



## Supplement of

## Examination of aerosol indirect effects during cirrus cloud evolution

Flor Vanessa Maciel et al.

*Correspondence to:* Minghui Diao (minghui.diao@sjsu.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Agency	Instrument	Accuracy and Precision	Measurement Range	Related Variables			
	Rosemount Temperature Probe <sup>a,b</sup>	±0.3 K and 0.01 K	-80°C to +40°C	Temperature, RHi			
	Fast 2-Dimensional Cloud Probe (Fast-2DC) °	25 μm (pixel size)	62.5 – 3200 μm	IWC, Ni, Di			
NSF	Vertical Cavity Surface- Emitting Laser (VCSEL) Hygrometer <sup>d</sup>	~6% and $\leq 1\%$	-85°C to +32°C frost/dewpoint temperature	RHi			
	Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS) <sup>e</sup>	5% and 2.5%	0.060 – 1.0 μm	Na <sub>100</sub> , Na <sub>500</sub>			
	Meteorological Measurement System (MMS) <sup>f,g</sup>	$\pm 0.3$ K and $\pm 0.05$ K	-90°C to +40°C	Temperature, RHi			
	2D-Stereo (2-DS) Probe h,i	10 µm (pixel size)	$5-3005\;\mu m$	IWC, Ni, Di RHi			
	Diode Laser Hygrometer (DLH) <sup>j,k</sup>	5% (or 0.5 ppmv) and 0.5% (or 0.05 ppmv)	1 – 50000 ppmv				
NASA	Harvard Lyman-α Photofragment Fluorescence Water Vapor (HWV) Hygrometer* <sup>1,m</sup>	5% and 1%	1 – 1000 ppmv	RHi			
	Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS) <sup>n,o</sup>	5% and 2.5%	0.060 – 1.0 μm	Na <sub>100</sub> , Na <sub>500</sub>			

Table S1 A description of inst	ruments their accura	cy precision measuren	ent range and related variables
Table SI. A description of msu	fuments, men accurat	cy, precision, measuren	ient fange and felated variables.

\* HWV was used for NASA MACPEX campaign only.

10

Sources for instrument accuracy, precision and measurement range in Table S1 are listed below.

a: Temperature sensor, UCAR, https://www.eol.ucar.edu/instruments/high-rate-ambient-temperature-sensor

- 15 b: Temperature sensor, UCAR, https://www.eol.ucar.edu/instruments/heated-ambient-temperature-sensor
  - c: Fast 2DC probe, UCAR, https://www.eol.ucar.edu/instruments/two-dimensional-optical-array-cloud-probe

d: Zondlo et al. Vertical cavity laser hygrometer for the National Science Foundation Gulfstream-V aircraft, JGR Atmosphere, 115, D20309, doi:10.1029/2010JD014445, 2010.

e: UHSAS instrument, NCAR, https://www.eol.ucar.edu/instruments/ultra-high-sensitivity-aerosol-spectrometer f: MMS system, NASA,

- 20 f: MMS system, NASA, https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/mms/content/METEOROLOGICAL\_MEASUREMENT\_SYSTEM g: Scott et al. The Meteorological Measurement System on the NASA ER-2 Aircraft, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 525-540, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1990)007<0525:TMMSOT>2.0.CO;2, 1990. h: 2DS probe, NASA, https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/instrument/2DS
- i: Lawson et al. The 2DS (Stereo) Probe: Design and preliminary tests of a new airborne, high speed, high-resolution particle imaging probe, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 23, 1462-1477, 2006.
  j: DLH hygrometer, NASA, https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/instrument/DLH
  k: Podolske et al. Calibration and data retrieval algorithms for the NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer for the NASA Transport and Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) mission, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8792, doi:10.1029/2002JD003156, D20, 2003.
- doi:10.1029/2002JD003156, D20, 2003.
   l: Harvard Water Vapor Hygrometer, NASA, https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/instrument/HWV-LYA
   m: Harvard Water Vapor Hygrometer, NASA,
   https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/documents/H2Ov\_SEAC4RS.pdf
   n: UHSAS instrument, NASA, https://airbornescience.nasa.gov/instrument/UHSAS
- 35 o: Cai et al. Performance characteristics of the ultra high sensitivity aerosol spectrometer for particles between 55 and 800 nm: Laboratory and field studies, J. Aerosol Sci., 39, 759-769, 2008.

