Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 11603–11618, 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-11603-2024 © Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





# **Measurement report: Sources, sinks, and lifetime of NO***<sup>x</sup>* **in a suburban temperate forest at night**

Simone T. Andersen $^1$ , Max R. McGillen $^2$ , Chaoyang Xue $^2$ , Tobias Seubert $^1$ , Patrick Dewald $^1$ , Gunther N. T. E. Türk $^1$ , Jan Schuladen $^1$ , Cyrielle Denjean $^3$ , Jean-Claude Etienne $^3$ , Olivier Garrouste $^3$ , Marina Jamar $^4$ , Sergio Harb $^5$ , Manuela Cirtog $^5$ , Vincent Michoud $^6$ , Mathieu Cazaunau $^5$ , Antonin Bergé $^5$ , Christopher Cantrell $^5$ , Sebastien Dusanter $^4$ , Bénédicte Picquet-Varrault $^5$ , Alexandre Kukui<sup>7</sup>, Abdelwahid Mellouki<sup>2,8</sup>, Lucy J. Carpenter<sup>9</sup>, Jos Lelieveld<sup>1</sup>, and John N. Crowley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Atmospheric Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, 55128 Mainz, Germany <sup>2</sup>Institut de Combustion, Aérothermique, Réactivité Environnement (ICARE), CNRS, 45071 Orléans, France <sup>3</sup>CNRM, Universite de Toulouse, Meteo-France, CNRS, 31057 Toulouse, France <sup>4</sup>IMT Nord Europe, Institut Mines-Télécom, Université de Lille, Center for Energy and Environment, 59000 Lille, France <sup>5</sup>Univ Paris Est Creteil and Université de Paris Cité, CNRS, LISA, 94010 Créteil, France <sup>6</sup>Université Paris Cité and Univ Paris Est Creteil, CNRS, LISA, 75013 Paris, France <sup>7</sup>Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace (LPC2E), CNRS, Orléans, France

<sup>8</sup>University Mohammed VI Polytechnic (UM6P), Lot 660, Hay Moulay Rachid, Ben Guerir, 43150, Morocco <sup>9</sup>Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

**Correspondence:** Simone T. Andersen (simone.andersen@mpic.de) and John N. Crowley (john.crowley@mpic.de)

Received: 29 November 2023 – Discussion started: 24 January 2024 Revised: 1 August 2024 – Accepted: 31 August 2024 – Published: 17 October 2024

**Abstract.** The budget of reactive nitrogen species, which play a central role in atmospheric chemistry (e.g. in photochemical O<sup>3</sup> production), is poorly understood in forested regions. In this study, through observations of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $NO<sub>y</sub>$ , and  $O<sub>3</sub>$  in the Rambouillet Forest near Paris, France, we have examined nighttime processes controlling  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in an anthropogenically impacted forest environment. The  $O<sub>3</sub>$  mixing ratios displayed a strong diel profile at the site that was driven by a variable but generally rapid deposition to soil and foliar surfaces. The  $O_3$  diel profile was strongly influenced by relative humidity and temperature inversion. Only when the  $O_3$ mixing ratio was sufficiently low (and thus the NO lifetime sufficiently long) were sustained NO peaks observed above the instrumental detection limit, enabling the derivation of average NO emission rates of  $\sim 1.4$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> from the soil. Observations of the lack of increase in  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at night, despite a significant production rate from the reaction of NO with O<sub>3</sub>, enabled an effective lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> of ∼ 0.5–3 h to be derived. As the loss of NO<sub>2</sub> was not compensated for by the formation of gas- or particle-phase reactive nitrogen species, it was presumably either driven by deposition to soil and foliar surfaces or any products formed were themselves short-lived with respect to deposition. By comparison, the daytime lifetime of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with respect to loss by reaction with OH is about 1 d. Our results indicate that the nighttime deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> is a major sink of boundary layer NO<sub>x</sub> in this temperate forest environment.

#### **1 Introduction**

Nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x = NO + NO_2$ ) are pollutant trace gases that play a key role in the atmosphere by producing or destroying tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$ , which can cause respiratory illness (Ciencewicki and Jaspers, 2007) and damage to plants (Emberson et al., 2018). Photolysis of nitrogen dioxide  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  (Reaction R1) is the primary source of tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$ , and the nitric oxide (NO) product is oxidized back to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  by either (1)  $O<sub>3</sub>$  (Reaction R2) or (2) organic peroxy radicals  $(RO<sub>2</sub>, under formation of alkoxy radicals)$ (RO)) or hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>) (Reactions R3 and R4) (Lightfoot et al., 1992). The latter results in the formation of the hydroxyl radical (OH); thus, Reactions (R3) and (R4) represent routes to recycle the most important atmospheric radical initiator of oxidation (Hens et al., 2014). It is, therefore, essential to understand the sources and sinks of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the atmosphere.

$$
NO2 + hv (+O2) \rightarrow NO + O3
$$
 (R1)

$$
NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \tag{R2}
$$

 $NO + RO<sub>2</sub> \rightarrow NO<sub>2</sub> + RO$  (R3)

$$
NO + HO2 \rightarrow NO2 + OH
$$
 (R4)

The dominant global sources of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  are anthropogenic in the form of the combustion of fossil fuels and, to a lesser degree, biomass burning and agricultural soils. The natural sources, which include lightning (Schumann and Huntrieser, 2007), wildfires (Val Martin et al., 2008), and unperturbed soil emissions from microbial activities (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997), are important in regions remote from anthropogenic sources.  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NO$  both react with peroxy radicals in the atmosphere to produce organic nitrates (Reactions R5 and R6), including peroxy nitrates  $(RO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)$  and alkyl nitrates (RONO2), which are important precursors for the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs) (Hallquist et al., 2009; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016).  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  also reacts with OH radicals,  $O<sub>3</sub>$ , and nitrate radicals  $(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ , to form nitric acid  $(HNO<sub>3</sub>)$  (Reaction R7);  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  radicals (Reaction R8); and dinitrogen pentoxide  $(N_2O_5)$  (Reaction R9), respectively.  $N_2O_5$  is in thermal equilibrium with  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  and can interact with aqueous aerosol or moist surfaces to form  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  (Reaction R10) (Kane et al., 2001) or nitryl chloride (ClNO<sub>2</sub>) (Phillips et al.,  $2013$ ,  $2012$ ). Organic nitrates, SOAs, and  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  are all removed from the boundary layer through dry and wet deposition, which also, in turn, removes  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from the atmosphere.

$$
NO2 + RO2 + M \rightarrow RO2NO2 + M
$$
 (R5)

$$
NO + RO2 + M \rightarrow RONO2 + M
$$
 (R6)

$$
NO2 + OH + M \rightarrow HNO3 + M
$$
 (R7)

$$
NO2 + O3 \rightarrow NO3 + O2
$$
 (R8)

$$
NO2 + NO3 + M \rightleftharpoons N2O5 + M
$$
 (R9)

 $N_2O_5 + H_2O(aq) \rightarrow 2HNO_3(aq)$  (R10)

In the planetary boundary layer,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is also lost through dry deposition to surfaces, such as soil and leaves. Deposition takes places during both nighttime and daytime, but it is expected to be more efficient during daytime due to increased mixing through turbulence. When  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposits onto humid surfaces, it can lead to the production of nitrous acid (HONO), which can be released to the atmosphere (Meusel et al., 2016; Elshorbany et al., 2012). NO<sub>2</sub> uptake on leaves takes place through stomatal and non-stomatal processes, which have been reported to depend on multiple factors, such as the stomatal aperture and relative humidity. Stomatal uptake primarily occurs during daytime, when the stomata are open, leading to increased  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  loss compared with nighttime, when the stomata are not fully open (Delaria et al., 2020, 2018; Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011). Non-stomatal uptake occurs through the cuticles, although the importance of cuticular uptake has been reported to be small compared with the stomatal uptake (Delaria and Cohen, 2020; Delaria et al.,  $2020$ ). NO<sub>2</sub> uptake by leaves is reported to be enhanced in the presence of water films, which may exist when the relative humidity is > 70 % (Thoene et al., 1996; Weber and Rennenberg, 1996; Burkhardt and Eiden, 1994). There is, however, no consensus on this process, as other studies have not observed this effect (Gessler et al., 2000). Most recent work shows that the interactions with foliar surfaces are unidirectional, i.e. emissions are negligible (Delaria et al., 2020).

At nighttime,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  photolysis ceases; as a consequence, in the absence of combustion sources, the main sources of NO are emissions from soils (Jaeglé et al., 2005). As NO is oxidized efficiently by  $O_3$  at night, its concentration will be highest at the surface and will decrease with altitude. The vertical profile of  $O_3$  is the opposite, owing to its physical loss due to deposition near the surface and through chemical reaction with NO and/or alkenes combined with entrainment from the nocturnal residual layer. As  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is produced from the reaction between NO and  $O<sub>3</sub>$ , its vertical gradient is expected to be weaker than those of NO and  $O<sub>3</sub>$  (Geyer and Stutz, 2004; Stutz et al., 2004).

