Non-volatile marine and non-refractory continental sources of particle-phase amine during the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES)
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Abstract. Amines were measured by aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy during the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) cruises. Both AMS non-refractory (NR) amine ion fragments comprising the AMS CₓHᵧNᶻ family and FTIR non-volatile (NV) primary (C–NH₂) amine groups typically had greater concentrations in continental air masses than in marine air masses. Secondary continental sources of AMS NR amine fragments were identified by consistent correlations with AMS NR nitrate, AMS NR f₄₄ (the contribution of AMS ion signal at m/z 44 (CO₂⁺) to the total AMS NR organic mass (OM) signal), ion chromatography (IC) non-sea-salt potassium (nssK⁺), and radon for most air masses. FTIR NV amine group mass concentrations for particles with diameters < 0.18 and < 0.5 µm particle samples in marine air masses likely have a biogenic secondary source associated with strong correlations with FTIR NV acid groups, which are not present for < 1 µm particle samples. The average seasonal contribution of AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV amine groups ranged from 27 ± 57 % amine from primary marine sources and 73 ± 152 % secondary continental amine during early spring to 53 ± 76 % amine from primary marine sources and 47 ± 68 % secondary continental amine during winter. These results demonstrate that AMS NR and FTIR NV amine measurements are complementary and can be used together to investigate the variety and sources of amines in the marine environment.
1 Introduction

Amines are a class of nitrogen-containing organic compounds that have been identified as playing important roles in atmospheric aerosols by reacting with acids (e.g., HNO$_3$, H$_2$SO$_4$, and methanesulfonic acid – MSA) to add organic mass and by acting as precursors that are oxidized by atmospheric radicals (e.g., O$_3$, OH, and NO$_3$) to nucleate new particles (Tang et al., 2013; Malloy et al., 2009; Bork et al., 2014). New particle formation driven by amines can contribute to both the aerosol direct and indirect effects by increasing the number of particles as well as the number of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Yao et al., 2018). Amines may also affect CCN activity by enhancing particle hygroscopicity through the formation of amonium salts or suppressing particle hygroscopicity through photochemical reactions with OH (Tang et al., 2014). The potential for climate impacts associated with amines depends strongly on the magnitude and type of amines in the atmosphere.

While continental sources of amine include animal husbandry, industrial emissions, and biomass burning (Ge et al., 2011), marine sources of aliphatic amines are likely underwater plant, algae, and kelp species, which are found in seawater and sediments (Lee, 1988; King, 1985; Steiner and Hartmann, 1968). These marine sources of amine have also been identified as both primary and secondary contributions to particles (Table 1). Marine amines are estimated to contribute 0.6 TgC yr$^{-1}$ to aerosol, and the formation of amine salts has been estimated to comprise 21 % of submicron marine secondary organic aerosol (SOA) mass (Myriokefali-takis et al., 2010). Primary marine sources of amines have been identified, showing correlations with sea salt, wind speed, and alcohol groups (Frossard et al., 2014a; Lewis et al., 2022; Russell et al., 2011). Secondary marine sources of amine have been identified for diethylamine, dimethylamine, and monomethylamine, which were correlated with the primary productivity of phytoplankton (Facchini et al., 2008; Müller et al., 2009).

Fluxes of amine gases from the ocean to the atmosphere are controlled by biological activity because processes including osmoregulation, protein degradation, and subsequent microbial decomposition produce a methylated form of low-molecular-weight aliphatic amine that is concentrated in surface waters (Beale and Airs, 2016; King, 1985). This volatile form allows some amines to enter the atmosphere by air–sea exchange and then partition into the solid phase to form secondary marine aerosols (SMAs) by a variety of reactions. These reactions may be accelerated by airborne oxidants and other pollutants (e.g., NO$_x$, O$_3$, and SO$_4$) that are transported from continental sources or produced by ships locally.

Amines are also included in primary sea-spray aerosols (SSAs) as jet and film drops of seawater that are ejected into the atmosphere by bursting bubbles formed by breaking waves at the ocean surface. These aerosol types have been associated with biologically derived marine organic compounds, as they frequently contain a consistent ratio of primary (C–NH$_2$) amine groups to organic mass across multiple oceans including the Arctic, Atlantic, and Pacific (Frossard et al., 2014a). Similar primary amine group contributions have been identified across four seasons in the North Atlantic in seawater, the sea surface microlayer, generated primary particles, and atmospheric aerosol particles (Lewis et al., 2021, 2022).

Here, we assess the sources and quantities of amine components sampled in atmospheric aerosol particles during the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES). NAAMES provided unique sampling of the open ocean during distinct seasons that correspond to different periods of the phytoplankton annual biomass cycle. To obtain the most complete picture of open-ocean amines for the region, this study compares online measurements of aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) non-refractory (NR) amine fragments by a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) to non-volatile (NV) primary amine groups by Fourier transform infrared radiation (FTIR) spectroscopy of size-resolved filters. Chemical and meteorological tracers are used to associate the amine components with both primary and secondary processes and marine or continental sources. Together, the AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV amine groups provide a more complete picture of the varied aspects of amine-containing aerosol particles in the North Atlantic.