**Table S2.** A list of UTC timestamps that were removed from the merged observational dataset based on data quality control and the problematic measurements associated with each time segment.

Campaign	Research Flight	Start UTC (s)	End UTC (s)	Comments
CONTRAST	16	3786	3943	Problem with RHi measurements
CONTRAST	16	3947	4295	Problem with RHi measurements
CONTRAST	16	4309	9815	Problem with RHi measurements
CONTRAST	16	10794	11250	Problem with RHi measurements
HIPPO-2	1	75892	75904	Problem with RHi measurements
HIPPO-2	1	75913	75914	Problem with RHi measurements
HIPPO-2	7	77327	77336	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	7	77338	77343	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	7	77319	77319	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	7	77358	77370	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	10	82600	82600	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	10	82603	82603	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-2	10	82604	82604	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-4	6	94493	94493	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-4	6	94509	94509	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-4	6	94519	94519	Problem with cloud probe image
HIPPO-4	6	94717	94717	Problem with cloud probe image
ORCAS	18	88386	88386	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	11	60744	60744	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	23	54390	54391	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	23	54393	54393	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	24	54476	54476	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	24	44094	44094	Problem with cloud probe image
PREDICT	24	44098	44098	Problem with cloud probe image
START08	6	78477	78551	Problem with RHi measurements
START08	6	85333	85412	Problem with RHi measurements
TORERO	14	77946	77946	Problem with cloud probe image
TORERO	14	77951	77951	Problem with cloud probe image

**Table S3.** Linear regression intercept and slope, a and b, with their associated errors for Figures 10 and 11, i.e., the comparisons between observations from NSF campaigns and CAM6 simulations. The ordinary R-squared value and the p-value are also shown.