In this study, we use measurements from the ACROSS (Atmospheric ChemistRy Of the Suburban foreSt) campaign to investigate the nighttime sources and sinks of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in a temperate forest.  $O_3$  measurements are used to explain the observed NO features, and measurements of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and total gas-phase nitrogen species  $(NO<sub>v</sub>)$  and particulate nitrate are used to investigate the lifetime and fate of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the forest environment.

# **2 The ACROSS campaign**

The ACROSS campaign (13 June 2022 to 25 July 2022) was conducted in multiple locations in and around Paris, France (Cantrell and Michoud, 2022). Here, we present measurements from the Rambouillet Forest supersite located approximately 50 km southwest of Paris (48.687° N, 1.704° E). The

forest consists of approximately 70 % oak, 20 % pine, and small fractions of beech and chestnut. The top of the forest canopy around the supersite is around 20–25 m. In this work, several instrumented containers were placed in a clearing ( $\sim$  697 m<sup>2</sup>) along with a 41 m measurement tower. Most of the instruments used in this study were located in two different containers (MPIC and Orléans). The sampling inlets of the two containers were approximately 17 m apart, and the tower was approximately 9 m from the MPIC container and 16 m from the Orléans container. The soil measurements were carried out at the bottom of the tower, approximately 13 m from the MPIC container and approximately 17 m from the Orléans container. All of the instruments used in this study are described briefly below.

#### 2.1 Measurements

#### 2.1.1 Ground measurements

NO<sup>2</sup> was measured using two different cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) instruments with co-located inlets sampling from a high-volume-flow stainless-steel tube  $(10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ min}^{-1})$ ; 15 cm diameter and 0.2 s residence time) that took air from a height of 5.4 m above the ground. One of the instruments (5CH-CRDS) consists of three cavities, operated at  $408 \text{ nm}$ , to measure  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and, via their thermal dissociation to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , total peroxy nitrates ( $\sum$ PNs, 448 K) and total alkyl nitrates ( $\sum$ ANs, 648 K). Two additional cavities, operated at  $662 \text{ nm}$ , measured  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  and (via thermal dissociation to  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  at 373 K)  $N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  (Sobanski et al., 2016). During this campaign, the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  cavity had a limit of detection (LOD) of 9.7 pptv (parts per trillion by volume) for a 1 min averaging time ( $3\sigma$ ). The second instrument (k-NO3) primarily measured the NO<sub>3</sub> reactivity, but it also had a cavity operated at 405 nm for the measurement of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (Liebmann et al., 2018).

Another CRDS instrument was used to measure  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ ,  $NO<sub>y</sub>$ , and particulate nitrate ( $pNO<sub>3</sub>$ ) from co-located inlets near the high-volume-flow stainless-steel tube.  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  was measured by adding  $O_3$  to the ambient sample, thereby oxidizing  $NO$  to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , the latter of which was measured with CRDS at 405 nm (Friedrich et al., 2020). A judicious choice of  $O_3$  and reaction time ensured that minimal (< 1 %)  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was oxidized to  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ . At times with low (or zero) NO,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  concentrations were in close agreement with both  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  measurements.  $NO<sub>v</sub>$  was measured by passing ambient air through a quartz inlet at  $\sim$  900 K; this quantitatively converts reactive nitrogen trace gases to NO or NO2. Exceptions are  $N_2O$ , HCN, and NH<sub>3</sub>, which are not detected. At this location,  $NO<sub>y</sub>$  is expected to mainly consist of  $NO<sub>x</sub>+NO<sub>3</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+HNO<sub>3</sub>+PNs+ANS+HONO+CINO<sub>2</sub>+$ particulate nitrates  $(pNO<sub>3</sub>)$ .

Particulate nitrates (both organic and inorganic) were separately measured (as  $NO<sub>v</sub>$ ) after denuding gas-phase reactive nitrogen species (Friedrich et al., 2020). To achieve this, problems involving the ineffective trapping of gas-phase  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  by the denuder were eliminated, as will be described in a forthcoming technical paper.

 $O_3$  was measured from the high-volume-flow stainlesssteel tube with a commercial instrument (Model 205, 2B Technologies) using UV absorption at 254 nm. The LOD is 2 ppbv for a 10 s averaging time.

A spectral radiometer (METCON GmbH) was installed near the co-located inlets on top of the MPIC container to measure actinic fluxes, which were used to calculate photolysis frequencies, as described elsewhere (Meusel et al., 2016).

NO was measured from the Orléans container using a commercial chemiluminescence instrument (CLD 780 TR, Eco Physics; hereafter CLD) with a LOD of 10 pptv for a 1 min averaging time. The sampling height for NO measurements was about 0.6 and 3.2 m above the container top and the ground surface, respectively. The NO measurements required correction due to a change in the CLD sensitivity during the campaign caused by an interruption in the instrument's oxygen supply. The corrections and the corrective procedure are described in the Supplement.

HONO was measured by a commercial long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP-03, QUMA GmbH) with a sampling height of 2.0 m above ground level. Details about the LOPAP instrument can be found elsewhere (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2006). During the campaign, the LOPAP was calibrated by diluted nitrite when any supporting solutions were changed. Zero calibration by measuring synthetic air was conducted two to three times per day. The detection limit was  $<$  5 pptv.

The sum of peroxy radicals  $(XO_2 = HO_2 + RO_2)$  was measured by their conversion to  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  in the presence of NO and SO<sub>2</sub> and detection of the generated  $H_2SO_4$  using NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> chemical ionization mass spectrometry (Kukui et al., 2008). The calibration coefficient is determined using  $N_2O$  actinometry and both OH and  $RO<sub>2</sub>$  generation in a turbulent-flow reactor by photolysis of  $N_2O$  or  $H_2O$  at 184.9 nm. The calibration of  $HO_2$ ,  $CH_3O_2$ , and other  $RO_2$  species is performed by adding  $CO$ ,  $CH_4$ , or other  $RO_2$  precursors into the calibration reactor and converting OH to RO<sub>2</sub>. The overall estimated calibration accuracy ( $2\sigma$ ) for XO<sub>2</sub> is about 30%, although the uncertainty of the  $XO<sub>2</sub>$  measurements is typically higher due to uncertainty in the ambient-air  $XO<sub>2</sub>$  composition. The lower limit of detection for  $XO<sub>2</sub>$  radicals at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 and a 4 min integration time is  $2 \times 10^6$  molec. cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Time series of the most relevant measurements can be found in Figs. S1 and S2 in the Supplement. Due to missing total  $NO_x$  and  $NO_y$  measurements prior to 25 June and missing NO measurements after 18 July, the data analysis is focused on the time period in between these dates.

#### 2.1.2 Tower measurements

Measurements at 41 m were conducted with instruments located on the tower as well as through a manifold with an inlet at the top of the tower. The manifold was built from BORODRAIN glass tubing (4.9 cm inner diameter) with a residence time in the manifold of  $2.1$  s.  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was measured using a cavity attenuated phase shift (CAPS) instrument on the tower with a LOD of 40 pptv; this instrument was zeroed every  $1-2h$ . NO and  $O_3$  were both measured from the manifold using a chemiluminescence instrument with a LOD of 30 pptv and a HORIBA (APOA370) with a LOD of 2.5 ppbv, respectively. The NO measurements were corrected for losses due to the reaction of NO with  $O_3$  in the manifold and the sampling line (total of 5.5 s), with corrections ranging from 1 % to 28 %. Time series of all three measurements are plotted in Fig. S3 in the Supplement.

## 2.1.3 Meteorology and soil measurements

Ambient temperature was measured at four different heights on the tower  $-5$ , 13, 21, and 41 m – using temperature sensors from ATEXIS (PT1000) and Thermo Est (PT100). Relative humidity was measured at 5 m using a Vaisala humidity sensor (HMP45A). Soil temperature and moisture were measured at 5, 10, and 30 cm below the surface using probes from Thermo Est (PT100) and Delta-T Devices (ThetaProbe ML2X), respectively. Wind speed and direction were measured at 41 m using a wind monitor from Young Company. Time series of all of the meteorological and soil measurements are shown in Figs. S4 and S5 in the Supplement.

# 2.2 HYSPLIT

To identify different air masses, 48 h back trajectories were simulated every hour at a terminating height of 40 m using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (version 4, 2019; Draxler and Rolph, 2011). The back trajectories were modelled using meteorological data from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) at a resolution of 1°. This led to the separation of the data into two periods, 25 June to 2 July and 3 July to 18 July, which are plotted in Fig. 1. The first phase was dominated by clean air from over the Atlantic Ocean (hereafter referred to as "Atlantic"). Back trajectories indicated that the vast majority of the air masses were transported within the boundary layer prior to reaching the site and, thus, may have a reasonably fresh "marine influence". The second phase was dominated by air that had passed over urban locations, including Paris, Brussels, and the Ruhr area, within the prior 48 h (hereafter referred to as "Continental").

