



# *Supplement of*

## Formation of reactive nitrogen species promoted by iron ions through the photochemistry of a neonicotinoid insecticide

Zhu Ran et al.

*Correspondence to:* Yanan Hu (huyanan0917@163.com), Sasho Gligorovski (gligorovski@gig.ac.cn), and Jiangping Liu (liujiangping18@mails.ucas.ac.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

#### 34 **Supplementary Text**

#### **Text S1.Ionic analysis atdifferent Fe 3+** 35**concentrations**

36 As depicted in Figure S5, the direct photolysis of NPM produced a large amount of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in 37 the absence of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, and in the presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> restricted NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production occurred, which 38 was consistent with the change of the photolysis rate constant of NPM. NO<sup>2</sup> produced by direct 39 photolysis of NPM is hydrolyzed in aqueous media to form  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ , and nitrogenous species are 40 partially dissolved during their release from the liquid to the gas phase. The reaction of NOx with  $O_2$ 41 radicals will also produce  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3$ . The presence of  $Fe^{3+}$  provides a strong acid environment 42 (Table S1) and the protonation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  will lead to the release of HONO (Lu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 43 2021). Upon irradiation at  $\lambda$  > 300 nm, Fe<sup>3+</sup> species (monomeric and dimeric) are known to undergo a 44 redox process giving rise to Fe(II) and  $\cdot$ OH radicals (Bai et al., 2023). Fe<sup>2+</sup> cannot coexist with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, 45 and NO<sub>2</sub> gas will be produced by redox reaction. In short, the addition of  $Fe^{3+}$  promotes the conversion  $46$  of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to HONO and NOx. In the presence of high Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentration, the photolysis rate of 47 NPM showed an increasing trend, and  $\cdot$  OH and O<sub>2</sub> produced under light irradiation were more 48 elevated than those produced under low concentration of  $Fe^{3+}$  (Figure 4), which slightly promoted the 49 generation of  $NO_3$  and  $NO_2$ .

#### 50 **TextS2.Global simulation of NOx and HONO fluxes**

 We are estimating the amount of NOx and HONO fluxes released from NPM chemistry, as a function of NPM concentration and solar radiation, following Eq-S1, Eq-S2 and Eq-S3, but assuming that the 53 environmental NPM concentration is three orders smaller (50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) than the experimental conditions 54 of 50000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. The parameterization of HONO and NOx productions from NPM photolysis at Fe<sup>3+</sup> 55 concentration of 0.025 mg  $L^{-1}$  used in our estimation is based on Eq-S1, Eq-S2 and Eq-S3 (Figure S8 and Figure S9). The estimation is conducted for each of the 561×360 grids at the globe with a horizontal resolution of 0.5×0.625°, consistent with resolution of the solar radiation data from the hourly Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications Version 2 (MERRA2) reanalysis dataset.



61  $Y_{NO2} = 6.58261 * 10^{8}X - 1.81889 * 10^{8}10$  Eq-S2

62  $Y_{NO} = 2.58054*10^8X-1.41507*10^10$  Eq-S3

63 Where Y( molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) represents the HONO/NOx fluxes, X (W m<sup>-2</sup>) represents the light density. 64 A key procedure is to consider the concentration of NPM at each of the  $0.5 \times 0.625$ °grids. Ideally, the NPM concentration should display spatial distributions as the iron contents, solar light intensity and underlying surface is different for each region.

 We have to stress that our experiment is not able to derive the relationship between HONO, NOx 68 emissions and light density at NPM concentration lower than 50000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> due to the current limit of detection. As such, we assume that the environmental NPM concentration is three orders smaller (50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) than the experimental conditions of 50000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, and do not consider its spatial heteorogenity in the model. As a result, the variation of NOx and HONO emissions is driven by the solar radiation. We summarized the distribution of soluble iron concentrations in the waters as shown in Table S3 in the supplementary information. In order to estimate the environmental NPM and iron concentration contributed to the formation of reactive nitrogen species, we selected a rationalization parameter 25 scheme related to the environmental concentration of NPM (50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) and soluble iron (92.48 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>, 0.025 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in our study), which is representative of certain significance.

