



Supplement of

Impacts of land cover changes on biogenic emission and its contribution to ozone and secondary organic aerosol in China

Jinlong Ma et al.

Correspondence to: Peng Wang (w_peng@fudan.edu.cn) and Hongliang Zhang (zhanghl@fudan.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Table	S1 .	WRF	model	physics	schemes	used	in	this	study.
-------	-------------	-----	-------	---------	---------	------	----	------	--------

Physical mechanism	Scheme	Reference		
Microphysics	Thompson scheme	(Thompson et al., 2008)		
Long-wave radiation	RRTM scheme	(Iacono et al., 2008)		
Short-wave radiation	Goddard short wave	(Chou and Suarez, 1999)		
Land Surface	Thermal diffusion scheme	(Dudhia, 1996)		
PBL Scheme	YSU scheme	(Hong, 2010;Hong et al., 2006)		
Cumulus parameter	Grell-Freitas ensemble scheme	(Grell and Freitas, 2014)		

Table S2. The sources of datasets.

Datasets	Year	Temporal Resolution	Spatial Resolution	Source
MODIS MCD12Q1	2001-2021	Yearly	500 m	Available at <u>https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/</u> , last access: 10 April 2022
C3S LC	1992-2021	Yearly	300 m	Available at https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/satellite- land-cover?tab=form, last access: 21 March 2022
CGLS LC	2015-2019	Yearly	100 m	Available at https://land.copernicus.eu/global/products/lc, last access: 15 April 2022
MODIS MOD15	2000-2021	8 days	500 m	Available at <u>https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/</u> , last access: 10 April 2022
GLASS	2000-2021	8 days	500 m	Available at http://www.glass.umd.edu/LAI/MODIS/, last access: 11 April 2022
CGLS	2014-2021	10 days	300 m	Available at https://land.copernicus.eu/global/products/lai, last access: 10 April 2022

5	Table S3.	Indices u	sed to	evaluate	model	performance.
---	-----------	-----------	--------	----------	-------	--------------

_

Index	Definition*	Remarks
Mean bias (MB)	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i})$	
Root mean square error (RMSE)	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_i-O_i)^2}$	Reported as %
Gross Error (GE)	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{ \boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i} }{\boldsymbol{O}_{i}}$	Reported as %
Mean normalized bias (MNB)	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{M_{i}-O_{i}}{O_{i}}$	Reported as %
Mean normalized error (MNE)	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{ \boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i} }{\boldsymbol{O}_{i}}$	Reported as %
Mean fractional bias (MFB)	$\frac{2}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{(\boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i})}{(\boldsymbol{M}_{i}+\boldsymbol{O}_{i})}$	Reported as %
Mean fractional error (MFE)	$\frac{2}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{ \boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i} }{(\boldsymbol{M}_{i}+\boldsymbol{O}_{i})}$	Reported as %

Note: * i represents the pairing of N observations O and predictions M by site and time.

Table S4. Model performance of meteorological parameters temperature (T2), wind speed (WS), wind direction and relative humidity (RH) in each season and whole year in China. The values without meeting the benchmarks are bolded.

	Statistics	Spring	Summer	Fall	Winter	Year	Benchmarks*
T2 (K)	OBS	287.3	297.3	287.0	273.1	278.7	
	PRE	286.4	296.7	286.3	271.7	278.4	
	MB	-0.8	-0.6	-0.6	-1.4	-0.6	≤±0.5
	GE	2.6	2.3	2.4	3.2	2.4	≤2.0
	RMSE	3.7	3.2	3.2	4.3	3.3	
WS (ms ⁻¹)	OBS	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	
	PRE	4.5	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.3	
	MB	0.9	0.5	0.8	1.1	0.9	<u>≤</u> ±0.5
	GE	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.7	≤2.0
	RMSE	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.2	≤2.0
WD (°)	OBS	177.5	168.9	167.4	186.2	182.2	
	PRE	184.5	172.7	162.9	187.2	188.3	
	MB	6.9	3.8	3.7	1.0	6.1	<u>≤</u> ±10
	GE	44.1	45.2	42.6	43.3	44.8	<u>≤</u> ±30
	RMSE	61.1	62.1	59.3	59.8	61.7	
RH (%)	OBS	70.4	69.2	74.4	78.3	71.9	
	PRE	69.9	70.5	74.9	77.0	71.9	
	MB	-0.5	1.2	0.6	-1.2	0.0	
	GE	11.9	11.3	11.2	10.8	11.5	
	RMSE	15.6	14.7	14.6	14.3	15.1	

10 (PRE is prediction; OBS is observation; MB is bias; GE is gross error; and RMSE is root mean square error).