#### **3 Results and discussion**

Two 24 h periods of temperature (at four different heights), NO,  $O_3$ , relative humidity (RH), NO<sub>2</sub>, and the NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate constant  $( JNO<sub>2</sub>)$  are plotted in Fig. 2. The left column shows the 24 h period with Atlantic air, whereas the right column shows the 24 h with Continental air. Immediately apparent in these datasets (and in Fig. S1) is the large diel cycle in



**Figure 1.** The 48 h back trajectories from the Rambouillet Forest supersite using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (version 4, 2019).

the  $O_3$  mixing ratios, with net daytime production resulting in mid-afternoon mixing ratios of between ∼ 30 and 90 ppbv. In contrast, very low  $O_3$  mixing ratios (often approaching zero) were observed at nighttime.

In Fig. 2i and  $j$  (JNO<sub>2</sub> measurements), nighttime is shown in dark grey, while the two light-grey areas represent the time before sunset (about 5 h) and after sunrise (about 4.5 h) when very little direct sunlight reaches the ground at the site due to shading by the trees. This leaves about 6.5 h centred around midday when direct sunlight reaches the ground. The shading results in radiative cooling of the ground in the late afternoon, and associated temperature inversions begin to form prior to sunset, as can be observed in the right column of Fig. 2 and in more detail in Fig. S6 in the Supplement. The temperature inversions begin at approximately the same time as the ground temperature at 5 cm below the surface starts to decrease (see Fig. S6). These conditions of insolation were relatively consistent throughout the campaign.

Clear temperature inversions were observed for both of the nights shown in Fig. 2, the beginning and end of which are indicated by dashed lines. Vertical mixing can be significantly reduced during a temperature inversion, which is apparent from the  $O_3$  and RH measurements in the right-hand column. In both examples,  $O_3$  decreases at ground level  $(5.4 \text{ m})$ at the beginning of the temperature inversion and increases as the inversion breaks down in the morning. This behaviour is understood in terms of  $O_3$  loss to soil surfaces and through stomatal and non-stomatal uptake on leaves (Zhou et al., 2017; Rannik et al., 2012; Altimir et al., 2006; Ganzeveld and Lelieveld, 1995) as well as through chemical reactions with,



**Figure 2.** Measurements of temperature, NO, O<sub>3</sub>, RH, NO<sub>2</sub>, and JNO<sub>2</sub> for two different nights during the campaign: one during the Atlantic phase  $(a, c, e, g, i)$  and one during the Continental phase  $(b, d, f, h, j)$ . The four different colours in the legend symbolize four different heights: red represents 3–6 m, grey represents 13 m, orange represents 21 m, and black represents 41 m. Blue shows the RH at 5 m. The grey shaded areas in the JNO<sub>2</sub> plots show the time during which the MPIC container was in the shade in the afternoon and morning (light grey) and nighttime (dark grey). The vertical dashed black lines indicate the beginning and end of the observed temperature inversions in panels (a) and (b).

for example,  $NO$ ,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and unsaturated (biogenic) organics (Kurpius and Goldstein, 2003). Reduced vertical mixing means that, during the inversion,  $O_3$  is only slowly replenished by downward mixing of air masses above the canopy, where higher  $O_3$  levels are observed. In contrast, the RH behaves in the opposite sense, as the air above the inversion is drier than that close to the ground, where evapotranspiration contributes to enhanced water vapour concentrations.

If the only source of NO was the photolysis of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , NO mixing ratios would be expected to follow the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  photolysis rate during the day and tend toward zero at night, as NO is oxidized to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  by  $O<sub>3</sub>$  on a timescale of minutes (for  $O_3 > 10$  ppb). This was not always the case during ACROSS. A pronounced NO peak (up to  $\sim$  2 ppbv) was observed at ground level between 00:00 and 06:00 UTC (02:00 and 08:00 LT) during the phase dominated by Atlantic air (shown in Fig. 2); this peak is absent in the phase dominated by Continental air. The peak occurs prior to sunrise and is only observed by the ground-level measurements, suggesting a non-photolytic source of NO close to the ground; this is discussed further below. Very low  $(0-5$  ppbv)  $O_3$  mixing ratios coincide with the sustained nighttime NO peak observed,

which is never reached in the Continental-phase example, although clear temperature inversions were seen in both cases. Additional examples of sustained NO peaks (i.e. lasting several hours at a level of between 1 and 2 ppbv) at night during the first phase are shown in Fig. S7 in the Supplement. Examples of additional nights with temperature inversions during phase 2, during which NO mixing ratios remained close to zero, are shown in Fig. S8 in the Supplement.

#### 3.1 Nighttime ozone loss

For each night between 17 June and 22 July, the net  $O_3$  lossrate constant,  $k_L(O_3)$ , was derived by fitting exponential expressions to the data for periods of 4.5 to 8 h.  $k_L(O_3)$  was highly variable, with values between  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $3.0 \times$ 10−<sup>4</sup> s −1 , depending on the strength of the temperature inversion and the relative humidity (see discussion below). These values of  $k_L(O_3)$  correspond to lifetimes of 1–15 h for  $O_3$ at nighttime. Chemical losses of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  occur through reactions with NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and unsaturated biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) (Zhou et al., 2017). Rate coefficients of reactions of O<sub>3</sub> with NO ( $1.9 \times 10^{-14}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molec.<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

at 298 K), NO<sub>2</sub>  $(3.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K), limonene (a reactive terpene,  $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molec.<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K), and  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (a sesquiterpene, 1.2  $\times$  $10^{-14}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molec.<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K) are low; thus, mixing ratios in excess of 1 ppbv would be required for NO and  $\beta$ caryophyllene to explain the  $O_3$  loss-rate constant (IUPAC, 2024). The required mixing ratios of terpenes or  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  would be even larger (60–300 ppbv). As such high mixing ratios of  $NO$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  were not observed continuously and such BVOC levels are unlikely, we assume that chemical losses of O<sup>3</sup> are insignificant compared with deposition, as previously observed (Zhou et al., 2017). Ignoring entrainment from other heights, we can then equate  $k_L(O_3)$  to  $(2V_d/h)$ , where  $V_d$  is the deposition velocity and h is the boundary layer height; the factor 2 is used to account for a positive vertical gradient (Shepson et al., 1992). Using a boundary layer height of 20 m (arbitrarily set equal to the top of the canopy) gives net deposition velocities of between 0.018 and  $0.3 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ . These values for  $V_{d}$  are in broad agreement with other studies in temperate forests, where deposition velocities for  $O_3$  at nighttime have been reported to be around 0.07–0.3 cm s−<sup>1</sup> (Padro, 1996, 1993; Finkelstein et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2016).

In Fig. 3, the  $O_3$  production rate (JNO<sub>2</sub>×[NO<sub>2</sub>]), RH, temperature at four different heights, and  $O_3$  mixing ratio are plotted for two nights with high average RH to illustrate the impact of temperature inversions on the net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants. The production rate of  $O_3$  is used to identify periods in which production is negligible. In the left column, a night without a temperature inversion is plotted, where the average RH for the period used to fit the exponential decay is 93 %  $\pm$  3 %. These conditions resulted in a net O<sub>3</sub> loss-rate constant of  $6.0 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, the night depicted in the right column has the same average RH (92  $% \pm 3 \%$ ) and a very clear temperature inversion, which gives a net  $O_3$ loss-rate constant of  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. This gives a factor of 5 between these two net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants depending on whether a temperature inversion is observed or not. This can be understood in terms of the  $O_3$  being replenished from above when there is no (or a weak) inversion, which is not the case when there is an inversion. Bearing this in mind, the use of  $k_L(O_3)$  (a *net*  $O_3$  loss constant) must result in a lower limit to  $V<sub>d</sub>$  unless strong temperature inversions (preventing  $O_3$  entrainment from above) are present. The  $O_3$  loss rate will also be enhanced under conditions of strong inversion if trace gases that are reactive towards  $O_3$  are released into a very shallow boundary layer. However, as indicated above, chemical losses are not expected to compete with physical losses.

To investigate the impact of RH on the net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants, two nights with temperature inversions are plotted in Fig. S9 in the Supplement: one with a high RH  $(92\% \pm 3\%)$  and one with a lower RH  $(63\% \pm 6\%)$ . Here, we see a large decrease in  $k_L(O_3)$ , from  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $4.5 \times$ 10−<sup>5</sup> s −1 , when going from a high to a lower RH. The in-

dividually determined  $O_3$  loss-rate constants are plotted as a function of RH in Fig. 4 and colour-coded depending on whether a temperature inversion is observed during the time period that was used for the exponential decay fit. A clear increase in  $O_3$  loss-rate constants can be observed when the RH increased above 70 %–80 % when a temperature inversion was observed. A small increase at a RH higher than 70 %– 80 % was also observed when temperature inversions were absent. The observed dependence of  $k_L(O_3)$  on relative humidity is consistent with previous studies in forested regions, which have reported an increase in  $O_3$  loss above 60 %–70 % RH (Altimir et al., 2006; Rannik et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2017). Altimir et al. (2006) suggested an enhancement factor that is humidity dependent above 70 % RH: 1 at 70 % RH, 2 at 85 % RH, and a sharp increase to over 5 when moving towards 100 % RH. In a boreal forest, these observations have been explained by the formation of a "wet skin" on leaves that enhances surface  $O_3$  losses by modifying (reducing) the surface-resistance to uptake (Zhou et al., 2017). This is in broad agreement with our observations during nights with a temperature inversion (see Fig. 4), and the discrepancies between the studies could be explained, for example, by different tree types, the height of the boundary layer, the strength of the inversion, and the temperature.