 Furthermore, the widespread use of NPM and its capabilityto release HONO and NOx suggests that NPM might be an unexplored source of global atmospheric reactive nitrogen (Nr) and hence influence air quality and climate. Evaluation of such impacts requires a parameterization of global HONO and NOx fluxes emerging from NPM photochemistry in chemical transport models. However, current chemical models do not explicitly consider this source of reactive nitrogen species. In this manner, we are able to generate an hourly dataset of the NOx and HONO fluxes released from NPM chemistry, and we analyze the amount and spatial pattern of the fluxes in Figure 5. We note that although such estimation is rather simplified and can be biased in terms of the spatial heterogeneity as we do not 85 consider the spatial variation of environmental NPM concentrations, our study presents a pioneer 86 attempt to quantify the global source of HONO and NOx from the NPM photochemistry, as current chemical models do not explicitly consider this source of reactive nitrogen species. This inventory can be then applied in chemical models to quantify the environmental impact of HONO and NOx fluxes emerging from NPM photochemistry.

### 90 **TextS3.Calculation of Quantum Yields**

- 91 The quantum yields for reactive nitrogen species formation (Φ), including Φ<sub>HONO</sub> and Φ<sub>NOx</sub> can be
- 92 determined by the equation (Eq-S4 and Eq-S5).

$$
93 \quad \phi_{HONO} = \frac{J_{NPM \to HONO}}{J_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} I(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda} \quad \text{Eq-S4}
$$

94 
$$
\phi_{\text{NOx}} = \frac{J_{\text{NPM} \to \text{NOx}}}{\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} I(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda}
$$
 Eq-S5

- 95 Where I(λ) (photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\sigma(\lambda)$  (cm<sup>2</sup> moleclues<sup>-1</sup>) are the actinic flux spectra of light source and
- 96 the absorption cross section of the NPM, respectively (Figure S10).

97 **Table S1.** Measured Photolysis Rate Constants (k) and Half-time (T<sub>1/2</sub>) of NPM in aqueous solution at different  $98$  Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentrations.

The concentration of $Fe3+$ $(mg.ml^{-1})$	<b>Kinetic equation</b>	Rate <b>Constants</b> $(k)/min^{-1}$	<b>Half-time</b> $(T_{1/2})/min$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	<b>Initial pH</b> value
$\bf{0}$	$C_t = 0.501e^{-0.00427t}$	0.00427	162.3	0.99438	7.3
0.1	$C_t = 0.477e^{-0.00382t}$	0.00382	181.5	0.98757	3.4
0.25	$C_t = 0.520e^{-0.00310t}$	0.00310	223.6	0.98065	2.9
0.5	$C_t = 0.514e^{-0.00346t}$	0.00346	200.3	0.98869	2.6
0.8	$C_t = 0.513e^{-0.00513t}$	0.00513	135.1	0.99064	2.4



**Table S2.** Quantum Yields  $(\phi)$  for Photolysis of NPM and NOx at different  $Fe^{3+}$  concentrations



102 **Table S3.** The concentrations of soluble iron in water of different region



**Figure S1.** Diagram of the experimental set up





**Figure S2.** The absorption spectra of NPM (0.05 mg m<sup>1-1</sup>) in the absence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (dark line), and in the presence of 109 different concentrations of  $Fe^{3+}$ : 0.01 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (red line), 0.025 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (blue line), 0.05 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (green line) and 110 0.08 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (purple line). Comparison of the spectral irradiance of the Xenon lamp (Fluorescent light blueed line) 111 and the spectral irradiance of the sunlight (fluorescent light green line) measured by the spectroradiometer 112 (HP350UVP, China). The spectral irradiance is determined for Kunming (latitude 24.85285, longitude 102.86016) 113 on July 26 2022 at noon.



116 **Figure S3.** The temporal changes of NO<sub>2</sub>, HONO and NO during the photolysis of NPM (0.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) in the 117 absence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (dark line), and in the presence of different concentrations of Fe<sup>3+</sup>: 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (blue line), 0.25 mg  $118$  ml<sup>-1</sup> (red line), 0.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (orange line) and 0.8 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (purple line). Reaction conditions: irradiation intensity of

119 169.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> at 300-400 nm, temperature of 298 K.