2 Methods and materials

2.1 NAAMES cruises

The North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) was a multi-year campaign that explored the dynamics of ocean ecosystems, atmospheric aerosols, clouds, and climate. The measurements reported here were collected on the R/V Atlantis in the western subarctic Atlantic during four separate phases of the phytoplankton annual biomass cycle (Behrenfeld et al., 2019). The first cruise (NAAMES 1) took place during the winter transition in November–December (winter) 2015. The second cruise (NAAMES 2) took place during the transition in the bloom climax in May–June (late spring) 2016. The third cruise (NAAMES 3) took place during the declining biomass period in August–September (autumn) 2017. The final cruise (NAAMES 4) took place during the early accumulation phase of the spring bloom in March–April (early spring) 2018. The first three NAAMES cruises departed from Woods Hole, Massachusetts, and the NAAMES 4 cruise sailed from San Juan, Puerto Rico. All four cruises returned to Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
Table 1. Summary of recent measurements of amine concentrations in marine regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site and season</th>
<th>Relevant findings</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Pacific during October–November</td>
<td>FTIR primary amine groups. The average mass concentration (µg m(^{-3})) for the campaign: 0.015 ± 0.014; for marine air masses: 0.0089 ± 0.0068; for mixed air masses: 0.019 ± 0.015; for continental air masses: 0.023 ± 0.023</td>
<td>Hawkins et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico during August–September</td>
<td>FTIR primary amine groups with contributions from oil combustion and wood smoke. The average mass concentration (µg m(^{-3})) for the campaign: 0.25 ± 0.26; for air masses over the Gulf of Mexico: 0.10 ± 0.07; for southerly continental air masses: 0.48 ± 0.33; for northerly continental air masses: 0.16 ± 0.13</td>
<td>Russell et al. (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Atlantic during August</td>
<td>FTIR primary amine groups: 2 % of OM in all ambient aerosols, and 13 % of OM in generated primary marine aerosols</td>
<td>Frossard et al. (2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical eastern Atlantic during November–January (May–June)</td>
<td>HPLC with ESI-IT-MS secondary marine aliphatic amines (pg m(^{-3})): 2–520 (0–30) for MA; 100–1400 (130–360) for DMA; 90–760 (5–110) for DEA</td>
<td>Müller et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Maine during June–August</td>
<td>FTIR primary amine groups below detection</td>
<td>Gilardoni et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern North Atlantic during high biological activity</td>
<td>IC secondary, marine dimethylammonium and diethylammonium salts (ng m(^{-3})) in clean air masses: 4–13 and 7–24, respectively. Concentrations peaked in the 0.25–0.5 µm size range. Monoalkylammonium and trialkylammonium salt concentrations were below detection.</td>
<td>Facchini et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Ireland during low (high) biological activity</td>
<td>IC secondary, marine dimethylammonium and diethylammonium salts (ng m(^{-3})): &lt; 1–8 (2–24) and &lt; 1–12 (4–32), respectively. Monoalkylammonium and trialkylammonium salt concentrations below detection.</td>
<td>Facchini et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Jolla in coastal California during August–October</td>
<td>FTIR primary amine groups’ average mass concentration was 0.11 ± 0.09 µg m(^{-3}); 1 % of combustion (3.0 µg m(^{-3})) was amines, and 3 % of marine (0.97 µg m(^{-3})) was amines.</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Pacific during August–September</td>
<td>TOC/TON analyzer secondary, marine DEA: &lt; 0.1 to 0.8 ng m(^{-3})</td>
<td>Miyazaki et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal northern China during January–February (November–December)</td>
<td>UHPLC-MS amines (MA, DEA, DMA, PA, TMA, MEA, PYR, BA, DEA, MOR, AN, DPA, TEA, DBA, and TPA) with averages ranging from 0.1 to 58.7 (0.1 to 86.3) ng m(^{-3}) from coal combustion activities, industrial emissions, vehicle exhaust, biomass burning, and agricultural and marine emissions</td>
<td>Z. Y. Liu et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Norway during September–November</td>
<td>GC-MS and LC-MS nitrosamines and alkyl amines (nM) in fog: BDL–7.1 for MEA; 0.4–8.9 for MA; 130.3–255 for DMA; 1.7–5.8 for DEA; 0.07 for NDMA; NDEA, NMOR, NBA, NPIP, and EA were below detection.</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical eastern Atlantic (2-year average)</td>
<td>IC secondary, aliphatic amines (ng m(^{-3})) scavenged in the gas phase by the particle phase: 5.6 for DMA; 0.2 for MA; 3.9 for DEA</td>
<td>van Pinxteren et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal California during July–August</td>
<td>IC and ICP-MS secondary, marine DMA: 2.3–70.3 ng m(^{-3})</td>
<td>Youn et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East China Sea during June</td>
<td>IC secondary, marine aliphatic amines (nmol m(^{-3})): 0.67 ± 0.21 for DMA; 0.20 ± 0.11 for TMA</td>
<td>Xie et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Marine and continental air mass periods

Ambient measurements were categorized by air mass origins. Online measurements were considered to be associated with marine air masses if they met the criteria of Saliba et al. (2020), namely (1) particle number concentrations < 1500 cm⁻³, (2) HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) 48 h back trajectories originating from the northern or tropical Atlantic that did not pass over land during that time, (3) black carbon (BC) concentrations < 50 ng m⁻³, (4) radon concentrations < 500 mBq m⁻³, and (5) a relative wind direction within ±90° of the bow of the ship (to avoid ship stack contamination). For the multi-hour filters collected, the classification scheme of Lewis et al. (2021) was used: marine filters were those for which 90% or more of the sampling time met these conditions; air masses were considered to have continental sources when the HYSPLIT 48 h back trajectories originated from North America; mixed filters were those that did not meet marine nor continental criteria.

2.3 HR-ToF-AMS

A HR-ToF-AMS (Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA) was deployed to measure non-refractory (AMS NR) components of submicron (approximately 100–800 nm dry aerodynamic diameter) ambient particles (DeCarlo et al., 2006) downstream of a 1 µm cyclone (Russell et al., 2018). The HR-ToF-AMS vaporizer was operated at around 600 °C. The instrument alternated periodically between different ion flight modes including a high-resolution W mode (1 min), a high-sensitivity V mode (2 min), and an additional single-particle event trigger (ET) mode (2 min). Particle measurements with the W mode of the AMS instrument were collected for all particles (not separated by size) and were analyzed by the data analysis software packages SQUIRREL (SeQuential Igor data RetRiEvaL), version 1.24, and PIKA (Peak Integration by Key Analysis), version 1.63, on Igor Pro 8 (WaveMetrics, Inc.). This mode was used instead of the V mode to obtain better peak separation, which was necessary to identify the contributions of amine-containing fragments from other fragments at similar masses. SQUIRREL was used to prepro-
cess data by checking mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) calibration and baselines for each run. PIKA was then utilized for high-resolution analysis of individual ion fragments to be fitted for each m/z. AMS NR amine fragments were calculated as the sum of C3H3N and C5H5N2 ion fragments (Schurman et al., 2015; Thamban et al., 2021). Figure S14 in the Supplement displays representative peak fittings of selected C5H3N2 ion fragments.

The ET mode of the HR-ToF-AMS extracted a mass spectrum for individual particles that had ion signals within a certain range of m/z values that exceeded a threshold established using particle-free air. Single-particle analysis of ET-mode measurements was previously completed for winter and late spring (Sanchez et al., 2018). This analysis was also performed for autumn and early spring, identifying five to seven relatively similar clusters for each cruise using the criteria listed in Tables S8 and S9 in the Supplement. The AMS ET methods and results for autumn and early spring are available in Sects. S2 and S3 in the Supplement. Amine fragment contributions were estimated using the unit-mass fragments associated with common amine ion fragments (Tables S6, S7). The sum of these fragments is directly compared to the sum of C3H3N2 ion fragments in Fig. S6. The 10 AMS NR single-particle amine amine frames with the highest mass concentrations were CHN+, CH4N+, C2H3N+, C2H2N+, CHNO+, C2H5N+, C2H6N+, C4H10N+, C2H5NO+, and C3H7N3O+ (Fig. S7). The fraction of amine signal that was associated with the autumn and early-spring particle clusters was estimated to be 1.0%–3.6% of the total ion signal and 2.7%–8.1% of the total organic signal (Table S10). These contributions remained largely consistent across particle clusters, showing no notable differences between the particle clusters. Correlations of each particle cluster and selected tracers are displayed in Tables S11–S14, and a summary of these results can be found in Sect. S3. Time series and mass spectra of each single-particle cluster are shown in Figs. S8–S12.

Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) Evaluation Tool v3.06B of W-mode data was used for autumn and early spring to compute factors of ion fragments with unique temporal correlations for high-resolution organic mass spectral data, as described in Sect. S1. The analysis comprised selected high-resolution sulfate mass spectral signals that included SO2+, SO42−, SO2−, H2SO4+, HSO3+, H2SO3+, HSO4+, and H2SO4− ion fragments. The criteria used to determine the factor solution are listed in Tables S1–S4. Most of the factors identified typically included small amounts of amine; when a factor with a higher amine contribution was found, the variability in its mass concentration was largely noise, limiting further source appointment, given the magnitude of twice that of its mean (Table S5). The time series and mass spectra of these factors are shown in Figs. S1–S5.