The faster net rate of  $O_3$  loss on nights with high RH and well-defined temperature inversions explains the differences observed in the  $O_3$  mixing ratios at night during the Atlantic and Continental phases. The average nighttime (20:00– 04:00 UTC) RH for the Atlantic phase was  $87.4\% \pm 7.6\%$  $(1\sigma)$  compared with 68.4 %  $\pm$  12.7 %  $(1\sigma)$  for the Continental phase, indicating that higher loss-rate constants would be expected for the Atlantic phase on nights with temperature inversions. The high RH combined with the significantly lower average peak  $O_3$  mixing ratio in the Atlantic phase  $(34.5 \pm 6.0)(1\sigma)$  ppbv between 14:00 and 15:00 UTC) compared with the Continental phase  $(52.7 \pm 13.6)(1\sigma)$  ppbv between 14:00 and 15:00 UTC) explains why the  $O_3$  was essentially completely depleted on nights with temperature inversions during the Atlantic phase, as shown in Figs. 2 and S7.

#### 3.2 Nitrogen oxide soil emissions

Figures 2c and S7 show nighttime periods in which NO was observed when  $O_3$  was depleted during the Atlantic phase. The several-hour duration of the period during which NO was above the LOD excludes very local combustion as the source, leaving soil emissions resulting from microbial activity (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997) as the most likely source of NO. At 293 K and 2 ppby of  $O_3$ , the lifetime of NO with respect to the reaction with  $O_3$  is around 20 min. It is, therefore, reasonable to assume that NO is close to steady state when there is 2 ppbv or more of  $O_3$  available. The NO emission rate  $(E_{NO})$  can, thus, be equated to the loss rate of NO, as described in Eq. (1), assuming that all peroxy radicals



**Figure 3.** The production of  $O_3$  (JNO<sub>2</sub> × [NO<sub>2</sub>]), temperature, RH, and  $O_3$  plotted for two nights with high average RH: one without a temperature inversion  $(a, c, e)$  and one with a temperature inversion  $(b, d, f)$ . The four different colours in the legend symbolize four different heights: red represents 5–5.5 m, grey represents 13 m, orange represents 21 m, and black represents 41 m. Blue shows the RH at 5 m. The net nighttime  $O_3$  loss is fitted with an exponential decay curve (solid black line) in panels (e) and (f). The grey shaded areas represent nighttime.

 $(XO<sub>2</sub>)$  react with the same rate coefficient as  $HO<sub>2</sub>$ :

$$
E_{\rm NO} = k_{\rm NO+O3}[\rm NO][O_3] + k_{\rm NO+HO2}[XO_2][\rm NO],\tag{1}
$$

where  $k_{\text{NO+O3}}$  and  $k_{\text{NO+HO2}}$  are the temperature-dependent rate constants for the reaction of NO with  $O_3$  and  $HO_2$ , respectively (IUPAC, 2024), and [NO],  $[O_3]$ , and  $[XO_2]$  are the measured concentrations of NO, O<sub>3</sub>, and XO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In Fig. 5, NO and  $E_{\text{NO}}$  (when  $O_3 > 2$  ppbv) at nighttime  $($ JNO<sub>2</sub> < 10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) are separated by air masses and plotted against  $O_3$ , where the outliers are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times$  the interquartile range (IQR). While the nighttime NO mixing ratio increased rapidly when  $O_3$  tended towards 0 ppbv during the Atlantic phase,  $O_3$  was never depleted to less than 5 ppbv during the Continental phase and, therefore, no sustained periods of enhanced NO were observed at nighttime. In contrast, no significant trend is found when plotting  $E_{\rm NO}$  against  $O_3$  for either of the phases, which shows that the calculated soil emission of NO is not dependent on  $O_3$ . This indicates that, while the soil is an important but variable source of NO, sustained nighttime NO peaks are only observed above the instrument LOD when  $O_3$  is almost totally depleted so that the lifetime of NO is long enough to allow its concentration to build up sufficiently.

Water content and temperature have previously been shown to impact the emission rate of NO from soil (Pilegaard, 2013; Rosenkranz et al., 2006). Rosenkranz et al. (2006) found a positive correlation between soil moisture and NO emission up to a 40 % water-filled pore space and an optimum between 12.5 and 15  $\degree$ C soil temperature in a sessile oak forest in Hungary. In Fig. 6, NO and  $E_{\text{NO}}$  are plotted against the soil temperature and moisture at 5 cm below the surface. The measured NO mixing ratios peak towards the highest soil moisture and lowest soil temperature measured during this campaign; however, as with  $O_3$ , there is no significant trend in the NO emission rates with soil moisture. At the low  $(11.5-12.5 \degree C)$  and high  $(19.5-20.5 \degree C)$  nighttime soil temperatures, very few measurements were made (around 2 h combined) compared with the rest of the temperature intervals. Across the remaining temperature intervals, no significant trend was observed in the estimated NO emission.

The average NO emission rate derived for the two phases is identical, with values of  $1.45 \pm 1.61$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> (1 $\sigma$ , median of 1.27 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>) and  $1.42 \pm 5.68$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> (1 $\sigma$ , median of 0.71 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>) for the Atlantic and Continental phases, respectively, when using data where  $O_3 > 2$  ppby. The Continental phase shows much higher variability, resulting from



**Figure 4.** Net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants at 5.4 m plotted against the average relative humidity measured during the time used to fit the exponential decay of O<sub>3</sub>. The error bars represent  $\pm 1\sigma$  on the average RH. The dashed line symbolizes the observations made by Altimir et al. (2006).

more spikes in the data during that period. When  $O_3$  is completely depleted during the Atlantic phase, the increase in NO per hour results in NO emission rates of  $0.3-1.8$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, which is in reasonable agreement with the averages across each of the two phases when there is still  $O_3$  present. By assuming a mixed nocturnal boundary layer (NBL) with a height of 20 m (top of the canopy), the average emission rates can be converted to NO emission fluxes of  $16.6 \pm 18.5$  ( $1\sigma$ ) and  $16.2 \pm 65.0$   $(1\sigma)$  µg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These values are within the range of previous measurements in different European forests with similar tree types to those found in the Rambouillet Forest (see Table 1). The measurements by Pilegaard et al. (2006) and Rosenkranz et al. (2006) were all performed using the chamber technique, whereas Schindlbacher et al. (2004) measured the emission from soil samples collected in the field and exposed to different temperature and humidity levels in the laboratory. The chamberderived emission rates are all either lower than or, within combined uncertainties, equal to the values determined in this study, while emission rates from the soil samples were higher than or, within combined uncertainties, equal to the values derived in the present study. Davidson and Kingerlee (1997) modelled the global NO emission inventory from soil depending on the biome (e.g. temperate forest, agriculture, and savanna) and split the temperate forest category into regions affected or unaffected by nitrogen deposition. For temperate forests not affected by nitrogen deposition, those authors estimated a flux of 0.0–0.2 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>  $(0.0-2.3 \,\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1})$ , which is in good agreement with the lower measurements by Pilegaard et al. (2006). In contrast, the temperate forests impacted by nitrogen deposition had estimated fluxes of 1.1–5.0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (12.6– 57.1  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), which is in good agreement with our measurements at Rambouillet Forest, where nitrogen deposition is enhanced by pollution arriving from Paris and other surrounding urbanized/industrialized areas. While noting that our fluxes are broadly consistent with previous measurements, we recognize that the calculations are based on the assumptions of a well-mixed boundary layer of fixed height (arbitrarily set at 20 m) and should not be overinterpreted.

### 3.3 Nitrogen dioxide losses

At nighttime, in the absence of its photolysis,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  may be expected to increase in concentration (via Reaction R2) when a constant NO source exists (e.g. from soil, as observed here) and when  $O_3$  is present. For both the Atlantic and the Continental phases, an average diel profile, between 20:00 and  $04:00$  UTC, of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (black) is plotted in Fig. 7. No obvious increase in  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  can be observed in the Atlantic phase, whereas an average increase of around 1 ppbv can be observed in the Continental phase. The expected  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  resulting from the  $NO + O_3$  reaction if there were no loss mechanisms of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is plotted in red. This is determined by using the NO<sup>2</sup> measured at 20:00 UTC and incrementally altering this value by the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  that would have been produced through NO oxidation by  $O_3$  and peroxy radicals at each time step. In both phases, the simple assumptions of nighttime  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  production through  $NO + O_3$  and  $NO + XO_2$  and no  $NO_2$  loss result in the significant generation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with an overestimation of  $10-12$  ppbv of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at the end of the night compared with the measured  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . Thus, a loss mechanism of around 1.4 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub> is necessary to explain the observed (lack of increase in) NO<sub>2</sub>.