									1-Hz (	Observat	ions									
			dlog10(	IWC)					dlog	10 <b>(Ni)</b>										
	Phases	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	а	$\sigma_{a}$	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	
dlog10(Na100)	2	-0.745	0.116	0.331	0.125	0.1901	0.0126	-0.531	0.072	0.255	0.077	0.2679	0.0024	-0.034	0.012	-0.003	0.012	0.8276	0.0016	
	3	-0.235	0.087	0.084	0.074	0.0323	0.2605	-0.154	0.051	0.012	0.043	0.0020	0.7805	-0.008	0.017	0.021	0.014	0.0560	0.1363	
	4	0.722	0.068	0.405	0.052	0.5892	7.645e-10	0.468	0.039	0.231	0.029	0.5895	7.547e-10	0.047	0.009	0.036	0.007	0.3825	5.958e-06	
	5	-0.757	0.077	0.443	0.074	0.5234	9.093e-07	-0.498	0.054	0.364	0.052	0.5974	5.291e-08	-0.059	0.009	0.010	0.009	0.0405	0.2464	
dlog10(Na500)	2	-0.846	0.132	1.200	0.241	0.6072	1.380e-04	-0.526	0.087	0.976	0.159	0.7014	1.447e-05	-0.065	0.019	0.070	0.035	0.2005	0.0624	
	3	-0.309	0.109	0.479	0.132	0.3462	0.0012	-0.260	0.052	-0.019	0.064	0.0036	0.7668	0.017	0.020	0.164	0.024	0.6442	4.738e-07	
	4	0.438	0.072	0.431	0.065	0.5752	2.030e-07	0.281	0.039	0.162	0.036	0.3908	7.726e-05	0.028	0.007	0.068	0.007	0.7677	1.136e-11	
	5	-1.243	0.150	-0.116	0.243	0.0124	0.6397	-0.744	0.115	-0.089	0.187	0.0125	0.6385	-0.107	0.018	-0.003	0.030	7.764e-04	0.9072	
	430-s Observations																			
			dlog10(	IWC)					dlo	g10(Ni)					dlog10	o(Di)				
	Phases	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	
dlog10(Na100)	2	-0.201	0.121	0.114	0.111	0.0290	0.3139	-0.093	0.086	-0.025	0.079	0.0029	0.7531	-0.009	0.016	0.036	0.015	0.1509	0.0175	
	3	0.769	0.075	-0.087	0.063	0.0459	0.1787	0.736	0.052	-0.091	0.044	0.1002	0.0438	0.00006	0.008	-0.014	0.006	0.1059	0.0379	
	4	1.546	0.046	0.286	0.035	0.6088	2.639e-10	1.198	0.027	0.198	0.020	0.6876	1.983e-12	0.059	0.008	-0.006	0.006	0.0215	0.3361	
	5	0.284	0.061	0.053	0.057	0.0248	0.3519	0.356	0.043	0.031	0.040	0.0167	0.4454	-0.035	0.006	-0.009	0.006	0.0609	0.1408	
dlog10(Na500)	2	-0.401	0.204	0.018	0.190	2.775e-04	0.9255	-0.330	0.150	-0.033	0.140	0.0018	0.8141	0.017	0.022	0.003	0.020	7.778e-04	0.8756	
	3	0.524	0.090	0.339	0.074	0.3641	4.777e-05	0.487	0.058	0.194	0.048	0.3099	0.0002	0.010	0.011	0.032	0.009	0.2467	0.0013	
	4	1.344	0.077	0.280	0.062	0.3587	5.626e-05	1.098	0.048	0.181	0.038	0.3771	3.209e-05	0.032	0.008	0.010	0.007	0.0596	0.1341	
	5	0.332	0.079	0.604	0.067	0.6969	1.346e-10	0.370	0.061	0.386	0.052	0.6150	9.353e-09	-0.028	0.014	0.040	0.012	0.2518	0.0016	
									CAM6	Simulat	ions									
			dlog10(	IWC)					dlog	(10 <b>(Ni)</b>		dlog10(Di)								
	Phases	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	
dlog10(Na100)	2	-0.147	0.069	-0.148	0.145	0.0347	0.3159	-0.122	0.087	-0.136	0.183	0.0186	0.4645	-0.006	0.013	0.007	0.028	0.0019	0.8136	
	3	0.141	0.054	0.023	0.105	0.0015	0.8289	0.179	0.062	0.061	0.121	0.0079	0.6181	-0.018	0.010	-0.016	0.020	0.0195	0.4309	
	4	0.301	0.071	-0.232	0.108	0.1038	0.0374	0.425	0.064	0.010	0.097	2.585e-04	0.9195	-0.046	0.006	-0.052	0.009	0.4358	1.969e-06	
	5	0.071	0.057	-0.267	0.110	0.1596	0.0212	0.076	0.058	-0.292	0.112	0.1791	0.0141	-0.006	0.011	0.016	0.022	0.0174	0.4644	
dlog10(Na500)	2	-0.132	0.057	-0.170	0.091	0.1547	0.0777	-0.134	0.059	-0.248	0.095	0.2638	0.0172	0.008	0.010	0.032	0.016	0.1753	0.0589	
	3	0.079	0.073	-0.105	0.075	0.0713	0.1696	0.120	0.059	-0.089	0.060	0.0777	0.1510	-0.013	0.009	0.005	0.009	0.0129	0.5655	
	4	0.335	0.071	0.071	0.069	0.0353	0.3118	0.474	0.069	0.159	0.067	0.1612	0.0252	-0.049	0.007	-0.024	0.007	0.2812	0.0021	
	5	0.178	0.067	-0.093	0.071	0.0640	0.2030	0.230	0.057	-0.081	0.061	0.0656	0.1974	-0.019	0.011	0.005	0.011	0.0065	0.6897	

**Table S4.** Linear regression intercept and slope, a and b, with their associated errors for Figures 12 and 13, i.e., the comparisons between observations from NASA campaigns and CAM6 simulations. The ordinary R-squared value and the p-value are also shown.