The HR-ToF-AMS utilizes a multi-slit chopper that obtains efficient particle time-of-flight (eToF) measurements rather than a single-slit chopper that obtains PToF measurements. eToF ensures high signal-to-noise ratios in the raw spectral bins necessary for marine environments with low aerosol concentrations. eToF measurements can be analyzed as size distributions of individual unit-mass-resolution (UMR) ion fragments, but the processing of high-resolution mass spectra for separate size bins has not yet been implemented. Size distributions of cumulative and individual mass fragments for organic and sulfate fragments had low signal-to-noise ratios given the clean marine conditions and low concentrations of AMS NR components. UMR did not represent any of the selected amine fragments with a sufficiently high signal-to-noise ratio to determine an amine size distribution or size cuts (e.g., < 0.5 µm), as the amine fragments comprised less than half of the UMR peaks in the m/z spectra (Table S7). Consequently size-resolved measurements of AMS NR amine fragments are not available.

### 2.4 FTIR spectroscopy

During all four cruises, atmospheric particles were collected after size cuts on pre-scanned 37 mm Teflon filters (Pall Inc., 1 µm pore size) for offline analysis by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Tensor 27 spectrometer, Bruker, Billerica, MA) of the NV components that were retained on the filters (Russell et al., 2018). Berner impactors with size cuts of 0.18 and 0.5 µm were operated at 30 L min⁻¹, and a 1 µm sharp-cut cyclone was operated at 16.7 L min⁻¹. Sampling times for each filter spanned 12 to 23 h. A sector control was used for filter sampling (Lewis et al., 2021). Quantification of the NV organic amine group concentration was accomplished by identifying a primary amine (C–NH2) peak at an absorption frequency of 1630 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum. Note that the term “primary” refers to the NH2 group type that is bonded to the carbon, not to the aerosol source type. Specifically, the FTIR absorbance at 1630 cm⁻¹ is not sensitive to secondary (C2–NH) or tertiary (C3–N) groups in amines, and absorbance peaks for secondary and tertiary amines were not identified in the spectra (Kamruzzaman et al., 2018). The FTIR spectra were quantified by baselining, peak-fitting, and integrating peak areas using calibration standards and an automated algorithm (Maria et al., 2002). Carboxylic acid, alkane, primary amine, and alcohol functional groups were estimated from fitting spectral peaks, as described in detail by Takahama et al. (2013) and Lewis et al. (2021).

### 2.5 Ion chromatography

Inorganic ions including SO42−, NO3−, NH4+, Na+, Mg2+, K+, Cl−, Ca2+, and Br− were collected on a two-stage multi-jet impactor with a 1.1 µm size cut filter at 30 % relative humidity and subsequently measured using ion chromatography (IC, Metrohm USA Inc., Riverview, FL) (Quinn et al., 1998). Sea-salt concentrations were estimated as Na+ (µg m⁻³) × 1.47 + Cl− (µg m⁻³) (Saliba et al., 2020; Frossard...
et al., 2014a; Bates et al., 2012; Quinn et al., 2019). Non-sea-
salt potassium (nssK⁺) concentrations were estimated as K⁺
(µg m⁻³) − Na⁺ (µg m⁻³) × (ratio of K to Na in seawater),
where the latter ratio is constant across major water masses
in the ocean (Pilson, 2013).

2.6 Other measurements

Seawater and atmospheric dimethylsulfide (DMS) concen-
trations were measured continuously during NAAMES (Bell
et al., 2021). Chlorophyll a (chl a) was also measured in-
line using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC;
Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). A single-particle
soot photometer (SP2, Droplet Measurement Technologies,
Boulder, CO) measured the mass concentrations of refrac-
tory black carbon (BC) in particles with diameters of 60
to 700 nm. Other meteorological properties measured dur-
ing NAAMES and used in our analysis include sea surface
temperature (SST), solar radiation, wind speed, relative hu-
midity, ambient temperature, ozone, and radon, and these
data, in addition to chl a, were accessed from the SeaWiFS
Bio-optical Archive and Storage System (SeaBASS) archive
(Werdell et al., 2003). A thermodenuder scanning mobili-
ty particle sizer (SMPS, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN) measured
submicron particle size distributions, and a cloud condensa-
tion nuclei counter (CCNC, Droplet Measurement Technolo-
gies, Boulder, CO) measured ambient CCN concentrations
at 0.1 % supersaturation. Aerosol hygroscopicity was esti-
mated from SMPS and CCNC measurements during autumn
and early spring (Sect. S5), but the available CCN measurements
were too sparse to identify a relationship with composition
(Table S21).

3 Results

Figure 1 shows AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV
amine groups for all four NAAMES cruises. Concentrations
of both amine measurements varied substantially during each
cruise, but median and mean amine concentrations (Ta-
bles 2 and 3, respectively) had similar differences between
air masses for AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR amine
groups. Concentrations of AMS NR amine fragments were
higher during continental periods (with concentrations rang-
ing from 18 to 54 ng m⁻³) than during marine periods, when
concentrations averaged below 33 ng m⁻³, except for early
spring (Table 2). Winter, late spring, and autumn were sta-
tistically significant (p < 0.05, two-sample Student’s t test).
Similar to AMS NR amine fragments, FTIR NV amine group
concentrations were higher overall during continental per-
iods, highlighting that continental transport is a significant
source of amines in the North Atlantic. The average FTIR
NV amine group concentration ranged from 7 to 18 ng m⁻³
during marine periods and from 16 to 33 ng m⁻³ during con-
tinental periods (including filters with amine below the de-
tection limit). However, the differences in average FTIR NV
amine group concentrations between marine and continental
air masses were only statistically significant during winter.

Notwithstanding the above consideration of continental
transport, concentrations of AMS NR amine fragments were
lowest in winter, when AMS NR organic mass (OM) was also
lowest and IC MSA concentrations were below detection for
both marine and continental air masses. These low concentra-
tions of 14 to 18 ng m⁻³ in winter may indicate that bio-
logically derived amine makes up a significant fraction of non-
refractory amine during other seasons, as primary production
has previously been shown to influence amine concentrations
in the North Atlantic (Müller et al., 2009). The highest con-
centration of AMS NR amine fragments for marine periods
was 33 ± 6 ng m⁻³ in early spring; for continental periods, it
was 54 ± 49 ng m⁻³ in autumn. For marine air masses, FTIR
NV amine groups were highest in late spring, whereas they
were highest in winter for continental air masses. FTIR NV
amine group concentrations were lowest for both marine and
continental air masses in early spring, when only two filters,
both with FTIR NV amine groups below detection, met the
marine criteria.