## 3.3.1 Chemical losses

While  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is removed in a largely irreversible process through reaction with OH radicals to form  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  during the daytime, this is unlikely to represent a significant sink at nighttime. In the absence of photochemical formation pathways, OH is generated at night via the ozonolysis of olefins and in the reaction of  $HO_2$  with  $NO_3$  and  $NO$ .

$$
O_3 + \gt; = \lt \to \to OH \tag{R11}
$$

 $NO_3 + HO_2 \rightarrow OH + NO_2 + O_2$  (R12)

$$
NO + HO2 \rightarrow OH + NO2
$$
 (R4)

In the forested environment in summer, emissions of BVOCs (e.g. olefinic terpenoids) will favour Reaction (R11) and, simultaneously, disfavour Reaction  $(R12)$ , as  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  will be reduced with respect to its concentration via its reactions with BVOCs. During the ACROSS campaign, ground NO<sup>3</sup> levels were generally below the instrument detection



**Figure 5.** The NO (a) and NO emission (b) plotted against  $O_3$  in a box and whiskers plot, where the outliers are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times IQR$ . The colours represent the two different air masses.





limits of 2 pptv; hence, we can reasonably ignore Reaction (R12). Measurements of OH in forested environments are sparse, although they indicate that nocturnal OH levels are low, with concentrations generally lower than  $1 \times$ 10<sup>5</sup> molec. cm−<sup>3</sup> . Combining the rate coefficient for the reaction of OH with NO<sub>2</sub> of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molec.<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (IU-PAC, 2024) at ambient pressure and  $\approx 300$  K with an upper limit (confirmed by measurements) to the OH concentra-

tion of  $1 \times 10^6$  molec. cm<sup>-3</sup> results in an NO<sub>2</sub> loss constant of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, or (at the average nighttime concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> = 1650 pptv) a loss rate of  $\sim$  60 ppth<sup>-1</sup>, which is clearly insufficient to explain the observations.

 $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is also lost via its reaction with  $O<sub>3</sub>$  to form the  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ radical (Reaction R8). We have shown (Dewald et al., 2024) that the majority of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  formed in the forest will react with BVOCs rather than with NO (to re-form  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) and, to a



**Figure 6.** The NO (a, b) and NO emission (c, d) plotted against soil moisture (a, c) and temperature (b, d) at 5 cm below the surface in a box and whiskers plot, where the outliers are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times IQR$ . The colours represent the two different air masses.



**Figure 7.** Average nighttime profiles of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at 5.4 m for each of the two phases (black) plotted along with the expected  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with (grey) and without (red)  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  loss.

good approximation, that Reaction (R8) represents an irreversible loss of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , as the alkyl nitrates will not release nitrogen in the form of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at nighttime. However, the rate coefficient for this process  $(3.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K; IUPAC, 2024) is very small; therefore, with average nighttime  $O_3$  levels reduced by deposition (see above) to 23 ppbv, the lifetime of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with respect to this reaction is 14 h and the loss rate (at the average nighttime concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> = 1650 pptv) is  $\sim$  120 pptv h<sup>-1</sup>, which is again too

slow to contribute significantly to the apparent loss rate of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ .

The chemical loss of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  via reaction with OH or via formation of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  and its further reactions with BVOCs to form alkyl nitrates is expected to result in the conversion of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ to  $NO_y$ . As described in Sect. 2.1.1, we operated an  $NO_y$  instrument to measure  $NO<sub>v</sub>$  in both the gas- and particle-phases during the ACROSS campaign. Figure 8 displays the average diel profiles of  $NO_7$  ( $NO_v$ - $NO_x$ ) and  $pNO_3$  during the Atlantic and Continental phases. For both  $NO<sub>z</sub>$  and  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$ , the diel profiles show either a decrease or a stable mixing ratio across the period in which losses of  $10-12$  ppby of NO<sub>2</sub> are required to explain the observations. Clearly, the loss of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ at nighttime is not balanced by the formation of other forms of reactive nitrogen that were long-lived enough to be detected. Trace gases, such as  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  or alkyl nitrates, may be lost via deposition to surfaces, especially at high relative humidity, and lifetimes for biogenic alkyl nitrates of a few hours have been reported (Liebmann et al., 2019; Farmer and Cohen, 2008; Browne et al., 2013; Romer Present et al., 2020). However, as shown above, the limiting step in the formation of organic nitrates is the slow reaction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with  $O<sub>3</sub>$ , which will not convert sufficient  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $NO<sub>z</sub>$  to explain our observations. The formation of organic nitrates that do not require the intermediacy of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  (i.e. peroxy nitrates formed from  $RO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) would also have been detected by the NO<sub>v</sub> instrument and can, thus, also be ruled out as major reservoirs of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ .

NO<sup>2</sup> deposited to humid surfaces can be converted to HONO and released to the atmosphere (Elshorbany et al., 2012; Meusel et al., 2016). A time series of HONO can be found in Fig. S2 and reveals increases in HONO at nighttime. However, the HONO mixing ratios can account for only a small fraction of the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  loss described above. This may reflect the fact that, if formed at a moist surface, (soluble) HONO is unlikely to desorb quantitatively into the gas phase. The low HONO mixing ratios measured during the Atlantic phase, compared with the Continental phase, could potentially be explained by the difference in soil humidity; however, the factors influencing the formation and release of HONO are complex. The HONO observations will be analysed in detail in a separate publication from the ACROSS campaign.

In the absence of other known gas-phase mechanisms for the removal of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at night and owing to the fact that very small amounts of other reactive nitrogen trace gases or nitrate particles are formed during nighttime, we conclude that the physical removal of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (i.e. deposition) is responsible for its lack of build-up at night during ACROSS and that any transformation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at the surface does not lead to a quantitative release into the gas phase.

#### 3.3.2 Physical losses

 $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is known to be lost through dry deposition to surfaces such as soil and leaves, with the latter depending on whether the stomata are open (daytime) or not fully open (nighttime) (Delaria et al., 2020, 2018; Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011). As for  $O_3$ , the dry deposition of  $NO_2$  to surfaces can be described by an exponential decay with a first-order decay rate constant of  $k_L(NO_2) = (V_d/h)$ , where  $V_d$  is the deposition velocity and  $h$  is the boundary layer height. This expression applies when gradients within the boundary layer are weak, as expected for  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (see above), even though vertical mixing is very slow at night. The net production (or loss) of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is given by Eq. (2), where the first term on the right-hand side is the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  production rate from the reaction of NO with  $O<sub>3</sub>$  or  $XO<sub>2</sub>$  (which is identical to the NO soil emission rate) and the second term is the loss rate assuming only depositional losses (see above) and ignoring the entrainment of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  from other heights. This will give an upper limit for the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition rate, as a small fraction (<  $10\%$ ) of NO<sub>2</sub> is lost through chemical reactions with  $O_3$  and OH (see above).

$$
\frac{d[NO_2]}{dt} = E_{NO} - k_L(NO_2)[NO_2]_0,
$$
\n(2)

where  $[NO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>$  is the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratio at 20:00 UTC. The NO<sup>2</sup> concentration at any subsequent time can then be calculated as described in Eq. (3) via variation of the  $k_L(NO_2)$ term in order to match the observed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratio.

$$
[NO2]t = \int_0^t \frac{d[NO2]}{dt} + [NO2]_0
$$
 (3)

In Fig. 7, the grey lines symbolize the calculated  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratios at nighttime using values of  $k_L(NO_2)$  between  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. As expected, no single value of  $k_L(NO_2)$  can explain all of the measurements, as the height of the boundary layer will not be invariant during the whole night. However, for the Continental and Atlantic phases, the observed NO<sub>2</sub> can be explained with  $k_L(NO_2) = (2.0 \pm 1.002)$  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $k_L(NO_2) = (2.75 \pm 1.25) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, resulting in respective lifetimes of ∼ 1–3 h and  $\sim$  40–110 min for NO<sub>2</sub> at nighttime. As the deposition of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in this environment represents a permanent loss of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ from the gas phase, this lifetime can be compared to, for example, the lifetime of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  with respect to its conversion to HNO<sub>3</sub> via the reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with OH, which is  $\sim 1$  d (assuming an average of [OH] =  $1 \times 10^6$  molec. cm<sup>-3</sup>). The low aerosol surface area during ACROSS combined with the low uptake coefficient for  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  renders losses due to heterogeneous processes insignificant (IUPAC, 2024). Clearly, only depositional losses of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in a forested environment contribute substantially to its lifetime at night and to the  $NO_x$ budget.

