Satellite quantification of methane emissions and oil–gas methane intensities from individual countries in the Middle East and North Africa: implications for climate action
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Abstract. We use 2019 TROPOMI satellite observations of atmospheric methane in an analytical inversion to quantify methane emissions from the Middle East and North Africa at up to ~ 25 km x 25 km resolution, using spatially allocated national United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) reports as prior estimates for the fuel sector. Our resulting best estimate of anthropogenic emissions for the region is 35 % higher than the prior bottom-up inventories (+103 % for gas, +53 % for waste, +49 % for livestock, −14 % for oil) with large variability across countries. Oil and gas account for 38 % of total anthropogenic emissions in the region. TROPOMI observations can effectively optimize and separate national emissions by sector for most of the 23 countries in the region, with 6 countries accounting for most of total anthropogenic emissions including Iran (5.3 (5.0–5.5) Tg a−1; best estimate and uncertainty range), Turkmenistan (4.4 (2.8–5.1) Tg a−1), Saudi Arabia (4.3 (2.4–6.0) Tg a−1), Algeria (3.5 (2.4–4.4) Tg a−1), Egypt (3.4 (2.5–4.0) Tg a−1), and Turkey (3.0 (2.0–4.1) Tg a−1). Most oil–gas emissions are from the production (upstream) subsector, but Iran, Turkmenistan, and Saudi Arabia have large gas emissions from transmission and distribution subsectors. We identify a high number of annual oil–gas emission hotspots in Turkmenistan, Algeria, and Oman and offshore in the Persian Gulf. We show that oil–gas methane emissions for individual countries are not related to production, invalidating a basic premise in the construction of activity-based bottom-up inventories. Instead, local infrastructure and management practices appear to be key drivers of oil–gas emissions, emphasizing the need for including top-down information from atmospheric observations in the construction of oil–gas emission inventories. We examined the methane intensity, defined as the upstream oil–gas emission per unit of methane gas produced, as a measure of the potential for decreasing emissions from the oil–gas sector and using as reference the 0.2 % target set by the industry. We find that the methane intensity in most countries is considerably higher than this target, reflecting leaky infrastructure combined with deliberate venting or incomplete flaring of gas. However, we also find that Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, and Qatar meet the industry target and thus show that the target is achievable through the capture of associated gas, modern infrastructure, and the concentration of operations. Decreasing methane intensities across the Middle East and North Africa to 0.2 % would achieve a 90 % decrease in oil–gas upstream...
emissions and a 26% decrease in total anthropogenic methane emissions in the region, making a significant contribution toward the Global Methane Pledge.

1 Introduction

Methane (CH$_4$) is a potent greenhouse gas with a relatively short atmospheric lifetime of 9.1 ± 0.9 years (Prather et al., 2012; Szopa et al., 2021) and is a precursor of tropospheric ozone (Fiore et al., 2002). Decreasing methane emissions is a powerful lever to mitigate near-term warming (Szopa et al., 2021) and thereby give the world time to “bend the curve” on carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions and removal, as well as to adapt to climate change. Anthropogenic emissions of methane are from many sectors including the oil and gas supply chain, coal mining, livestock, rice cultivation, landfills, and wastewater treatment. Natural emissions are mainly from wetlands. Improving knowledge of methane emissions is urgently needed for enforcing the enhanced transparency framework of the Paris Agreement and the 2023 objectives of the Global Methane Pledge (Climate and Clean air Coalition, 2021). The 194 Parties to the Paris Agreement (individual nations plus the European Union) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have each submitted their periodic nationally determined contributions (NDCs), indicating how much they expect to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by specific years, most often by 2030. Emission inventories reported by parties under the Paris Agreement typically rely on bottom-up estimates using activity data and emission factors that are extrapolated from limited information and may have large errors (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2020; Nisbet et al., 2020). Top-down methods involving the inversion of atmospheric methane observations can reduce these uncertainties through Bayesian synthesis (Houweling et al., 2017). Here we use an inverse analysis of 2019 satellite observations of atmospheric methane to quantify emissions by sector over the Middle East and North Africa region including 23 individual countries.

The Middle East and North Africa is a compelling target region for reducing methane emissions because of intense oil and gas production activity, contributing 32% to global oil production and 24% to global gas production in 2019 (EIA, 2020). The oil–gas sector presents the largest low-cost mitigation potential for methane emissions with technically feasible solutions (Nisbet et al., 2020). National inventories reported to the UNFCCC give a total oil–gas methane emission from the Middle East and North Africa of 13.0 Tg a$^{-1}$ for 2019, representing 27% of global emissions from that sector (Scarpelli et al., 2022). However, emission uncertainties are particularly high for the oil–gas sector because of the large number of point sources with widely variable operating conditions. Bottom-up estimates for individual countries may vary by more than an order of magnitude (Scarpelli et al., 2022). Satellite observations have detected exceedingly large point sources from oil and gas fields in the Middle East and North Africa (Varon et al., 2019, 2021; Guanter et al., 2021; Lauvaux et al., 2022; Irakulis-Loixate et al., 2022a, 2022b; Sánchez-García et al., 2022; Ehret et al., 2022), revealing poor maintenance practices and equipment failures that would likely not be accounted for in the bottom-up inventories.

Top-down emission estimates using atmospheric methane observations offer an independent check on bottom-up inventories. They generally involve an inverse analysis in which an atmospheric transport model is used to relate emissions to atmospheric concentrations, equipped with prior information from a spatially resolved emission inventory. Comparing the predicted atmospheric concentrations from the prior emission inventory to the observations enables correction of the inventory by Bayesian synthesis (Brasseur and Jacob, 2017). Satellite observations in the shortwave infrared (SWIR) are particularly attractive for top-down analyses due to their global coverage and sensitivity down to the surface (Jacob et al., 2016, 2022). Inversions with satellite observations from the Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) for 2009–present (Qu et al., 2021; Deng et al., 2022) have enabled an assessment of national emissions across the globe in support of the Paris Agreement’s global-stocktake process (Worden et al., 2022), the first of which is to be completed in 2023. But the GOSAT observations are sparse, separated by about 250 km, which limits the spatial resolution that can be achieved and introduces errors in attributing emissions to countries and sectors. The TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) satellite instrument (2018–present) provides global continuous daily mapping of atmospheric methane at 7 km × 5.5 km nadir resolution. It has a unique capability for high-resolution quantification of national emissions and effectively attributing emissions to sectors. This capability has recently been demonstrated for North America (Zhang et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021, 2022) and East Asia (Chen et al., 2022; Liang et al., 2022).