Note: * are benchmarks suggested by Emery et al. (2001).

Table S5. Model performance on maximum daily average 1h (MDA1) O₃ and maximum daily average 8h (MDA8) O₃ in different cases in China and important regions. The values without meeting the criteria are bolded. There are 1381

15 stations shown as purple in Figure S1. (OBS is mean observation; PRE is mean prediction; MNB: mean normalized bias; MNE: mean normalized error; MFB: mean fractional bias; and MFE: mean fractional error). The unit for O₃ is ppb.

		Statistics	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	Criteria*
		OBS	67.92	67.92	67.92	67.92	67.92	
		PRE	70.69	69.35	70.67	67.7	70.36	
	China	MNB	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.05	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19	≤0.3
		MFB	0.02	0.0	0.02	-0.01	0.01	
		MFE	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.19	
		OBS	69.12	69.12	69.12	69.12	69.12	
		PRE	74.48	72.97	74.45	71.9	73.9	
	NCP	MNB	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.08	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.2	0.19	0.2	0.18	0.2	≤0.3
		MFB	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	
MDA1 O ₃		MFE	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.18	
Cutoff		OBS	69.12	69.12	69.12	69.12	69.12	
60 ppb		PRE	73.82	72.4	73.74	69.75	73.24	
	YRD	MNB	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.07	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.18	0.2	≤0.3
		MFB	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.0	0.03	
		MFE	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19	
		OBS	72.1	72.1	72.1	72.1	72.1	
		PRE	72.69	71.32	72.58	69.69	73.36	
	PRD	MNB	0.03	0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.03	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.2	≤0.3
		MFB	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.04	-0.0	
		MFE	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19	
		OBS	61.36	61.36	61.36	61.36	61.36	
		PRE	63.68	62.27	63.65	60.16	63.27	

	China	MNB	0.04	0.02	0.04	-0.01	0.04	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.21	0.2	0.21	0.19	0.21	≤0.3
		MFB	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.05	
		MFE	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.16	
-		OBS	64.55	64.55	64.55	64.55	64.55	
		PRE	68.3	66.81	68.26	65.75	67.85	
	NCP	MNB	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.06	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.23	≤0.3
		MFB	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.07	
MDA8 O ₃		MFE	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.17	
Cutoff		OBS	63.52	63.52	63.52	63.52	63.52	
60 ppb		PRE	69.0	67.29	68.91	63.73	68.02	
	YRD	MNB	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.01	0.07	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.21	0.2	0.21	0.19	0.21	≤0.3
		MFB	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.06	
		MFE	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.17	
-		OBS	65.58	65.58	65.58	65.58	65.58	
		PRE	61.74	60.19	61.65	58.35	62.22	
	PRD	MNB	-0.04	-0.06	-0.04	-0.09	-0.03	≤±0.15
		MNE	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	≤0.3
		MFB	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.0	0.04	
		MFE	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	

Note: * are criteria suggested by EPA (2007).

		Statistics	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	Criteria*
		OBS	41.51	41.51	41.51	41.51	41.51	
		PRE	40.67	40.44	40.64	40.02	40.6	
	China	MNB	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.27	
		MNE	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.73	
		MFB	-0.11	-0.11	-0.11	-0.13	-0.11	≤±0.6
		MFE	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.56	≤0.75
		OBS	48.92	48.92	48.92	48.92	48.92	
		PRE	47.85	47.63	47.85	47.42	47.79	
	NCP	MNB	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.3	0.31	
		MNE	0.69	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.69	
		MFB	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.04	-0.03	≤±0.6
PM _{2.5}		MFE	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	≤0.75
(µg m ⁻³)		OBS	43.67	43.67	43.67	43.67	43.67	
		PRE	48.15	47.87	48.12	47.3	48.02	
	YRD	MNB	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.36	
		MNE	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.69	0.7	
		MFB	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01	≤±0.6
		MFE	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.48	≤0.75
		OBS	32.85	32.85	32.85	32.85	32.85	
		PRE	33.69	33.46	33.63	32.93	33.76	
	PRD	MNB	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.28	
		MNE	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	
		MFB	-0.12	-0.13	-0.12	-0.15	-0.12	≤±0.6
		MFE	0.59	0.6	0.6	0.61	0.59	≤0.75

20 Table S6. Model performance PM_{2.5} pollutants in different cases in China and important regions. There are 1381 stations shown as purple in Figure S1.