If we continue to assume that the NBL at the forest site is at the top of the canopy  $(20 \text{ m})$ , the NO<sub>2</sub> loss-rate constants that we determined can be converted to a deposition



**Figure 8.** Average diel profiles of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, total gas-phase NO<sub>z</sub>, and particulate nitrate (pNO<sub>3</sub>) at 3–6 m above ground for the Atlantic  $(a, c, e, g)$  and Continental  $(b, d, f, h)$  phases. The grey shaded areas symbolize nighttime.

velocity of  $0.4 \pm 0.2$  cm s<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.55 \pm 0.25$  cm s<sup>-1</sup> for the Continental and Atlantic phase, respectively. These are comparable to previous measurements of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition velocities of  $0.15 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (Dewald et al., 2022),  $0.1 - 0.57 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (Rondón et al., 1993), 0.098 cm s−<sup>1</sup> (Breuninger et al., 2013), 0.2–0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Horii et al., 2004), and 0.02–0.64 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Puxbaum and Gregori, 1998) for a mountain observatory surrounded by coniferous trees, boreal coniferous forests, a temperate coniferous forest, a temperate mixed deciduous forest, and a temperate oak forest, respectively, where a combination of soil and foliage deposition was measured. Horii et al. (2004) saw an increase in the deposition velocity with an increasing NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio: from  $0.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ at 1 ppbv to  $0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  at 30 ppbv. Puxbaum and Gregori (1998) reported monthly averages of 0.02–0.64  $\text{cm s}^{-1}$ ; however, their average nighttime deposition velocities were below 0.05 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. The deposition velocities determined here are a factor of 5–40 higher than what has been measured for nighttime foliage deposition velocities to the leaves of different trees native to California (Delaria et al., 2020, 2018), although they are in good agreement with measurements for daytime. It is, however, important to note that the deposition velocities estimated here are upper limits, as the estimation of the NO emission rate is an upper limit and the chemical loss of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is not taken into account. Using an average nighttime  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  mixing ratio of 1650 and 1450 pptv for

the Continental and Atlantic phase, respectively, results in respective NO<sub>2</sub> deposition rates of  $13.6 \pm 6.8 \,\mathrm{\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1}}$ and  $18.7 \pm 8.5 \,\mathrm{\upmu g\,N\,m^{-2}\,h^{-1}}$ , which are in reasonable agreement with that measured for soil  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition in a sessile oak forest (9.67  $\pm$  1.92 µg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) during the summer (Rosenkranz et al., 2006). The estimated NO soil emission rate and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition rate are, within the uncertainties, identical, which means that the Rambouillet Forest is not a significant direct source or sink of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ .

#### **4 Conclusions**

Measurements of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $NO<sub>v</sub>$ , and  $O<sub>3</sub>$  during the ACROSS campaign (June–July 2022) in the Rambouillet Forest, southwest of Paris, France, have been used to gain insight into nighttime processes controlling  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in an anthropogenically impacted forest environment. Based on HYS-PLIT back trajectories, two phases of the campaign were identified: one dominated by air originating over the Atlantic Ocean ("Atlantic"), which on average had high relative humidity and low  $O_3$  mixing ratios, and one dominated by continental air masses from different urban/industrialized regions ("Continental"), which on average had a lower relative humidity than the Atlantic phase and higher  $O_3$  mixing ratios. Strong diel profiles were observed in the  $O<sub>3</sub>$  measurements across the campaign, with daytime peak mixing ratios varying from ∼ 30 to 90 ppbv and nighttime values tending towards 0–10 ppbv. The daily variation was driven by a variable but generally rapid  $O_3$  deposition to soil and foliar surfaces, with a strong influence of relative humidity (influencing the surface resistance to uptake) and inversion (influencing the rate of entrainment of  $O_3$  from above the canopy).

During the Atlantic phase, periods of sustained NO above the instrumental detection limit were observed at nighttime, when  $O_3$  was sufficiently low (i.e. the NO lifetime was sufficiently long). This enabled the derivation of an average NO emission rate from the soil ( $E_{\text{NO}}$ ) of ~ 1.4 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, which was confirmed by the approximately linear increase in NO observed in the absence of  $O_3$  in the Atlantic phase. The estimated  $E_{\text{NO}}$  is in broad agreement with previous measurements in other European temperate forests with similar tree types to those found in the Rambouillet Forest. The uncertainty in the estimated NO emission rate is determined from the uncertainties in NO and  $O_3$  at 3–5 m above the ground, which leads to higher relative uncertainties at low NO and  $O<sub>3</sub>$ mixing ratios. Measurements of either NO fluxes or highly resolved height profiles of NO and  $O_3$  will improve the NO emission rate estimate during future field campaigns.

An increase in  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at night would be expected with a constant NO emission rate of  $\sim 1.4$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of  $O_3$ , as observed in this study; however, this was not the case. The lack of an increase in  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was used to estimate first-order decay constants of  $(2.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $(2.75 \pm 1.25) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in an effective lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> of  $\sim$  0.5–3 h. The loss of NO<sub>2</sub> at nighttime is presumably driven by deposition to soil and foliar surfaces, as the lifetime of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with respect to its reactions with OH and  $O<sub>3</sub>$ at night is  $> 28$  and 14 h, respectively. By comparison, the daytime lifetime of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  with respect to loss by reaction with OH is about 1 d. We conclude that the nighttime deposition of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is a major sink of boundary layer  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in this forested environment.

**Data availability.** All data from the ACROSS campaign, including  $NO_x$  (https://doi.org[/10.25326/705,](https://doi.org/10.25326/705) Andersen and Crowley, 2023a; https://doi.org[/10.25326/687,](https://doi.org/10.25326/687) Crowley, 2024; https://doi.org[/10.25326/708,](https://doi.org/10.25326/708) Dusanter and Jamar, 2023; https://doi.org[/10.25326/512,](https://doi.org/10.25326/512) Xue et al., 2023b), O3 (https://doi.org[/10.25326/707,](https://doi.org/10.25326/707) Crowley, 2023), organic nitrates (https://doi.org[/10.25326/706,](https://doi.org/10.25326/706) Andersen and Crowley, 2023b), HONO (https://doi.org[/10.25326/709,](https://doi.org/10.25326/709) Xue et al., 2023a), meteorological quantities (https://doi.org[/10.25326/437,](https://doi.org/10.25326/437) Denjean, 2023), and peroxy radicals (https://doi.org[/10.25326/509,](https://doi.org/10.25326/509) Kukui, 2023), can be found at <https://across.aeris-data.fr/catalogue/> (last access: 31 August 2024).

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-11603-2024-supplement.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-11603-2024-supplement)

**Author contributions.** All authors contributed measurements. Data analysis was conducted by STA, with contributions from JNC and PD. CC and VM organized the field campaign, with contributions from the individual group leads. STA and JNC developed the manuscript, with contributions from all co-authors.

**Competing interests.** At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

**Special issue statement.** This article is part of the special issue "Atmospheric Chemistry of the Suburban Forest – multiplatform observational campaign of the chemistry and physics of mixed urban and biogenic emissions". It is not associated with a conference.

**Acknowledgements.** Simone T. Andersen is thankful to the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for funding her stay at Max Planck Institute for Chemistry.

Patrick Dewald gratefully acknowledges the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft ("MONOTONS" project; project no. 522970430).

The ACROSS project has received funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR), under the investment programme integrated into France 2030 (grant no. ANR-17-MPGA-0002), and was supported by the French National programme LEFE (Les Enveloppes Fluides et l'Environnement) of the CNRS/INSU (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers). Data from the ACROSS campaign are hosted by the French national Atmosphere Data Centre (AERIS).

IMT Nord Europe acknowledges financial support from the CaPPA project, which is funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programme d'Investissement d'Avenir; grant no. ANR-11-LABX-0005-01), the regional council of Hauts-de-France, and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant nos. ANR-17- MPGA-0002 and ANR-11-LABX-0005-01), the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung (postdoc fellowship), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant no. 522970430).