Here we use TROPOMI observations for 2019 with the GEOS-Chem (Goddard Earth Observing System) atmospheric transport model in an analytical inversion including closed-form error characterization to infer methane emissions from the Middle East and North Africa ($-$20–70$^\circ$ E, 12–44$^\circ$ N) at up to the native 0.25$^\circ$ × 0.3125$^\circ$ ($-$25 km × 25 km) resolution of GEOS-Chem. This allows us to quantify emissions by sector for 23 individual countries across the region and compare to the UNFCCC-reported in-
ventories used as prior estimates in our inversion. We infer methane intensities (emissions per unit gas production) from the oil–gas sector in different countries and identify high-intensity countries with the potential to greatly reduce emissions.

2 Data and methods

2.1 TROPOMI satellite observations

TROPOMI is on board the polar sun-synchronous Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite with a ∼13:30 local overpass time. Dry-column methane mixing ratios (XCH₄) are retrieved with a full-physics algorithm in the 2.3 µm absorption band with a global success rate of 3% over land limited by cloud cover and by dark or heterogeneous surfaces (Lorente et al., 2021). TROPOMI provides full global daily coverage with a spatial resolution of 7 km × 5.5 km in the nadir (7 km × 7 km before August 2019) (Hu et al., 2016). We use the TROPOMI methane product version 2.02 from the Netherlands Institute for Space Research (Lorente et al., 2021) for 2019 excluding low-quality retrievals (“qa_value” < 0.5 and snow-covered scenes identified with a blended albedo exceeding 0.8) (Chen et al., 2022).

The TROPOMI XCH₄ data can be affected by retrieval artifacts correlated with SWIR surface albedo also retrieved by TROPOMI (Barré et al., 2021). Here we apply a bias correction to TROPOMI retrievals over the Middle East and North Africa by calibrating to GOSAT observations. GOSAT has higher spectral resolution than TROPOMI and retrieves XCH₄ in the 1.65 µm band using the CO₂ proxy retrieval method, which is less subject to retrieval artifacts (Parker et al., 2019). We find that the differences between TROPOMI and GOSAT retrievals averaged on the 0.25° × 0.3125° GEOS-Chem grid have a linear dependence on SWIR surface albedo (Fig. 1), and we apply the linear regression as a correction to the TROPOMI data. The correction includes a non-zero intercept of 10.3 ppb, but this is of no consequence because the same correction is applied to the initial and boundary conditions for the inversion. The mean TROPOMI–GOSAT difference on the 0.25° × 0.3125° grid is −0.01 ± 9.3 ppb after this correction, where the standard deviation refers to the spatial variability in the annually averaged differences. This standard deviation, which is a measure of variable bias, is below the threshold requirement of 10 ppb by Buchwitz et al. (2015) for satellite data to be effective in regional inversions.

Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution of the corrected TROPOMI observations and the number of successful retrievals for 2019. The total number of TROPOMI retrievals over our inversion domain for 2019 is 30 366 339, evenly distributed across seasons. We average the TROPOMI retrievals (including XCH₄, prior vertical profiles, and averaging kernel vectors) over each GEOS-Chem 0.25° × 0.3125° grid cell and each hour to yield m = 3 714 062 super-observations for use in the inversion.

2.2 Prior emissions

Figure 3 shows the distribution of prior emissions by sector over the inversion domain. Table 1 lists the domain-wide totals, and Table 2 lists totals for individual countries. Oil, gas, and coal emissions are from the Global Fuel Exploitation Inventory (GFEIv2), which uses detailed infrastructure data to spatially allocate on a 0.1° × 0.1° grid the national inventories from individual countries reported to the UNFCCC including offshore emissions (Scarrelli et al., 2022). Iraq, Libya, and Oman have not reported their emissions to the UNFCCC since 2000 (Table S1 in the Supplement), and for those countries GFEIv2 uses recommended emission factors from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2006) Tier 1 method and U.S. Energy Information Administration production statistics (EIA, 2020) to infer national emissions. For other anthropogenic sectors (livestock, landfills, wastewater treatment, rice, and other minor sources), prior emissions are from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGARv6) inventory for 2018 (Crippa et al., 2021).

Wetland emissions are 2019 monthly means at 0.5° × 0.5° resolution from the nine high-performance members of the WetCHARTS v1.3.1 inventory ensemble, so chosen because they fit best to a global GOSAT inversion (Ma et al., 2021). Other natural sources include open-fire emissions from the Global Fire Emissions Database version 4s (GFED4s) (van...
der Werf et al., 2017), termite emissions from Fung et al. (1991), and geological seepage emissions from Etiope et al. (2019) with global scaling to 2 Tg a$^{-1}$ (Hmiel et al., 2021). Termite emissions in the region are larger than wetlands (0.51 Tg a$^{-1}$ versus 0.42 Tg a$^{-1}$) and are mostly in Iran and Niger.

2.3 GEOS-Chem forward model

We use the nested version of the GEOS-Chem 13.0.0 chemical transport model (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4618180) as a forward model for the inversion to relate methane emissions to atmospheric concentrations through atmospheric transport. GEOS-Chem is driven by meteorological fields from the GEOS FP (Forward Processing) analyses (Lucchesi, 2018) at 0.25° × 0.3125° resolution. We use that native resolution in GEOS-Chem over the Middle East and North Africa domain (−20°−70° E, 12°−44° N) with dynamic boundary conditions from a global model simulation using posterior methane emissions optimized from TROPOMI data following Shen et al. (2022). We further optimize the boundary conditions for each quadrant (north, south, west, east) and for each season as part of the inversion. Initial conditions on 1 January 2019 are set to match the mean TROPOMI column mixing ratios in the region following Qu et al. (2021). In this manner, differences between the forward model and observations can be attributed to errors in 2019 emissions rather than to errors in initial conditions.

2.4 Analytical inversion procedure

We perform the inversion analysis mostly following Chen et al. (2022). We use the Gaussian mixture model (GMM) of Turner and Jacob (2015) to define the state vector $x$ of the inversion as emission patterns that TROPOMI observations can effectively constrain, aiming to preserve native (0.25° × 0.3125°) resolution for strong localized sources while smoothing the solution in regions with weak uniform emissions as provided by prior knowledge. In the GMM, similarity vectors defining proximity and commonality in sectoral emissions (as defined by the prior estimate) are used to construct Gaussian state vector elements characterized by the location of maximum emission, spatial standard deviation, and emission amplitude. Here we add as the similarity vector the list of ultra-emitters (> 25 t h$^{-1}$) identified by Lauvaux et al. (2022) from analysis of hotspots in the 2019–2020 TROPOMI data. This ensures that the ultra-emitters are resolved on the native 0.25° × 0.3125° grid of the inversion.

We choose to use 600 Gaussian functions to optimize in the emission state vector, based on our previous experience with the information content of regional inversions. The inversion optimizes the amplitude of each Gaussian. We also optimize 16 boundary conditions (4 boundaries × 4 seasons) for a total of $n = 616$ state vector elements.

