 SP 2	$7.4 \pm 4.7$ $14.0 \pm 13.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.0\pm6.7\\ 4.0\pm6.4\end{array}$	$-9.9 \pm 2.9$ $-10.8 \pm 2.1$	-0.4 -10.0
Nighttime (18:00–07:30)	SP 1 SP 2	$-5.1 \pm 2.3$ $-2.5 \pm 4.2$	$1.4 \pm 1.2 \\ -1.1 \pm 0.4$	$-9.0 \pm 0.8$ $-9.9 \pm 1.9$	6.3 1.4

**Table 4.** Mean observed  $\delta^{15}N$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>( $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$ ), calculated atmospheric  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ), and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$ ).

were conducted at high temporal resolution ( $\sim 3$  h) in Chamonix, the French Alps, over 2 distinct days in late February 2021. The isotopic signals of both NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> show substantial diurnal variabilities, which are investigated in the light of local meteorological parameters and atmospheric observations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and PM).

The observed variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  can be well explained using  $\Delta^{17}$ O mass balance equations and corroborates the analysis of previous observations carried out in Grenoble, the French Alps, over a single day in spring (Albertin et al., 2021). On average, the high levels of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site are primarily driven by the oxidation of local NO emissions by O<sub>3</sub>. The observed diurnal variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  appears to be consistent with the diurnal variability expected in the  $NO_x/O_3/RO_2$  chemistry, with RO<sub>2</sub> levels of the order of pmol mol $^{-1}$ , which is in agreement with the range of direct winter RO<sub>2</sub> measurements reported in the literature. RO<sub>2</sub> is thought to contribute significantly to the formation of NO2 in the early morning under high-NO $_x$  conditions, which is in line with the effective morning production of radical species reported in urban areas in winter. At night,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  reflects the nocturnal oxidation of surface NO emissions by O<sub>3</sub>. These results provide additional evidence that  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ measurements represent valuable constraints in the study of the reactive  $NO_x$  chemistry, down to the sub-daily temporal scales.

A clear linear relationship is found between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ and the NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio, indicating significant post-emission N fractionation effects. Theoretical N isotopic fractionation factors between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> at equilibrium and fractionation factors derived from the isotopic observations are found to be in good agreement, providing further support for the N isotopic fractionation theoretical framework commonly applied to the Leighton cycle. Observed  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  corrected for N fractionation effects allows us to estimate the overall  $\delta^{15}N$  signature of ambient NO<sub>x</sub> at our site. Based on the existing  $\delta^{15}N$  fingerprints of different NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources, the main contribution at our site is very likely to be vehicle exhaust, which is confirmed by local emission inventories.

We use  $\Delta^{17}$ O mass balance equations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> constrained by observed  $\Delta^{17}$ O(NO<sub>2</sub>) to assess whether NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> could originate locally from the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site. During the first day of sampling,  $\Delta^{17}$ O records of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> support the local oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> to  $NO_3^-$  by OH radicals during the day and via the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N2O5 during the night. The second day of sampling was affected by a Saharan dust event, accompanied by notable changes in the isotopic composition of  $NO_3^-$ . We propose that the formation of a surface inversion layer at night could have influenced the vertical distribution of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and resulted in a positive gradient of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  with altitude, independently of the local NO<sub>2</sub>-to-NO $_3^-$  conversion processes near the surface. In such a scenario, the presence of Saharan dust could have promoted heterogeneous NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, leading to higher  $\Delta^{17}$ O in  $NO_3^-$  formed aloft. The latter would have then mixed with the  $NO_3^-$  formed near the surface when the inversion broke up during the day. Although still uncertain, the influence of the boundary layer dynamics on the distribution of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in  $NO_3^-$  should be investigated in the future, notably for urban areas in winter.

The combined analysis of the first concurrent observations of  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> highlights persistent uncertainties in the current estimates of the N fractionation factors associated with NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes. However,  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) records need to be corrected for N fractionation effects if they are to be used to trace back the  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint of the primary NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources. Detailed simulation chamber experiments could provide more kinetic data on the various N fractionation processes in order to better exploit  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) records to identify and quantify of the sources of reactive nitrogen.

The present thorough investigation of the  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> highlights (1) the potential to use sub-daily  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N records to trace the sources and formation chemistry of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, (2) the importance of measuring the NO<sub>2</sub> isotopic composition to avoid misinterpretation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic records, and (3) the persistent knowledge gaps that prevent a complete assessment of the factors driving the variability in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic records. In most studies, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition is interpreted on the basis of estimates of the isotopic composition of its precursor gases, assuming that both the chemistry of NO<sub>2</sub> (including its conversion to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and N isotopic fractionation effects are known. However, these assumptions are subject to very significant uncertainties, mainly in urban atmospheres. Hence, given the recent development of a method for measuring the multi-

isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub>, the accuracy and validity of the current interpretation framework of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic records should be tested in various environments. Such investigation can be performed by simultaneously collecting NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, as done here. We recommend using this combined isotopic record in order to avoid biased interpretations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic records, particularly in urban areas during winter, preferably at high temporal resolution (< 24 h). In addition, the vertical distribution of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition should be documented in order to explore the possible role of the boundary layer dynamics in the variability in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition observed at the surface.

### Appendix A: Reaction chemical rate

Table A1. Kinetic constants used in this study.

Reactions	Rate constants (cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> s <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	References
$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{NO} + \mathrm{O}_3 \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_2 + \mathrm{O}_2 \\ \mathrm{NO} + \mathrm{RO}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_2 + \mathrm{RO} \end{array}$	$k_{\text{NO+O}_3} = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-1310(K)/T)$ $k_{\text{NO+RO}_2} = 2.3 \times 10^{-12} \exp(360(K)/T)$	Atkinson et al. (2004) Atkinson et al. (2006)
$ \underset{^{15}\text{NO}_2+^{14}\text{NO}}{^{15}\text{NO}_2+^{14}\text{NO}} \xrightarrow{^{14}\text{NO}_2+^{15}\text{NO}} $	$k_{\text{NO}_2+\text{O}_3} = 1.4 \times 10^{-13} \exp(-2470(K)/T)$ $k_{\text{NO}+\text{NO}_2} = 8.14 \times 10^{-14}$	Atkinson et al. (2004) Sharma et al. (1970)

## Appendix B: Atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>

**Table B1.** Mean daytime (07:30–18:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00–07:30 LT) atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\tau_{NO_2}$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\tau_{NO_3}^-$ ) and dry-deposition constant ( $k_d = V_d \times BLH$ , where  $V_d$  is the dry-deposition velocity and BLH is the boundary layer height).