Average concentrations of FTIR NV amine groups were
lower than concentrations of AMS NR amine fragments, ex-
cept for the continental period in winter. Two campaigns had
positive, but not statistically significant, correlations of FTIR
NV amine groups with AMS NR amine fragments (R = 0.45
in winter and R = 0.87 in autumn). The two spring cam-
paigns had negative correlations of FTIR NV amine groups
and AMS NR amine fragments that were not statistically sig-
nificant (Fig. 2). Consequently, combining the four cruises
for both air mass types gives no correlation between FTIR
NV amine groups and AMS NR amine fragments (ρ = 0.02,
where a Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used for
the non-normal distribution of FTIR NV amine groups and
AMS NR amine fragments). This result suggests that FTIR
and AMS are measuring different amine compounds, likely
associated with different source types. In particular, AMS
measures non-refractory components and FTIR measures
non-volatile components (but some amine compounds are
on refractory sea-spray particles and some amines volatilize
from filters). The inability of AMS to detect refractory com-
ponents that are found mixed with sea-spray particles is an-
other reason that amine compounds measured by FTIR and
AMS are from different sources (Frossard et al., 2014b). The
nonzero y intercepts of AMS NR amine fragments to FTIR
NV amine groups in Fig. 2b–e further support the interpreta-
tion that AMS and FTIR are measuring different amine com-
ounds.

AMS NR amine fragments had moderate to strong corre-
lations (0.73 < R < 0.98; Table 4) with AMS NR OM, sug-
gesting that many of the organic sources included a consis-
tent fraction of amines. The weak correlation (R = 0.27) for
the marine period in early spring may reflect sources with
different contributions of AMS NR amine fragments to AMS
NR OM. FTIR NV amine groups had some weak correla-
Table 2. Mean concentrations and standard deviations of amine, tracer, and environmental measurements during NAAMES for marine (first line in each row) and continental (second line in each row, in parentheses) periods. Seasonal mean concentrations and standard deviations are given in square brackets and were averaged over the sampling times of filters categorized as marine, continental, or mixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Early spring</th>
<th>Late spring</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMS NR amine fragments</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>33 ± 6</td>
<td>23 ± 8</td>
<td>26 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(18 ± 7)</td>
<td>(32 ± 11)</td>
<td>(37 ± 13)</td>
<td>(54 ± 49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[18 ± 6]</td>
<td>[31 ± 6]</td>
<td>[33 ± 12]</td>
<td>[30 ± 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FTIR NV amine groups</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))(^{*})</td>
<td>10 ± 15</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>18 ± 15</td>
<td>7 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(33 ± 33)</td>
<td>(16 ± 28)</td>
<td>(21 ± 20)</td>
<td>(28 ± 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[20 ± 26]</td>
<td>[12 ± 23]</td>
<td>[17 ± 14]</td>
<td>[16 ± 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum of AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV amine groups</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))(^{*})</td>
<td>24 ± 15</td>
<td>32 ± 7</td>
<td>43 ± 14</td>
<td>36 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(57 ± 31)</td>
<td>(47 ± 30)</td>
<td>(63 ± 15)</td>
<td>(80 ± 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[39 ± 27]</td>
<td>[43 ± 25]</td>
<td>[50 ± 16]</td>
<td>[46 ± 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMS NR OM</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>151 ± 47</td>
<td>296 ± 107</td>
<td>373 ± 269</td>
<td>295 ± 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(321 ± 309)</td>
<td>(422 ± 227)</td>
<td>(824 ± 658)</td>
<td>(990 ± 1187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FTIR NV OM</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>281 ± 198</td>
<td>210 ± 156</td>
<td>220 ± 165</td>
<td>200 ± 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(315 ± 220)</td>
<td>(209 ± 327)</td>
<td>(422 ± 420)</td>
<td>(375 ± 431)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMS NR nitrate</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 4</td>
<td>10 ± 6</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12 ± 12)</td>
<td>(15 ± 5)</td>
<td>(45 ± 101)</td>
<td>(14 ± 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMS NR f_{44}</strong> (unitless)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.35 ± 0.08)</td>
<td>(0.49 ± 0.08)</td>
<td>(0.31 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>(0.45 ± 0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black carbon</strong> (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>12 ± 14</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>21 ± 16</td>
<td>20 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(220 ± 354)</td>
<td>(197 ± 62)</td>
<td>(141 ± 240)</td>
<td>(148 ± 147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ozone (ppb)</strong> (ppb)</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>33 ± 14</td>
<td>38 ± 7</td>
<td>29 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(38 ± 5)</td>
<td>(47 ± 9)</td>
<td>(39 ± 6)</td>
<td>(31 ± 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radon</strong> (mBq m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>246 ± 109</td>
<td>272 ± 130</td>
<td>298 ± 80</td>
<td>404 ± 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(472 ± 366)</td>
<td>(873 ± 333)</td>
<td>(466 ± 289)</td>
<td>(876 ± 612)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wind speed</strong> (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 5.1</td>
<td>9.4 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10.4 ± 3.9)</td>
<td>(11.7 ± 4.6)</td>
<td>(6.6 ± 3.2)</td>
<td>(5.9 ± 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>atm. DMS</strong> (ppt)</td>
<td>66 ± 21</td>
<td>129 ± 71</td>
<td>463 ± 293</td>
<td>138 ± 233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(93 ± 51)</td>
<td>(91 ± 78)</td>
<td>(214 ± 186)</td>
<td>(118 ± 87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>sw. DMS</strong> (nmol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.4 ± 0.7)</td>
<td>(4.6 ± 3.2)</td>
<td>(2.5 ± 2.5)</td>
<td>(3.1 ± 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature</strong> (°C)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>19.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>8.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>13.5 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(11.9 ± 6.5)</td>
<td>(13.6 ± 4.5)</td>
<td>(9.1 ± 3.8)</td>
<td>(16.7 ± 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chl a</strong> (ng L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>457 ± 242</td>
<td>643 ± 247</td>
<td>1956 ± 1689</td>
<td>379 ± 236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(713 ± 774)</td>
<td>(578 ± 360)</td>
<td>(1647 ± 1396)</td>
<td>(284 ± 255)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SST</strong> (°C)</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>21.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>10.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13.8 ± 5.5)</td>
<td>(16.0 ± 3.2)</td>
<td>(10.5 ± 4.7)</td>
<td>(17.8 ± 4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC MSA</strong> (μg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.02 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(0.02 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>(0.03 ± 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC sea salt</strong> (μg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.45 ± 0.72)</td>
<td>(1.28 ± 0.51)</td>
<td>(0.06 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>(0.43 ± 0.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{*}\) The average included filters with an amine concentration below detection. “atm. DMS” refers to atmospheric DMS and “sw. DMS” refers to seawater DMS.
Table 3. Median concentrations and median absolute deviations of amine, tracer, and environmental measurements during NAAMES for marine (first line in each row) and continental (second line in each row, in parentheses) periods. Seasonal median concentrations and median absolute deviations are given in square brackets and were averaged over the sampling times of filters categorized as marine, continental, or mixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Early spring</th>
<th>Late spring</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR amine fragments (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>13 ± 2 (17 ± 5)</td>
<td>33 ± 5 (30 ± 7)</td>
<td>21 ± 6 (34 ± 12)</td>
<td>23 ± 7 (32 ± 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR OM (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>18 ± 11 (50 ± 24)</td>
<td>32 ± 5 (34 ± 22)</td>
<td>42 ± 11 (57 ± 12)</td>
<td>35 ± 12 (80 ± 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR NV amine groups (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1 ± 12 (29 ± 25)</td>
<td>BDL (4 ± 19)</td>
<td>15 ± 12 (22 ± 13)</td>
<td>0 ± 10 (28 ± 47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR OM (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>136 ± 38 (204 ± 205)</td>
<td>271 ± 61 (375 ± 142)</td>
<td>299 ± 151 (623 ± 403)</td>
<td>247 ± 114 (480 ± 806)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR NV OM (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>260 ± 135 (295 ± 172)</td>
<td>210 ± 110 (80 ± 208)</td>
<td>180 ± 143 (260 ± 299)</td>
<td>145 ± 133 (375 ± 305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR nitrate (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>6 ± 2 (9 ± 7)</td>
<td>8 ± 2 (15 ± 4)</td>
<td>9 ± 3 (16 ± 49)</td>
<td>8 ± 2 (10 ± 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR (f_{44}) (unitless)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.45 (0.36 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06 (0.49 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.06 (0.33 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.13 (0.43 ± 0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black carbon (ng m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>6 ± 11 (74 ± 24)</td>
<td>23 ± 19 (120 ± 159)</td>
<td>15 ± 15 (77 ± 113)</td>
<td>16 ± 37 (76 ± 121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ppb)</td>
<td>41 ± 2 (39 ± 4)</td>
<td>27 ± 13 (50 ± 7)</td>
<td>40 ± 6 (39 ± 5)</td>
<td>30 ± 5 (34 ± 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon (mBq m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>219 ± 78 (308 ± 281)</td>
<td>253 ± 80 (914 ± 253)</td>
<td>282 ± 61 (383 ± 191)</td>
<td>358 ± 202 (735 ± 460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>10.1 ± 3.3 (10.2 ± 3.1)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 2.7 (11.9 ± 3.5)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.0 (6.2 ± 2.3)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 3.1 (5.8 ± 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm. DMS (ppt)</td>
<td>63 ± 15 (75 ± 40)</td>
<td>134 ± 58 (68 ± 53)</td>
<td>373 ± 220 (173 ± 149)</td>
<td>63 ± 119 (98 ± 62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw. DMS (nmol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4 (1.2 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.8 (3.7 ± 2.6)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.9 (1.56 ± 1.9)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5 (2.7 ± 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 5.2 (13.9 ± 5.9)</td>
<td>22.1 ± 4.1 (12.6 ± 3.5)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.8 (9.1 ± 3.2)</td>
<td>12.6 ± 3.0 (17.2 ± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl (a) (ng L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>396 ± 180 (457 ± 519)</td>
<td>642 ± 206 (489 ± 259)</td>
<td>1246 ± 1267 (1212 ± 1098)</td>
<td>282 ± 210 (133 ± 219)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST (°C)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 4.7 (16.2 ± 4.9)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 3.3 (16.4 ± 2.4)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 4.7 (10.0 ± 4.1)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.2 (18.2 ± 3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC MSA (µg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>– (0.00 ± 0.15)</td>
<td>– (0.00 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.04 (0.06 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01 (0.01 ± 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC sea salt (µg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.55 (1.23 ± 0.53)</td>
<td>– (1.26 ± 0.42)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.22 (0.05 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.25 (0.23 ± 0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC nssK(^+) (µg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.01 (0.02 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>– (0.01 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00 (0.02 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00 (0.02 ± 0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The median included filters with an amine concentration below detection.
Figure 1. Time series of AMS NR amine fragments measured by the HR-ToF-AMS (b, d, f, h) and FTIR NV amine groups measured by FTIR spectroscopy in particles with diameters < 1 µm (a, c, e, g) for all four cruises: winter (a, b), late spring (c, d), autumn (e, f), and early spring (g, h). Marker colors represent the air mass type: blue – marine, yellow – continental, and black – winds abaft or mixed.

