Simulated long-term evolution of the thermosphere during the Holocene – Part 2: Circulation and solar tides
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Abstract. On timescales longer than the solar cycle, long-term changes in CO\textsubscript{2} concentration and geomagnetic field have the potential to affect thermospheric dynamics. In this paper, we investigate the thermospheric dynamical response to these two factors during the Holocene, using two sets of \textasciitilde 12 000-year control runs by the coupled thermosphere–ionosphere model, GCITEM-IGGCAS. The main results indicate that increased/decreased CO\textsubscript{2} will enhance/weaken the thermospheric circulation throughout the Holocene, but this effect is non-linear. The cooling effect of CO\textsubscript{2} in the thermosphere further provides plausible conditions for atmospheric tidal propagation and increases the thermospheric tidal amplitude. Geomagnetic variations induce hemispheric asymmetrical responses in the thermospheric circulation. Large changes in the circulation occur at high latitudes in the hemisphere with distant magnetic pole drift, inferring a crucial role of geomagnetic non-dipole variations in circulation changes. A positive correlation between the diurnal migrating tide (DW1) and geomagnetic dipole moment is revealed for the first time. The amplitude of DW1 in temperature will increase by \textasciitilde 1–3 K for each 1 \times 10^{22} \text{A m}^2 increase in dipole moment.

1 Introduction

The main external energy input to the terrestrial thermosphere is solar radiation, particularly in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) band. The solar-driven circulation manifests as the flow across the isobars, in contrast to the geostrophic flow that dominates in the middle and lower atmosphere (Forbes, 2007). This is because the Coriolis force is much smaller than the pressure gradient term for the typical terrestrial thermosphere. Under absorption of solar daily cyclic forcing, the atmosphere also induces the solar tides, which refers to global-scale perturbations in atmospheric parameters with periods and zonal wavenumbers that are harmonics of a day and a zonal cycle. In addition to the local absorption of EUV radiation as the major source, the solar tides in the thermosphere also come from upward propagating waves excited in the middle and lower atmosphere, including the infrared absorption by tropospheric H\textsubscript{2}O and ultraviolet absorption by stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} (Forbes and Zhang, 2022). Thus, the level of solar activity is expected to have a key impact on the dynamical variability in the thermosphere (Oberheide et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2022). However, when inspecting on timescales longer than the solar cycle, the influence from other secular variables, such as long-term changes in CO\textsubscript{2} concentration and main geomagnetic fields, should not be ignored. It is then natural to ask how and to what extent these factors act on the thermospheric dynamics on long-term timescales, e.g., since the beginning of the Holocene.

CO\textsubscript{2} plays a significant role in cooling the thermosphere, in contrast to the warming effect in the troposphere (Lašťovička et al., 2006; Solomon et al., 2018). Since the first prediction by Roble and Dickinson (1989), many observa-
tional evidences and simulation experiments have been subsequently proposed to support the CO$_2$ cooling effect using modern techniques and advanced models (Akmaev and Fomichev, 2000; Akmaev et al., 2006; Marsh et al., 2013; Ogawa et al., 2014; Qian et al., 2006, 2011; Solomon et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). A well-established consensus is that every 10 ppm increase in CO$_2$ concentration will result in a $\sim 1\text{-}3\text{ K}$ decrease in global mean temperature in the thermosphere (e.g., Solomon et al., 2018). As the issue of increasing CO$_2$ becomes urgent (IPCC, 2014), researchers have also worked to elucidate the concomitant effects on the upper atmosphere (Zhou et al., 2022), and one of which is the thermospheric dynamics. Using the GAIA (Ground-to-topside Atmosphere Ionosphere model for Aeronomy) simulation, Liu et al. (2020) suggested that the doubling of CO$_2$ concentration should strengthen thermospheric meridional circulation, enhance diurnal migrating tide, and weaken semi-diurnal migrating tide. Kogure et al. (2022) further analyzed the underlying mechanism of the thermospheric zonal mean wind response, suggesting that the ion drag, molecular viscosity, and meridional pressure gradient forces as the three main factors are in the combined modulation. However, the impact of CO$_2$ on the long-term evolution of the thermospheric dynamics during the Holocene is still poorly understood.