	$\tau_{\mathrm{NO}_2}{}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$\tau_{\mathrm{NO}_3^-}{}^{\mathrm{b}}$	$k_{\rm d(NO_2)}  ({\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm d(NO_3^-)}  ({\rm s}^{-1})$
Daytime (07:30-18:00)	5.1 min	27.8 h	$0.5  imes 10^{-5}$	$1.0  imes 10^{-5}$
Nighttime (18:00-07:30)	10.0 h	5.6 h	$2.5\times10^{-5}$	$5.0  imes 10^{-5}$

<sup>a</sup> Atmospheric lifetime relative to photolysis during the day (dry deposition and reaction  $NO_2 + OH$  are negligible) and to dry deposition and oxidation via  $O_3$  during the night. <sup>b</sup> Atmospheric lifetime relative to dry deposition. The boundary layer is fixed at 500 m during the day and at 100 m during the night. The dry-deposition velocity ( $V_d$ ) is fixed at 0.25 and 0.50 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$ , respectively (Holland et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2009).

Appendix C: Schematic of the N reactive cycle and associated  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfers and N enrichment factors



<sup>(1)</sup> Calculated at 298 K (Walters and Michalski, 2015)
 <sup>(2)</sup> Experimental study at 298 K (Li et al., 2020)
 <sup>(3)</sup> Calculated at 298 K (Walters et al., 2016)
 <sup>(4)</sup> Calculated at 298 K (Fang et al., 2021)

**Figure C1.** Adapted from Elliott et al. (2019). Sketch of dominant daytime (thick yellow arrows) and nighttime (thick cyan arrows) NO<sub>x</sub>-to-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes and associated quantified N fractionation effects at 298 K (thin red arrows and text) and  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfers (yellow and cyan boxes).

# Appendix D: Equilibrium N fractionation factors

**Table D1.** Calculated regression coefficients for the N isotope exchange between  $NO_2/NO$  and  $N_2O_5/NO_2$  over the temperature range of 150 to 450 K (Walters and Michalski, 2015) and for the N kinetic fractionation for the reaction  $NO + O_3$  over the temperature range of 220 to 320 K (Fang et al., 2021).

$(\alpha_{\operatorname{EIE}(X/Y)} -$	$(-1) \times 100$	$0 = \frac{A}{T^4} \times 1$	$10^{10} + \frac{B}{T}$	$\frac{8}{3} \times 10^8 +$	$\frac{C}{T^2} \times 10^6 + \frac{D}{T} \times 10^4$
X/Y	Α	В	С	D	_
NO <sub>2</sub> /NO	3.847	-7.680	6.003	-0.118	
$N_2O_5/NO_2$	1.004	-2.525	2.718	0.135	
$(\alpha_{\text{KIE}(X+Y)} -$	$(-1) \times 10$	$00 = A \times e$	$\exp(B/T)$		
X + Y	Α	В	_		
$NO + O_3$	0.982	3.352	-		

**Data availability.** Data presented in this article are included in the Supplement.

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-1361-2024-supplement.

Author contributions. Grants obtained by KL and JS funded the project. AB, RG, QF, and IV performed the calibration of IBBCEAS and OFCEAS instruments, data acquisition, and post-processing. SA conducted the sampling and laboratory analysis. NC provided technical support for isotopic mass spectrometry analysis. The study was designed as part of SA's PhD thesis supervised by SB and JS. The paper was written by SA under the supervision of JS and SB and with contributions from all co-authors.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. This work benefited from CNRS and IGE infrastructures and laboratory platforms. The authors acknowledge the support of the CASPA programme (Climate-relevant Aerosol Sources and Processes in the Arctic). The authors gratefully acknowledge Catherine Coulaud for providing temperature data in Chamonix; Jean-Luc Jaffrezo for providing data of previous filter measurements in Chamonix; and Anthony Lemoine, Matthieu Lafaysse, and Louis Le Toumelin for providing S2M reanalysis and meteorological data. The authors particularly thank Patrick Ginot, Anthony Vella, Armelle Crouzet, and Bruno Jourdain for instrumental and laboratory technical support. Finally, the authors thank Alexis Lamothe for assistance during the sampling campaign and Pete Akers for his thorough proofreading of the manuscript and his constructive comments.

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) via contract ANR-21-CE01-0017 CASPA and INSU/CNRS (National Institute of Sciences of the Universe) via its national LEFE programme (Les Enveloppes Fluides et l'Environnement) and by a grant from LabEx OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir – ANR10 LABX56) and the IDEX-UGA ANR project ANR-15-IDEX-02.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Markus Ammann and reviewed by Lei Geng and one anonymous referee.

## References

- Albertin, S.: Author Comment 2, Reply on RC2, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-744-AC2, 2023.
- Albertin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Barbero, A., and Caillon, N.: Measurement report: Nitrogen isotopes ( $\delta^{15}$ N) and first quantification of oxygen isotope anomalies ( $\Delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{18}$ O) in atmospheric nitrogen dioxide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 10477– 10497, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10477-2021, 2021.
- Alexander, B., Hastings, M. G., Allman, D. J., Dachs, J., Thornton, J. A., and Kunasek, S. A.: Quantifying atmospheric nitrate formation pathways based on a global model of the oxygen isotopic composition ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of atmospheric nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5043–5056, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5043-2009, 2009.
- Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Chen, Q., Evans, M. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global inorganic nitrate production mechanisms: comparison of a global model with ni-

trate isotope observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3859–3877, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3859-2020, 2020.

- Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Pätz, H. W., Schäfer, J., Stutz, J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, PHO 3-1–PHO 3-17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000579, 2003.
- Allard, J.: Qualité de l'air dans la Vallée de l'Arve: météorologie locale et mesures des réductions des émissions liées au chauffage au bois, PhD Thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes, https://theses. hal.science/tel-01901636 (last access: 25 January 2024), 2018.
- Ammann, M., Siegwolf, R., Pichlmayer, F., Suter, M., Saurer, M., and Brunold, C.: Estimating the uptake of trafic-derived NO<sub>2</sub> from <sup>15</sup>N abundance in Norway spruce needles, Oecologia, 118, 124–131, https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050710, 1999.
- Angelisi, M. D. and Gaudichet, A.: Saharan dust deposition over Mont Blanc (French Alps) during the last 30 years, Tellus B, 43, 61–75, https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1991.00005.x, 1991.
- Appel, B. R., Wall, S. M., Tokiwa, Y., and Haik, M.: Simultaneous nitric acid, particulate nitrate and acidity measurements in ambient air, Atmos. Environ., 14, 549–554, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(80)90084-0, 1980.
- Appel, B. R., Tokiwa, Y., and Haik, M.: Sampling of nitrates in ambient air, Atmos. Environ., 15, 283–289, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(81)90029-9, 1981.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I gas phase reactions of  $O_x$ , H $O_x$ , N $O_x$  and S $O_x$  species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461–1738, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and IUPAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II gas phase reactions of organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3625–4055, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006, 2006.
- Atmo-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes: Bilan des connaissances sur la qualité de l'air dans la vallée de l'Arve, Atmo-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, https://www.atmoauvergnerhonealpes.fr/publications/bilan-des-connaissancessur-la-qualite-de-lair-dans-la-vallee-de-larve (last access: July 2021), 2018.
- Aumont, B., Chervier, F., and Laval, S.: Contribution of HONO sources to the  $NO_X/HO_X/O_3$  chemistry in the polluted boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 37, 487–498, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00920-2, 2003.
- Aymoz, G., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Jacob, V., Colomb, A., and George, Ch.: Evolution of organic and inorganic components of aerosol during a Saharan dust episode observed in the French Alps, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 2499–2512, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-2499-2004, 2004.
- Aymoz, G., Jaffrezo, J. L., Chapuis, D., Cozic, J., and Maenhaut, W.: Seasonal variation of PM<sub>10</sub> main constituents in two valleys of the French Alps. I: EC/OC fractions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 661–675, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-661-2007, 2007.
- Barbero, A., Blouzon, C., Savarino, J., Caillon, N., Dommergue, A., and Grilli, R.: A compact incoherent broadband cavityenhanced absorption spectrometer for trace detection of nitrogen

oxides, iodine oxide and glyoxal at levels below parts per billion for field applications, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 4317–4331, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-4317-2020, 2020.

- Barkan, E. and Luz, B.: High-precision measurements of <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratio in air, Rapid Commun. Mass Sp., 17, 2809–2814, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1267, 2003.
- Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T., and Streets, D. G.: Nitrate aerosols today and in 2030: a global simulation including aerosols and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5043–5059, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5043-2007, 2007.
- Bekker, C., Walters, W. W., Murray, L. T., and Hastings, M. G.: Nitrate chemistry in the northeast US – Part 1: Nitrogen isotope seasonality tracks nitrate formation chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 4185–4201, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4185-2023, 2023.
- Berhanu, T. A., Savarino, J., Bhattacharya, S. K., and Vicars, W. C.: <sup>17</sup>O excess transfer during the NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  NO<sub>3</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> reaction, J. Chem. Phys., 136, 044311, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3666852, 2012.
- Brown, S. S. and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6405–6447, https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35181a, 2012.
- Brown, S. S., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Peltier, R., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., Dubé, W. P., Trainer, M., Meagher, J. F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Variability in Nocturnal Nitrogen Oxide Processing and Its Role in Regional Air Quality, Science, 311, 67–70, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120120, 2006.
- Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Atlas, E., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., te Lintel Hekkert, S., Brock, C. A., Flocke, F., Trainer, M., Parrish, D. D., Feshenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Budgets for nocturnal VOC oxidation by nitrate radicals aloft during the 2006 Texas Air Quality Study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D24305, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016544, 2011.
- Brulfert, G., Chemel, C., Chaxel, E., and Chollet, J. P.: Modelling photochemistry in alpine valleys, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2341– 2355, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2341-2005, 2005.
- Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, Anal. Chem., 74, 4905–4912, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac020113w, 2002.
- Casciotti, K. L., Böhlke, J. K., McIlvin, M. R., Mroczkowski, S. J., and Hannon, J. E.: Oxygen Isotopes in Nitrite: Analysis, Calibration, and Equilibration, Anal. Chem., 79, 2427–2436, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061598h, 2007.
- Chai, J., Miller, D. J., Scheuer, E., Dibb, J., Selimovic, V., Yokelson, R., Zarzana, K. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., and Hastings, M.: Isotopic characterization of nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)$ , nitrous acid (HONO), and nitrate  $(pNO_3^-)$  from laboratory biomass burning during FIREX, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6303–6317, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6303-2019, 2019.
- Chan, Y.-C., Evans, M. J., He, P., Holmes, C. D., Jaeglé, L., Kasibhatla, P., Liu, X.-Y., Sherwen, T., Thornton, J. A., Wang, X., Xie, Z., Zhai, S., and Alexander, B.: Heterogeneous Nitrate Production Mechanisms in Intense Haze Events in the North

China Plain, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126, e2021JD034688, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034688, 2021.