We perform the inversion with lognormal error probability density functions (PDFs) for prior emissions (Maasakkers et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2022). This prevents unphysical negative emissions (Miller et al., 2014) and better captures the heavy
tail of the emission distribution (Yuan et al., 2015; Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015; Duren et al., 2019; Cusworth et al., 2022) than a normal error assumption. Specifically, we optimize \( \ln(x) \) instead of \( x \) such that the prior errors in \( \ln(x) \) (referred to hereafter as \( x' \)) follow a normal distribution. The boundary condition elements of the state vector are still optimized assuming normal error distributions.

The inversion finds the optimal estimate of \( x' \) assuming normal error distributions (lognormal in emission space) by minimizing the Bayesian cost function \( J(x') \) (Brasseur and Jacob, 2017):

\[
J(x') = \left(x' - x'_a\right)^T S_a^{-1} \left(x' - x'_a\right) + \gamma (y - K x')^T S_o^{-1} \left(y - K x'\right),
\]

where \( x' = \ln(x) \) and \( x'_a = \ln(x_a) \), \( x_a \) (\( n \times 1 \)) is the prior emission estimate (\( n = 616 \)), and \( y \) (\( m \times 1 \)) is the ensemble of TROPOMI super-observations (\( m = 3714062 \)). \( S'_n \) (\( n \times n \)) is the prior error covariance matrix, and \( S_o \) (\( m \times m \)) is the observational error covariance matrix; both are assumed to be diagonal in the absence of better objective information. \( K' x' = K x \) is the GEOS-Chem forward model simulation of \( X_{CH_4} \). \( K = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \) (\( m \times n \)) is the Jacobian matrix that describes the linear sensitivity of \( y \) to \( x \) and is constructed column by column by perturbing individual elements of \( x \) in GEOS-Chem. \( K'_i,j = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} = x_j \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x} = x_j K_{i,j} \), where \( i \) and \( j \) are indices of the observations and the state vector elements. The regularization factor \( \gamma \) is introduced in Eq. (1) to prevent overfitting to observations because of the missing covariant structure (off-diagonal terms) in \( S_o \). We follow Lu et al. (2021) and determine an optimal \( \gamma \) value of 0.01 such that \( \left( x' - x'_a\right)^T S_a^{-1} \left(x' - x'_a\right) \approx n \pm 2^{\kappa} \), the expected value (\( \pm 1 \) standard deviation) of the chi-squared distribution with \( n \) degrees of freedom, where \( x' \) is the optimal posterior estimate.

We solve the nonlinear optimization problem iteratively using the Levenberg–Marquardt method (Rodgers, 2000):

\[
x'_N + 1 = x'_N + \left( \gamma K'_N S_0^{-1} K_N' + (1 + \kappa) S_a^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left( \gamma K'_N S_0^{-1} (y - K x'_N) - S_a^{-1} (x'_N - x'_a)\right),
\]

where the coefficient \( \kappa \) is fixed at 10 following Chen et al. (2022). \( N \) is the iteration number (\( x'_0 = x'_a \)), and \( K_N' \) is evaluated for \( x' = x'_N \). We iterate on Eq. (2) until the differences in all state vector elements between two consecutive iterations (\( x_N' \) and \( x_N + 1' \)) are smaller than 0.5 \%. We then take \( x' = x_N + 1' \) as the optimal posterior estimate.

The posterior error covariance matrix \( S' \) on the optimal posterior estimate is given by (Rodgers, 2000)

\[
S' = \left( \gamma K' S_0^{-1} K' + S_a^{-1}\right)^{-1},
\]

where \( K' = K_N + 1' \) is evaluated for the posterior estimate. The averaging kernel matrix \( A \) defining the sensitivity of the
Table 1. Methane emissions for 2019 in the Middle East and North Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Prior estimate (Tg a(^{-1}))^b</th>
<th>Posterior estimate (Tg a(^{-1}))^c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total emission</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>39.7 (33.7–45.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>38.6 (32.5–43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.5 (8.3–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.3 (4.1–8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock(^d)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.2 (6.4–10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.20 (0.10–0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste(^e)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13.2 (10.6–14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.72 (0.58–0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^f)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2–1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open fires</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03–0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.53 (0.49–0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeps</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14 (0.10–0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termites</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.87 (0.61–1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Summing emissions over the 23 individual countries listed in Table 2.
\(^b\) Prior estimates of oil, gas, and coal emissions from the GEIv2 gridded version of the national inventories from individual countries reported to the UNFCCC or inferred from EIAs production data (Scoppet et al., 2022). Other anthropogenic emissions are from EDGARv6.
\(^c\) Wetland emissions are the mean of the high-performance subset of the WetCHARTv v1.3.1 inventory ensemble for 2019 (Ma et al., 2021).
\(^d\) Termites are from Fung et al. (1991), and geological seepage emissions are from Etiope et al. (2019) with scaling from Hmiel et al. (2020).
\(^e\) Waste sector includes emissions from landfills and wastewater treatment, which are 5.2 and 3.4 Tg a\(^{-1}\) in the prior estimate and are not separable in the inversion.
\(^f\) Livestock sector includes contributions from enteric fermentation and manure management.

2.5 Prior and observational error covariance matrices

We assume a geometric standard deviation factor (\(\sigma_g = 2\)) to characterize the lognormal error PDF for the prior emission estimates (i.e., the prior emissions are uncertain by a factor of 2) such that \(S_i = (\text{diagonal elements } s_i)\) is constructed following \(\sqrt{s_i} = \ln(\sigma_g)\) (Kirkwood, 1979). A factor of 2 is typical of the uncertainties in emission factors given by the IPCC for oil–gas activities (Scoppet et al., 2020). The prior error standard deviation on the boundary conditions is taken to be 10 ppb, which is typical of the root mean square error (RMSE) of GEOS-Chem simulations using posterior emission estimates (Chen et al., 2022).

We use the residual error method (Heald et al., 2004) to estimate observational error variances including contributions from the TROPOMI instrument, the retrieval, and the forward model. Here we take into account the error reduction resulting from averaging individual TROPOMI retrievals \(y\) into the super-observations \(y\). We first apply the residual error method to individual retrievals in each \(0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ\) grid cell \(k\) over the course of 2019. The difference \(y - Kx\) between individual TROPOMI retrievals and the prior simulation is decomposed into an annual mean \(\bar{y} - \bar{K}x\) for that grid cell to be corrected in the inversion and a residual \((y - Kx - (\bar{y} - \bar{K}x))\) representing the observational error for \(y\). The variance \(s_k\) of that observational error would populate the observational error covariance matrix if we ingested individual retrievals in the inversion, but in fact we ingest super-observations each representing an average of \(P\) individual retrievals. If the observational error for individual retrievals averaged into a super-observation was uncorrelated, then the observational error variance would decrease as \(1/P\) (central limit theorem), but the decrease is less if the errors are correlated.

