Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 7521–7534, 2023 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-7521-2023 © Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





The variation in the particle number size distribution during the rainfall: wet scavenging and air mass changing

Guangdong Niu¹, Ximeng Qi^{1,2}, Liangduo Chen¹, Lian Xue^{1,2}, Shiyi Lai¹, Xin Huang^{1,2}, Jiaping Wang^{1,2}, Xuguang Chi^{1,2}, Wei Nie^{1,2}, Veli-Matti Kerminen³, Tuukka Petäjä³, Markku Kulmala³, and Aijun Ding^{1,2}

 ¹Joint International Research Laboratory of Atmospheric and Earth System Sciences, School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China
²Collaborative Innovation Center for Climate Change, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China
³Institute for Atmospheric and Earth Systems Research, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence: Ximeng Qi (qiximeng@nju.edu.cn)

Received: 9 January 2023 – Discussion started: 23 January 2023 Revised: 29 May 2023 – Accepted: 4 June 2023 – Published: 11 July 2023

Abstract. Below-cloud wet scavenging is an important pathway to remove atmospheric aerosols. The belowcloud wet-scavenging coefficient (BWSC) is the value to describe the ability of rainfall to remove aerosols. The reported BWSCs obtained from the field measurements are much higher than the theory, but the reason for this remains unclear. Based on the long-term field measurements in the Yangtze River Delta of eastern China, we find that 28 % of the rainfall events are high-BWSC events. The high-BWSC events show the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration in all size bins near the end of rainfall. By investigating the simultaneously observed changes in carbon monoxide and aerosol chemical compositions during rainfall events, the circulation patterns, and backward trajectories, we find the cause of the high-BWSC events is the air mass changing but not the wet scavenging. The change in air masses is always followed by the rainfall processes and cannot be screened out by the traditional meteorological criteria, which would cause the overestimation of BWSC. After excluding the high-BWSC events, the observed BWSC is close to the theory and is correlated with the rainfall intensity and particle number concentrations prior to rainfall. This study highlights that the discrepancy between the observed BWSC and the theoretical value may not be as large as is currently believed. To obtain reasonable BWSCs and parameterization from field measurements, the effect of air mass changing during rainfall needs to be carefully considered.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols significantly impact human life by affecting air quality and climate change (Atkinson et al., 2014; Heal et al., 2012; IPCC, 2021; Rosenfeld et al., 2019). The particle number concentration and their size distribution (i.e., particle number size distribution) are the main physical properties that determine the environmental and climate effects of aerosol particles (Asmi et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2021; Qi et al., 2015; Xausa et al., 2018). The epidemiologi-

cal studies show that particle number concentration is highly related to human health effects (Chen et al., 2016; Downward et al., 2018; Knibbs et al., 2011). Additionally, only the particles with a size larger than the critical diameter (\sim 50–100 nm) can act as the cloud condensation nuclei, which further alter the cloud properties and thereby Earth's radiative balance (Kerminen et al., 2012; Schmale et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019; Twomey, 1991).

The competition between sources and sinks of particles determines the aerosol number concentration, which can be

reflected in the variation in the particle number size distribution. Many studies focus on the sources of particles, while the studies on the sinks of particles are limited (Calvo et al., 2013; Daellenbach et al., 2020; Li et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). Wet deposition is one of the most important sinks for particles (Hou et al., 2018; Textor et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2021), which can be separated into two types, i.e., belowcloud wet scavenging and in-cloud wet scavenging (Tinsley et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2015). Earlier studies concluded that below-cloud wet scavenging was negligible compared to incloud wet scavenging and, thus, was not considered in many global models (Stier et al., 2005; Textor et al., 2006). However, for the polluted region, the below-cloud wet scavenging can be the main sink of particles within the planetary boundary layer and should not be ignored (Ge et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2017). The below-cloud wet scavenging is that raindrops capture particles through Brownian diffusion, inertial impaction, interception, thermophoresis, and electrical-charge effects (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). Those below-cloud wetscavenging mechanisms are size-dependent: Brownian diffusion and electrical-charge effects are efficient for the particles with sizes below ~ 200 nm, while for coarse-mode aerosols, inertial impaction and interception are the main removal mechanism (Chate and Pranesha, 2004; Greenfield, 1957; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). The size-dependent scavenging mechanisms lead to weak below-cloud wet scavenging in the 200-2000 nm size range, which is the so-called "Greenfield gap" (Greenfield, 1957). This Greenfield gap is related to the rainfall type, rainfall intensity, and aerosol properties (Chate, 2005).

The below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficient (BWSC) is the parameter that describes the ability of rainfall to remove particles. Studies on BWSCs based on field observations in different environments are limited (Blanco-Alegre et al., 2018; Chate and Pranesha, 2004; Cugerone et al., 2018; Laakso et al., 2003; Maria and Russell, 2005; Wang et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2015; Zikova and Zdimal, 2016). Xu et al. (2019) conducted field measurements in Beijing, China, and found that the BWSCs calculated by multiple methods are consistent. Zhao et al. (2015) compared the removal effects of thunderstorm rain with those of nonthunderstorm rain and found that non-thunderstorm rain was more effective in removing particles below 500 nm, while thunderstorm rain had more effective removal of particles between 500-1000 nm. However, most of the studies have limited datasets with only a few rainfall events, so the role of meteorological condition change and instantaneous emission change cannot be ruled out. Some studies collected longterm field measurement datasets to calculate the scavenging coefficient by using various selection criteria to screen the effects of other factors (Laakso et al., 2003; Roy et al., 2019; Zikova and Zdimal, 2016). Roy et al. (2019) used a longterm field observation dataset to investigate the below-cloud wet scavenging in the eastern Himalaya and found threshold values for the removal effect in terms of rain rate and duration. Laakso et al. (2003) calculated the below-cloud wetscavenging coefficient based on long-term observations of particle number size distribution (PNSD) in boreal forests of Finland and analyzed the dependence of BWSC on rainfall intensity. All those studies found that the BWSCs calculated from the field measurements were much higher than those from the theoretical estimations and model simulations in the Greenfield gap range. Bae et al. (2010) added electric charging and phoretic effects in the model but still cannot simulate the observed high BWSCs. Until now, the reasons for the large discrepancy between the BWSCs derived from field measurements and theory remain unclear, which limits the simulations of aerosol budget.