4 Discussion

4.1 Marine amine sources

FTIR NV amine functional groups have been reported in atmospheric aerosol, generated primary marine aerosols, seawater, and the sea surface microlayer sampled during conditions when air masses were considered “clean marine” in the North Atlantic, with their presence in both seawater and aerosols supporting that those amines are largely from sources that are both primary and marine (Lewis et
al., 2022; Frossard et al., 2014a). FTIR NV amine groups indicate an association with sea spray (Saliba et al., 2019) because of their positive (0.49 < R < 0.52; Fig. 3h) correlations with wind speed during continental periods in winter and early spring, which included the highest wind speeds during NAAMES. The correlations with FTIR NV amine groups were not significant due to the limited number of samples (Table S16). AMS NR amine fragments did not correlate positively with wind speed (Fig. 4e, d), consistent with the expectation that amines from primary marine sources would be mixed with refractory sea-salt particles (Hawkins et al., 2010; Frossard et al., 2014b). The absence of a positive correlation with wind speed may be attributed to differences in local and upwind wind speeds, but the presence of negative correlations indicates a source of AMS NR amine fragments that is not associated with sea salt. The p values corresponding to the correlations of AMS NR amine fragments are displayed in Table S15. The limited number of points in each correlation of AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV amine groups are shown in Tables S19 and S20, respectively.

Additional markers for a primary marine source include IC sea salt and AMS NR chloride. While AMS NR amine fragments correlated moderately (0.59 < R < 0.65; Fig. 4e, f) with IC sea salt for marine air masses in winter and autumn, FTIR NV amine groups showed low to moderate correlations (R = 0.33–0.64; Fig. 3e, f) with IC sea salt during the marine period in late spring and autumn and even a strong correlation (R = 0.78) during the continental period in early spring. The FTIR NV amine group measurements include some low concentrations and few samples, meaning that these correlations are uncertain and not significant. FTIR NV amine groups correlated moderately (0.57 < R < 0.76; Fig. 3a, b) with AMS NR chloride during both continental periods (winter and early spring) and two of the three marine periods (winter and autumn) for which measurements were available. Although these correlations of FTIR NV amine groups and AMS NR chloride are only significant (p < 0.05) for the continental winter period, the consistency of their positive correlations contrast with the absence of a correlation (−0.15 < R < 0.13; Table 4) between AMS NR chloride and AMS NR amine fragments during all four NAAMES cruises.

Chl a is a common proxy for phytoplankton productivity that has previously been found to strongly correlate with organic mass in sea-spray aerosols in coastal Atlantic regions (O’Dowd et al., 2004); however, during NAAMES, there was no clear dependence for < 1 µm organic carbon samples and only a weak dependence for < 1 µm OM cruise averages (Bates et al., 2020; Saliba et al., 2020). Consistent with these prior open-ocean results for OM during NAAMES, no positive correlations were found for AMS NR amine fragments.
and chl \( \alpha \) nor for FTIR NV amine groups during most of the cruises. The exception was a strong \( (R = 0.86; \text{Fig. 3g}) \) correlation for FTIR NV amine groups during the marine period in late spring, which is the climax of the annual phytoplankton bloom. This finding is analogous to that of Russell et al. (2010), who attributed a weak, positive correlation of organic mass with chl \( \alpha \) to particulate organic carbon (POC) in marine particles in bloom regions in the North Atlantic. The lack of a correlation between organic mass and chl \( \alpha \) is consistent with the less-variable DOC pool as a carbon source for marine particles, as DOC typically does not correlate with chl \( \alpha \) (Carlson et al., 1994). Therefore, these correlations with chl \( \alpha \) support a primary marine source for FTIR NV amine groups.