The secular variation of the geomagnetic field would produce considerable changes in the thermosphere temperature other than the CO$_2$ effect. Although the geomagnetic variation does not act directly on the neutral atmosphere, it affects ion motion and thus ionospheric behavior (Cai et al., 2019; Elias et al., 2022; Yue et al., 2018; Zossi et al., 2018), which are coupled to the neutral atmosphere via ion-neutral collisions. The strength of the geomagnetic field determines the gyrofrequency and the ionospheric conductivity, thus influencing the Joule heating power and $E \times B$ drift velocities (Cnossen et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2021). The geomagnetic tilt angle controlling the geographic distribution of the Joule heating should produce further changes in temperature and neutral winds (Cnossen and Richmond, 2012). The secular changes of geomagnetic field produce regionally both positive and negative changes; therefore in the global average their effect is negligible (Qian et al., 2021). Cnossen (2014) reported that the geomagnetic variation over the last century could cause a $\sim \pm 10\text{ K}$ change in the thermosphere temperature regionally, comparable to the $-8\text{ K}$ decrease in global temperature due to increased CO$_2$ over the same period. Analyses of recent decades (Cnossen et al., 2020) and projections in the coming decades (Cnossen, 2022) about the thermospheric climate change confirm the importance of the geomagnetic variation, although accelerating CO$_2$ growth still plays a dominant role. Since the geomagnetic field has undergone a more complex evolution during the Holocene than in the present century (Korte et al., 2011), the impact on the evolution of thermospheric dynamics is expected to be more dramatic and therefore worth investigating.

The aim of the present study is to discuss the scenario of thermospheric dynamic changes due to the long-term changes in CO$_2$ concentration and geomagnetic field during the Holocene. This paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 will briefly introduce the numerical simulation settings. Section 3 will show the main results of the simulations, then Sect. 4 discusses the scientific key points. In the end, a short summary is given in Sect. 5.

2 Model description and settings

Attempting to understand the long-term evolution of thermospheric dynamics affected by these two factors in the Holocene, we designed long-term time-slice simulations based on the Global Coupled Ionosphere–Thermosphere–Electrodynamics Model developed at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (GCITEM-IGGCAS; Ren et al., 2009, 2010, 2011, 2020). Detailed model description and settings are referred to in Yue et al. (2022) and Cai et al. (2023), which have carefully investigated the global thermal structure and density profile of the thermosphere and ionosphere, respectively. Here, we give a brief introduction to restate and to add key information. This 3-dimensional coupled thermosphere–ionosphere model self-consistently solves the global thermospheric and ionospheric behavior in the altitudinal coordinate, covering altitudes from 90 to 600 km. The ionospheric electro-dynamics are solved on the provided geomagnetic field configuration using magnetic apex coordinates (Richmond, 1995) based on a set of spherical harmonic coefficients. The calculation scheme requires the geomagnetic field to be dipole-dominated, so the situation of geomagnetic reversal is difficult to portray. The high-latitude electric potential and electric fields are specified by the empirical model of Weimer-96 (Weimer, 1996), which is driven by the hemispheric power (HP), solar wind speed (SWS), interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), and cross-polar cap potential (CPCP). At the lower boundary at 90 km, migrating tides in neutral temperature and density are given by the global-scale wave model (GSWM), while neutral winds are self-consistently calculated. Non-migrating tides are not included in this study. The solar EUV radiation is described by the empirical model EUVAC (Richards et al., 1994), which is driven by the proxy of solar flux at 10.7 cm ($F_{10.7}$). The CO$_2$ cooling is calculated under the assumption of the non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) with a cooling-to-space approximation assumed. In this model, the CO$_2$ level is specified by a given value for a fixed time under the assumption of diffusive equilibrium. This calculation formula follows Roble et al. (1988) and is also adopted by other thermosphere–ionosphere coupled models, such as NCAR-TIEGCM (Qian et al., 2017).

To diagnose the long-term effects of CO$_2$ and geomagnetic field variations on the thermospheric dynamics, two control runs (CR1 and CR2) were performed under perpetual solar
minimum and geomagnetic quiet conditions, which correspond to the CR2 and CR1 in Yue et al. (2022) and Cai et al. (2023). The driving parameters in the Weimer-96 model are set as $HP = 10 \, \text{GW}$, $SWS = 300 \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, $IMF \, B_y = 0 \, \text{nT}$, $IMF \, B_z = -0.5 \, \text{nT}$, and CPCP $= 20 \, \text{kV}$ for both cases, representing the extreme geomagnetically quiet condition of $K_p \approx 0.3$. To eliminate the impact of solar variation, each case was performed under solar minimum, corresponding to the thermospheric dynamical response.