The Yangtze River Delta (YRD) of eastern China is one of the largest city clusters in the world (Kulmala et al., 2021). Because of rapid urbanization and industrialization, the YRD area has been suffering from severe air pollution (Ding et al., 2013; Ming et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2015). Meanwhile, located in regions strongly affected by the East Asian monsoon, rainfall events of various intensities are frequent. Long-term field measurements in the YRD area would provide an ideal opportunity to explore how rainfall removes atmospheric aerosols in polluted environments. In this study, based on the 7-year measurements at SORPES (Station for Observing Regional Processes of the Earth System) in the YRD area of eastern China, we investigated variations in PNSD during rainfall processes. The aims of this study are (1) to understand the characteristics of BWSC in polluted environments, (2) to explain the large discrepancy between observed and theoretical BWSC, and (3) to analyze the key factors affecting BWSC in ambient environments.

2 Methods

2.1 Measurement site and data

The long-term field measurements were conducted at SOR-PES (118°57′10″ E, 32°07′14″ N). SORPES is located about 20 km northeast of downtown Nanjing, which can be considered a suburban station and is regarded as a regional background station in the YRD region of eastern China (Ding et al., 2013). The YRD region is located in the lower reaches of the Yangtze River and has abundant rainfall, especially during the "plum rain season" (June–July). Seasonal variations in monthly accumulated precipitation and rainfall frequency (ratio of the number of monthly rainfall events to the total number of rainfall events) at SORPES from 2012-2018 are shown in Fig. 1a. It could be seen that the accumulated precipitation and rainfall frequency are high in the YRD region, with June-August being particularly prominent. A certain number of rainfall events provide sufficient episodes to investigate the below-cloud wet scavenging. In addition, SOR-PES is heavily equipped with the instruments for measuring the meteorology and atmospheric components, including aerosols, trace gases, and relevant meteorological parameters. More details about SORPES can be found in Ding et al. (2016).

The PNSDs from 6 to 800 nm were observed by the differential mobility particle sizer (DMPS) (Qi et al., 2015). The time resolution of PNSD data was 10 min, and the data from 2012 to 2018 were used. The PNSD data were subjected to data quality control, and the available-data number used in this study was 1793 d. The shaded area in Fig. 1b shows the median PNSD at SORPES from 2012 to 2018, demonstrating a multimodal distribution caused by the various sources of particles (Chen et al., 2021; Qi et al., 2015). About 382 rainfall events were recorded when the PNSD data were available. The red and green lines in Fig. 1b are the median PNSD 1 h before and after the rainfall events. The PNSD before rainfall events is similar to the total median PNSD, while the number concentration in each size bin shows various degrees of decrease after the rainfall. The decrease in the particle number concentration after rainfall implies that notable variation in PNSD during the rainfall events and the belowcloud wet-scavenging could be a vital sink of aerosols in the YRD region.

Besides the PNSD data, this study used the water-soluble ion (WSI) data and carbon monoxide data, which were detected by the Monitor for AeRosols and Gases in ambient Air (MARGA) and the trace gas monitor (Thermo Fisher 48i), respectively. The meteorological parameters, including rainfall, air temperature, relative humidity (RH), wind speed, and wind direction, were obtained from the weather station (GRWS100) installed at the SORPES meteorologicalobservation field. In addition to the field measurements at SORPES, reanalysis and satellite retrievals, e.g., the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts fifthgeneration reanalysis (ERA5) data and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) 3B42 product, were used to support the analysis. The ERA5 data combine weather prediction simulations with observational data to provide accurate hourly meteorological conditions at $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ spatial grid (Hersbach and Dee, 2016; Olauson, 2018). The TRMM 3B42 product provides precipitation estimates as a combination of different remote sensors set up in the satellite and has a temporal resolution of 3 h and a spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ (Huffman et al., 2007).

2.2 Rainfall event selection criteria

In order to rule out other impacts except for rainfall on PNSD, all the rainfall episodes need to be screened to select suitable cases. Previous studies used some criteria for the selection of rainfall events (Blanco-Alegre et al., 2018; Cugerone et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2019; Laakso et al., 2003; Luan et al., 2019; Pryor et al., 2016; Roy et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2014); however, there is no uniform standard in the selection criteria. Here we summarized the selection criteria based on previous relevant studies as follows. Firstly, the rainfall events with sufficient precipitation are selected: (i) accumu-

lated rainfall of no less than 0.4 mm, (ii) rainfall intensity of no less than $0.3 \,\mathrm{mm}\,\mathrm{h}^{-1}$, (iii) duration of at least 1 h, and (iv) intervals of at least 1 h between each rainfall event. Secondly, as the change in meteorological conditions during rainfall events such as typhoon episodes and frontal passages can affect the particle number concentration, the following meteorological selection criteria are needed to remove the effects of meteorological conditions changes: (i) the change in temperature at any adjacent hour during the rainfall events is no greater than 6 °C, (ii) the change in RH at any adjacent hour during the rainfall events is no greater than 20 %, (iii) the wind speed is less than 4 m s^{-1} , and (iv) the change in wind direction is no more than 90° at the start and end of the rainfall event. The locally generated rainfall events were eliminated by the meteorological selection criteria, and thereby most of the selected rainfall events were synoptic driven. According to the above screening criteria, 170 rainfall events among 382 rainfall events were selected. We tested the sensitivity of the chosen cut points by reducing the cut points of meteorological selection criteria by 20 %. Stricter meteorological selection criteria do not affect the main results of this study. In this study, the selected 170 rainfall events are named as all events in the following discussion if not otherwise specified.

2.3 The calculation of the below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficient

The below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficient (BWSC) shows the fraction of particles removed by rainfall in a unit of time. The basic equation of variation in the particle number concentration $c(d_p)$ due to rainfall scavenging is described by Eq. (1) (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}c\left(d_{\mathrm{p}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\lambda c\left(d_{\mathrm{p}}\right).\tag{1}$$

It is assumed that rainfall scavenging varies exponentially with time if no other processes (e.g., other sources or sinks of particles) are present during the rainfall event. d_p is the aerosol particle diameter, and $\lambda(d_p)$ is the size-resolved scavenging coefficient given by Eq. (2):

$$\lambda(\mathrm{d}p) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\pi}{4} D_\mathrm{p}^2 U_\mathrm{t}(D_\mathrm{p}) E\left(D_\mathrm{p}, d_\mathrm{p}\right) N\left(D_\mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{d}D_\mathrm{p},\tag{2}$$

where D_p is the raindrop diameter, U_t is the falling terminal velocity, $N(D_p)$ is the concentration of raindrops, and $E(D_p, d_p)$ is the collision efficiency between the raindrop and aerosol particle. As the collision efficiency, raindrop size distribution, and raindrop velocity during the rainfall are difficult to observe, the theoretical scavenging coefficient is hard to obtain from the field measurements.