The production of methylated amines and sulfurs varies with individual metabolic processes and across different ocean phytoplankton species (Keller, 1989; Q. Liu et al., 2022). Similar to methylated sulfurs, a significant portion of methylated amines are derived from phytoplankton and subsequent biological degradation (Mausz and Chen, 2019). While chl \( \alpha \) is produced by various phytoplankton species for photosynthesis, dimethylsulfide (DMS) is primarily produced by coccolithophores (Malin et al., 1993). Therefore, DMS may serve as an alternative tracer for ocean biological production in addition to chl \( \alpha \). FTIR NV amine groups correlated positively \( (0.54 < R < 0.85; \text{Fig. 3c, d}) \) with seawater DMS during the marine period in late spring and the continental period in winter. During these same periods, correlations of atmospheric DMS and FTIR NV amine groups were weakly positive \( (0.24 < R < 0.46) \) and lower than the correlations of FTIR NV amine groups with seawater DMS. The weaker correlation with atmospheric DMS than with seawater DMS may be explained by the photochemical reactions of atmospheric DMS leading to daytime concentration decreases that are lagged by the peaks in the concentration of FTIR NV amine groups. No correlations of seawater DMS with AMS NR amine fragments were observed. This is a distinct difference from FTIR NV amine groups that suggests the seawater DMS is more correlated with seawater organic components (DOC or POC) than with secondary organic components and that those seawater organic components are emitted on refractory sea-spray particles that are not measured by AMS. Weak to moderate correlations \( (0.36 < R < 0.50; \text{Fig. 4a, b}) \) of atmospheric DMS and AMS NR amine fragments were observed during continental periods in winter and autumn and during the marine period in early spring, consistent with a secondary contribution to the AMS NR amine fragments that is distributed on AMS NR particles rather than sea salt. In summary, correlations of seawater DMS suggest a primary marine source for FTIR NV amine groups but not for AMS NR amine fragments.

Methanesulfonic acid (MSA), an oxidated derivative of DMS, can serve as a reliable indicator of secondary atmospheric processing, as its formation also lags atmospheric DMS concentrations (Sanchez et al., 2018). MSA may also react with alkylamines in acid–base reactions, similar to nitric acid (Chen et al., 2015, 2016; Chen and Finlayson-Pitts, 2017; Perraud et al., 2020). During marine periods, correlations between IC MSA and AMS NR amine fragments were moderate \( (R = 0.50) \) in late spring and strong \( (R = 0.90) \) in autumn, indicating that AMS NR amine fragments during marine periods likely included a secondary marine source. IC MSA measurements were below detection during winter, and too few marine air masses were sampled in early spring to be able to identify a correlation with any IC inorganic ions. Sub-
micron FTIR NV amine groups were also moderately correlated ($R = 0.74$) with IC MSA for marine air masses in late spring, supporting a secondary contribution to the FTIR NV amine groups as well. However, correlations of both FTIR NV and AMS NR amine with IC MSA were not significant ($p \geq 0.05$) due to the limited number of simultaneous IC filters available, which indicates that secondary marine source contributions to submicron mass are minor. Additional evidence of secondary contributions of FTIR NV amine groups is considered for $< 0.5 \mu m$ particles in Sect. 4.3.

### 4.2 Continental amine sources

Anthropogenic nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) can undergo a variety of reactions that form nitrate-containing secondary organic aerosols. For example, heterogeneous hydrolysis of...
Figure 4. Scatterplot of marine tracers versus AMS NR amine fragments for marine periods (a, c, e) and continental periods (b, d, f). The panels include atmospheric DMS (a, b), wind speed (c, d), and IC sea salt (e, f). Markers represent each cruise: blue open diamond – winter, green cross – late spring, purple asterisk – autumn, and red open triangle – early spring. The solid lines are the lines of best fit obtained using an ordinary least squares regression for $R |\geq| 0.25$.

dinitrogen pentoxide ($N_2O_5$) can produce nitric acid (HNO$_3$) that may form aminium nitrate salts though acid–base reactions with amines (Murphy et al., 2007; Price et al., 2016). Aminium salts can also form by the displacement of ammonium by amine in ammonium nitrate. The volatility of these amine-containing compounds is lower than ammonium nitrate such that they are more likely to partition into the particle phase (Smith et al., 2010). The moderate to strong ($0.67 < R < 0.84$; Fig. 5b) correlations of AMS NR amine fragments and AMS NR nitrate for the winter, late-spring, and autumn cruises during continental periods provide some evidence that the formation of particle-phase amine is associated with nitrate. To a lesser extent, AMS NR amine fragments and AMS NR nitrate also correlated weakly to moderately ($0.31 < R < 0.79$; Fig. 5a) during the marine periods for the winter, late-spring, and autumn cruises. This suggests a secondary continental source for AMS NR amine fragments that is present during continental and marine periods.

Tables S17 and S18 in the Supplement contain the linear fits for AMS NR amine fragments and FTIR NV amine groups, respectively. The zero intercepts (Table S17) of the linear fits for both continental air masses in winter and autumn and marine air masses in winter and late spring suggest that the AMS NR amine fragments largely have continental sources that are present during clean marine periods at lower concentrations. Correlations for FTIR NV amine groups were not available for the continental periods in autumn and the marine periods in early spring due to sampling limitations. No positive correlations ($-0.59 < R < 0.19$, $p > 0.05$) of FTIR NV amine groups and AMS NR nitrate were observed, suggesting that the aminium salts may not have primary amine groups (CNH$_2$) or may be too volatile to remain for filter analysis.

Figure 6a and b show the weak to strong ($0.30 < R < 0.86$) correlations of AMS NR amine fragments with black carbon for continental air masses as well as for autumn ma-
Figure 5. Scatterplot of secondary tracers versus AMS NR amine fragments for marine periods (a, c, e) and continental periods (b, d, f). The panels include submicron AMS NR nitrate (a, b), ozone (c, d), and AMS NR m/z 44 (e, f). Markers represent each cruise: blue open diamond – winter, green cross – late spring, purple asterisk – autumn, and red open triangle – early spring. The solid lines are the lines of best fit obtained using an ordinary least squares regression for $R \geq 0.25$.

marine air masses. This correlation is consistent with the correlation with AMS NR nitrate, as AMS NR nitrate and black carbon are typically produced by combustion. BC is often an indicator of a primary combustion source, suggesting that AMS NR amine fragments may also include some primary sources of amines (Shen et al., 2017; Z. Y. Liu et al., 2022). BC and AMS NR nitrate could be emitted by ocean-going vessels locally or transported from continents. Two tracers for continental processes are radon (from rocks and soils) and non-sea-salt potassium (from biomass burning).