To estimate the observational error variance reduction associated with averaging \(P\) retrievals into one super-observation for a given \(0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ\) grid cell and hour, we repeat the residual error method but now apply it to the super-observations \(y\) instead of the individual retrievals. Instead of computing error variances for individual grid cells, we sort the errors by the number \(P\) of individual retrievals that went into the super-observation and take statistics for the dependence of the observational error variance on \(P\) over the whole inversion domain. Results are shown in Fig. 4 with a comparison to the central limit theorem. We see that the decrease in the observational error variance with the number \(P\) of individual retrievals going into a super-observation is much weaker than would be expected for uncorrelated errors. This implies that the observational errors for the individual retrievals contributing to a super-observation for a given \(0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ\) grid cell and hour are highly correlated. The forward model transport component of the observational error is in fact perfectly correlated because the model provides a single prediction for all individual retrievals. But most of the observational error is expected to be contributed by the...
Table 2. National anthropogenic methane emissions in 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Livestock</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Anthropogenic total (Tg a(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Sensitivity to observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4–4.4)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.42 (0.39–0.43)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5–4.0)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5.3 (5.0–5.5)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.2 (1.8–3.1)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.62 (0.45–0.68)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.95 (0.58–0.98)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15 (0.09–0.18)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.61 (0.56–0.85)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.23 (0.20–0.28)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–1.7)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.3 (0.95–1.8)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.4 (1.2–3.4)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19 (0.14–0.19)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.37 (0.31–0.38)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>4.3 (2.4–6.0)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.90 (0.54–1.4)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.40 (0.27–0.50)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.0 (2.0–4.1)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.4 (2.8–5.1)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4–2.2)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.44 (0.44–0.47)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Prior estimates are from national bottom-up inventories. Posterior estimates are optimized by inversion of TROPOMI observations with uncertainty ranges on total national anthropogenic emissions given in parentheses. See footnotes in Table 1 for more information on prior and posterior estimates. \(^b\) National gas emissions by subsector are listed in Table S4. \(^c\) Sensitivity of posterior emissions to the TROPOMI satellite observations as determined from the diagonal elements of the reduced averaging kernel matrix (averaging kernel sensitivity). The sensitivity measures the ability of TROPOMI observations to determine the posterior solution independently of the prior estimate, ranging from 0 (not at all) to 1 (fully). \(^d\) We have limited confidence in separating national emissions between Palestine (State of Palestine), Jordan, and Israel; Syria and Iraq; and the UAE and Oman in the inversion due to high posterior error correlations. See more details in Sect. 3.2 and Fig. 7.
Reduction in the observational error variance ($\sigma_{super}^2$) from the averaging of individual TROPOMI retrievals into super-observations for ingestion in the inversion. The symbols show the error variances computed with the residual error method from individual super-observations over the Middle East and North Africa inversion domain as a function of the number $P$ of individual retrievals averaged into the super-observations. Each symbol represents the statistics for at least 100,000 super-observations. The data are fitted to a two-component representation of the observational error variance $\sigma_{super}^2 (P)$ as given by Eq. (5). Also shown in the figure is the error variance reduction function $1/P$ if there was no error correlation between individual retrievals, as given by the central limit theorem.

![Observational error variance](image)

**Figure 4.** Reduction in the observational error variance ($\sigma_{super}^2$) from the averaging of individual TROPOMI retrievals into super-observations for ingestion in the inversion. The symbols show the error variances computed with the residual error method from individual super-observations over the Middle East and North Africa inversion domain as a function of the number $P$ of individual retrievals averaged into the super-observations. Each symbol represents the statistics for at least 100,000 super-observations. The data are fitted to a two-component representation of the observational error variance $\sigma_{super}^2 (P)$ as given by Eq. (5). Also shown in the figure is the error variance reduction function $1/P$ if there was no error correlation between individual retrievals, as given by the central limit theorem.

\[ \sigma_{super}^2 = \sigma_{ret}^2 \left( \frac{1 - r_{ret}^2}{P} + r_{ret}^2 \right) + \sigma_{transport}^2, \]

where $r_{ret}$ is the error correlation coefficient for the individual retrievals averaged into the super-observation, with the transport error being perfectly correlated ($r_{transport} = 1$) by definition. Fitting Eq. (5) to the data in Fig. 4 we obtain error standard deviations $\sigma_{ret} = 16.4$ ppb (with $r_{ret} = 0.55$) and $\sigma_{transport} = 4.5$ ppb. Some error correlation in retrievals would indeed be expected based on similarity in surface types and aerosol optical depth. The observational error standard deviation decreases initially as the number $P$ of averaged retrievals increases and approaches an asymptotic value of 13.0 ppb for $P > 10$ including contributions from the transport error standard deviation and the super-observation retrieval error standard deviation ($r_{ret}^2 \sigma_{ret}^2 = 12.2$ ppb) added in quadrature. Validation of TROPOMI retrievals with ground-based column observations from the Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) by Lorente et al. (2021) yields a retrieval error standard deviation of 13.3 ppb (11.5 ppb if excluding two high-latitude TCCON stations) when averaging all concurrent retrievals within 300 km of a TCCON station corresponding to 90–400 individual retrievals. This is in close agreement with our asymptotic value of 12.2 ppb. Our derived transport error standard deviation of 4.5 ppb for $X_{CH_4}$ is consistent with the transport error standard deviation of 36 ppb for surface concentrations derived by Lu et al. (2021) from the residual error method at surface sites, considering that the amplitude of variability for column concentrations is about 10 times lower than for surface concentrations (Cusworth et al., 2018).

Using Eq. (5) for the dependence of the observational error variance on $P$ with fitted parameters, we can now adjust the observational error variances $\sigma_k$ derived previously for individual retrievals in $0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ$ grid cells $k$ to apply to the super-observations actually ingested in the inversion. We define for this purpose a normalized scaling factor $g(P) = \sigma_{super}^2 (P) / \sigma_{super}^2 (1)$. Thus a super-observation for grid cell $k$ in a given hour that averages $P$ retrievals has an observational error variance $g(P) \sigma_k$. We construct the diagonal observational error covariance matrix $S_o$ in this manner. The resulting observational error variance averages ($10.4$ ppb)$^2$ for the super-observations in the inversion domain. The error correlation between individual retrievals suggests that there should be in fact some error correlation between super-observations, even though these observations are for different grid cells and/or different hours. This would introduce off-diagonal structure in $S_o$, but we do not have sufficient information to construct this off-diagonal structure objectively. The regularization factor $\gamma$ in Eq. (1) is intended to account for this correlation and correct for the assumption of diagonality in $S_o$, as explained above.