If the rainfall event occurs from t_0 to t_1 , Eq. (1) can be integrated in time as follows (Laakso et al., 2003):

$$\lambda(\mathbf{d}p) = -\frac{1}{t_1 - t_0} \ln\left(\frac{c_1\left(d_p\right)}{c_0\left(d_p\right)}\right). \tag{3}$$



Figure 1. (a) Seasonal variations in accumulated precipitation (blue bar) and rainfall frequency (red line) observed at SORPES in 2012–2018. (b) The median PNSD (gray-shaded area) in 2012–2018 and 1 h before (red line) and after (green line) rainfall events.

In Eq. (3), $c_0(d_p)$ and $c_1(d_p)$ are the median particle number concentrations in each size bin before (t_0) and after (t_1) the rainfall event, respectively. In this study, we used Eq. (3) to calculate the scavenging coefficient and compared it with the theoretical estimation.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 High-BWSC events observed in eastern China

The BWSCs calculated in this study and the comparisons with other studies are shown in Fig. 2. The black line is the BWSC of all events at SORPES in the YRD region of eastern China, with the color shade representing the particle number concentration in the corresponding size bins. The BWSC of all events at SORPES reaches a minimum in the 70-500 nm size range, but it is much higher than the theoretical value, which is similar to the results in Beijing, Huangshan, Prague, and southern Finland (Laakso et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2019; Zikova and Zdimal, 2016). Other studies observed higher BWSCs relative to the theoretical value as well, although the BWSCs as well as the rain conditions differ from this study to some extent (Table 1) (Blanco-Alegre et al., 2018; Cugerone et al., 2018; Maria and Russell, 2005; Zhao et al., 2015; Volken and Schumann, 1993). In general, most of the BWSCs obtained from the field measurements are 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the theory (Andronache et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010). Often considered is reducing this gap by adding extra below-cloud wetscavenging mechanisms to the theory. Bae et al. (2010) added electric charging and phoretic effects in the theoretical calculation, which lowered the discrepancy with measurements. However, even with the additional mechanisms of belowcloud wet scavenging considered, the discrepancy between observation and theory is still large (Bae et al., 2010). Wang et al. (2010) proposed that the additional physical processes, such as cloud-aerosol microphysics, turbulent transport, and mixing, could be the reasons for the large discrepancy between observed and theoretical BWSCs. In this study, we aim to understand this discrepancy from the perspective of the synoptic processes and air mass changing.

Based on the variation in the particle number size distribution during the rainfall, we separated the well-screened rainfall events into two types: a normal scavenging event and a high-BWSC scavenging event. The particle number concentrations in normal scavenging events (122 events, 72 % of the total events) show a moderate decreasing trend over time (Fig. 3a). During the rainfall, the number concentration of small particles is the first to decrease, after which the scavenging phenomenon gradually shifts to the size around 100 nm (Fig. 3a). This is consistent with the theory that the BWSC below 300 nm decreases as the particle size increases (i.e., the so-called Greenfield gap). Different from the normal scavenging events, the high-BWSC events (48 events, 28 % of the total events) show a rapid decrease in the particle number concentration in all size bins near the end of the rainfall (Fig. 3b). The BWSCs of high-BWSC events reach a maximum between 70-300 nm, which largely exceeds the theoretical values as well as most of the previous observational studies. Changes in PNSD before and after rainfall events are more pronounced in high-BWSC events than in normal scavenging events, with a significant change in the dominant diameter from 100 to 50 nm in high-BWSC events (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The extremely high values of BWSC and a certain number of high-BWSC events lead to overestimating the BWSC in the total events (Fig. 2). Table 1 compares the BWSCs in this study with other studies. In general, the normal scavenging events in this study have a much lower BWSC than that in other studies, while the BWSC for all events is comparable with other studies. The BWSC becomes much closer to the theoretical value when high-BWSC events are excluded. In the following sections, we will further analyze the reasons for the high-BWSC events.



Figure 2. Below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficients at SORPES and the comparisons with other studies. The lines of this study are colorcoded with the particle number concentration in the corresponding size bin (color from light to dark represents the number concentration from low to high). Note that, for theoretical values, the raindrop number size distribution is the Marshall–Palmer distribution and rainfall intensity is set to be 10 mm h^{-1} (Andronache et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010).

Size range (nm)	BWSC (s ⁻¹)	Rainfall intensity $(mm h^{-1})$	Note	Source
10-800	$9.74 \times 10^{-6} 2.84 \times 10^{-5}$	0.3-13.6	All events	This study
10-800	$2.72 \times 10^{-6} - 2.37 \times 10^{-5}$	0.3-6.9	Normal events	This study
10-800	-8.15×10^{-6} - 6.4×10^{-5}	0.3-13.6	High-BWSC events	This study
10-510	$7 \times 10^{-6} - 4 \times 10^{-5}$	0.94 (average)	Long-term data	Laakso et al. (2003)
10-800	$3.1 \times 10^{-5} - 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	_	Long-term data	Zikova and Zdimal (2016)
13-750	$1.08 \times 10^{-5} - 7.58 \times 10^{-4}$	0.9–15.79	Case study	Chate and Pranesha (2004)
100-4000	$4.41 \times 10^{-5} - 2.39 \times 10^{-4}$	8	Case study	Maria and Russell (2005)
10-500	$9.43 \times 10^{-6} - 7.08 \times 10^{-5}$	7	Case study	Wang et al. (2014)
10-10 000	$7.48 \times 10^{-6} - 7.46 \times 10^{-4}$	1.57	Case study	Zhao et al. (2015)
250-3000	$2 \times 10^{-5} - 5 \times 10^{-5}$	0.40-2.04	Case study	Cugerone et al. (2018)
14-740	$1.86 \times 10^{-5} - 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	0.28	Case study	Xu et al. (2019)

Table 1. BWSCs in corresponding particle size range and rainfall intensities observed in this study and other studies.