- Chang, Y., Zhang, Y., Tian, C., Zhang, S., Ma, X., Cao, F., Liu, X., Zhang, W., Kuhn, T., and Lehmann, M. F.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation during gas-to-particle conversion of  $NO_x$  to  $NO_3^-$  in the atmosphere implications for isotope-based NOx source apportionment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 11647–11661, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11647-2018, 2018.
- Chazette, P., Couvert, P., Randriamiarisoa, H., Sanak, J., Bonsang, B., Moral, P., Berthier, S., Salanave, S., and Toussaint, F.: Three-dimensional survey of pollution during winter in French Alps valleys, Atmos. Environ., 39, 1035–1047, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.10.014, 2005.
- Crutzen, P. J.: The Role of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> in the Chemistry of the Troposphere and Stratosphere, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sc., 7, 443–472, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.07.050179.002303, 1979.
- Delmas, R. J.: Snow chemistry of high altitude glaciers in the French Alps, Tellus B, 46, 304–324, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v46i4.15806, 1994.
- Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Reaction of  $N_2O_5$  on tropospheric aerosols: Impact on the global distributions of  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ , and OH, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98, 7149–7163, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02979, 1993.
- Di Mauro, B., Garzonio, R., Rossini, M., Filippa, G., Pogliotti, P., Galvagno, M., Morra di Cella, U., Migliavacca, M., Baccolo, G., Clemenza, M., Delmonte, B., Maggi, V., Dumont, M., Tuzet, F., Lafaysse, M., Morin, S., Cremonese, E., and Colombo, R.: Saharan dust events in the European Alps: role in snowmelt and geochemical characterization, The Cryosphere, 13, 1147–1165, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1147-2019, 2019.
- Dubey, M. K., Mohrschladt, R., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Isotope Specific Kinetics of Hydroxyl Radical (OH) with Water (H<sub>2</sub>O): Testing Models of Reactivity and Atmospheric Fractionation, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 1494–1500, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp962332p, 1997.
- Edwards, P. M., Brown, S. S., Roberts, J. M., Ahmadov, R., Banta, R. M., deGouw, J. A., Dubé, W. P., Field, R. A., Flynn, J. H., Gilman, J. B., Graus, M., Helmig, D., Koss, A., Langford, A. O., Lefer, B. L., Lerner, B. M., Li, R., Li, S.-M., McKeen, S. A., Murphy, S. M., Parrish, D. D., Senff, C. J., Soltis, J., Stutz, J., Sweeney, C., Thompson, C. R., Trainer, M. K., Tsai, C., Veres, P. R., Washenfelder, R. A., Warneke, C., Wild, R. J., Young, C. J., Yuan, B., and Zamora, R.: High winter ozone pollution from carbonyl photolysis in an oil and gas basin, Nature, 514, 351–354, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13767, 2014.
- Elliott, E. M., Yu, Z., Cole, A. S., and Coughlin, J. G.: Isotopic advances in understanding reactive nitrogen deposition and atmospheric processing, Sci. Total Environ., 662, 393–403, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.177, 2019.
- Emmerson, K. M., Carslaw, N., Carpenter, L. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., and Pilling, M. J.: Urban Atmospheric Chemistry During the PUMA Campaign 1: Comparison of Modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations with Measurements, J. Atmos. Chem., 52, 143– 164, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-005-1322-3, 2005.
- Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Lin, Y.-C., Chang, Y.-H., Cao, F., Zhang, W.-Q., Hu, Y.-B., Bao, M.-Y., Liu, X.-Y., Zhai, X.-Y., Lin, X., Zhao, Z.-Y., and Song, W.-H.: Isotope-based source apportionment of nitrogen-containing aerosols: A case study in

an industrial city in China, Atmos. Environ., 212, 96–105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.05.020, 2019.

- Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Lin, Y.-C., Hong, Y., Zhao, Z.-Y., Xie, F., Du, W., Cao, F., Sun, Y., and Fu, P.: Important Role of NO<sub>3</sub> Radical to Nitrate Formation Aloft in Urban Beijing: Insights from Triple Oxygen Isotopes Measured at the Tower, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 6870–6879, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02843, 2022.
- Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y.-L., Li, J., Fang, H., Cao, F., Yan, M., Hong, Y., Guo, H., and Michalski, G.: Formation Mechanisms and Source Apportionments of Nitrate Aerosols in a Megacity of Eastern China Based On Multiple Isotope Observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 128, e2022JD038129, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038129, 2023.
- Fang, H., Walters, W. W., Mase, D., and Michalski, G.: i<sub>N</sub>RACM: incorporating <sup>15</sup>N into the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM) for assessing the role photochemistry plays in controlling the isotopic composition of NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>y</sub>, and atmospheric nitrate, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 5001–5022, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-5001-2021, 2021.
- Felix, J. D. and Elliott, E. M.: Isotopic composition of passively collected nitrogen dioxide emissions: Vehicle, soil and livestock source signatures, Atmos. Environ., 92, 359–366, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.005, 2014.
- Felix, J. D., Elliott, E. M., and Shaw, S. L.: Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of Coal-Fired Power Plant  $NO_x$ : Influence of Emission Controls and Implications for Global Emission Inventories, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 3528–3535, https://doi.org/10.1021/es203355v, 2012.
- Fibiger, D. L. and Hastings, M. G.: First Measurements of the Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of  $NO_x$  from Biomass Burning, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 11569–11574, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03510, 2016.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J. N.: Chemistry of the upper and lower troposphere, Academic Press, San Diego, California, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-257060-5.X5000-X, 2000.
- Frey, M. M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., and Martins, J. M. F.: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8681–8696, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8681-2009, 2009.
- Freyer, H. D.: Seasonal variation of <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratios in atmospheric nitrate species, Tellus B, 43, 30–44, https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1991.00003.x, 1991.
- Freyer, H. D., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., and Kobel, K.: On the interaction of isotopic exchange processes with photochemical reactions in atmospheric oxides of nitrogen, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98, 14791–14796, https://doi.org/10.1029/93JD00874, 1993.
- Fu, X., Wang, T., Gao, J., Wang, P., Liu, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., and Xue, L.: Persistent Heavy Winter Nitrate Pollution Driven by Increased Photochemical Oxidants in Northern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 3881–3889, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07248, 2020.
- Galeazzo, T., Bekki, S., Martin, E., Savarino, J., and Arnold, S. R.: Photochemical box modelling of volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation: isotopic constraints, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17909–17931, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17909-2018, 2018.

- Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential Solutions, Science, 320, 889– 892, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.
- Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J. P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Cuesta, J., Cuevas, E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojie, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hassler, B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S., Latter, B., Leblanc, T., Le Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Mahieu, E., McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J. L., Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H., Petropavlovskikh, I., Ouerel, R., Rahpoe, N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M. G., Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D., Steinbacher, M., Tanimoto, H., Tarasick, D. W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A. M., Trickl, T., Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C., Worden, H. M., Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., and Ziemke, J.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation, Elementa, 6, 39, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.291, 2018.
- Geng, L., Alexander, B., Cole-Dai, J., Steig, E. J., Savarino, J., Sofen, E. D., and Schauer, A. J.: Nitrogen isotopes in ice core nitrate linked to anthropogenic atmospheric acidity change, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 5808–5812, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319441111, 2014.
- Goudie, A. S. and Middleton, N. J.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences, Earth-Sci. Rev., 56, 179–204, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(01)00067-8, 2001.
- Grannas, A. M., Jones, A. E., Dibb, J., Ammann, M., Anastasio, C., Beine, H. J., Bergin, M., Bottenheim, J., Boxe, C. S., Carver, G., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., Dominé, F., Frey, M. M., Guzmán, M. I., Heard, D. E., Helmig, D., Hoffmann, M. R., Honrath, R. E., Huey, L. G., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H. W., Klán, P., Lefer, B., McConnell, J., Plane, J., Sander, R., Savarino, J., Shepson, P. B., Simpson, W. R., Sodeau, J. R., von Glasow, R., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Zhu, T.: An overview of snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4329– 4373, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4329-2007, 2007.
- Greilinger, M., Schauer, G., Baumann-Stanzer, K., Skomorowski, P., Schöner, W., and Kasper-Giebl, A.: Contribution of Saharan Dust to Ion Deposition Loads of High Alpine Snow Packs in Austria (1987–2017), Front. Earth Sci., 6, 126, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00126, 2018.
- Gu, P., Dallmann, T. R., Li, H. Z., Tan, Y., and Presto, A. A.: Quantifying Urban Spatial Variations of Anthropogenic VOC Concentrations and Source Contributions with a Mobile Sampling Platform, Int. J. Environ. Res. Pu., 16, 1632, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091632, 2019.
- He, P., Xie, Z., Chi, X., Yu, X., Fan, S., Kang, H., Liu, C., and Zhan, H.: Atmospheric  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  reveals nocturnal chemistry dominates nitrate production in Beijing haze, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14465–14476, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14465-2018, 2018.
- He, P., Xie, Z., Yu, X., Wang, L., Kang, H., and Yue, F.: The observation of isotopic compositions of atmospheric nitrate in Shanghai China and its implication for reac-