June, with the aim of discussing the seasonal dependence of analysis. Each case was running in two seasons, March and April, and the outputs in the last day were used for spin-up preparation to eliminate the influence from the initial condition. Particularly, pre-runs of 15 days were performed as an additional run of AD 2015 was for the contemporary run every 100 years in the period of 9455 BC to AD 1945, Eastern Hemisphere over the past century. Both cases were simulated variability of the thermosphere is derived exclusively from the CO$_2$ changes. The CO$_2$ dataset consists of three components: (1) estimations from the ice cores’ recorded air composition since $\sim 80000$ years before the present with a rough resolution of $\sim 100$ years during the Holocene (Lüthi et al., 2008); (2) measurements in ice with high precision back to 2000 years before the present (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006); and (3) modern atmospheric measurements at Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii, since 1958 (Keeling et al., 1995).

CR2, the CO$_2$ level is fixed to be 270 ppm, corresponding to the averaged value during the Holocene, while the geomagnetic fields are set to be varied with time. The specified geomagnetic field before 1900 is provided by the CALS10k.2 model developed by Constable et al. (2016), which is based on the archeo-magnetic and lake sediment data. Generally, this model roughly has spherical harmonics to degree and order of 10, and cubic B-splines parameterization is implemented with knots positioned every 40 years. After 1900, the geomagnetic fields are described by the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model (Alken et al., 2021). This model is based on the modern magnetic observations to describe the spatial distribution of geomagnetic fields by the spherical harmonic degree and order of 13 with the time resolution of 5 years. The secular variation of the geomagnetic field implemented in the CR2, including the dipole moment and the position of magnetic and geomagnetic poles, was illustrated in Fig. 1 of Yue et al. (2022), and the readers could refer to Constable et al. (2016) for more detailed information. A general scenario includes: (a) that the dipole moment fluctuated within 6.1–10.1 (10$^{22}$ A m$^2$) and has continuously decreased since 1700 by $\sim 13\%$, and (b) that the geomagnetic/magnetic pole is located at latitudes larger than 78°/70° and has drifted from the Western Hemisphere to the Eastern Hemisphere over the past century. Both cases were run every 100 years in the period of 9455 BC to AD 1945, and an additional run of AD 2015 was for the contemporary condition. Particularly, pre-runs of 15 days were performed as spin-up preparation to eliminate the influence from the initial conditions, and the outputs in the last day were used for analysis. Each case was running in two seasons, March and June, with the aim of discussing the seasonal dependence of the thermospheric dynamical response.

Figure 1. (a) Thermospheric circulation is illustrated by colors (zonal) and arrows (meridional and vertical) in March 2015. (b–d) Changes in zonal, meridional and vertical wind velocity due to the increase of CO$_2$ from 1945 to 2015. Plots (e) and (f) are the same as plots (a)–(d) but for June.

3 Results

3.1 CO$_2$ effect

According to the CR1 results, Fig. 1 illustrates the changes in zonal mean winds due to increased CO$_2$ from 1945 to 2015 (310 to 400 ppm), exemplifying how the changes in CO$_2$ act on the thermospheric circulation. Figure 1b–d shows the strengthening of the thermospheric circulation in March, mainly including enhanced equatorward flow from the North and South poles, accelerated eastward flow at middle and low latitudes, and increased downward/upward movement in the upper/lower thermosphere. The acceleration of the equatorial meridional winds is about $\sim 1–2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ when CO$_2$ is increased by $\sim 90$ ppm. The CO$_2$ acceleration effect of the thermospheric circulation is also evident in June. Figure 1f–h shows the enhanced summer-to-winter prevailing wind and corresponding increased westward/eastward zonal wind in the summer/winter hemisphere due to the Coriolis force. The vertical winds also show a downward increase in the upper thermosphere, while the slight increase in the lower thermosphere disappears around the winter pole. Compared to the wind change in March, the accelerated thermospheric winds in June achieve $\sim 2–3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ in zonal and meridional and a few cm s$^{-1}$ in vertical winds. Our simulation gives a reasonable and convincing result compared to the GAIA simulation of Liu et al. (2020), which shows an increase in the meridional winds of 5–15 m s$^{-1}$ when CO$_2$ increases by 345 ppm.