### 2.6 Attributing posterior emissions to individual countries and sectors

The posterior GMM state vector $(n \times 1)$ can be readily mapped on the $p$ native $0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ$ grid cells of the inversion domain using the GMM-generated weighting of each Gaussian on that grid as represented by a matrix $W_1 (p \times n)$. The contributions from each of $q$ emission sectors (Table 1) to the emissions in individual grid cells are taken from the prior inventories to produce a matrix $W_2 (pq \times n)$. We can then apply a summation matrix $W_3 (r \times pq)$ to aggregate emissions over $r$ countries and/or sectors of interest. The resulting matrix $W = W_1 W_2 W_3 (r \times n)$ thus represents the linear transformation from the posterior GMM state vector $(n \times 1)$ to...
to a reduced state vector \( (r \times 1) \) of sectoral emissions from individual countries. The reduced state vector \( (\mathbf{x}_{\text{red}}) \), posterior error covariance \( (\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{red}}) \), and averaging kernel matrix \( (\mathbf{A}_{\text{red}}) \) are computed as

\[
\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{red}} = \mathbf{W} \tilde{\mathbf{x}},
\]

\[
\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{red}} = \mathbf{W} \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{W}^T,
\]

\[
\mathbf{A}_{\text{red}} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}^*,
\]

where \( \mathbf{W}^* = (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \) is generalized pseudo-inverse of \( \mathbf{W} \) (Calisesi et al., 2005).

### 2.7 Inversion ensemble and uncertainty estimate

Our base inversion described above makes assumptions on the values of inversion parameters including uncertainty of a factor of 2 in the prior emissions (geometric error standard deviation \( \sigma_g = 2 \)), an error standard deviation \( \sigma_b = 10 \) ppb for boundary conditions, and a regularization factor \( \gamma = 0.01 \). The posterior error matrix of Eq. (3) is a fair representation of the uncertainty in the analytical solution \( (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) \) given this choice of inversion parameters, but it does not account for uncertainties in the parameters. We therefore generate a 36-member ensemble of sensitivity inversions varying the parameters. The inversion ensemble includes (1) using \( \sigma_g = 1.5 \) or 2.5, (2) \( \sigma_b = 5 \) or 20 ppb, (3) \( \gamma = 0.005 \) or 0.02, and (4) assuming normal prior error distributions for emissions with an error standard deviation of 50\% following Lu et al. (2021). Similar to Chen et al. (2022), we find that the uncertainty range defined by the optimal estimates of this 36-member ensemble is larger than the posterior error from the base inversion. We thus report the uncertainty in posterior estimates as the range of solutions given by the inversion ensemble.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Evaluation of posterior emission estimates

Figure 2 shows the posterior emissions, and Fig. 5 shows the posterior–prior emission ratios on the \( 0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ \) grid. Also shown are the averaging kernel sensitivities (diagonal elements of the averaging kernel matrix \( \mathbf{A} \)) that identify where the TROPOMI observations are most effective at quantifying emissions. We achieve 123 independent pieces of information (DOFS) to quantify emissions over the inversion domain. The GMM aggregates weak prior emissions mainly following spatial proximity (dictated by the similarity factors longitude and latitude). The rectilinear latitude–longitude patterns in low-emitting regions reflect this aggregation. Thin lines between some of the rectilinear patterns reflect the superimposition of corrections from individual Gaussians onto the \( 0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ \) grid.

We implemented the posterior emissions in GEOS-chem to check that the posterior simulation provides an improved fit to the TROPOMI observations as compared to the prior simulation (Fig. 5). The mean model bias over the inversion domain decreases from \(-10.4 \) to \(-0.31 \) ppb. The root mean square error (RMSE) decreases from 18.6 to 14.7 ppb, with improvement limited by the observational error (Fig. 4).

We also find an improved ability of the posterior estimate to fit to independent in situ surface flask measurements (Fig. 6). These in situ observations are collected from the GLOBALVIEWplus CH\(_4\) ObsPack v4.0 database compiled by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Global Monitoring Laboratory (Schuldt et al., 2021). There are five sites in the region, most of them remote (Table S2). The overall mean bias across the five sites is reduced from \(-8.9 \) to \(-1.9 \) ppb. The RMSE decreases only slightly from 27.0 to 24.5 ppb, limited by the forward model transport error in simulating surface concentrations (Lu et al., 2021).

#### 3.2 Emissions from individual countries and sectors

Table 1 gives the region-wide emissions over the Middle East and North Africa for 2019 including a total of 23 individual countries. Our best estimate of the posterior anthropogenic and natural emissions over this region are 38.6 and 1.6 Tg a\(^{-1}\), respectively, as compared to 28.5 and 1.0 Tg a\(^{-1}\) in the prior estimate. Oil–gas is the largest source (8.5 Tg a\(^{-1}\) for oil and 6.3 Tg a\(^{-1}\) for gas), followed by waste (13.2 Tg a\(^{-1}\)) and livestock (8.2 Tg a\(^{-1}\)). Waste includes emissions from landfills and wastewater, which are combined in the inversion because of their spatial overlap. Coal and rice emissions are minimal. Our best estimate of the total anthropogenic emissions in the region is 35\% higher than the prior estimate, which can be mainly attributed to upward corrections for gas (+3.2 Tg a\(^{-1}\), +103\%), waste (+4.6 Tg a\(^{-1}\), +53\%), and livestock (+2.7 Tg a\(^{-1}\), +49\%). We find a downward correction for oil (−1.4 Tg a\(^{-1}\), −14\%).

Table 2 gives the total and sectoral anthropogenic emissions for each of the 23 countries in the region. Also shown are averaging kernel sensitivities, which measure to what degree TROPOMI observations can quantify national emissions independently of the prior estimate (0: not at all, 1: fully). All countries have averaging kernel sensitivities greater than 0.65 except four with very low emissions. Our ability to separate emissions from individual countries in the inversion is shown in Fig. 7 using error correlations between posterior national emission estimates (0: perfect separation, ±1: no separation). We find that most of the error correlations are smaller than 0.2, indicating successful separation. Exceptions are between Palestine, Jordan, and Israel; between Syria and Iraq; and between the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Oman, where our inversion shows limited confidence in separating the emission estimates by country (flagged in Table 2).
Figure 5. Optimization of methane emissions over the Middle East and North Africa in 2019 by inversion of TROPOMI observations. Results are from the base inversion and are shown on the 0.25° × 0.3125° native grid of the inversion. (a) Ratios between posterior and prior emissions. (b) Averaging kernel sensitivities (dimensionless). The averaging kernel sensitivities are the diagonal elements of the averaging kernel matrix, indicating the ability of the observations to quantify emissions independently from the prior emissions (1: fully, 0: not at all). The number of degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS, defined as the trace of the averaging kernel matrix) is given inset. (c) Mean differences between the GEOS-Chem simulation with prior emissions and observations. The mean bias (MB) and root mean square error (RMSE) over the study domain are given inset. (d) Same as (c) but for the GEOS-Chem simulation with posterior emissions.