tive nitrogen chemistry, Sci. Total Environ., 714, 136727, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136727, 2020.

- Heaton, T. H. E.:  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  ratios of NO<sub>x</sub> from vehicle engines and coal-fired power stations, Tellus B, 42, 304–307, https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1990.00007.x-i1, 1990.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J.-I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369–408, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018, 2018.
- Holland, E. A., Dentener, F. J., Braswell, B. H., and Sulzman, J. M.: Contemporary and pre-industrial global reactive nitrogen budgets, Biogeochemistry, 46, 7–43, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006148011944, 1999.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218–222, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774, 2014.
- Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Röckmann, T., and Sigman, D. M.: Triple oxygen isotope analysis of nitrate using the denitrifier method and thermal decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>O, Anal. Chem., 79, 599–607, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061022s, 2007.
- Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Akimoto, H., Fukuda, M., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., Tanimoto, H., Takegawa, N., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 1. Observed and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations during the winter and summer of 2004, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D21312, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008670, 2007.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1491–1509, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016, 2016.
- Kim, H., Walters, W. W., Bekker, C., Murray, L. T., and Hastings, M. G.: Nitrate chemistry in the northeast US – Part 2: Oxygen isotopes reveal differences in particulate and gas-phase formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 4203–4219, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4203-2023, 2023.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., and Littlejohn, D.: Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Motor Vehicle Exhaust, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 2843–2849, https://doi.org/10.1021/es960135y, 1996.
- Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lörzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen, P., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3385–3394, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001.
- Largeron, Y. and Staquet, C.: Persistent inversion pollution dynamics and wintertime  $PM_{10}$ air in 92-108, Alpine valleys, Atmos. Environ., 135, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.045, 2016.
- Leighton, P. A.: Photochemistry of Air Pollution, Academic Press, ISBN 978-0124333345, 1961.

- Li, J., Zhang, X., Orlando, J., Tyndall, G., and Michalski, G.: Quantifying the nitrogen isotope effects during photochemical equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>: implications for  $\delta^{15}$ N in tropospheric reactive nitrogen, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 9805–9819, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9805-2020, 2020.
- Li, J., Davy, P., Harvey, M., Katzman, T., Mitchell, T., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotopes in nitrate aerosols collected in the remote marine boundary layer: Implications for nitrogen isotopic fractionations among atmospheric reactive nitrogen species, Atmos. Environ., 245, 118028, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.118028, 2021.
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Qiu, Y., Shen, L., Zhai, S., Bates, K. H., Sulprizio, M. P., Song, S., Lu, X., Zhang, Q., Zheng, B., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., Lee, H. C., and Kuk, S. K.: Ozone pollution in the North China Plain spreading into the latewinter haze season, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 118, e2015797118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015797118, 2021.
- Li, W., Ni, B. L., Jin, D. Q., and Zhang, Q. G.: Measurement of the absolute abundance of Oxygen-17 in SMOW, Kexue Tongboa, Chinese Sci. Bull., 33, 1610–1613, https://doi.org/10.1360/sb1988-33-19-1610, 1988.
- Li, Y., Shi, G., Chen, Z., Lan, M., Ding, M., Li, Z., and Hastings, M. G.: Significant Latitudinal Gradient of Nitrate Production in the Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer of the Northern Hemisphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL100503, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100503, 2022.
- Li, Z., Walters, W. W., Hastings, M. G., Song, L., Huang, S., Zhu, F., Liu, D., Shi, G., Li, Y., and Fang, Y.: Atmospheric nitrate formation pathways in urban and rural atmosphere of Northeast China: Implications for complicated anthropogenic effects, Environ. Pollut., 296, 118752, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118752, 2022.
- Lim, S., Lee, M., Savarino, J., and Laj, P.: Oxidation pathways and emission sources of atmospheric particulate nitrate in Seoul: based on  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\Delta^{17}$ O measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 5099–5115, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-5099-2022, 2022.
- Liu, J., Liu, Z., Ma, Z., Yang, S., Yao, D., Zhao, S., Hu, B., Tang, G., Sun, J., Cheng, M., Xu, Z., and Wang, Y.: Detailed budget analysis of HONO in Beijing, China: Implication on atmosphere oxidation capacity in polluted megacity, Atmos. Environ., 244, 117957, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117957, 2021.
- Liu, Z., Hu, K., Zhang, K., Zhu, S., Wang, M., and Li, L.: VOCs sources and roles in O<sub>3</sub> formation in the central Yangtze River Delta region of China, Atmos. Environ., 302, 119755, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119755, 2023.
- Luo, L., Wu, S., Zhang, R., Wu, Y., Li, J., and Kao, S.: What controls aerosol  $\delta^{15}$ N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>? NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources vs. nitrogen isotope fractionation, Sci. Total Environ., 871, 162185, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162185, 2023.
- Mariotti, A.: Natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance measurements and atmospheric nitrogen standard calibration, Nature, 311, 251–252, https://doi.org/10.1038/311251a0, 1984.
- Martinelli, L. A., Piccolo, M. C., Townsend, A. R., Vitousek, P. M., Cuevas, E., McDowell, W., Robertson, G. P., Santos, O. C., and Treseder, K.: Nitrogen stable isotopic composition of leaves and soil: Tropical versus temperate forests, Biogeochemistry, 46, 45– 65, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006100128782, 1999.

- Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, A., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B. (Eds.): Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 147–286, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.003, 2021.
- Mayer, H.: Air pollution in cities, Atmos. Environ., 33, 4029–4037, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00144-2, 1999.
- McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical Conversion of Nitrate and Nitrite to Nitrous Oxide for Nitrogen and Oxygen Isotopic Analysis in Freshwater and Seawater, Anal. Chem., 77, 5589– 5595, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050528s, 2005.
- Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabiling, M., and Thiemens, M. H.: First measurements and modeling of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in atmospheric nitrate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1870, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017015, 2003.
- Michalski, G., Bhattacharya, S. K., and Girsch, G.:  $NO_x$  cycle and the tropospheric ozone isotope anomaly: an experimental investigation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4935–4953, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4935-2014, 2014.
- Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Colomb, A., Afif, C., Borbon, A., Camredon, M., Aumont, B., Legrand, M., and Beekmann, M.: Strong HONO formation in a suburban site during snowy days, Atmos. Environ., 116, 155–158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.040, 2015.
- Miller, C. E. and Yung, Y. L.: Photo-induced isotopic fractionation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 29039–29051, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900388, 2000.
- Miller, D. J., Wojtal, P. K., Clark, S. C., and Hastings, M. G.: Vehicle NO<sub>x</sub> emission plume isotopic signatures: Spatial variability across the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 4698–4717, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025877, 2017.
- Miller, D. J., Chai, J., Guo, F., Dell, C. J., Karsten, H., and Hastings, M. G.: Isotopic Composition of In Situ Soil NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions in Manure-Fertilized Cropland, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 12058– 12066, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079619, 2018.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Cavender, A., Shepson, P. B., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Major influence of BrO on the NO<sub>3</sub> and nitrate budgets in the Arctic spring, inferred from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ measurements during ozone depletion events, Environ. Chem., 4, 238–241, https://doi.org/10.1071/EN07003, 2007a.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Gong, S., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Signature of Arctic surface ozone depletion events in the isotope anomaly ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of atmospheric nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1451–1469, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1451-2007, 2007b.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Domine, F., Jacobi, H.-W., Kaleschke, L., and Martins, J. M. F.: Comprehensive isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in the Atlantic Ocean boundary layer from 65° S to 79° N, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D05303, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010696, 2009.
- Morin, S., Sander, R., and Savarino, J.: Simulation of the diurnal variations of the oxygen isotope anomaly ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of reactive atmospheric species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3653–3671, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3653-2011, 2011.

- Newsome, B. and Evans, M.: Impact of uncertainties in inorganic chemical rate constants on tropospheric composition and ozone radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14333–14352, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14333-2017, 2017.
- Olofson, K. F. G., Andersson, P. U., Hallquist, M., Ljungström, E., Tang, L., Chen, D., and Pettersson, J. B. C.: Urban aerosol evolution and particle formation during wintertime temperature inversions, Atmos. Environ., 43, 340–346, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.080, 2009.
- ORCAE: Rapport des profils climat air énergie de la communauté de communes de la Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, https://www.orcae-auvergne-rhone-alpes.fr/ (last access: July 2021), 2022.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D15204, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004473, 2004.
- Patris, N., Cliff, S., Quinn, P., Kasem, M., and Thiemens, M.: Isotopic analysis of aerosol sulfate and nitrate during ITCT-2k2: Determination of different formation pathways as a function of particle size, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D23301, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006214, 2007.
- Penkett, S. A., Burgess, R. A., Coe, H., Coll, I., Hov, Ø., Lindskog, A., Schmidbauer, N., Solberg, S., Roemer, M., Thijsse, T., Beck, J., and Reeves, C. E.: Evidence for large average concentrations of the nitrate radical (NO<sub>3</sub>) in Western Europe from the HANSA hydrocarbon database, Atmos. Environ., 41, 3465– 3478, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.055, 2007.
- Prabhakar, G., Parworth, C. L., Zhang, X., Kim, H., Young, D. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Ziemba, L. D., Nowak, J. B., Bertram, T. H., Faloona, I. C., Zhang, Q., and Cappa, C. D.: Observational assessment of the role of nocturnal residuallayer chemistry in determining daytime surface particulate nitrate concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14747–14770, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14747-2017, 2017.
- Prospero, J. M. and Savoie, D. L.: Effect of continental sources on nitrate concentrations over the Pacific Ocean, Nature, 339, 687– 689, https://doi.org/10.1038/339687a0, 1989.
- Pugh, T. A. M., Cain, M., Methven, J., Wild, O., Arnold, S. R., Real, E., Law, K. S., Emmerson, K. M., Owen, S. M., Pyle, J. A., Hewitt, C. N., and MacKenzie, A. R.: A Lagrangian model of air-mass photochemistry and mixing using a trajectory ensemble: the Cambridge Tropospheric Trajectory model of Chemistry And Transport (CiTTyCAT) version 4.2, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 193– 221, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-193-2012, 2012.
- Quimbayo-Duarte, J., Chemel, C., Staquet, C., Troude, F., and Arduini, G.: Drivers of severe air pollution events in a deep valley during wintertime: A case study from the Arve river valley, France, Atmos. Environ., 247, 118030, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.118030, 2021.
- Ren, J., Guo, F., and Xie, S.: Diagnosing ozone– $NO_x$ –VOC sensitivity and revealing causes of ozone increases in China based on 2013–2021 satellite retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 15035– 15047, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-15035-2022, 2022.
- Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Mitchell, M. J., Lesher, R. L., Simpas, J. B., Metcalf, A. R., Schwab, J. J., Cai, C., Li, Y., Demerjian, K. L., Felton, H. D., Boynton, G., Adams, A., Perry, J., He, Y., Zhou, X., and Hou, J.: Behavior of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the win-

ter atmosphere in New York City, Atmos. Environ., 40, 252–263, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.073, 2006.