Examining the CO$_2$ effect on the thermospheric circulation throughout the Holocene, Fig. 2 illustrates the time evolution of changes in meridional wind versus latitudes in the CR2 simulation. The chosen height of $\sim 197$ km is where the changes in the meridional wind are significant as shown in Fig. 1. The results for the beginning year ($\sim 9455$) have been subtracted in order to show the CO$_2$ effect more intuitively. The corresponding CO$_2$ variation is plotted as the solid red
line, which also subtracts the CO₂ level in the beginning year (264 ppm). Changes in the meridional circulation are obviously highly correlated with CO₂ variation and become much more significant since ~1800 when the increase in CO₂ was much larger due to the industrial revolution. The correlation coefficient is generally over ±0.99 at most latitudes. During the equinox season, the meridional circulation tends to be more/less equatorward due to the increase/decrease of CO₂. As for the solstice season, the CO₂ effect manifests as acceleration/deceleration of the summer-to-pole circulation. For the past over 10 000 years before ~1800, the change in meridional circulation velocity in March and June only fluctuated by ±0.4–±0.1 m s⁻¹ and −0.6 to −0.2 m s⁻¹, respectively. However, in the last 200 years, the CO₂-induced changes in meridional wind could reach more than 1 m s⁻¹. Figure 3 further analyses the CO₂ effect on the thermospheric dynamics, choosing the averaged zonal circulation as a proxy. The results show that CO₂ enhances the eastward flow at the Equator during March, rather than being strictly linear. The growth of the accelerated eastward flow becomes small as CO₂ increases. Linear regressions show a change of 0.012 m s⁻¹ in the thermospheric equatorial zonal flow per parts per million CO₂ increase, and the parabolic fit should be in good agreement with the simulated data. The parabolic fitting obviously indicates that the rate of change of the thermospheric circulation slows down at the present CO₂ level. A similar non-linear effect is also manifested in the June zonal circulation (Fig. 3c).

As for the solar tidal response to the CO₂ variation during the Holocene, Fig. 4 illustrates the time evolution of diurnal migrating tide in temperature (DW₁ − T) at ~240 km, which is the major tidal component in the thermosphere. The DW₁ − T tidal amplitude is positively correlated with CO₂ changes, manifesting as increasing by ~10 K compared with the beginning year (~9455) during March when the CO₂ levels achieve 400 ppm in the modern era, particularly maximizing at the equatorial and low-latitude region. From 8000 BC to 4000 BC, when the CO₂ level was low throughout the Holocene, the DW₁ − T amplitude also decreased slightly. The specified DW₁ − T amplitude at the lower boundary in March is a maximum of ~16 K at the Equator and two secondary peaks of ~7 K at ±35°. As for the DW₁ − T at the lower boundary in June, the strength is ~1/2 of that during March. Correspondingly, the changes in the thermospheric DW₁ − T amplitude in the modern era are slightly over 2 K, only ~1/4 of that in March. The maximum change is found at middle latitudes in the winter hemisphere, rather than the Equator. The latitudinal difference in the DW₁ − T changes is contrary to the DW₁ − T time tendency, which generally maximizes in the summer hemisphere (Gu and Du, 2018).

