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## I. 1 Changes to the standard fragmentation table for high resolution analyses

The $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$fragment was the primary contributor to total organic mass loading but could only be fit and quantified at high signal levels due to its proximity to $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$. This reduces our ability to quantify the comparatively low loading at the start and end of each experiment. When $\mathrm{CO}^{+}$was not quantifiable at low loadings, but $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{+}$was, the experiment median used for chemical analysis.

Another change from the standard high-resolution AMS analysis was to use the HR fragmentation table for m/z 15 . Using standard fitting, some of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$signal was apportioned to $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$because the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$signal was so large. Upon further inspection there was no correlation between $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$and other ammonium-related fragments, so $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$in the high resolution fragmentation table was set equal to $0.1 * \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$, the same relationship as in the unit mass resolution fragmentation table (Allan et al. 2004).

Table S1. Median fractional contribution of each ion to total organic mass in Figure 5.

| Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Size | Wood | $\mathrm{fCO}^{+}$ | $f \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{fCHO}^{+}$ | f $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}$ | $f \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $f \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | S | Maple | 0.14 | 0.027 | 0.042 | 2.8E-03 | 8.1E-03 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 400 | S | Maple | 0.14 | 0.026 | 0.044 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 500 | S | Maple | 0.15 | 0.025 | 0.038 | 4.3E-03 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.0E-04 |
| 500 | S | Maple | 0.14 | 0.027 | 0.038 | 4.6E-03 | 5.6E-03 | 3.9E-04 |
| 600 | S | Maple | 0.22 | 0.018 | 0.030 | 5.4E-03 | 4.4E-04 | 2.1E-04 |
| 600 | S | Maple | 0.22 | 0.017 | 0.030 | 5.6E-03 | 5.1E-04 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 500 | S | Oak | 0.22 | 0.025 | 0.048 | 3.0E-03 | 4.7E-03 | 4.7E-04 |
| 500 | M | Oak | 0.22 | 0.024 | 0.047 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.3E-03 | 4.5E-04 |
| 500 | L | Oak | 0.19 | 0.027 | 0.046 | 3.5E-03 | 5.7E-03 | 4.6E-04 |
| 600 | S | Oak | 0.25 | 0.025 | 0.049 | 4.0E-03 | 1.0E-03 | 3.8E-04 |
| 600 | M | Oak | 0.24 | 0.027 | 0.047 | 4.6E-03 | 9.5E-04 | 4.1E-04 |
| 600 | L | Oak | 0.20 | 0.031 | 0.043 | 6.0E-03 | 5.8E-04 | 4.2E-04 |
| 500 | S | Fir | 0.18 | 0.048 | 0.061 | 1.7E-03 | 4.0E-05 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 500 | M | Fir | 0.15 | 0.035 | 0.066 | 2.2E-03 | 6.6E-06 | 2.6E-03 |
| 500 | L | Fir | 0.14 | 0.029 | 0.058 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| 600 | S | Fir | 0.20 | 0.044 | 0.075 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 600 | M | Fir | 0.13 | 0.032 | 0.072 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 600 | L | Fir | 0.12 | 0.030 | 0.067 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

Table S2. Median fractional contribution of each ion to total organic mass in Figure S2.

| Temp ( ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ) | Size | Wood | f $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ | $f \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{fC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | S | Maple | 0.051 | 0.013 | 0.012 |
| 400 | S | Maple | 0.051 | 0.014 | 0.012 |
| 500 | S | Maple | 0.039 | 0.015 | 0.010 |
| 500 | S | Maple | 0.041 | 0.013 | 0.011 |
| 600 | S | Maple | 0.022 | 0.022 | 0.006 |
| 600 | S | Maple | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.006 |
| 500 | S | Oak | 0.033 | 0.020 | 0.011 |
| 500 | M | Oak | 0.035 | 0.019 | 0.011 |
| 500 | L | Oak | 0.039 | 0.017 | 0.012 |
| 600 | S | Oak | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.010 |
| 600 | M | Oak | 0.029 | 0.025 | 0.011 |
| 600 | L | Oak | 0.030 | 0.019 | 0.012 |
| 500 | S | Fir | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.015 |
| 500 | M | Fir | 0.031 | 0.020 | 0.011 |
| 500 | L | Fir | 0.032 | 0.019 | 0.010 |
| 600 | S | Fir | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.013 |
| 600 | M | Fir | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.010 |
| 600 | L | Fir | 0.030 | 0.023 | 0.009 |



Figure S1. An example size distribution from Fir pyrolyzed at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, size large wood.


Figure S2. Small maple CO gas (left axis, dashed lines) and organic aerosol (right axis, solid lines) at each reactor temperature. Other woods showed a similar relationship between CO and organic aerosol with temperature change, where higher temperatures correspond to more CO and less aerosol.


35 Figure S3. The fraction of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathbf{a}), \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{b})$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{+}$(c) in total organics for maple, oak, Douglas fir, burning fir and cellulose ( C ). Each plot is ordered by fuel, then temperature, then size and markers at median are sized by wood size. Bars of the box correspond to $25^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, and whiskers correspond to $10^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.