3.2 Synoptic processes during high-BWSC events

To investigate the reasons for the high-BWSC events, we analyzed the synoptic processes during these events. Through subjective classification, the synoptic situation of high-BWSC events can be divided into four main categories. When a trough moves from west to east, the synoptic situation is categorized as a "westerly trough type" (16.6%); when a stationary front moves slowly at SORPES, the synoptic situation is categorized as a "stationary-front type" (31.3%); when a vortex weakens into the small trough at SORPES, the synoptic situation is categorized as a "vortexweakening type" (22.9%); when a vortex stays at SOR-PES, the synoptic situation is categorized as a "vortex type" (29.2%). The westerly trough type, stationary-front type, and vortex-weakening type have a clear movement of the synoptic systems, while the vortex type shows relatively stable circulations during the rainfall.

i. Westerly trough type. The rainfall events of this type are caused by the westerly trough, occurring mostly in spring and summer. Figure 4a–c show that the trough moves from west to east during the rainfall events. The rain belt is commonly located to the east of the westerly trough because this region is dominated by surface convergent winds, low pressure, and ascending motion (Wallace and Hobbs, 2006). For rainfall events observed at SORPES, SORPES is located east of the westerly trough before the moment of the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration and shifts to the west of



Figure 3. The variation in PNSD and precipitation for (**a**) normal scavenging events (the end of rainfall is marked as 0) and (**b**) high-BWSC events (the time when the particle number concentration rapidly decreases is marked as 0).

the trough when the rainfall event is about to end. To further understand the transport characteristics of the air mass, we calculated the 3 d backward trajectories of air masses using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler and Hess, 1998). Figure 5a shows the backward trajectory of air masses arriving at SORPES before, at, and after the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration. Consistent with the movement of the westerly trough, as shown in Fig. 4a-c, the backward trajectories of air masses have a clockwise rotation during the rainfall event. Towards the end of the rainfall event, the air masses are mostly from the rainfall areas and have low particle number concentrations. This air mass transition explains the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration for all the size bins. A typical case of a type i high-BWSC event, as well as the circulation changes and backward trajectories, is shown in Figs. S2-S4.

ii. Stationary-front type. The cold-front events can be screened out by the meteorological criteria as described in Sect. 2.2. However, the stationary-front events, which occurred mostly in summer and autumn, cannot be excluded due to the slow movement of the synoptic systems. Figure 4d–f show that the stationary front moves slowly from northwest to southeast during the rainfall events at SORPES. The warm air mass climbs along the front to the cold side, accompanied by a drop in temperature, and the water vapor starts condensing (Wallace and Hobbs, 2006). Therefore, the rain belt is commonly near the front on the side of the cold air and moves with the front movement. SORPES is located on the warm side of the front before the rapid decrease in the particle number concentration, while on the cold side of the front after that. Consistent with the movement of the stationary front (Fig. 4d-f), the backward trajectories of SORPES switch from the YRD region to the northern area of the station. Towards the end of the rainfall event, the air masses are mostly from the rainfall areas



Figure 4. Distributions of winds and geopotential heights at 850 hPa and precipitation intensity before, at, and after the moment of sudden decrease in the particle number concentration for the (a-c) westerly trough type, (d-f) stationary-front type, (g-i) vortex-weakening type, and (j-l) vortex type. The red pentagram shows the location of SORPES.

and have low particle number concentrations. A typical case, as well as the circulation changes and backward trajectories, is shown in Figs. S5–S7.

iii. *Vortex-weakening type*. The rainfall events of this type are caused by a small trough, occurring mostly in summer and autumn. Figure 4g–i show that the vortex weakens into a small trough and moves from west to east during the rainfall events at SORPES. The rainfall areas are usually scattered ahead of the small trough (i.e., to

the east of the trough in the westerly zone) because of the strong ascending motion in this area. SORPES is located ahead of the small trough during the rainfall event and shifts to the back of the small trough when the rainfall event is about to end. Unlike the first two types, backward trajectories of this type do not present horizontal rotation (Fig. 5c). However, when the rainfall is about to end, the origin of the air mass gradually shifts from the ground to the high altitude due to the control of the descending motion air masses. Since, at high altitudes, the particle number concentrations are generally low (Qi et al., 2019), changes in the origin of air masses explain the sudden drop in the particle number concentration. A typical case, as well as the circulation changes and backward trajectories, is shown in Figs. S8–S10.

iv. Vortex type. The rainfall events of this type are caused by the vortex, occurring mostly in spring and summer. The synoptic systems of the vortex type show no clear movement, which differs from other types. As shown in Fig. 4j-l, SORPES is located on the eastern side of the vortex. The rainfall area moves from the western side of SORPES to the eastern side and covers the whole YRD region during the rainfall event. The air masses for the vortex type are mostly from the East China Sea and pass through the rain belt in the YRD region. Unlike other types, the backward trajectories of this type have no clear horizontal rotation or vertical height change (Fig. 5d), but the properties of air masses may still vary during the rainfall event. A typical rainfall event of vortex type, as well as the synoptic situation and backward trajectories, is shown in Figs. S11-S13. The particle number concentration starts to decrease when the rainfall occurs but has a significant decrease in all the particle sizes, accompanied by the drop in the carbon monoxide concentration during the rainfall. The simultaneous changes in the particle number concentration and carbon monoxide concentration indicate that the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration is caused by the site being controlled by the marine air masses with minor influence from anthropogenic emissions in the YRD region.

In conclusion, the change in air masses is the main reason for the sudden decrease in the particle number concentration during high-BWSC events. It is worth noting that the transition of air masses is usually accompanied by changes in rainfall processes, e.g., the end of precipitation, which could easily mislead the calculation of BWSCs and cause the calculated BWSC to be much higher than the theory. Although the filtering procedures (see Sect. 2.2) can screen some cases of significant air mass changes (e.g., cold-front events, typhoon events), many more air mass transition cases with no obvious variations in meteorological parameters may not be screened.