As radon is a decay product of rocks and soil, it is used as a naturally occurring tracer for continental air masses. The weak correlation ($R = 0.37$; Fig. 6c) of AMS NR amine fragments with radon during the marine period and the moderate ($0.55 < R < 0.66$; Fig. 6d) correlations during the continental periods in winter, autumn, and late spring indicate that much of the AMS NR amine fragments are continental. In contrast, all but the late-spring marine period showed no or negative correlations ($−0.67 < R < −0.01$; Table 5) of FTIR NV amine groups with radon, suggesting that FTIR NV amine groups are largely from marine sources. It is possible, however, for weaker correlations to arise from amines associated with secondary or urban emissions that are disproportionate with land-based emissions of radon.

Non-sea-salt potassium ($nssK^+$) is a widely used tracer for biomass burning, which also can produce a continental source of methylated amines (Bottenus et al., 2018). Weak to strong correlations ($0.27 < R < 0.95$; Fig. 6e, f) of AMS NR amine fragments with IC $nssK^+$ were found for all marine and continental periods when IC measurements of $K^+$ and...
sodium (Na\(^+\)) were available. The correlations of AMS NR amine fragments and IC nssK\(^+\) are significant for marine air masses in winter and for all continental seasons except winter, suggesting an important continental contribution to AMS NR amine fragments. No correlations of FTIR NV amine groups with IC nssK\(^+\) were statistically significant due to a limited overlap of the IC and FTIR filter sampling times (Table 5).

Ozone has also been used as a tracer for secondary processes because it can be a limiting factor for the formation of secondary aerosols (Liu et al., 2011; Verma et al., 2017). Some evidence of photochemical formation is observed with respect to positive, weak correlations (0.41 < R < 0.42) during continental periods in late spring and autumn (Fig. 5d), but marine periods in late and early spring and continental periods in winter did not show positive correlations. Possible photochemical formation is supported by the weak correlations with solar radiation during continental periods in autumn (R = 0.33) and early spring (R = 0.29). FTIR NV amine groups weakly correlated (0.28 < R < 0.45; Table 5) with ozone during periods when no positive correlations (−0.94 < R < 0.05) with solar radiation were observed, providing inconsistent support for a photochemical contribution to FTIR NV amine groups.

The contribution of the AMS ion signal at m/z 44 (CO\(^+\)) to the total AMS NR OM signal (f\(_{44}\)) is a measure of particle oxidation and a tracer for secondary processing (Bahreini et al., 2005). Figure 5e and f display largely consistent trends between the contribution of AMS NR amine fragments to the AMS NR OM and f\(_{44}\). Weak to moderate (0.43 < R < 0.79) correlations of AMS NR f\(_{44}\) and AMS NR amine fragments are present across all air masses and seasons. Murphy et al. (2007) identified large signals of AMS NR m/z 44 in mass spectra of ammonium nitrate salts produced by photooxidation, providing further evidence of secondary formation of AMS NR amine fragments. AMS NR f\(_{44}\) positively correlated (R = 0.36) with the contribution of FTIR NV amine group mass concentrations to the FTIR NV OM signal for only the marine period in late spring, possibly because ammonium ni-
and strong correlation ($0 < |R| < 0.25$), weak correlation ($0.25 < |R| < 0.50$), moderate correlation ($0.50 < |R| < 0.80$), and strong correlation ($0.80 < |R|$). Correlations that are not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) are indicated by “=”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air masses</th>
<th>Marine</th>
<th>Continental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Early spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR OM</td>
<td>$-0.13^*$</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR NV OM</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR nitrate</td>
<td>$-0.16^*$</td>
<td>0.19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR sulfate</td>
<td>$-0.19^*$</td>
<td>0.61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR chloride</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>0.73*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS NR f$_{44}$</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black carbon</td>
<td>$-0.16^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
<td>$-0.67^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>$-0.23^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw. DMS</td>
<td>$-0.30^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm. DMS</td>
<td>$-0.50^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiation</td>
<td>$-0.43^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>$-0.24^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$-0.25^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>$-0.34^*$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC MSA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC sea salt</td>
<td>0.23*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC nssK$^+$</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Sources for < 0.5 and < 0.18 µm amines

Submicron (< 1 µm) sampling of marine aerosol over the open ocean and from bubble generators has suggested that alcohol functional groups serve as useful tracers for marine-derived saccharides, amino sugars, and carbohydrates (Frossard et al., 2014a; Gagosian et al., 1982; Leck and Bigg, 2005; Russell et al., 2010; Lewis et al., 2021). Conversely, carboxylic acid groups serve as a tracer for photochemical reaction products of volatile organic compounds (Frossard et al., 2014a; Takahama et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Claflin et al., 2021). Figure 7 shows the correlations of FTIR NV amine groups with FTIR NV alcohol groups and FTIR NV acid groups measured across all four cruises for three different size cutoffs (< 1, < 0.5, and < 0.18 µm).

For FTIR NV alcohol and amine groups, < 1 µm particle samples had a strong correlation ($R = 0.87$; Fig. 7a) for marine periods and a moderate correlation ($R = 0.63$; Fig. 7b) for continental periods, consistent with a primary source of FTIR NV amine groups. The difference between marine and continental air masses shows that the primary seawater amine source explains more variability for marine air masses than for continental air masses. The correlation of FTIR NV alcohol and amine groups was weaker for marine filters for < 0.5 and < 0.18 µm particle samples, with $R = 0.31$ for < 0.5 µm...
The weak correlations that were found for FTIR NV amine groups with FTIR NV alcohol groups for the $<0.5$ and $<0.18\mu m$ particle samples also differ from the strong $(0.82 < R < 0.96)$; Fig. 7c, e) correlations between FTIR NV amine and acid groups that were found for the $<0.5$ and $<0.18\mu m$ samples during marine periods. The correlations between FTIR NV acid and amine groups for the $<0.5$ and $<0.18\mu m$ particle samples suggest that secondary amine groups contribute more to particles with diameters smaller than $0.5\mu m$, which is consistent with the expectation that condensing gases have a proportionately larger impact on the mass composition of smaller particles (Maria et al., 2004; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). Secondary dimethyl- and diethylaminium salts produced by acid–base reactions with biogenic, gaseous amines have been shown to have mass concentration peaks in similar size ranges of $0.25–0.50\mu m$ (Facchini et al., 2008) and $0.14–0.42\mu m$ (Müller et al., 2009). The strong correlation between FTIR NV amine and acid groups for the $<0.5$ and $<0.18\mu m$ particle samples indicate that a gas-to-particle reaction mechanism contributes to primary $(C–NH_2)$ amine groups in size ranges that are important for CCN. A secondary marine source of FTIR NV amine groups in aerosols with diameters of $<0.18\mu m$ and $<0.5\mu m$ is supported by weak to moderate correlations $(0.39 < R < 0.73)$ between MSA and FTIR NV amine groups during marine periods in late spring for both size ranges. There was no significant correlation between FTIR NV acid and amine groups for any size of the continental FTIR filters, and there were too few continental filters with both FTIR NV amine and acid groups above detection to investigate correlations for continental $<0.18\mu m$ particle samples.