									1-Hz (	Observat	ions										
		dlog10(IWC) dlog10(Ni)											dlog <sub>10</sub> (Di)								
	Phases	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	$\mathbf{R}^2$	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value		
	2	-1.618	0.288	0.915	0.442	0.1924	0.0530	-0.895	0.315	0.604	0.485	0.0793	0.2291	-0.130	0.059	0.166	0.091	0.1549	0.0860		
dlog10(Na100)	3	-0.547	0.071	1.467	0.074	0.9265	3.935e-19	-0.404	0.083	1.241	0.087	0.8689	3.188e-15	-0.046	0.027	-0.043	0.028	0.0715	0.1324		
alogic(1 (aloo)	4	0.624	0.065	0.444	0.060	0.6056	8.914e-09	0.410	0.051	0.490	0.046	0.7553	1.491e-12	0.019	0.010	-0.083	0.009	0.7087	3.550e-11		
	5	-1.355	0.065	0.669	0.087	0.7220	7.725e-08	-0.664	0.052	0.257	0.069	0.3750	1.140e-03	-0.232	0.022	0.122	0.030	0.4245	0.0004		
	2	-1.746	0.498	2.793	0.715	0.7175	0.0079	-1.261	0.494	2.844	0.709	0.7281	0.0071	-0.099	0.062	0.044	0.088	0.0395	0.6372		
dlog10(Na500)	3	-0.362	0.221	1.571	0.306	0.6221	0.0001	-0.406	0.215	1.795	0.298	0.6939	1.776e-05	-0.041	0.040	0.254	0.056	0.5631	0.0003		
and a second	4	0.243	0.118	0.548	0.138	0.4660	0.0009	0.167	0.065	0.552	0.077	0.7410	1.112e-06	0.069	0.046	0.144	0.055	0.2786	0.0167		
	5	-2.188	0.301	-0.749	0.570	0.1259	0.2132	-0.974	0.093	-0.679	0.176	0.5531	2.293e-03	-0.407	0.117	0.277	0.222	0.1151	0.2354		
	436-s Observations																				
			dlog10(	IWC)					dlog	g10(Ni)					dlog1	(Di)					
	Phases	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	$\sigma_{a}$	b	$\sigma_{b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value		
	2	-0.075	0.455	-0.980	0.876	0.0877	0.2839	-0.230	0.384	-0.534	0.740	0.0385	0.4832	0.066	0.053	-0.115	0.102	0.0900	0.2773		
dlog10(Na100)	3	0.669	0.182	1.640	0.267	0.6416	4.392e-06	0.455	0.136	1.308	0.200	0.6708	1.763e-06	0.064	0.032	0.009	0.047	0.0016	0.8574		
give and	4	1.719	0.103	0.771	0.143	0.5584	1.770e-05	1.152	0.057	0.782	0.078	0.8125	7.872e-10	0.115	0.021	-0.040	0.030	0.0751	0.1849		
	5	0.760	0.185	1.351	0.305	0.5089	0.0003	0.755	0.129	0.945	0.213	0.5088	0.0003	-0.075	0.042	0.156	0.069	0.2119	0.0357		
	2	-0.408	0.532	-0.305	1.044	0.0071	0.7748	-0.576	0.436	-0.020	0.857	0.0000	0.9819	0.122	0.055	0.160	0.108	0.1536	0.1658		
dlog10(Na500)	3	0.790	0.217	0.892	0.261	0.3101	0.0021	0.587	0.127	0.969	0.153	0.6079	1.007e-06	0.063	0.046	-0.014	0.055	0.0025	0.8013		
	4	1.422	0.097	0.591	0.113	0.4954	1.428e-05	0.946	0.064	0.420	0.074	0.5346	4.450e-06	0.146	0.023	0.204	0.027	0.6766	2.452e-08		
	5	0.575	0.213	0.136	0.256	0.0107	0.5997	0.655	0.117	0.348	0.140	0.1913	0.0199	-0.058	0.065	0.044	0.078	0.0122	0.5754		
									CAM6	Simulat	ions										
			dlog10(	IWC)					dlog	(10(Ni)					dlog1	o(Di)					
	Phases	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	σ	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value		
	2	0.158	0.183	1.544	0.450	0.4749	0.0045	-0.178	0.183	2.140	0.451	0.6339	0.0004	0.129	0.074	-0.230	0.181	0.1100	0.2272		
dlog10(Na100)	3	-0.141	0.137	0.752	0.386	0.1823	0.0683	-0.278	0.180	1.579	0.508	0.3625	0.0064	0.046	0.045	-0.316	0.128	0.2650	0.0241		
	4	0.112	0.126	1.002	0.362	0.2877	0.0122	0.157	0.186	1.799	0.535	0.3731	0.0033	-0.025	0.044	-0.306	0.127	0.2333	0.0265		
	5	0.094	0.234	-0.455	1.014	0.0180	0.6623	-0.214	0.207	0.793	0.895	0.0666	0.3946	0.105	0.070	-0.414	0.305	0.1435	0.2019		
	2	0.097	0.177	1.062	0.297	0.5859	0.0060	-0.181	0.266	1.562	0.447	0.5758	0.0068	0.114	0.092	-0.180	0.155	0.1305	0.2749		
dlog10(Na500)	3	-0.212	0.144	0.524	0.274	0.2495	0.0822	-0.190	0.245	1.209	0.466	0.3800	0.0248	-0.003	0.068	-0.261	0.129	0.2709	0.0682		
	4	-0.210	0.190	0.256	0.379	0.0396	0.5143	-0.261	0.228	1.013	0.455	0.3104	0.0479	0.027	0.064	-0.265	0.128	0.2819	0.0619		
	5	-0.008	0.206	0.071	0.597	0.0018	0.9084	-0.091	0.263	0.409	0.763	0.0348	0.6061	0.028	0.069	-0.108	0.202	0.0344	0.6082		