3.3 The comparisons between high-BWSC events and normal events

To compare the high-BWSC events with the normal events, we investigated the large-scale circulation patterns of the normal events as well. Through the subjective procedure, the circulation patterns of normal events could be mainly divided into three types, i.e., trough type (14.8 %), vortex-weakening



Figure 5. The backward trajectory before, at, and after the moment of sudden decrease in the particle number concentration for the (a) westerly trough type, (b) stationary-front type, (c) vortexweakening type, and (d) vortex type.

type (34.4%), and vortex type (50.8%) (Fig. S14). Compared to high-BWSC events, the circulation patterns for normal events do not change much during the rainfall. Consistent with the circulation pattern, the backward trajectories of air masses for the three types of normal events show minor variations during the rainfall (Fig. S15). Figure 6a shows the comparisons of the rotation angle of air mass trajectory and wind direction between type i-ii high-BWSC events and normal events during rainfall. The air mass trajectory rotation angle of type i-ii high-BWSC events varies more drastically than normal events, while the wind direction does not vary much in both types. It indicates that significant changes in the backward trajectories of air masses are not reflected in the wind direction observed at ground level, which explains why the type i-ii high-BWSC events were not excluded by the selection criteria described in Sect. 2.2. Figure 6b shows the differences in air mass trajectory height between type iii high-BWSC events and the normal events. The trajectory height refers to the maximum altitude of the 36 h backward trajectory. The backward trajectory height increases significantly in type iii high-BWSC events, while it barely changes in the normal events. In general, the relatively stable circulation changes during normal events cause little changes in air masses, so the decrease in the particle number concentration



Figure 6. (a) The rotation angle of backward trajectory (bars) and wind direction (dots) during rainfall for normal events (blue) and type i–ii high-BWSC events (orange). **(b)** The trajectory height (i.e., the maximum altitude of the 36 h backward trajectory) during rainfall for normal events (blue) and type iii high-BWSC events (red). Note that type iv high-BWSC events were not plotted here due to the absence of clear horizontal rotation and vertical height changes in the backward trajectories.

could be mainly attributed to the below-cloud wet scavenging.

The atmospheric components observed at SORPES can further support that the origin of air mass changes during the high-BWSC events. Figure 7a shows the differences in the carbon monoxide concentration between high-BWSC events and normal scavenging events. There is no significant change in the carbon monoxide concentration for normal scavenging events. As carbon monoxide is insoluble in water, wet scavenging can hardly induce significant changes in carbon monoxide. However, carbon monoxide concentration decreases significantly during the high-BWSC events, suggesting changes in air masses. Figure 7b and c show the variations in the proportion of sulfate and nitrate in water-soluble ions (WSIs) during high-BWSC events and normal scavenging events. Similarly, the proportion of sulfate and nitrate in WSI changes indistinctively for normal scavenging events, while it changes significantly during the high-BWSC events. The proportion of sulfate increases, and the nitrate decreases during the rainfall for the high-BWSC events. In conclusion, in order to investigate the below-cloud wet scavenging based on field measurements, a detailed analysis of circulation patterns, air mass origins, and atmospheric multicomponents is required to select the episodes that are mainly affected by the below-cloud wet scavenging.

3.4 Factors influencing BWSCs

Previous studies found a close relationship between the rainfall intensity and BWSC and gave the parameterization between them (Blanco-Alegre et al., 2018; Chate and Pranesha, 2004; Laakso et al., 2003; Volken and Schumann, 1993; Wang et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2019). Figure 8a shows the relationship between the BWSC of total particles and rainfall intensity for high-BWSC events and normal scavenging events observed at SORPES. As the scavenging of the high-BWSC events is mainly caused by the change in air mass but not the wet deposition of raindrops, the BWSC for high-BWSC events is not affected by the rainfall intensity. For normal scavenging events, the BWSC increases rapidly with rainfall intensity until the rainfall intensity reaches 1 mm h^{-1} , while at a rainfall intensity greater than 1 mm h^{-1} , the rate of the increase in BWSC with rainfall intensity slows down. This is because the BWSC is limited by the rainfall intensity under the condition of low rainfall intensity, while it switches to the limitation of background particle number concentration under conditions of high rainfall intensity. The rainfall intensity turning point between two limitation intervals increases with the elevation of particle number concentration, which is around 1.5 mm h^{-1} at a high number concentration (Fig. 8a).

The particle number concentration before the rainfall events represents how many particles in ambient conditions can be scavenged by rain droplets. As shown in Fig. 8b, for normal scavenging events, there is a significant positive correlation between the BWSC and particle number concentration prior to the rainfall events, especially when the rainfall intensity is high. We further investigate the dependence of BWSC on rainfall intensity and the particle number concentration prior to the rainfall event for each size bin (Fig. 8c, d). The dependence of BWSC on rainfall intensity for particles below 100 nm (i.e., ultrafine particles) is similar with that of total particles (Fig. 8a, c), since the ultrafine particles dominate the particle number concentration at SORPES (Qi et al., 2015). The BWSCs are low for the particles larger than 100 nm due to the existence of the Greenfield gap (Greenfield, 1957). Nevertheless, the increase in BWSC with increasing rainfall intensity can also be found for the particles larger than 100 nm. The dependence of BWSC on the particle number concentration prior to a rainfall event is obvious for ultrafine particles as well (Fig. 8d). Although the BWSCs are related to the particle size, the dependence of BWSCs on the particle number concentration is uniform over all the size bins.



Figure 7. The comparisons of the variations in (a) carbon monoxide concentration, (b) the proportion of sulfate in water-soluble ions (WSIs), and (c) the proportion of nitrate in WSIs during normal scavenging events (blue) and high-BWSC events (red).



Figure 8. Below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficients (median over particle sizes of 10–500 nm) for high-BWSC events and normal scavenging events as a function of (**a**) rainfall intensity and (**b**) particle number concentration (NC) prior to rainfall events. The dots represent the median, and the error bars represent the upper and lower quartiles. Below-cloud wet-scavenging coefficients in each size bin for normal scavenging events as a function of (**c**) rainfall intensity and (**d**) particle number concentration prior to rainfall events.

Theoretically, below-cloud wet scavenging is a first-order loss process and should not have a relationship with the particle number concentration prior to a rainfall event (Eq. 1). The particle number concentration varies exponentially with time if no other processes (other sources or sinks of particles) are present during the rainfall event (Fig. S16a). The slope of the line is the scavenging coefficient (i.e., λ in Eq. 1) and does not vary with the particle number concentration prior to rainfall. However, in the real ambient environments, other processes such as particle formation and primary emissions cannot be excluded. For example, if there is a stable source of particles, the variation in the particle number concentration will not vary exponentially by assuming a consistent BWSC and formation rate. In field measurements, it is com-

G. Niu et al.: Wet scavenging and air mass changing

mon to use Eq. (3) to calculate the BWSC. Although the actual BWSC is consistent, the calculated BWSC (dashed lines in Fig. S16b) can increase with the increasing initial particle number concentration. Therefore, when parameterizing the BWSC with rainfall intensity based on field measurements, we need to not only carefully select the scavenging cases that are really caused by the wet scavenging (e.g., normal scavenging events in this study) but also take the other processes that influence the PNSD into account (e.g., particle formation, primary emissions).