**Figure S4.** Proposed mechanism of NPM photolysis in the presence of iron ions leading to HONO and NOx

formation.



127 **Figure S5.** The nitrate and nitrite ions concentrations of NPM (0.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) by 2 hours photolysis at different 128 concentrations of  $Fe^{3+}$ .







**Figure S7.** The spatial distribution of solarradiation in the global region.





**Figure S8.** HONO flux from NPM photolysis under different light intensity. Conditions: NPM concentration of

138 0.05 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentration of 0.025 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, irradiation time of 60 min, temperature of 298 K.



 **Figure S9.** NOx flux from NPM photolysis under different light intensity. Conditions: NPM concentration of 0.05 143 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentration of 0.025 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, irradiation time of 60 min, temperature of 298 K.



**Figure S10.** Cross section of NPM and NOx at different Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentrations.

#### **References**

Ahmed, S., Akhtar, N., Rahman, A., Mondal, N. C., Khurshid, S., Sarah, S., Muqtada Ali Khan, M., and Kamboj, V.:

- 150 Evaluating groundwater pollution with emphasizing heavy metal hotspots in an urbanized alluvium watershed of Yamuna River, northern India, Environ. Nanotechnol., Monit. Manage, 18, 10744, doi:10.1016/j.enmm.2022.100744, 2022. Bai, X., Yang, Q., Guo, Y., Hao, B., Zhang, R., Duan, R., and Li, J.: Alkyl halide formation from degradation of carboxylic acids in the presence of Fe(III) and halides under light irradiation, Water. Res., 235, 119842, doi:10.1016/j.watres.2023.119842, 2023.
- 155 Batchelli, S., Muller, F. L. L., Chang, K.-C., and Lee, C.-L.: Evidence for Strong but Dynamic Iron − Humic Colloidal Associations in Humic-Rich Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8485-8490, doi:10.1021/es101081c, 2010. Gerringa, L. J. A., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Wolterbeek, H. T., Verburg, T. G., Boye, M., and de Baar, H. J. W.: Kinetic study reveals weak Fe-binding ligand, which affects the solubility of Fe in the Scheldt estuary, Mar. Chem., 103, 30-45, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2006.06.002, 2007.
- 160 Gobler, C. J., Donat, J. R., Consolvo, J. A.and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S. A.: Physicochemical speciation of iron during coastal algal blooms, Mar. Chem., 77, 71-89, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00076-7, 2002. Lippiatt, S. M., Lohan, M. C., and Bruland, K. W.: The distribution of reactive iron in northern Gulf of Alaska coastal waters, Mar. Chem., 121, 187-199, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2010.04.007, 2010. Lu, Z., Challis, J. K., and Wong, C. S.: Quantum Yields for Direct Photolysis of Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Water:
- 165 Implications for Exposure to Nontarget Aquatic Organisms, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 2, 188-192, doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00136, 2015. Nishioka, J., Takeda, S., Wong, C. S., and Johnson, W. K.: Size-fractionated iron concentrations in the northeast Pacific Ocean: distribution of soluble and small colloidal iron, Mar. Chem., 74, 157-179, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00013-5, 2001.
- 170 Öztürk, M., Bizsel, N., and Steinnes, E.: Iron speciation in eutrophic and oligotrophic Mediterranean coastal waters;: impact of phytoplankton and protozoan blooms on iron distribution, Mar. Chem., 81, 19-36, doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(02)00137-8, 2003.

Wang, Y., Huang, D. D., Huang, W., Liu, B., Chen, Q., Huang, R., Gen, M., Mabato, B. R. G., Chan, C. K., Li, X., Hao, T., Tan, Y., Hoi, K. I., Mok, K. M., and Li, Y. J.: Enhanced Nitrite Production from the Aqueous Photolysis of Nitrate in the

175 Presence of Vanillic Acid and Implications for the Roles of Light-Absorbing Organics, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 55, 15694-15704, doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c04642, 2021.