**Table S5.** Linear regression intercept and slope, a and b, with their associated errors for Figure 15 using the evolution phases 2, 3, and 4. The ordinary R-squared value and the p-value are also shown.

								1-Hz (	Observa	ations											
				dlog	10(IWC	5)				dlo	g10(Ni)			dlog <sub>10</sub> (Di)							
	Hemisphere	a	$\sigma_{a}$	b	$\sigma_{b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value		
JI10(N-100)	Northern	0.433	0.084	0.500	0.063	0.5909	6.985e-10	0.287	0.046	0.282	0.035	0.6015	3.944e-10	0.028	0.010	0.046	0.008	0.4596	3.116e-07		
diog10(1\a100)	Southern	0.106	0.063	0.622	0.050	0.8025	5.869e-15	-0.053	0.033	0.450	0.027	0.8825	2.922e-19	0.061	0.009	0.011	0.007	0.0687	0.1023		
	Northern	0.098	0.052	0.542	0.049	0.7920	1.914e-12	0.047	0.034	0.207	0.032	0.5656	2.931e-07	0.015	0.009	0.093	0.009	0.7805	4.566e-12		
dlog10(Na500)	Southern	-0.006	0.072	0.839	0.064	0.8627	3.716e-13	-0.005	0.054	0.455	0.048	0.7699	4.171e-10	0.011	0.011	0.072	0.010	0.6494	1.326e-07		
430-s Observations																					
				dlo	g10(Ni)			dlog <sub>10</sub> (Di)													
	Hemisphere	а	$\sigma_{a}$	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	p-value	а	σ	b	$\sigma_{\rm b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value		
	Northern	1.093	0.078	0.297	0.066	0.3421	5.924e-05	0.914	0.042	0.191	0.035	0.4298	3.281e-06	0.026	0.010	0.0005	0.008	8.308e-05	0.9549		
diog10(1va100)	Southern	1.084	0.124	0.265	0.095	0.1587	0.0081	0.872	0.100	0.070	0.076	0.0202	0.3631	0.047	0.007	0.034	0.005	0.4903	1.727e-07		
H10(N-500)	Northern	0.891	0.086	0.365	0.068	0.4301	4.361e-06	0.758	0.060	0.240	0.048	0.3985	1.256e-05	0.012	0.007	0.016	0.006	0.1550	0.0119		
dlog10(Na500)	Southern	0.361	0.162	0.331	0.134	0.1596	0.0192	0.273	0.129	0.214	0.107	0.1113	0.0539	0.044	0.013	0.040	0.011	0.2975	0.0008		
								CAM6	5 Simul	ations											
				dlog	10(IWC	5)				dlo	g10(Ni)					d	log10(D	i)			
	Hemisphere	a	σ	b	σ <sub>b</sub>	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	а	σ	b	σ <sub>b</sub>	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value	a	σ	b	$\sigma_{b}$	R <sup>2</sup>	p-value		
	Northern	0.219	0.055	-0.085	0.093	0.0220	0.3673	0.322	0.059	0.009	0.100	0.0002	0.9312	-0.038	0.007	-0.022	0.013	0.0749	0.0918		
dlog10(Na100)	Southern	0.091	0.099	-0.516	0.158	0.2620	0.0028	0.137	0.079	-0.177	0.126	0.0611	0.1726	-0.012	0.013	-0.059	0.021	0.2039	0.0095		
	Northern	0.251	0.064	0.187	0.072	0.2072	0.0149	0.398	0.069	0.189	0.077	0.1862	0.0219	-0.047	0.008	-0.010	0.009	0.0404	0.3048		
dlog10(Na500)	Southern	0.188	0.074	-0.318	0.077	0.4135	0.0004	0.222	0.056	-0.112	0.058	0.1352	0.0646	-0.014	0.010	-0.031	0.011	0.2548	0.0085		