Figure 6. Evaluation of inversion results with independent in situ observations. The figure compares GEOS-Chem simulations using prior or posterior emissions to in situ flask measurements from five surface sites in 2019 compiled by the NOAA GLOBALVIEWplus CH₄ ObsPack v4.0 database. The five sites are listed in Table S2. The annual mean biases and root mean square errors (RMSEs) for each site are shown. The spatial mean biases and the overall RMSEs for the ensemble of sites are given inset.
Our region-wide estimate of oil emissions is lower than in the UNFCCC-based estimate from GFEIv2, but individual countries may be either higher or lower. We find large downward corrections in Iran (−1.8 Tg a⁻¹), Iraq (−1.4 Tg a⁻¹), and Libya (−0.4 Tg a⁻¹), which we will see later are likely due to overestimate of emission factors used in the UNFCCC reports or from the IPCC (2006) Tier 1 method (Sect. 3.3). We find upward corrections in other major oil-producing countries, mainly in Oman (+1.4 Tg a⁻¹) and Turkmenistan (+1.0 Tg a⁻¹), likely due to the large number of super-emitting point sources not accounted for in the UNFCCC estimates (Varon et al., 2019, 2021; Guanter et al., 2021; Lauvaux et al., 2022a, b; Ikakulis-Loixalte et al., 2022; Ehret et al., 2022).

We find upward corrections of gas emissions in all countries compared to the UNFCCC-based national bottom-up inventories as given by GFEIv2, including upstream (leaks, venting, inefficient flaring), midstream (transmission and storage), and downstream (distribution), using gridded subsectoral information from GFEIv2, and Table 3 shows results for the top emitting countries, where the subsectoral emissions from individual 0.25° × 0.3125° grid cells are summed following the procedure of Sect. 2.6. The dominant subsector in Algeria is upstream (76%), while the dominant subsector in Iran is downstream (67%), consistent with all of Iran’s gas production being consumed domestically (EIA-Iran, 2021). Turkmenistan and Saudi Arabia also show high shares of downstream emissions (42% and 44%, respectively), reflecting their heavy domestic consumption. Saudi Arabia relies largely on its offshore production for domestic gas use (EIA, 2020), and transmission from offshore platforms to population centers, including onshore storage (Omara et al., 2023), likely explains the large contribution from midstream emissions (53%). The large difference in subsectoral contributions between countries stresses the importance of setting country-specific emission control strategies.

Figure 8 shows the hotspot 0.25° × 0.3125° grid cells in our posterior estimate, defined by emissions greater than 2.0 th⁻¹ averaged over the year (18 Gg a⁻¹). Turkmenistan, Algeria, and Oman have a large number of hotspot grid cells, and for these countries we also estimate exceedingly high national emissions from oil–gas activity (Table 2). The hotspots identified in our inversion have the same general geographical distribution as the ultra-emitting facilities (>25 th⁻¹) previously identified by Lauvaux et al. (2022) from single-pass TROPOMI observations, as shown in Fig. 8, though the precise locations are often at odds. The Lauvaux et al. (2022) threshold for ultra-emitters is much higher than our threshold for hotspot grid cells because theirs is based on single-
Table 3. Subsectoral gas emissions from top emitting countries∗.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upstream (Tg a⁻¹)</th>
<th>Midstream (Tg a⁻¹)</th>
<th>Downstream (Tg a⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∗ Posterior gas emission estimates from inversion of TROPOMI data, separated by subsector using gridded information from the UNFCCC-based GFEIv2 inventory. Upstream includes exploration, production, and processing. Midstream includes transmission and storage. Downstream includes distribution to consumers. The sum of upstream, midstream, and downstream emissions adds up to the posterior total gas emissions listed in Table 2 for each country.

pass detection of emissions that may be single or intermittent events, whereas ours is based on annual mean emissions. This may also explain some of the differences in hotspot locations. We identify more hotspots in Saudi Arabia and Oman, where high regional background hinders the single-point source detection method of Lauvaux et al. (2022). We also find a number of hotspots from offshore emissions in the Persian Gulf that they would not have been able to detect with their method. Conversely, we detect no hotspots over Syria, but Lauvaux et al. (2022) detect several, likely reflecting poor prior information for Syria in our inversion.

3.3 Major emitting countries and comparison to previous studies

Figure 9 compares our posterior emissions from the top six emitting countries in the Middle East and North Africa (Iran, Turkmenistan, Saudi Arabia, Algeria, Egypt, and Turkey, accounting for 62% of region-wide anthropogenic emissions) to the prior emission estimate and to previous inversion results from Worden et al. (2022), Deng et al. (2022), and Western et al. (2021) that all used the much sparser GOSAT data. Worden et al. (2022) presented national results by mapping the global 2019 inversion results of Qu et al. (2021) at 2° × 2.5° resolution, with a prior estimate of fuel emissions from GFEIv1 (Scarpelli et al., 2020) and other sectors from EDGARv6. Deng et al. (2022) compiled results of 11 independent inversions from different groups contributing to the Global Methane Budget initiative (Saunois et al., 2020) for 2010–2017. Western et al. (2021) estimated total 2010–2017 emissions from North African countries at monthly 0.35° × 0.23° resolution with prior emissions from GFEIv1 for fuel and the 2012 EDGARv4.3.2 inventory for other anthropogenic sources, but they did not separate their results by sector.

Our estimate of total anthropogenic emissions in Iran is consistent with the prior estimate but with a shift in sectoral attribution from oil to livestock and waste (Tables 2 and S3). Our oil–gas estimate (1.8 Tg a⁻¹) is within the large uncertainty range of Deng et al. (2022) (1.0–6.2 Tg a⁻¹) but lower than Worden et al. (2022) (3.1–4.3 Tg a⁻¹) and the UNFCCC-based GFEIv2 (3.1 Tg a⁻¹, our prior estimate). GFEIv2 uses emission factors obtained from the Iranian government report in 2000, which may be unsuitable for 2019. The prior estimate of oil–gas emissions of Worden et al. (2022) is from the 2016 GFEIv1, higher than that in the updated 2019 GFEIv2 because of intensified economic sanctions beginning in 2018 (EIA-Iran, 2021). The 2° × 2.5° resolution of Worden et al. (2022) may also limit the inversion’s ability to effectively separate emissions between Iran and Iraq, which are close to the border (Fig. 2).

Our estimate for Turkmenistan is higher than the prior emissions and on the high end of the uncertainty ranges from Worden et al. (2022) and Deng et al. (2022). We estimate higher oil–gas emissions (3.2 Tg a⁻¹) than GFEIv2 (1.4 Tg a⁻¹), pointing to dense super-emitting point sources that are not properly accounted for in the bottom-up estimates (Varon et al., 2019, 2021; Guanter et al., 2021; Lau...
Figure 9. National methane emissions from the top six emitting countries in the Middle East and North Africa. Results from our work are compared to our prior estimates and to GOSAT inversions reported by Worden et al. (2022), Deng et al. (2022) (not for all countries), and Western et al. (2021) (for North Africa only, without separation by sector). Fossil fuel includes emissions from oil, gas, and coal; agriculture includes emissions from livestock and rice; and waste includes emissions from landfills and wastewater treatment. Vertical bars are reported uncertainty ranges for total national emissions. Sectors are aggregated to enable comparison with previous studies. More detailed sectoral breakdown for our work is in Table 2.