4 Conclusions

Based on the long-term field measurements at SORPES in the Yangtze River Delta region of eastern China, we investigated the below-cloud wet scavenging of submicron particles in different size ranges in polluted environments. We find that 28 % of total rainfall events are high-BWSC rainfall events, commonly showing sudden decreases in the particle number concentration in all size bins near the end of rainfall events. The high-BWSC rainfall events cannot be screened out by the traditional filtering procedures based on meteorological parameters, which could contribute to much higher observed BWSCs due to the certain number of events. By investigating the simultaneously observed changes in carbon monoxide and aerosol chemical compositions during rainfall events, the circulation patterns, and backward trajectories, we find the cause of high-BWSC rainfall events is the air mass changing. The changes in air masses are accompanied by the end of rainfall events, which could easily mislead the calculation of BWSCs. The BWSCs for high-BWSC events are not correlated with the rainfall intensity, which could then affect the parameterization of BWSC. After excluding the high-BWSC events, we find the BWSC is correlated with not only the rainfall intensity but also the particle number concentrations prior to the rainfall events. The dependence of BWSC on the particle number concentrations is mainly caused by other processes other than wet scavenging that mislead the calculation of BWSC.

Through a careful review of previous literature, we found that the high-BWSC events mentioned in this study can be observed not only in urban environments but also in clean natural environments. This study highlights the discrepancy from the observed BWSC from field measurements, and the theoretical value may not be as large as it is currently believed. When calculating the BWSCs based on field measurements, the impacts of air mass changing need to be carefully excluded. Long-term observations on multiple atmospheric components can help us to obtain the BWSCs that are truly caused by the below-cloud wet scavenging and update the parameterization in the numerical models. Moreover, rainfall events with specific circulation patterns could cause air mass changes. Thus, this study highlights accurate descriptions of the evolution of synoptic systems and the wet-scavenging processes that are equally important for simulating air pollution in numerical models.

Data availability. The ERA5 reanalysis data are archived at the Copernicus Climate Change Service's Climate Data Store (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.bd0915c6, Hersbach et al., 2023). The TRMM 3B42 product is available at https://doi.org/10.5067/TRMM/TMPA/3H/7 (Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, 2011). The measurement data from SORPES are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-7521-2023-supplement.

Author contributions. XQ and AD conceptualized the study. GN performed the main data analysis with the supervision of XQ and AD. GN, LC, JW, and XC conducted the measurements. GN and XQ wrote the original manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements. We thank all the people and funds that have supported the long-term observations at SORPES. This work was in part undertaken within the Joint International Research Laboratory of Atmospheric and Earth System Sciences and Collaborative Innovation Center for Climate Change, Nanjing University.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42175113) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant no. 14380191).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Radovan Krejci and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Andronache, C., Grönholm, T., Laakso, L., Phillips, V., and Venäläinen, A.: Scavenging of ultrafine particles by rainfall at a boreal site: observations and model estimations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4739–4754, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-4739-2006, 2006.
- Asmi, A., Wiedensohler, A., Laj, P., Fjaeraa, A.-M., Sellegri, K., Birmili, W., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., Zdimal, V.,

Zikova, N., Putaud, J.-P., Marinoni, A., Tunved, P., Hansson, H.-C., Fiebig, M., Kivekäs, N., Lihavainen, H., Asmi, E., Ulevicius, V., Aalto, P. P., Swietlicki, E., Kristensson, A., Mihalopoulos, N., Kalivitis, N., Kalapov, I., Kiss, G., de Leeuw, G., Henzing, B., Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D., O'Dowd, C., Jennings, S. G., Flentje, H., Weinhold, K., Meinhardt, F., Ries, L., and Kulmala, M.: Number size distributions and seasonality of submicron particles in Europe 2008–2009, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5505–5538, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-5505-2011, 2011.

- Atkinson, R. W., Kang, S., Anderson, H. R., Mills, I. C., and Walton, H. A.: Epidemiological time series studies of PM_{2.5} and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Thorax, 69, 660–665, https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204492, 2014.
- Bae, S. Y., Jung, C. H., and Kim, Y. P.: Derivation and verification of an aerosol dynamics expression for the below-cloud scavenging process using the moment method, J. Aerosol Sci., 41, 266–280, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2009.11.006, 2010.
- Blanco-Alegre, C., Castro, A., Calvo, A. I., Oduber, F., Alonso-Blanco, E., Fernández-González, D., Valencia-Barrera, R. M., Vega-Maray, A. M., and Fraile, R.: Below-cloud scavenging of fine and coarse aerosol particles by rain: The role of raindrop size, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 144, 2715–2726, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3399, 2018.
- Calvo, A. I., Alves, C., Castro, A., Pont, V., Vicente, A. M., and Fraile, R.: Research on aerosol sources and chemical composition: Past, current and emerging issues, Atmos. Res., 120–121, 1–28, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.021, 2013.
- Chate, D. M.: Study of scavenging of submicron-sized aerosol particles by thunderstorm rain events, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6608– 6619, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.063, 2005.
- Chate, D. M. and Pranesha, T. S.: Field studies of scavenging of aerosols by rain events, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 695–706, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2003.09.007, 2004.
- Chen, L., Qi, X., Nie, W., Wang, J., Xu, Z., Wang, T., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Xu, Z., Kokkonen, T., Chi, X., Aalto, P., Paasonen, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Ding, A.: Cluster Analysis of Submicron Particle Number Size Distributions at the SORPES Station in the Yangtze River Delta of East China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126, e2020JD034004, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034004, 2021.
- Chen, R., Hu, B., Liu, Y., Xu, J., Yang, G., Xu, D., and Chen, C.: Beyond PM_{2.5}: The role of ultrafine particles on adverse health effects of air pollution, BBA-Gen. Subjects, 1860, 2844–2855, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.03.019, 2016.
- Cugerone, K., De Michele, C., Ghezzi, A., and Gianelle, V.: Aerosol removal due to precipitation and wind forcings in Milan urban area, J. Hydrol., 556, 1256–1262, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.06.033, 2018.
- Daellenbach, K. R., Uzu, G., Jiang, J., Cassagnes, L.-E., Leni, Z., Vlachou, A., Stefenelli, G., Canonaco, F., Weber, S., Segers, A., Kuenen, J. J. P., Schaap, M., Favez, O., Albinet, A., Aksoyoglu, S., Dommen, J., Baltensperger, U., Geiser, M., El Haddad, I., Jaffrezo, J.-L., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Sources of particulate-matter air pollution and its oxidative potential in Europe, Nature, 587, 414–419, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2902-8, 2020.
- Ding, A., Nie, W., Huang, X., Chi, X., Sun, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Xu, Z., Guo, W., Petäjä, T., Yang, X., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C.: Longterm observation of air pollution-weather/climate interactions at

the SORPES station: a review and outlook, Front. Env. Sci. Eng., 10, 15, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-016-0877-3, 2016.