**Figure S1**. An example illustrating the similarities and differences between this study and Diao et al. (2013). A segment of evolution phase 3 is defined for the intersection of ISSR and ICR. This phase definition is the same between the two studies. The only difference is that Diao et al. (2013) analyzed the average condition of this segment, while this study analyzes each

60 second within this segment, all labelled as phase 3, except for Figure 4 which uses the segment-average RHi.



**Figure S2.** Similar to Figure 7, the geometric means of log<sub>10</sub>(IWC), log<sub>10</sub>(Ni), and Di plotted against temperature for the incloud evolution phases using the 1-Hz observational data, the 430-s averaged data and the model data for the two NASA campaigns in columns 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The number of samples is shown in the last row.



**Figure S3.** Linear regressions of IWC versus dlog<sub>10</sub>(Na<sub>100</sub>) plotted by individual campaigns for (a, c) 1-Hz observations and (b, d) model simulations. (e-h) Number of samples for a-d, respectively. Panels a, b, e and f show the evolution phases of 2, 3, or 4, while panels c, d, g and h show evolution phase 5.



70 Figure S4. Similar to Figure S3, except for linear regressions of IWC versus dlog10(Na500).



**Figure S5.** Averages of log<sub>10</sub>(Na<sub>100</sub>) and log<sub>10</sub>(Na<sub>500</sub>) in each 2-degree temperature bin for evolution phases 2 to 5 using 1-s observations, 430-s observations, and the simulations in column 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The number of samples is shown in the last two rows for log<sub>10</sub>(Na<sub>100</sub>) and log<sub>10</sub>(Na<sub>500</sub>). The comparisons are against the NSF dataset.



Figure S6. Similar to Figure S5, but for comparisons against two NASA campaigns (i.e., DC3 and SEAC4RS).



**Figure S7.** Number of in-cloud samples for Figure 14, showing (a-c) evolution phase 2+3+4 and (d-f) phase 5.



**Figure S8.** Number of samples for Figure 15, showing 1-s observations, 430-s observations, and model simulations in column 1, 2 and 3, respectively.



Figure S9. Same as Figure 16 except for comparing model simulations with two NASA campaigns.



Figure S10. Same as Figure 17 except for comparing model simulations with two NASA campaigns.