The article processing charges for this open-access publication were covered by the Max Planck Society.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Amos Tai and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

# **References**

- Altimir, N., Kolari, P., Tuovinen, J.-P., Vesala, T., Bäck, J., Suni, T., Kulmala, M., and Hari, P.: Foliage surface ozone deposition: a role for surface moisture?, Biogeosciences, 3, 209–228, https://doi.org[/10.5194/bg-3-209-2006,](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-209-2006) 2006.
- Ammann, M., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Herrmann, H., Jenkin, M. E., McNeill, V. F., Mellouki, A., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J. and Wallington, T. J.: IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, [https://iupac.aeris-data.fr/,](https://iupac.aeris-data.fr/) last access: April 2024.
- Andersen, S. T. and Crowley, J. N.: ACROSS\_MPIC\_RambForest\_ 5ch-NO2\_1min\_L2 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/705,](https://doi.org/10.25326/705) 2023a.
- Andersen, S. T. and Crowley, J. N.: ACROSS\_MPIC\_RambForest\_ 5ch-PNs-ANs\_10min\_L2 [data set], [https://doi.org/10.25326/](https://doi.org/10.25326/706) [706,](https://doi.org/10.25326/706) 2023b.
- Breuninger, C., Meixner, F. X., and Kesselmeier, J.: Field investigations of nitrogen dioxide  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  exchange between plants and the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 773–790, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-13-773-2013,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-773-2013) 2013.
- Browne, E. C., Min, K.-E., Wooldridge, P. J., Apel, E., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Cantrell, C. A., Cubison, M. J., Diskin, G. S., Jimenez, J. L., Weinheimer, A. J., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of total RONO<sub>2</sub> over the boreal forest:  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  sinks and  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4543–4562, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-13-4543-2013,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4543-2013) 2013.
- Burkhardt, J. and Eiden, R.: Thin water films on coniferous needles: A new device for the study of water vapour condensation and gaseous deposition to plant surfaces and particle samples, Atmos. Environ., 28, 2001–2011, https://doi.org[/10.1016/1352-](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90469-3) [2310\(94\)90469-3,](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90469-3) 1994.
- Cantrell, C. and Michoud, V.: An Experiment to Study Atmospheric Oxidation Chemistry and Physics of Mixed Anthropogenic– Biogenic Air Masses in the Greater Paris Area, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 103, 599–603, https://doi.org[/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0115.1) [0115.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0115.1) 2022.
- Chaparro-Suarez, I. G., Meixner, F. X., and Kesselmeier, J.: Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) uptake by vegetation<br>controlled by atmospheric concentrations and plant controlled by atmospheric concentrations stomatal aperture, Atmos. Environ., 45, 5742–5750, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.021,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.021) 2011.
- Ciencewicki, J. and Jaspers, I.: Air Pollution and Respiratory Viral Infection, Inhal. Toxicol., 19, 1135–1146, https://doi.org[/10.1080/08958370701665434,](https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370701665434) 2007.
- Crowley, J.: ACROSS\_MPIC\_RambForest\_O3\_10min\_L1 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/707,](https://doi.org/10.25326/707) 2023.
- Crowley, J.: ACROSS\_MPIC\_RambForest\_NOx\_1min\_L2 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/687,](https://doi.org/10.25326/687) 2024.
- Davidson, E. A. and Kingerlee, W.: A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 48, 37–50, https://doi.org[/10.1023/A:1009738715891,](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009738715891) 1997.
- Delaria, E. R. and Cohen, R. C.: A model-based analysis of foliar  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  deposition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 2123–2141, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-20-2123-2020,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2123-2020) 2020.
- Delaria, E. R., Vieira, M., Cremieux, J., and Cohen, R. C.: Measurements of  $NO$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange between the atmosphere and *Quercus agrifolia*, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14161–14173, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-18-14161-2018,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14161-2018) 2018.
- Delaria, E. R., Place, B. K., Liu, A. X., and Cohen, R. C.: Laboratory measurements of stomatal  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition to native California trees and the role of forests in the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  cycle, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 14023–14041, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-20-14023-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14023-2020) [2020,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14023-2020) 2020.
- Denjean, C.: ACROSS\_CNRM\_RambForest\_MTO-1MIN\_L2 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/437,](https://doi.org/10.25326/437) 2023.
- Dewald, P., Nussbaumer, C. M., Schuladen, J., Ringsdorf, A., Edtbauer, A., Fischer, H., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Fate of the nitrate radical at the summit of a semi-rural mountain site in Germany assessed with direct reactivity measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 7051–7069, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-22-7051-2022,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-7051-2022) 2022.
- Dewald, P., Seubert, T., Andersen, S. T., Türk, G. N. T. E., Schuladen, J., McGillen, M. R., Denjean, C., Etienne, J.-C., Garrouste, O., Jamar, M., Harb, S., Cirtog, M., Michoud, V., Cazaunau, M., Bergé, A., Cantrell, C., Dusanter, S., Picquet-Varrault, B., Kukui, A., Xue, C., Mellouki, A., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.:  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  reactivity during a summer period in a temperate forest below and above the canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 8983–8997, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-24-8983-2024,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-8983-2024) 2024.
- Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, <https://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php> (last access: 13 April 2023), 2011.
- Dusanter, S. and Jamar, M.: ACROSS\_IMTNE\_RambForest\_Nox\_ AboveCanopy\_L2 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/708,](https://doi.org/10.25326/708) 2023.
- Elshorbany, Y. F., Steil, B., Brühl, C., and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of HONO on global atmospheric chemistry calculated with an empirical parameterization in the EMAC model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9977–10000, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-12-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9977-2012) [9977-2012,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9977-2012) 2012.
- Emberson, L. D., Pleijel, H., Ainsworth, E. A., van den Berg, M., Ren, W., Osborne, S., Mills, G., Pandey, D., Dentener, F., Büker, P., Ewert, F., Koeble, R., and Van Dingenen, R.: Ozone effects on crops and consideration in crop models, Eur. J. Agron., 100, 19–34, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.eja.2018.06.002,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.06.002) 2018.
- Farmer, D. K. and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $\Sigma AN$ ,  $\Sigma$ PN and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: evidence for rapid HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry within a pine forest canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3899–3917, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-8-3899-2008,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3899-2008) 2008.
- Finkelstein, P. L., Ellestad, T. G., Clarke, J. F., Meyers, T. P., Schwede, D. B., Hebert, E. O., and Neal, J. A.: Ozone and sulfur dioxide dry deposition to forests: Observations and model evaluation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 15365–15377, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2000JD900185,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900185) 2000.
- Friedrich, N., Tadic, I., Schuladen, J., Brooks, J., Darbyshire, E., Drewnick, F., Fischer, H., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Measurement of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>y</sub>$  with a thermal dissociation cavity ring-down spectrometer (TD-CRDS): instrument characterisation and first deployment, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 5739–5761, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-13-5739-2020,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-5739-2020) 2020.
- Ganzeveld, L. and Lelieveld, J.: Dry deposition parameterization in a chemistry general circulation model and its influence on

the distribution of reactive trace gases, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 20999–21012, https://doi.org[/10.1029/95JD02266,](https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02266) 1995.

- Gessler, A., Rienks, M., and Rennenberg, H.: NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes between beech trees and the atmosphere – correlation with climatic and physiological parameters, New Phytol., 147, 539–560, https://doi.org[/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00712.x,](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00712.x) 2000.
- Geyer, A. and Stutz, J.: Vertical profiles of  $NO_3$ ,  $N_2O_5$ ,  $O_3$ , and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the nocturnal boundary layer: 2. Model studies on the altitude dependence of composition and chemistry (vol. 109, art. no. D16399, 2004), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D16399, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2004JD005217,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005217) 2004.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, Th. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155–5236, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009)[9-5155-2009,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009) 2009.
- Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument to measure gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 3207–3212, https://doi.org[/10.1021/es000303t,](https://doi.org/10.1021/es000303t) 2001.
- Hens, K., Novelli, A., Martinez, M., Auld, J., Axinte, R., Bohn, B., Fischer, H., Keronen, P., Kubistin, D., Nölscher, A. C., Oswald, R., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Regelin, E., Sander, R., Sinha, V., Sipilä, M., Taraborrelli, D., Tatum Ernest, C., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Observation and modelling of  $HO<sub>r</sub>$ radicals in a boreal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8723–8747, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-14-8723-2014,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8723-2014) 2014.
- Horii, C. V., Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D., and McManus, J. B.: Fluxes of nitrogen oxides over a temperate deciduous forest, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D08305, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2003JD004326,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004326) 2004.
- Jaeglé, L., Steinberger, L., Martin, R. V., and Chance, K.: Global partitioning of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  sources using satellite observations: Relative roles of fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and soil emissions, Faraday Discuss., 130, 407–423, https://doi.org[/10.1039/B502128F,](https://doi.org/10.1039/B502128F) 2005.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-5-1053-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005) [2005,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005) 2005.
- Kane, S. M., Caloz, F., and Leu, M. T.: Heterogeneous uptake of gaseous  $N_2O_5$  by  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ ,  $NH_4HSO_4$ , and  $H_2SO_4$  aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 6465–6470, 2001.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mensah, A. A., Friese, E., Topping, D., Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Aijala, M., Allan, J., Canonaco, F., Canagaratna, M., Carbone, S., Crippa, M., Dall Osto, M., Day, D. A., De Carlo, P., Di Marco, C. F., Elbern, H., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hao, L., Herrmann, H., Hildebrandt, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Laaksonen, A., McFiggans, G., Mohr, C., O'Dowd, C., Otjes, R., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S.

N., Poulain, L., Schlag, P., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Vermeulen, A., Wahner, A., Worsnop, D., and Wu, H. C.: Ubiquity of organic nitrates from nighttime chemistry in the European submicron aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 7735–7744, https://doi.org[/10.1002/2016gl069239,](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl069239) 2016.