### 3.2 Geomagnetic field effect

The geomagnetic field effect on the thermospheric circulation is regional and complicated, unlike the global effect of CO₂. Figure 5 exemplified the thermospheric circulation in the present era in the CR2 simulation and manifested how the circulation changed over the past 70 years due to the geomagnetic variation. The thermospheric winds generally flow across the isotherm due to the pressure gradient fluctuations.
force and can maximize over 100 m s\(^{-1}\) around the terminator. The auroral heating modulates the solar-driven winds and decreases the poleward flow at high and middle latitudes. Figure 5b shows that the geomagnetic variation from 1945 to 2015 alters the geographic distribution of temperature in March, notably at high latitudes (\(\sim 15\) K) and negligibly at middle and low latitudes (\(\pm 5\) K). Correspondingly, the change in horizontal neutral winds could exceed 30 m s\(^{-1}\) at high latitudes and around the dusk sector. The changes in temperature and wind induced by the geomagnetic field are smaller in June than that in March, which is about \(\pm 10/\pm 3\) K at high/middle–low latitudes for temperature and maximizes \(\sim 20\) m s\(^{-1}\) for horizontal winds. The circulation change shows a larger change in the Northern Hemisphere than in the Southern Hemisphere, in both simulations for March and June. The horizontal wind changes in the Southern Hemisphere are generally smaller by 10–20 m s\(^{-1}\) than that in the Northern Hemisphere, and the temperature change is smaller by 5–10 K. The hemisphere difference is coincident with the asymmetrical change in the geomagnetic poles. The northern magnetic pole shifted 12 and 76° in latitude and longitude, respectively. However, the southern magnetic pole drifted by merely 4 and 7° in latitude and longitude, respectively.

In addition, Fig. 5b and d shows that the geomagnetic variation during the period 1945–2015 induced different temperature responses during the daytime/nighttime at middle and low latitudes. This local-time-dependent effect is further examined in Figs. 6 and 7 for the month of March and June, respectively. Figure 6a illustrates the local-time dependence of temperature changes due to the geomagnetic variation with respect to the beginning year of 9455 BC, when the dipole moment of the geomagnetic field underwent a minimum period. During the daytime, the average temperature at low latitude was generally higher than in 9455 BC for most of the time, except for 4900 BC and 4700 BC. The changed magnitude varied from \(-2\) to 9 K. In contrast, the night-time temperature change is negative compared to 9455 BC since 3100 BC and ranges from \(-7\) to +6 K before 3100 BC. We then deduced the day–night differences in the temperature response at middle and low latitudes and illustrated them in comparison with the strength of the geomagnetic dipole moment in Fig. 6b. The results show an obviously positive correlation between the day–night differences and the geomagnetic dipole moment, indicating that a stronger geomagnetic dipole moment would induce larger day–night temperature differences in the thermosphere at middle to low latitudes in March, thereby exacerbating the prevailing day-to-night flow. During the whole simulation period in the Holocene, the day–night difference in temperature caused by the geomagnetic variation can vary up to \(\sim 15–20\) K. The fluctua-
of the correlation coefficient is less than 0.6 being masked. The results show that as the geomagnetic dipole moment increases per $10^{22}$ A m$^2$, the thermospheric DW1 $- T$ in March would enhance by 1–3 K, with two maximums around ±30–40°. The response of SW2 $- T$ is much smaller and insignificant. At the Equator, the increase in geomagnetic dipole moment by $10^{22}$ A m$^2$ would lessen the SW2 $- T$ amplitude merely by $\sim 0.3$ K. A slight enhancement of SW2 $- T$ due to the increase in geomagnetic dipole moment could be found in the upper thermosphere at middle latitudes, while the growth rate is only $\sim 0.4$ K/10$^{22}$ A m$^2$.

4 Discussion

In this paper, two control runs, CR1 and CR2, were conducted to examine the response of thermospheric dynamics to long-term changes in CO$_2$ and geomagnetic field during the last 12 000 years of the Holocene. The CO$_2$ effect was revealed as an enhancement of the general circulation with increasing CO$_2$ levels (Figs. 1 and 2), which agreed with the result of Liu et al. (2020). Rind et al. (1990) also found that an increase in CO$_2$ similarly enhanced the mesospheric circulation. Both of them suggested that the increased eddy forcing and gravity waves (GWs) should play an important role. However, the GCITEM-IGGCAS model does not involve a parameterization scheme for GWs because the GWs mainly affect the mean flow in the mesosphere rather than in the thermosphere. Therefore, the changes in the circulation caused by CO$_2$ variations in our results cannot be attributed to GWs. The interpretation by Kogure et al. (2022) should be responsible for the fact that the changes in ion drag, molecular viscosity, and meridional pressure gradient forces are in the combined modulation. An interesting finding is that the CO$_2$ increase does not linearly accelerate the circulation and tends to be “saturated”, as shown in Fig. 3. The plausible explanation is that the molecular viscosity is non-linearly related to the temperature. As for the tidal response to the CO$_2$ effect, the DW1 amplitude is positively correlated with CO$_2$ variation (Fig. 4). A reasonable deduction is that the decreased viscosity due to the enhanced CO$_2$. 