- Ding, A. J., Fu, C. B., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Zheng, L. F., Xie, Y. N., Herrmann, E., Nie, W., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Ozone and fine particle in the western Yangtze River Delta: an overview of 1 yr data at the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5813–5830, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5813-2013, 2013.
- Downward, G. S., van Nunen, E. J. H. M., Kerckhoffs, J., Vineis, P., Brunekreef, B., Boer, J. M. A., Messier, K. P., Roy, A., Verschuren, W. M. M., van der Schouw, Y. T., Sluijs, I., Gulliver, J., Hoek, G., and Vermeulen, R.: Long-Term Exposure to Ultrafine Particles and Incidence of Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease in a Prospective Study of a Dutch Cohort, Environ. Health Persp., 126, 127007, https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP3047, 2018.
- Draxler, R. and Hess, G.: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modelling system for trajectories, Aust. Meteorol. Mag., 47, 295– 308, 1998.
- Ge, B., Xu, D., Wild, O., Yao, X., Wang, J., Chen, X., Tan, Q., Pan, X., and Wang, Z.: Inter-annual variations of wet deposition in Beijing from 2014–2017: implications of below-cloud scavenging of inorganic aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 9441–9454, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-9441-2021, 2021.
- Geng, T., Tong, H., Zhao, X., and Zhu, Y.: Effect of Wet Deposition on the Removal Efficiency of Particulate Matter in the Yangtze-Huaihe Region, Res. Environ. Sci., 32, 273–283, 2019.
- Greenfield, S.: Rain scavenging of radioactive particulate matter from the atmosphere, J. Meteorol., 14, 115–125, 1957.
- Heal, M. R., Kumar, P., and Harrison, R. M.: Particles, air quality, policy and health, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6606–6630, https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35076A, 2012.
- Hersbach, H. and Dee, D.: ERA-5 Reanalysis Is in Production, ECMWF Newsletter, no. 147, https://www.ecmwf.int/ en/newsletter/147/news/era5-reanalysis-production (last access: 4 July 2023), 2016.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Dee, D., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5 hourly data on pressure levels from 1940 to present, Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS) [data set], https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.bd0915c6, 2023.
- Hou, P., Wu, S., McCarty, J. L., and Gao, Y.: Sensitivity of atmospheric aerosol scavenging to precipitation intensity and frequency in the context of global climate change, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 8173–8182, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8173-2018, 2018.
- Huffman, G. J., Bolvin, D. T., Nelkin, E. J., Wolff, D. B., Adler, R. F., Gu, G., Hong, Y., Bowman, K. P., and Stocker, E. F.: The TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA): Quasi-Global, Multiyear, Combined-Sensor Precipitation Estimates at Fine Scales, J. Hydrometeorol., 8, 38–55, https://doi.org/10.1175/jhm560.1, 2007.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, ISBN 978-92-9169-158-6, 2021.

- Kerminen, V.-M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi, E., Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S. N., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with atmospheric nucleation: a synthesis based on existing literature and new results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12037– 12059, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012, 2012.
- Knibbs, L. D., Cole-Hunter, T., and Morawska, L.: A review of commuter exposure to ultrafine particles and its health effects, Atmos. Environ., 45, 2611–2622, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.065, 2011.
- Kulmala, M., Kokkonen, T. V., Pekkanen, J., Paatero, S., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Ding, A.: Opinion: Gigacity – a source of problems or the new way to sustainable development, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 8313–8322, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8313-2021, 2021.
- Laakso, L., Grönholm, T., Rannik, Ü., Kosmale, M., Fiedler, V., Vehkamäki, H., and Kulmala, M.: Ultrafine particle scavenging coefficients calculated from 6 years field measurements, Atmos. Environ., 37, 3605–3613, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00326-1, 2003.
- Li, C., Bosch, C., Kang, S., Andersson, A., Chen, P., Zhang, Q., Cong, Z., Chen, B., Qin, D., and Gustafsson, Ö.: Sources of black carbon to the Himalayan–Tibetan Plateau glaciers, Nat. Commun., 7, 12574, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12574, 2016.
- Luan, T., Guo, X., Zhang, T., and Guo, L.: The Scavenging Process and Physical Removing Mechanism of Pollutant Aerosols by Different Precipitation Intensities, J. Appl. Meteorol. Sci., 30, 279–291, 2019.
- Maria, S. F. and Russell, L. M.: Organic and Inorganic Aerosol Below-Cloud Scavenging by Suburban New Jersey Precipitation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 4793–4800, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0491679, 2005.
- Ming, L., Jin, L., Li, J., Fu, P., Yang, W., Liu, D., Zhang, G., Wang, Z., and Li, X.: PM_{2.5} in the Yangtze River Delta, China: Chemical compositions, seasonal variations, and regional pollution events, Environ. Pollut., 223, 200–212, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.013, 2017.
- Olauson, J.: ERA5: The new champion of wind power modelling?, Renew. Energ., 126, 322–331, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.03.056, 2018.
- Pryor, S. C., Joerger, V. M., and Sullivan, R. C.: Empirical estimates of size-resolved precipitation scavenging coefficients for ultrafine particles, Atmos. Environ., 143, 133–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.08.036, 2016.
- Qi, X., Ding, A., Nie, W., Chi, X., Huang, X., Xu, Z., Wang, T., Wang, Z., Wang, J., Sun, P., Zhang, Q., Huo, J., Wang, D., Bian, Q., Zhou, L., Zhang, Q., Ning, Z., Fei, D., Xiu, G., and Fu, Q.: Direct measurement of new particle formation based on tethered airship around the top of the planetary boundary layer in eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 209, 92–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.04.024, 2019.
- Qi, X. M., Ding, A. J., Nie, W., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Herrmann, E., Xie, Y. N., Zheng, L. F., Manninen, H., Aalto, P., Sun, J. N., Xu, Z. N., Chi, X. G., Huang, X., Boy, M., Virkkula, A., Yang, X.-Q., Fu, C. B., and Kulmala, M.: Aerosol size distribution and new particle formation in the western Yangtze River Delta of China: 2 years of measurements at

the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12445–12464, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12445-2015, 2015.