Table 4. Correlation coefficients (r) between upstream emissions and activity metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Oil + gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production rates</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well counts</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production rates + well counts</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The correlation is calculated using posterior oil–gas upstream emissions and activity data for 12 individual countries listed in Fig. 11. b A multiple linear regression using two explanatory variables (production rates, well counts) to fit the posterior oil–gas emissions.

Our estimate for Saudi Arabia is at the high end of 0.9–2.8 Tg a⁻¹ of Deng et al. (2022) and 2.0–3.2 Tg a⁻¹ of Worden et al. (2022), which we explain by the better ability of TROPOMI than sparse GOSAT to capture point sources (Fig. 8).

Our estimate for Saudi Arabia is at the high end of the large Deng et al. (2022) uncertainty range and is higher than the prior estimate and Worden et al. (2022). We find that most of the emissions in Saudi Arabia are from waste. Our estimate higher than Deng et al. (2022) and Worden et al. (2022) likely reflects the low observational density of GOSAT over Saudi Arabia, as evidenced in Worden et al. (2022) by very low averaging kernel sensitivities.

Our estimate of gas emissions in Algeria is smaller than Worden et al. (2022), but our larger estimate of livestock and waste offsets gas and yields good agreement on the total national emission. We find a low error correlation (< 0.2) between posterior gas and waste emissions in Algeria, implying that TROPOMI can effectively separate these two sectors. Both studies show much higher gas emissions than the prior estimate, reflecting point sources that are not accounted for in the UNFCCC-based inventory. Varon et al. (2021) found from repeated point-source sampling with the Sentinel-2 satellite instrument over a 10-month period that a single super-emitting oil well in Algeria amounted to 6% of the UNFCCC-reported oil–gas emissions.

Our estimate and Worden et al. (2022) are in close agreement on the total and sectoral emissions for Egypt, featuring in our work a large increase in waste emissions over the prior estimate (Table 2). Western et al. (2021) only reported a total emission for Egypt but stressed the underestimate of agricultural emissions (livestock + rice) in the national government report, consistent with our finding (Table 2) and Worden et al. (2022). Both our work and Worden et al. (2022) show
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higher national total emissions than Western et al. (2021) over Egypt and Algeria, which may reflect smoothing errors in the inability of GOSAT data to effectively inform their high-resolution inversion.

We attribute posterior emissions over Turkey largely to the livestock and waste sectors with little contribution from oil–gas, in contrast to the other five countries. Our estimate of total and sectoral emissions is lower than our prior estimate and consistent with Worden et al. (2022). Turkey has many hydroelectric reservoirs (Lehner et al., 2011) that are a source of methane generally not included in national inventories (Li and Zhang, 2014). A global bottom-up inventory of methane emissions from individual hydroelectric reservoirs (Delwiche et al., 2022), including reservoir surfaces and flow through turbines, found emissions of only 0.03 Tg a\(^{-1}\) for Turkey, which is small compared to our national emission estimate of 3.0 (2.0–4.1) Tg a\(^{-1}\).

### 3.4 Oil–gas emission factors, activity metrics, and methane intensities

The IPCC (2006) recommends the use of emission factors and activity data to construct bottom-up emission inventories. For the upstream, midstream, and downstream oil–gas subsectors it recommends that emission factors be defined per unit of oil–gas produced, transported/stored, and consumed, respectively. For oil the emission is almost exclusively from the upstream subsector; while for gas all three subsectors can contribute (Table 3). Figure 10 shows national upstream emission factors from major producers in the Middle East and North Africa, comparing our inversion results to GFEIv2 and using EIA oil and gas production statistics as an activity metric (EIA, 2020). Also shown are the ranges from the IPCC (2006) Tier 1 guidelines. Scarpelli et al. (2022) pointed out that emission factors computed in this manner for the national inventories reported to the UNFCCC span several orders of magnitude, and our inversion finds the same. The IPCC (2006) Tier 1 emission factors themselves span 2 orders of magnitude (Fig. 10). Such a range means that the emission factors cannot be reliable and further implies that production is not the appropriate activity metric for estimating methane emissions.

Figure 11 further illustrates the unsuitability of predicting methane emissions from oil and gas production rates by showing the ranked production rates from the top producing countries along with the corresponding posterior methane emissions. There is no significant relationship between the two. The largest emitters are not the largest producers. Recent studies in the US suggested that the number of wells and the drilling of new wells may be a better predictor of methane emission than production rates (Allen et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2023; Varon et al., 2022). We examined the correlation with well counts for the Middle East and North Africa by using the Enverus-based Oil and Gas Infrastructure Mapping (OGIM_v1; Omara et al., 2023) database, recognizing that the data are incomplete particularly for new wells which could be the largest emitters (Allen et al., 2022) and also possibly for marginal wells (Omara et al., 2022). As shown in Table 4, we find that national emissions correlate weakly with well counts \(r = 0.25\) for oil and \(r = 0.19\) for gas, and the correlation increases only slightly when combined with production rates \(r = 0.26–0.28\).

It appears that the ability to relate oil–gas methane emissions to simple activity metrics is compromised by the importance of infrastructure type and management practices in driving oil–gas emissions. For example, national oil–gas emissions are largest in Algeria and Turkmenistan, due to the exceedingly leaky infrastructure previously documented by observations of super-emitting point sources from space (Guanter et al., 2021; Varon et al., 2021; Lauvaux et al., 2022; Ehret et al., 2022). These super-emitters imply poor regulations and insufficient infrastructure (Lwaszczuk et al., 2021; Lauvaux et al., 2022; Irakulis-Loitxate et al., 2022a). Long-lasting venting and leaks detected in Turkmenistan may be related to old and inefficient equipment (Carbon Limits, 2013; Varon et al., 2019; Irakulis-Loitxate et al., 2022a). A lack of infrastructure in Algeria to transport and process gas (Ouki, 2019) from remote production fields challenges the country’s gas takeaway capacity, as illustrated by the exceedingly high volume of flared gas (Fig. 12) derived from flare radiances detected by the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument (Elvidge et al., 2016). Studies in the US (Deighton et al., 2020; Omara et al., 2016, 2022) also found that equipment negligence and disrepair are the primary drivers of methane emissions for low-production wells. The impact of these stochastic processes (equipment maintenance, local management practices) on emissions is however difficult to quantify and might vary largely from basin to basin and from country to country. Standard bottom-up inventories that solely rely on activity metrics are thus unable to accurately quantify oil–gas emissions. Recent bottom-up studies (Högglund-Isaksson et al., 2017, 2020) have advanced the estimation of methane emissions by additively considering the impact of management practices. Högglund-Isaksson et al. (2017) in particular simulated global oil–gas emissions for 1980–2012 with the inclusion of country-specific parameters on associated gas flows reflecting variations in managerial decisions and arrived at closer consistency with top-down estimates. Our findings stresses the critical importance of top-down emission estimates from atmospheric observations in supporting the global stocktake and UNFCCC reporting.