Figure 8. Time evolution of the differences in the amplitude of (a) DW1 and (b) SW2 with respect to the beginning of the simulation.

Figure 9. Coefficient estimates for the linear regression of (a) DW1 $- T$ and (b) SW2 $- T$ amplitudes on the geomagnetic dipole moment. The shaded gray area indicates where the absolute values of correlation coefficients are less than 0.6.
cooling should be less likely to dissipate tidal propagation from below. The latitudinal structure of the DW1 response to CO$_2$ differs from that of Liu et al. (2020), partly because their results mixed the influences of changes in tidal sources from below, whereas our results reflected the internal thermospheric responses. In addition, this paper only considered the geomagnetically quiet condition, while the efficiency of CO$_2$ forcing somewhat differs under low and high geomagnetic activity conditions according to GAIA simulations by Liu et al. (2021).

Figure 5 illustrated an asymmetric response in circulation to the geomagnetic variation. The change in neutral winds was larger in the hemisphere with a more distant geomagnetic pole shift. Given that the variation in the dipole component of the geomagnetic field is hemispherically symmetrical, it could logically be inferred that the hemisphere difference in circulation is contributed by the variation of the non-dipole component. The neutral temperature change due to geomagnetic variation has a similar pattern to the ion temperature in Cnossen (2014), which is also manifested to decrease around the day–time equatorial ionization anomaly (EIA) peaks. A possible causal linkage could be proposed that the geomagnetic variation affected the equatorial plasma drift velocity and then redistributed the electron density around the EIA region. As the electron density becomes large/small, the electron temperature changed conversely. The ion temperature change then should be more or less related to the electron temperature change. Generally, the smaller strength of the geomagnetic fields would induce stronger equatorial vertical drift ($E \times B$/$B^2$) and thus increase the electron density at the EIA peaks, and Yue et al. (2022) confirmed such a relationship. During the nighttime, the equatorial drift tended to be downward and the EIA structure disappeared in general. So, the above-discussed causality is not valid, and the night-time neutral temperature response should be different. The increased Joule heating related to the weakening of the geomagnetic field might be responsible. Hence, the geomagnetic variation would redistribute the temperature in the daytime and nighttime differently (Fig. 6), then causing the day–night difference in circulation is constrained to be unvaried, so our results mainly describe the effect of propagation conditions and local excitation on the long-term dynamics change in the thermosphere. In the next step, simulation based on a whole-atmosphere climate model, like the WAccM-X (Liu et al., 2018) and GAIA (Jin et al., 2011), should give a much more realistic scenario of the long-term change in the thermospheric dynamics, nevertheless, the computation cost will increase substantially.

In addition, the empirical model describing the high-latitude input, Weimer-96, is based on modern satellite measurements. Although the geomagnetic intensity variation has not been taken into consideration by the model, the effect of the geomagnetic tilted angle has been included. The drift of magnetic poles and aurora region is thus considered, given that the Weimer-96 is based on a magnetic coordinate. The intensity of the geomagnetic field is examined as influencing the magnetosphere configuration and thus is expected to affect the energy input to the high-latitude thermosphere (Zhong et al., 2014; Cnossen et al., 2012). Vogt et al. (2009) summarized the potential impact of the geomagnetic field variation on the geospace by modulating the shielding of the energetic charged particles. During the simulated period, the dipole moment ($M$) is in the $6 \times 10^{22}$–$1 \times 10^{23}$ A m$^2$ range. As the sine of polar cap size ($\theta$) is generally proportional to $M^{-1/6}$, a rough estimation deduces that $\theta$ would change by $\sim 3^\circ$, within latitudinal resolution ($5^\circ$) in the model. Theoretical scaling about cross-polar cap potential ($\Phi$), $\Phi \propto M^{1/3}$, infers that the $\Phi$ should varied from 18 to 21 kV during the Holocene if we set the $\Phi$ as 20 kV at the present era. Comparing a typical geomagnetically disturbed condition that $\Phi$ is $\sim 80$ kV for $Kp = 4$, the relative change in $\Phi$ above is quite small. Cnossen (2014) also declared that the magnetosphere–ionosphere coupling is only significant during the disturbed conditions. Given that our simulation is perpetually geomagnetically quiescent, the impact of geomagnetic variation on the high-latitude energy input should be limited.