4.4 Combined AMS NR and FTIR NV amine contributions

In general, our results support the expectation that FTIR NV amine groups and AMS NR amine fragments do not measure the same chemical components. Specifically, FTIR measures NV amine groups with primary $(C–NH_2)$ groups that may or may not be refractory. The correlations summarized in Fig. 8 show that, in marine air masses, most of the FTIR NV amine groups in $<1\mu m$ particles have sources that are primary and marine and that $<0.5$ and $<0.18\mu m$ diameter fractions have sources that are secondary and marine. In contrast, the AMS measures NR amine fragments with primary $(C–NH_2)$, secondary $(C_2–NH)$, and tertiary $(C_3–N)$ amine moieties that may be too volatile to be sampled on filters, but the FTIR does not detect secondary $(C_2–NH)$ and tertiary $(C_3–N)$ amines, even if they remain on filters. There is a $20\%$ uncertainty associated with AMS and FTIR OM measurements (Russell et al., 2009a; Russell, 2003). A larger difference between the measurements is seen when there is substantial refractory material such as black carbon, mineral dust, and sea salt, due to the reduced collection efficiency of the HR-ToF-AMS (Gilardoni et al., 2007), and when there

![Figure 7. Scatterplot of FTIR primary (FTIR NV alcohol group: pink) and secondary (FTIR NV carboxylic acid group: green) tracers versus FTIR NV amine groups for marine filters (a, c, e) and continental filters (b, d, f) with functional group concentrations twice the standard deviation. The panels show the three filter size cutoffs: $1\mu m$ (a, b), $0.5\mu m$ (c, d), and $0.18\mu m$ (e, f). The solid lines are the lines of best fit obtained using an ordinary least squares regression. A two-tailed t test is used to estimate $p$ values.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-2765-2023)
Figure 8. Plot of average Pearson correlation coefficients \((R)\) of AMS NR amine fragments (blue) and FTIR NV amine groups in particles with diameters < 1 µm (orange) with selected tracers for both marine and continental air masses. Negative correlations were averaged as zero, and only statistically significant \((p < 0.05)\) correlations were included, except for markers shown as solid red (which were not significant). No statistically significant correlations were available for AMS NR amine fragments with IC MSA or sea salt nor for FTIR NV amine groups with all tracers with the exception of black carbon, radon, AMS NR chloride, and chl \(a\).

is a high contribution of volatile components, as in urban areas (Liu et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2018). The relationships summarized in Fig. 8 illustrate that the correlations of the two measurement methods are not random, as might be expected if they are driven by detection limit issues. Specifically, the higher correlations of AMS NR amine fragments with continental and secondary tracers indicate that the components measured are largely continental and secondary, although some contribution of primary source emissions from combustion and secondary marine emissions is also likely.

During marine periods in late spring, the variability in FTIR NV amine groups was largely explained by correlations with AMS NR chloride, IC sea salt, seawater DMS, and chl \(a\), all of which are consistent with primary marine sources. In contrast, the variability in AMS NR amine fragments was largely explained by correlations with AMS NR nitrate, IC nssK\(^+\), radon, and AMS NR \(f_{44}\), all of which indicate continental secondary sources. Figure 9 shows similar correlations with AMS NR nitrate and chl \(a\) in marine air masses during late spring that exemplify the AMS NR amine fragments’ correlation with AMS NR nitrate concentrations and the FTIR NV amine groups’ correlation with chl \(a\). A schematic diagram of amine sources, tracers, and amine-containing particle types in marine environments is shown in Fig. S13 in the Supplement.

The distinctly different sources of FTIR NV amine groups and AMS NR amine fragments suggest that combining the two measurements is likely to provide a better estimate of particle-phase amines in marine environments than either measurement separately. This approach is supported by the poor overall correlation of \(\rho = 0.02\) (Fig. 2a) of the two measurements. FTIR NV amine groups provide a good estimate of < 1 µm amine group mass concentration with a primary marine source; AMS NR amine fragments provide a good estimate of continental amine sources that are likely secondary. Results for individual seasons illustrate that the contributions of FTIR NV amine groups and AMS NR amine fragments vary by season (based on Table 2). For winter, FTIR NV primary (C–NH\(_2\)) amine groups from primary marine sources account for 53 ± 76 % compared with 47 ± 68 % for secondary continental AMS NR amine fragments. For late spring and autumn, FTIR NV primary (C–NH\(_2\)) amine groups from primary marine sources account for (34 ± 37) % compared with 66 ± 72 % for secondary continental AMS NR amine fragments. For early spring, FTIR NV primary (C–NH\(_2\)) amine groups from primary marine sources account for 27 ± 57 % compared with 73 ± 152 % for secondary continental AMS NR amine fragments.

5 Conclusions

FTIR and AMS amine measurements were used to investigate the sources of submicron aerosol in the North Atlantic during different seasons. Marine and continental air masses were distinguished to separate the different conditions that were measured. Amine concentrations from AMS and FTIR were compared to chemical and meteorological tracers for the identification of marine and continental sources and primary and secondary processes. FTIR and AMS measured
greater amine concentrations for continental air masses than for marine air masses except for the early-spring cruise, likely due to its lower latitudes and less-pristine marine air masses. AMS NR amine fragments largely correlated with secondary tracers such as AMS NR nitrate, ozone, AMS NR f34, and IC MSA but did not correlate positively with seawater DMS, AMS NR chloride, chl a, nor wind speed. Correlations with tracers for secondary particles were lower for < 1 µm FTIR NV amine groups than for AMS NR amine fragments, but correlations of FTIR NV amine groups with tracers of primary marine sources such as wind speed, IC sea salt, seawater DMS, AMS NR chloride, and chl a were higher than for AMS NR amine fragments.

FTIR NV amine groups measured during marine periods were found to have largely primary sources for < 1 µm particles but secondary sources for < 0.5 and < 0.18 µm particles. Correlations with FTIR NV alcohol groups show the contribution of a primary source of non-volatile amine for aerosols with diameters < 1 µm that had weaker correlations for < 0.5 and < 0.18 µm particles. Correlations of FTIR NV amine groups with both FTIR NV acid groups and IC MSA for particles with diameters < 0.5 and < 0.18 µm revealed that secondary processes were a larger contributor for amine groups than in the < 1 µm particle samples.

The results presented herein are also consistent with the expectation that FTIR measures the refractory, amine-containing sea-salt particles missed by AMS and that AMS measures the semi-volatile, amine-containing particles missed by FTIR spectroscopy. Therefore, these two techniques offer complementary analyses of amine in marine environments for < 1 µm atmospheric particles. Combining them provides a rough source apportionment for marine periods, with amine from primary marine sources accounting for 53 ± 76 % compared with 47 ± 68 % secondary continental amine in winter but amine from primary marine sources accounting for only 27 ± 57 % compared with 73 ± 152 % secondary continental amine in early spring.
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