Note: * are criteria suggested by Boylan and Russell (2006).

Table S7. Seasonal averaged temperature in important regions of China. Unit is °C.

	Spring	Summer	Fall	Winter
NCP	14.4	25.8	14.2	-0.6
YRD	16.2	26.9	18.2	6.0
PRD	22.6	28.7	24.2	15.0



25 Figure S1. Model domain with the key city clusters (North China Plain, NCP; Yangtze River Delta, YRD; Pearl River Delta, PRD; Sichuan Basin, SCB). Purple-filled circles show locations of air quality monitoring sites (1381 sites in total).



30 Figure S2. The flow chart for making plant function type (PFT). The dashed line represents the complementary classification for PFT1 to PFT8.



Figure S3. The BVOC emissions and vegetation fractions of needleleaf tree, broadleaf tree, shrub, grass, and crop in the C1, C4 and C5. ISOP is isoprene, MTP is monoterpene, SESQ is sesquiterpene and OBVOC is other BVOCs.



Figure S4. Comparison of observed and predicted daily averaged 2m temperature in each city.



Figure S5. The cover fraction of different PFTs in the MCD12Q1 product and the difference between the MCD12Q1 and the other satellite products for the year 2016.





Figure S6. Seasonal spatial variation of predicted MDA1 O₃ and MDA8 O₃ formed by BVOCs and wind vectors. C1 is chosen as the input data to draw these maps. Unit is ppb.



Figure S7. Seasonal spatial variation of predicted SOA formed by BVOCs and wind vectors ((a) Spring, (b) Summer,
(c) Fall, (d) Winter). C5 is chosen as the input data to draw these maps. Unit is µg m⁻³.



Figure S8. Seasonal spatial variation of BVOCs in the YRD.

Reference

Boylan, J. W., and Russell, A. G.: PM and light extinction model performance metrics, goals, and criteria for three-dimensional air quality models, Atmos. Environ., 40, 4946-4959, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.087</u>, 2006.

Chou, M.-D., and Suarez, M. J.: A solar radiation parameterization for atmospheric studies, Technical Memorandum (TM) NASA/TM-1999-104606 Vol.15, 1999.

Dudhia, J.: A Multi-layer Soil Temperature Model for MM5, 6th PSU/NCAR Mesoscale Model Users Workshop, Boulder, 1996.

Emery, C., Tai, E., and Yarwood, G.: Enhanced meteorological modeling and performance evaluation for two texas episodes, Report to the Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission, prepared by ENVIRON, International Corp., Novato, CA, 2001.

EPA, U. S.: Guidance on the Use of Models and Other Analyses for Demonstrating Attainment of Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM_{2.5}, and Regional Haze, EPA-454/B-07-002, 2007.

Grell, G. A., and Freitas, S. R.: A scale and aerosol aware stochastic convective parameterization for weather and air quality modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5233-5250, 10.5194/acp-14-5233-2014, 2014.

 Hong, S.-Y., Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A New Vertical Diffusion Package with an Explicit Treatment of Entrainment Processes, Mon. Weather Rew., 134, 2318-2341, 10.1175/MWR3199.1, 2006.
 Hong, S.-Y.: A new stable boundary-layer mixing scheme and its impact on the simulated East Asian summer monsoon, Quart.
 L. Der Meterne State 126, 1481, 1496 (https://doi.org/10.1002/jci.665, 2010)

J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 136, 1481-1496, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.665</u>, 2010.
Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W. D.: Radiative forcing by longlived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113,

https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944, 2008. Thompson, G., Field, P. R., Rasmussen, R. M., and Hall, W. D.: Explicit Forecasts of Winter Precipitation Using an Improved Bulk Microphysics Scheme. Part II: Implementation of a New Snow Parameterization, Mon. Weather Rew., 136, 5095-5115, 10.1175/2008MWR2387.1, 2008.

80

60

65