- Kleffmann, J., Lörzer, J. C., Wiesen, P., Kern, C., Trick, S., Volkamer, R., Rodenas, M., and Wirtz, K.: Intercomparison of the DOAS and LOPAP techniques for the detection of nitrous acid (HONO), Atmos. Environ., 40, 3640–3652, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.027,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.027) 2006.
- Kukui, A.: ACROSS\_LPC2E\_Rambforest\_RO2\_L2 [data set], https://doi.org[/10.25326/509,](https://doi.org/10.25326/509) 2023.
- Kukui, A., Ancellet, G., and Le Bras, G.: Chemical ionisation mass spectrometer for measurements of OH and Peroxy radical concentrations in moderately polluted atmospheres, J. Atmos. Chem., 61, 133–154, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10874-009-9130-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-009-9130-9) [9,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-009-9130-9) 2008.
- Kurpius, M. R. and Goldstein, A. H.: Gas-phase chemistry dominates  $O_3$  loss to a forest, implying a source of aerosols and hydroxyl radicals to the atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1371, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2002gl016785,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2002gl016785) 2003.
- Liebmann, J., Sobanski, N., Schuladen, J., Karu, E., Hellén, H., Hakola, H., Zha, Q., Ehn, M., Riva, M., Heikkinen, L., Williams, J., Fischer, H., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Alkyl nitrates in the boreal forest: formation via the  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ -, OH- and O3-induced oxidation of biogenic volatile organic compounds and ambient lifetimes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10391–10403, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-19-10391-2019,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10391-2019) 2019.
- Liebmann, J. M., Muller, J. B. A., Kubistin, D., Claude, A., Holla, R., Plass-Dülmer, C., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Direct measurements of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  reactivity in and above the boundary layer of a mountaintop site: identification of reactive trace gases and comparison with OH reactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12045– 12059, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-18-12045-2018,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12045-2018) 2018.
- Lightfoot, P. D., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Destriau, M., Hayman, G. D., Jenkin, M. E., Moortgat, G. K., and Zabel, F.: Organic peroxy radicals – kinetics, spectroscopy and tropospheric chemistry, Atmos. Environ. A-Gen., 26, 1805–1961, 1992.
- Meusel, H., Kuhn, U., Reiffs, A., Mallik, C., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Schuladen, J., Bohn, B., Parchatka, U., Crowley, J. N., Fischer, H., Tomsche, L., Novelli, A., Hoffmann, T., Janssen, R. H. H., Hartogensis, O., Pikridas, M., Vrekoussis, M., Bourtsoukidis, E., Weber, B., Lelieveld, J., Williams, J., Pöschl, U., Cheng, Y., and Su, H.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid at a coastal remote site in Cyprus indicating a common ground source of atmospheric HONO and NO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14475–14493, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-16-14475-2016,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14475-2016) 2016.
- Padro, J.: Seasonal contrasts in modelled and observed dry deposition velocities of  $O_3$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_2$  over three surfaces, Atmos. Environ. A-Gen., 27, 807–814, https://doi.org[/10.1016/0960-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(93)90002-G) [1686\(93\)90002-G,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(93)90002-G) 1993.
- Padro, J.: Summary of ozone dry deposition velocity measurements and model estimates over vineyard, cotton, grass and deciduous forest in summer, Atmos. Environ., 30, 2363–2369, https://doi.org[/10.1016/1352-2310\(95\)00352-5,](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00352-5) 1996.
- Phillips, G. J., Tang, M. J., Thieser, J., Brickwedde, B., Schuster, G., Bohn, B., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Significant concentrations of nitryl chloride observed in rural continental Europe associated with the influence of sea salt chloride

and anthropogenic emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L10811, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2012GL051912,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051912) 2012.

- Phillips, G. J., Makkonen, U., Schuster, G., Sobanski, N., Hakola, H., and Crowley, J. N.: The detection of nocturnal  $N_2O_5$ as HNO<sub>3</sub> by alkali- and aqueous-denuder techniques, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 231–237, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-6-231-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-231-2013) [2013,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-231-2013) 2013.
- Pilegaard, K.: Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils, Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B, 368, 20130126, https://doi.org[/10.1098/rstb.2013.0126,](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0126) 2013.
- Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P., Beier, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dick, J., Dorsey, J., Duyzer, J., Gallagher, M., Gasche, R., Horvath, L., Kitzler, B., Leip, A., Pihlatie, M. K., Rosenkranz, P., Seufert, G., Vesala, T., Westrate, H., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and  $N_2O$ ), Biogeosciences, 3, 651–661, https://doi.org[/10.5194/bg-3-651-](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-651-2006) [2006,](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-651-2006) 2006.
- Puxbaum, H. and Gregori, M.: Seasonal and annual deposition rates of sulphur, nitrogen and chloride species to an oak forest in northeastern austria (Wolkersdorf, 240 m a.s.l.), Atmos. Environ., 32, 3557–3568, https://doi.org[/10.1016/S1352-2310\(98\)00073-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00073-9) [9,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00073-9) 1998.
- Rannik, Ü., Altimir, N., Mammarella, I., Bäck, J., Rinne, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., Hari, P., Vesala, T., and Kulmala, M.: Ozone deposition into a boreal forest over a decade of observations: evaluating deposition partitioning and driving variables, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12165–12182, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-12-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12165-2012) [12165-2012,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12165-2012) 2012.
- Romer Present, P. S., Zare, A., and Cohen, R. C.: The changing role of organic nitrates in the removal and transport of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 267–279, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-20-267-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-267-2020) [2020,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-267-2020) 2020.
- Rondón, A., Johansson, C., and Granat, L.: Dry deposition of nitrogen dioxide and ozone to coniferous forests, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 98, 5159–5172, https://doi.org[/10.1029/92JD02335,](https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02335) 1993.
- Rosenkranz, P., Brüggemann, N., Papen, H., Xu, Z., Horváth, L., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Soil N and C trace gas fluxes and microbial soil N turnover in a sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) forest in Hungary, Plant Soil, 286, 301–322, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s11104-006-9045-z,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9045-z) 2006.
- Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effects of soil moisture and temperature on NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and N2O emissions from European forest soils, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 109, D17302, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2004JD004590,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004590) 2004.
- Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3823–3907, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007) 2007.
- Shepson, P. B., Bottenheim, J. W., Hastie, D. R., and Venkatram, A.: Determination of the relative ozone and PAN deposition velocities at night, Geophys. Res. Lett., 19, 1121–1124, https://doi.org[/10.1029/92gl01118,](https://doi.org/10.1029/92gl01118) 1992.
- Sobanski, N., Schuladen, J., Schuster, G., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: A five-channel cavity ring-down spectrometer for the detection of  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3$ ,  $N_2O_5$ , total peroxy nitrates and total alkyl nitrates, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 5103–5118, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-9-5103-2016,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5103-2016) 2016.
- Stutz, J., Alicke, B., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., White, A., and Williams, E.: Vertical profiles of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>X</sub> in the nocturnal boundary layer: 1. Observations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2000, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D12306, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2003JD004209,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004209) 2004.
- Thoene, B., Rennenberg, H., and Weber, P.: Absorption of atmospheric NO2 by spruce (*Picea abies*) trees, New Phytol., 134, 257–266, https://doi.org[/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04630.x,](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04630.x) 1996.
- Val Martin, M., Honrath, R. E., Owen, R. C., and Lapina, K.: Large-scale impacts of anthropogenic pollution and boreal wildfires on the nitrogen oxides over the central North Atlantic region, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D17308, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2007JD009689,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009689) 2008.
- Weber, P. and Rennenberg, H.: Dependency of nitrogen dioxide  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  fluxes to wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) leaves from  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration, light intensity, temperature and relative humidity determined from controlled dynamic chamber experiments, Atmos. Environ., 30, 3001–3009, https://doi.org[/10.1016/1352-](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(96)00008-8) [2310\(96\)00008-8,](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(96)00008-8) 1996.
- Wu, Z., Staebler, R., Vet, R., and Zhang, L.: Dry deposition of  $O_3$  and  $SO_2$  estimated from gradient measurements above a temperate mixed forest, Environ. Pollut., 210, 202–210, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.052,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.052) 2016.
- Xue, C., McGillen, M., and Mellouki, A.: ACROSS\_ICARE\_ RambForest\_HONO\_L2 [data set], [https://doi.org/10.25326/](https://doi.org/10.25326/709) [709,](https://doi.org/10.25326/709) 2023a.
- Xue, C., McGillen, M., and Mellouki, A.: ACROSS\_ICARE\_ RambForest\_NO\_L2 [data set], [https://doi.org/10.25326/512,](https://doi.org/10.25326/512) 2023b.
- Zhou, P., Ganzeveld, L., Rannik, Ü., Zhou, L., Gierens, R., Taipale, D., Mammarella, I., and Boy, M.: Simulating ozone dry deposition at a boreal forest with a multi-layer canopy deposition model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1361–1379, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-17-1361-2017,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1361-2017) 2017.