A useful metric for assessing the potential for emission reductions from the oil–gas industry is the methane intensity, defined by the industry-based Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI, 2021) as the upstream oil–gas emissions per unit of gas production. This measures the methane lost to the atmosphere rather than taken to market. The OGCI (2021) recently announced its 2025 upstream intensity target of 0.2 %. Figure 13 shows the methane intensities for major energy-producing countries, assuming average methane gas content.
of 92% by volume (Scarpelli et al., 2022). We find a wide range of methane intensities across countries, spanning from 17.6% for Iraq to 0.06% for Qatar. The mean for the region is 1.8%, which can be compared to a mean value of 2.5% for the US in 2019 (Lu et al., 2023). High methane intensities reflect leaky infrastructure combined with deliberate venting or inefficient flaring of gas. For example, emissions and production in 2019 are high in both Iraq and Iran, with the difference that gas is taken to market in Iran but vented/flared in Iraq, as indicated by the much higher ratio of VIIRS flared-gas volume to gas production in Iraq (Fig. 12). This explains the higher methane intensity of Iraq compared to 0.61% for Iran. Moreover, although Iraq has a smaller gas emission factor than Turkmenistan (Fig. 10), its much larger ratio between oil emissions and gas production to market compensates and contributes to a higher methane intensity, pinpointing inadequate operations in Iraq’s oil production. The ratio of flared gas to production in Turkmenistan is even smaller than in Iran, but the methane intensity of Turkmenistan is much higher. This can be explained by prolonged venting and leaks (Varon et al., 2019; Irakulis-Loitxate et al., 2022a) related to poor infrastructure and management practices.

The OGCI (2021) methane intensity target of 0.2% is based on bottom-up emission models of methane emission from oil–gas infrastructure and is vastly exceeded in all countries except three: Kuwait (0.15%), Saudi Arabia (0.14%), and Qatar (0.06%).

There are likely one or more of the following reasons for the small intensities in these three countries.

1. **Widespread associated gas capture.** Saudi Arabia aims to capture most of its associated gas produced (EIA, 2020) as a part of the World Bank’s Zero Routine Flaring initiative.

2. **Modern infrastructure.** More than half of Qatar’s liquefied natural gas (LNG) compressor mega trains, used to convert offshore gas to LNG, were built after 2009. Qatar also supports infrastructure upgrades that improve gas flare efficiency from offshore production and boil-off gas recovery in the LNG chains (Qatargas, 2022). Also, Saudi Arabia has continuously invested in infras-
structure to maintain its oil and gas production capacity (EIA, 2020).

3. A small number of high-production wells with centralized infrastructure. The majority of Qatar’s gas is produced in ∼200 wells in the offshore North Field and processed in 14 compressor trains and 2 condensate refiners in Ras Laffan Industrial City (Qatargas, 2022). This finding suggests that infrastructure developments on improving associated gas capture, modernizing equipment, and improving management practices are effective avenues to reducing the methane intensities and achieving the OGCI (2021) target of 0.2 % methane intensity. Decreasing the methane intensities in all countries in the Middle East and North Africa to 0.2 % would reduce total oil–gas upstream emissions in the region to 1.1 Tg a⁻¹ and represent a 26 % reduction in total anthropogenic emissions in the region (Table 1). This would make a major contribution toward the collective goal of the Global Methane Pledge to decrease methane emissions by 30 % by 2030 (Climate and Clean Air Coalition, 2021).

4 Conclusions

We used 2019 TROPOMI satellite observations in a high-resolution inversion to infer methane emissions from the Middle East and North Africa region at up to 25 km × 25 km resolution with an emphasis on the contributions from individual countries and from the oil and gas sector. Our purpose was to evaluate the national inventories submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) under the Paris Agreement and to identify avenues for climate action toward meeting the Global Methane Pledge.

Our inversion used as a prior estimate a gridded version of the national fuel inventories reported by individual countries to the UNFCCC, thus enabling direct evaluation of these inventories. It applied Bayesian synthesis of the prior inventories with the TROPOMI observations to analytically obtain optimal emission estimates, thus providing closed-form characterization of information content and facilitating the creation of an inversion ensemble for conservative uncertainty estimates on posterior emissions. Innovations in our inversion methodology include specific resolution of ultrasmall emitters in the Gaussian mixture model (GMM) used as state vector for the inversion and accounting for observational error correlation in the assimilation of TROPOMI observations.

We report optimized sector-resolved emissions for the 23 individual countries in the region. We find that TROPOMI observations can effectively constrain and individually separate emissions for most of the countries (19 out of 23). The others have small emissions. Total anthropogenic emissions in the region are 35 % higher than in the prior estimate, reflecting increases in emissions from gas (+103 %), waste (+53 %), and livestock (+49 %) but a decrease in oil (−14 %).

We find that the top six emitting countries, including Iran (5.3 (5.0–5.5) Tg a⁻¹), where numbers in parenthe-
Flared-gas volume in 2019 from major oil-/gas-producing countries. (a) Flared-gas volume derived from flare radiances detected by the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument. (b) Ratios of flared-gas volume to gas production in 2019 normalized by a value of 264 m$^3$ flared gas per barrel of oil equivalent produced for Iraq.

Figure 12. Flared-gas volume in 2019 from major oil-/gas-producing countries. (a) Flared-gas volume derived from flare radiances detected by the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument. (b) Ratios of flared-gas volume to gas production in 2019 normalized by a value of 264 m$^3$ flared gas per barrel of oil equivalent produced for Iraq.
Figure 13. Methane intensities in 2019 for major oil–gas-producing countries in the Middle East and North Africa. The methane intensity is defined as the amount of methane emitted from oil–gas upstream activities per unit of methane gas produced. Values are computed from our posterior emission estimates and EIA gas energy production statistics (EIA, 2020), assuming an average methane content of 92 % by volume. Vertical bars indicate the uncertainty range inferred from our inversion ensemble. Dashed horizontal line indicates the OGCI (2021) industry target of 0.2 % for 2025. Also shown is the mean and range of methane intensities from US oil–gas fields (Lu et al., 2023).

Methane emissions by sector from EDGARv6 are available at https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ghg60 (last access: 1 May 2022; Crippa, 2021). Wetland emissions from WetCHARTs v1.3.1 are available at https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1915 (Ma et al., 2021).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-5945-2023-supplement.
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