- Richard, L., Romanini, D., and Ventrillard, I.: Nitric Oxide Analysis Down to ppt Levels by Optical-Feedback Cavity-Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy, Sensors, 18, 1997, https://doi.org/10.3390/s18071997, 2018.
- Savard, M. M., Cole, A. S., Vet, R., and Smirnoff, A.: The  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{18}$ O values of atmospheric nitrates simultaneously collected downwind of anthropogenic sources implications for polluted air masses, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 10373–10389, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-10373-2018, 2018.
- Savarino, J., Kaiser, J., Morin, S., Sigman, D. M., and Thiemens, M. H.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic constraints on the origin of atmospheric nitrate in coastal Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1925–1945, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1925-2007, 2007.
- Savarino, J., Bhattacharya, S. K., Morin, S., Baroni, M., and Doussin, J.-F.: The NO + O<sub>3</sub> reaction: A triple oxygen isotope perspective on the reaction dynamics and atmospheric implications for the transfer of the ozone isotope anomaly, J. Chem. Phys., 128, 194303, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2917581, 2008.
- Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., Grannec, F., Patey, M. D., Vicars, W., Alexander, B., and Achterberg, E. P.: Isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in a tropical marine boundary layer, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 17668–17673, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216639110, 2013.
- Savarino, J., Vicars, W. C., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Frey, M. M., Kukui, A., Caillon, N., and Gil Roca, J.: Oxygen isotope mass balance of atmospheric nitrate at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 2659–2673, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2659-2016, 2016.
- Schaap, M., Müller, K., and ten Brink, H. M.: Constructing the European aerosol nitrate concentration field from quality analysed data, Atmos. Environ., 36, 1323–1335, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00556-8, 2002.
- Schwikowski, M., Seibert, P., Baltensperger, U., and Gaggeler, H. W.: A study of an outstanding Saharan dust event at the high-alpine site Jungfraujoch, Switzerland, Atmos. Environ., 29, 1829–1842, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00060-C, 1995.
- Shah, V., Jaeglé, L., Thornton, J. A., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lee, B. H., Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., Green, J. R., Fiddler, M. N., Bililign, S., Campos, T. L., Stell, M., Weinheimer, A. J., Montzka, D. D., and Brown, S. S.: Chemical feedbacks weaken the wintertime response of particulate sulfate and nitrate to emissions reductions over the eastern United States, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 8110–8115, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803295115, 2018.
- Sharma, H. D., Jervis, R. E., and Wong, K. Y.: Isotopic exchange reactions in nitrogen oxides, J. Phys. Chem., 74, 923–933, https://doi.org/10.1021/j100699a044, 1970.
- Shi, X., Nenes, A., Xiao, Z., Song, S., Yu, H., Shi, G., Zhao, Q., Chen, K., Feng, Y., and Russell, A. G.: High-Resolution Data Sets Unravel the Effects of Sources and Meteorological Conditions on Nitrate and Its Gas-Particle Partitioning, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 3048–3057, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06524, 2019.
- Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.: A Bacterial Method

for the Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Nitrate in Seawater and Freshwater, Anal. Chem., 73, 4145–4153, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010088e, 2001.

- Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric Halogen Chemistry: Sources, Cycling, and Impacts, Chem. Rev., 115, 4035–4062, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006638, 2015.
- Sodemann, H., Palmer, A. S., Schwierz, C., Schwikowski, M., and Wernli, H.: The transport history of two Saharan dust events archived in an Alpine ice core, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 667–688, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-667-2006, 2006.
- Song, W., Liu, X.-Y., Houlton, B. Z., and Liu, C.-Q.: Isotopic constraints confirm the significant role of microbial nitrogen oxides emissions from the land and ocean environment, Nat. Sci. Rev., 9, nwac106, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac106, 2022.
- Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Tropospheric OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals: field measurements and model comparisons, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6348–6404, https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35140D, 2012.
- Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of  $RO_x$  radical concentrations in the North China Plain during the BEST-ONE campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12391– 12411, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018, 2018.
- Thiemens, M. H.: History and Applications of Mass-independent Isotope Effects, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sc., 34, 217–262, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125026, 2006.
- Thornton, J. A., Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., Wagner, N. L., Cozic, J., Holloway, J. S., Dubé, W. P., Wolfe, G. M., Quinn, P. K., Middlebrook, A. M., Alexander, B., and Brown, S. S.: A large atomic chlorine source inferred from midcontinental reactive nitrogen chemistry, Nature, 464, 271–274, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08905, 2010.
- Tørseth, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Fjæraa, A. M., Fiebig, M., Hjellbrekke, A. G., Lund Myhre, C., Solberg, S., and Yttri, K. E.: Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) and observed atmospheric composition change during 1972–2009, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 5447–5481, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-5447-2012, 2012.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., and Pandis, S. N.: Response of Fine Particulate Matter to Emission Changes of Oxides of Nitrogen and Anthropogenic Volatile Organic Compounds in the Eastern United States, J. Air Waste Manage., 58, 1463–1473, https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.58.11.1463, 2008.
- Usher, C. R., Michel, A. E., and Grassian, V. H.: Reactions on Mineral Dust, Chem. Rev., 103, 4883–4940, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020657y, 2003.
- Vicars, W. C. and Savarino, J.: Quantitative constraints on the <sup>17</sup>O-excess ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) signature of surface ozone: Ambient measurements from 50° N to 50° S using the nitrite-coated filter technique, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 135, 270–287, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.023, 2014.
- Vicars, W. C., Bhattacharya, S. K., Erbland, J., and Savarino, J.: Measurement of the <sup>17</sup>O-excess ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of tropospheric ozone using a nitrite-coated filter, Rapid Commun. Mass Sp., 26, 1219– 1231, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6218, 2012.