In this work, the CO$_2$ and geomagnetic fields were regarded as two independent external drivings to the simulation regardless of their interaction, although whether the in-
teraction exists is still controversial. Zhou et al. (2021) proposed that the decrease in geomagnetic intensity would re-distribute the CO$_2$ in the upper atmosphere using the whole-atmosphere simulation. Their investigation suggested that the increased ionospheric conductivities due to the weakened geomagnetic intensity would induce much more Joule heating to warm the high-latitude lower thermosphere, which then should enhance the upwelling flow and bring rich CO$_2$ from below. This result is based on the physical fact that the CO$_2$ distribution becomes deviated from the well-mixed equilibrium above the mesopause (∼80−90 km), and the timescale of eddy diffusion becomes much larger in the upper atmosphere (Beagley et al., 2010; Rezac et al., 2015), so that the dynamical processes could modulate the CO$_2$ distribution. However, until now, little observational evidence has been proposed to support the possible link between CO$_2$ and geomagnetic fields. A simulation project conducted by the whole-atmosphere model in the next step could provide more information.

Responses of the non-migrating tides to the variation of CO$_2$ and geomagnetic fields were not considered in this paper. The eastward propagating diurnal tides with a zonal wavenumber of 3 (DE3) should not be very sensitive to the CO$_2$ change, according to the discussion by Liu et al. (2020). This result was expected, as the longitudinal variation of CO$_2$ concentration is generally not obvious. On the other hand, geomagnetic fields crucially influence the non-migrating tidal propagation in the upper atmosphere, through the electro-dynamo or parallel-line transport. For example, Jiang et al. (2018) revealed that DE3 tide can induce the longitudinal wavenumber-3 (WN3) structure rather than the should-be WN4 structure through the electro-dynamical coupling with the geomagnetic field. Zhang et al. (2020) proposed that the significant role of parallel-line transport alters the interhemispheric symmetry as the enhanced planetary waves upward propagated during the 2009 sudden stratosphere warming (SSW) event. As the realistic geomagnetic field is much more complicated than the dipole or tilted dipole, a given non-migrating tide propagating into the thermosphere would broaden the spectra of wavenumbers. Yue et al. (2013) found that there were complicated longitudinal structures rather than simply the WN3 as the quasi 2d wave with westward zonal wavenumber 3 propagating into the upper atmosphere. In this future work, the non-migrating tidal response to the long-term variation will be worth studying.

5 Conclusions

This paper diagnosed the long-term changes in the thermospheric dynamics caused by the secular variation of CO$_2$ emissions and geomagnetic field during the Holocene, using the global coupled thermosphere–ionosphere model, GCITEM-IGGCAS. Two sets of long-term time-slice simulations covering ∼12,000 years were performed by independently controlling the CO$_2$ level and the configuration of geomagnetic fields, both under the perpetual condition of solar minimum and geomagnetic quiescence. The corresponding changes in the circulation and major solar tides in the thermosphere were then analyzed, and the main results were summarized as follows:

1. The CO$_2$ increase/decrease generally strengthened/weakened the general circulation in the thermosphere, and notably the circulation has intensified dramatically with the steep increase in CO$_2$ since the industrial revolution. The circulation increase due to the CO$_2$ variation was found to grow non-linearly, which is expected to be caused by the non-linear relationship between temperature and molecular viscosity.

2. The amplitude of the diurnal migrating tide in the thermosphere will strengthen as the CO$_2$ increases throughout the Holocene because the increased CO$_2$ cooling provides a plausible condition for tidal propagation.

3. Secular variations of the geomagnetic field have a regional impact on the thermospheric circulation, which is particularly pronounced at high latitudes and around the dusk sector. The prominent hemispheric differences in the thermospheric circulation response infer a crucial role of the geomagnetic non-dipole component.

4. Geomagnetic variations also redistribute neutral temperature at middle and low latitudes and leads to different responses in the daytime and nighttime, which then influence the thermospheric dynamics.

5. The geomagnetic dipole moment is highly correlated with DW1 tidal amplitude at middle and low latitudes during March, and an enhancement of $1 \times 10^{22}$ A m$^2$ will cause an increase in $\sim 1−3$ K of DW1 – $\Delta$ in the thermosphere.
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