- Rosenfeld, D., Zhu, Y., Wang, M., Zheng, Y., Goren, T., and Yu, S.: Aerosol-driven droplet concentrations dominate coverage and water of oceanic low-level clouds, Science, 363, eaav0566, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0566, 2019.
- Roy, A., Chatterjee, A., Ghosh, A., Das, S. K., Ghosh, S. K., and Raha, S.: Below-cloud scavenging of size-segregated aerosols and its effect on rainwater acidity and nutrient deposition: A long-term (2009–2018) and real-time observation over eastern Himalaya, Sci. Total Environ., 674, 223–233, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.165, 2019.
- Schmale, J., Henning, S., Decesari, S., Henzing, B., Keskinen, H., Sellegri, K., Ovadnevaite, J., Pöhlker, M. L., Brito, J., Bougiatioti, A., Kristensson, A., Kalivitis, N., Stavroulas, I., Carbone, S., Jefferson, A., Park, M., Schlag, P., Iwamoto, Y., Aalto, P., Äijälä, M., Bukowiecki, N., Ehn, M., Frank, G., Fröhlich, R., Frumau, A., Herrmann, E., Herrmann, H., Holzinger, R., Kos, G., Kulmala, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Nenes, A., O'Dowd, C., Petäjä, T., Picard, D., Pöhlker, C., Pöschl, U., Poulain, L., Prévôt, A. S. H., Swietlicki, E., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Wiedensohler, A., Ogren, J., Matsuki, A., Yum, S. S., Stratmann, F., Baltensperger, U., and Gysel, M.: Long-term cloud condensation nuclei number concentration, particle number size distribution and chemical composition measurements at regionally representative observatories, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 2853–2881, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2853-2018, 2018.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, John Wiley & Sons, ISBN 978-1-118-94740-1, 2016.
- Shen, Y., Virkkula, A., Ding, A., Luoma, K., Keskinen, H., Aalto, P. P., Chi, X., Qi, X., Nie, W., Huang, X., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Kerminen, V.-M.: Estimating cloud condensation nuclei number concentrations using aerosol optical properties: role of particle number size distribution and parameterization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15483–15502, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15483-2019, 2019.
- Stier, P., Feichter, J., Kinne, S., Kloster, S., Vignati, E., Wilson, J., Ganzeveld, L., Tegen, I., Werner, M., Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., Boucher, O., Minikin, A., and Petzold, A.: The aerosol-climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1125–1156, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1125-2005, 2005.
- Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, I., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1777–1813, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1777-2006, 2006.
- Tinsley, B. A., Rohrbaugh, R. P., Hei, M., and Beard, K. V.: Effects of Image Charges on the Scavenging of Aerosol Particles by Cloud Droplets and on Droplet Charging and Possible Ice Nucleation Processes, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 2118–2134, 2000.
- Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM): TRMM (TMPA) Rainfall Estimate L3 3 hour 0.25 degree $\times 0.25$

degree V7, Greenbelt, MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) [data set], https://doi.org/10.5067/TRMM/TMPA/3H/7, 2011.

- Twomey, S.: Aerosols, clouds and radiation, Atmos. Environ. Part A-Gen., 25, 2435–2442, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90159-5, 1991.
- Volken, M. and Schumann, T.: A critical-review of below-cloud aerosol scavenging results on Mt Rigi, Water Air Soil Poll., 68, 15–28, https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00479390, 1993.
- Wallace, J. M. and Hobbs, P. V.: Atmospheric Science, 2nd edn., Academic Press, ISBN 9780127329512, 2006.
- Wang, X., Zhang, L., and Moran, M. D.: Uncertainty assessment of current size-resolved parameterizations for below-cloud particle scavenging by rain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5685–5705, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5685-2010, 2010.
- Wang, Y., Zhu, B., Kang, H., Gao, J., Jiang, Q., and Liu, X.: Theoretical and observational study on below-cloud rain scavenging of aerosol particles, Journal of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 31, 306–313, 2014.
- Wang, Y., Xia, W., Liu, X., Xie, S., Lin, W., Tang, Q., Ma, H.-Y., Jiang, Y., Wang, B., and Zhang, G. J.: Disproportionate control on aerosol burden by light rain, Nat. Geosci., 14, 72–76, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-00675-z, 2021.
- Xausa, F., Paasonen, P., Makkonen, R., Arshinov, M., Ding, A., Denier Van Der Gon, H., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Advancing global aerosol simulations with size-segregated anthropogenic particle number emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 10039–10054, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-10039-2018, 2018.
- Xie, Y., Ding, A., Nie, W., Mao, H., Qi, X., Huang, X., Xu, Z., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Chi, X., Virkkula, A., Boy, M., Xue, L., Guo, J., Sun, J., Yang, X., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C.: Enhanced sulfate formation by nitrogen dioxide: Implications from in situ observations at the SOR-PES station, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 12679–12694, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023607, 2015.

- Xu, D., Ge, B., Wang, Z., Sun, Y., Chen, Y., Ji, D., Yang, T., Ma, Z., Cheng, N., Hao, J., and Yao, X.: Below-cloud wet scavenging of soluble inorganic ions by rain in Beijing during the summer of 2014, Environ. Pollut., 230, 963–973, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.033, 2017.
- Xu, D., Ge, B., Chen, X., Sun, Y., Cheng, N., Li, M., Pan, X., Ma, Z., Pan, Y., and Wang, Z.: Multi-method determination of the below-cloud wet scavenging coefficients of aerosols in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15569–15581, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15569-2019, 2019.
- Zhang, H., Yee, L. D., Lee, B. H., Curtis, M. P., Worton, D. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Beaver, M. R., Holder, A. L., Lonneman, W. A., Docherty, K. S., Jaoui, M., Pye, H. O. T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., de Gouw, J., Koss, A. R., Edgerton, E. S., Brune, W., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Kreisberg, N. M., Spielman, S. R., Hering, S. V., Wilson, K. R., Thornton, J. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Monoterpenes are the largest source of summertime organic aerosol in the southeastern United States, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 2038–2043, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717513115, 2018.
- Zhao, S., Yu, Y., He, J., Yin, D., and Wang, B.: Below-cloud scavenging of aerosol particles by precipitation in a typical valley city, northwestern China, Atmos. Environ., 102, 70–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.051, 2015.
- Zikova, N. and Zdimal, V.: Precipitation scavenging of aerosol particles at a rural site in the Czech Republic, Tellus B, 68, 27343, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v68.27343, 2016.