- Vicars, W. C., Morin, S., Savarino, J., Wagner, N. L., Erbland, J., Vince, E., Martins, J. M. F., Lerner, B. M., Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Williams, E. J., and Brown, S. S.: Spatial and diurnal variability in reactive nitrogen oxide chemistry as reflected in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate: Results from the CalNex 2010 field study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 10567– 10588, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50680, 2013.
- Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W., Schlesinger, W. H., and Tilman, D. G.: Human Alteration of the Global Nitrogen Cycle: Sources and Consequences, Ecol. Appl., 7, 737–750, https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0737:HAOTGN]2.0.CO:2, 1997.
- Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of nitrogen isotope equilibrium exchange fractionation factors for various NO<sub>y</sub> molecules, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 164, 284–297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.029, 2015.
- Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of oxygen equilibrium isotope fractionation factors involving various NO<sub>y</sub> molecules, OH, and H<sub>2</sub>O and its implications for isotope variations in atmospheric nitrate, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 191, 89–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.039, 2016.
- Walters, W. W., Tharp, B. D., Fang, H., Kozak, B. J., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope composition of thermally produced NO<sub>x</sub> from various fossil-fuel combustion sources, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 11363–11371, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02769, 2015a.
- Walters, W. W., Goodwin, S. R., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen Stable Isotope Composition ( $\delta^{15}$ N) of Vehicle-Emitted NO<sub>x</sub>, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 2278–2285, https://doi.org/10.1021/es505580v, 2015b.
- Walters, W. W., Simonini, D. S., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope exchange between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> and its implications for  $\delta^{15}$ N variations in tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and atmospheric nitrate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 440–448, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066438, 2016.
- Walters, W. W., Fang, H., and Michalski, G.: Summertime diurnal variations in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide at a small midwestern United States city, Atmos. Environ., 179, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.047, 2018.
- Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Eastham, S. D., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhu, L., Chen, Q., Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Lee, B. H., Haskins, J. D., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton, J. A., Huey, G. L., and Liao, H.: The role of chlorine in global tropospheric chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3981–4003, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3981-2019, 2019.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, Q. Q., He, K., Zhang, Q., and Chai, L.: Sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols over China: response to 2000–2015 emission changes of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and ammonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2635–2652, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2635-2013, 2013.
- Wang, Y., Gao, W., Wang, S., Song, T., Gong, Z., Ji, D., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Tang, G., Huo, Y., Tian, S., Li, J., Li, M., Yang, Y., Chu, B., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., He, H., Hao, J., Kulmala, M., Wang, Y., and Zhang, Y.: Contrasting trends of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and surface-ozone concentrations in China from 2013 to 2017, Nat. Sci. Rev., 7, 1331–1339, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa032, 2020.

- Wang, Y., Liu, J., Jiang, F., Chen, Z., Wu, L., Zhou, S., Pei, C., Kuang, Y., Cao, F., Zhang, Y., Fan, M., Zheng, J., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: Vertical measurements of stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of fine particulate nitrate aerosol in Guangzhou city: Source apportionment and oxidation pathway, Sci. Total Environ., 865, 161239, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161239, 2023.
- Wang, Y. L., Song, W., Yang, W., Sun, X. C., Tong, Y. D., Wang, X. M., Liu, C. Q., Bai, Z. P., and Liu, Z. Y.: Influences of Atmospheric Pollution on the Contributions of Major Oxidation Pathways to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Nitrate Formation in Beijing, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 4174–4185, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030284, 2019.
- Wayne, R. P., Barnes, I., Biggs, P., Burrows, J. P., Canosa-Mas, C. E., Hjorth, J., Le Bras, G., Moortgat, G. K., Perner, D., Poulet, G., Restelli, G., and Sidebottom, H.: The nitrate radical: Physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ. Pt. A-Gen., 25, 1–203, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90192-A, 1991.
- Weber, S., Uzu, G., Calas, A., Chevrier, F., Besombes, J.-L., Charron, A., Salameh, D., Ježek, I., Močnik, G., and Jaffrezo, J.-L.: An apportionment method for the oxidative potential of atmospheric particulate matter sources: application to a one-year study in Chamonix, France, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9617–9629, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-9617-2018, 2018.
- Whiteman, C. D.: Breakup of Temperature Inversions in Deep Mountain Valleys: Part I. Observations, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 21, 270–289, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1982)021<0270:BOTIID>2.0.CO;2, 1982.
- WHO: World Health Organization global air quality guidelines. Particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide, Geneva, World Health Organization, 2021.
- Wild, O., Zhu, X., and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J: Accurate simulation of in- and below-cloud photolysis in tropospheric chemical models, J. Atmos. Chem., 37, 245–282, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006415919030, 2000.
- Xue, C.: Substantially Growing Interest in the Chemistry of Nitrous Acid (HONO) in China: Current Achievements, Problems, and Future Directions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 7375–7377, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02237, 2022.
- Young, E. D., Galy, A., and Nagahara, H.: Kinetic and equilibrium mass-dependent isotope fractionation laws in nature and their geochemical and cosmochemical significance, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 66, 1095–1104, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00832-8, 2002.
- Yu, Z. and Elliott, E. M.: Novel Method for Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Soil-Emitted Nitric Oxide, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 6268–6278, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00592, 2017.
- Zhang, L., Vet, R., O'Brien, J. M., Mihele, C., Liang, Z., and Wiebe, A.: Dry deposition of individual nitrogen species at eight Canadian rural sites, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D02301, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010640, 2009.
- Zhang, R., Wang, G., Guo, S., Zamora, M. L., Ying, Q., Lin, Y., Wang, W., Hu, M., and Wang, Y.: Formation of Urban Fine Particulate Matter, Chem. Rev., 115, 3803–3855, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00067, 2015.
- Zhang, W., Bi, X., Zhang, Y., Wu, J., and Feng, Y.: Diesel vehicle emission accounts for the dominate  $NO_x$  source to atmospheric particulate nitrate in a coastal city: Insights from

nitrate dual isotopes of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Atmos. Res., 278, 106328, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106328, 2022.

- Zhang, Y.-L., Zhang, W., Fan, M.-Y., Li, J., Fang, H., Cao, F., Lin, Y.-C., Wilkins, B. P., Liu, X., Bao, M., Hong, Y., and Michalski, G.: A diurnal story of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in urban Nanjing and its implication for nitrate aerosol formation, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 5, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-00273-3, 2022.
- Zhou, W., Gao, M., He, Y., Wang, Q., Xie, C., Xu, W., Zhao, J., Du, W., Qiu, Y., Lei, L., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Worsnop, D. R., Zhang, Q., and Sun, Y.: Response of aerosol chemistry to clean air action in Beijing, China: Insights from two-year ACSM measurements and model simulations, Environ. Pollut., 255, 113345, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113345, 2019.
- Zong, Z., Wang, X., Tian, C., Chen, Y., Fang, Y., Zhang, F., Li, C., Sun, J., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: First Assessment of NOx Sources at a Regional Background Site in North China Using Isotopic Analysis Linked with Modeling, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 5923– 5931, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06316, 2017.
- Zong, Z., Sun, Z., Xiao, L., Tian, C., Liu, J., Sha, Q., Li, J., Fang, Y., Zheng, J., and Zhang, G.: Insight into the Variability of the Nitrogen Isotope Composition of Vehicular NO<sub>x</sub> in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 14246–14253, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04749, 2020.