Distribution of hydrogen peroxide over Europe
during the BLUESKY aircraft campaign
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Abstract. In this work we present airborne in situ trace gas observations of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and the sum of organic hydroperoxides over Europe during the Chemistry of the Atmosphere – Field Experiments in Europe (CAFE-EU, also known as BLUESKY) aircraft campaign using a wet chemical monitoring system, the HYdrogen Peroxide and Higher Organic Peroxide (HYPHOP) monitor. The campaign took place in May–June 2020 over central and southern Europe with two additional flights dedicated to the North Atlantic flight corridor. Airborne measurements were performed on the High Altitude and LOng-range (HALO) research operating out of Oberpfaffenhofen (southern Germany). We report average mixing ratios for H$_2$O$_2$ of 0.32 ± 0.25, 0.39 ± 0.23 and 0.38 ± 0.21 ppbv in the upper and middle troposphere and the boundary layer over Europe, respectively. Vertical profiles of measured H$_2$O$_2$ reveal a significant decrease, in particular above the boundary layer, contrary to previous observations, most likely due to cloud scavenging and subsequent rainout of soluble species. In general, the expected inverted C-shaped vertical trend with maximum hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios at 3–7 km was not found during BLUESKY. This deviates from observations during previous airborne studies over Europe, i.e., 1.64 ± 0.83 ppbv during the HOOVER campaign and 1.67 ± 0.97 ppbv during UTOPIHAN-ACT II/III. Simulations with the global chemistry–transport model EMAC partly reproduce the strong effect of rainout loss on the vertical profile of H$_2$O$_2$. A sensitivity study without H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging performed using EMAC confirms the strong influence of clouds and precipitation scavenging on hydrogen peroxide concentrations. Differences between model simulations and observations are most likely due to difficulties in the simulation of wet scavenging processes due to the limited model resolution.

1 Introduction

Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and related organic hydroperoxide (ROOH) species have been investigated as atmospheric trace gases for many decades, and in the 1970s hydrogen peroxide was identified as a key agent in the acidification of clouds and rain through its oxidation of sulfur dioxide into sulfuric acid (Hoffmann and Edwards, 1975; Penkett et al., 1979; Robbin Martin and Damschen, 1981; Kunen et al., 1983; McArdle and Hoffmann, 1983; Calvert et al., 1985). Related studies confirmed the role of H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidizing agent in clouds where it accelerates the conversion of NO$_2$ to HNO$_3$ (Damschen and Martin, 1983; Lee and Lind, 1986). Efforts have also been made to characterize and analyze the amount and the chemical pathways of hydrogen peroxide in clouds (Kelly et al., 1985; Olszyna et al., 1988; Sakugawa et al., 1979).
al., 1990, 1993). Furthermore, gas-phase hydroperoxides are a reservoir of hydrogen oxide and hydrogen peroxide radicals (HO$_x$), which are well known for their contribution to the self-cleaning properties of the atmosphere (Levy, 1971; Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1990; Crutzen et al., 1999).

The main source of gaseous hydrogen peroxide is the self-reaction of HO$_2$ radicals derived from the oxidation of carbon monoxide (CO) and other trace gases by OH radicals, which are formed during photolysis of ozone and the subsequent reaction of the formed O+D with water vapor (Crutzen, 1973; Logan et al., 1981; Kleinman, 1986; Lightfoot et al., 1992; Reeves and Penkett, 2003). The formation of the most prominent organic hydroperoxide, methyl hydroperoxide (MHP), results from the reaction of HO$_2$ with the methyl peroxy radical (CH$_3$OO) derived from methane oxidation by OH (Levy, 1971).

The formation of gaseous hydroperoxides strongly depends on the chemical composition of the troposphere as well as on meteorological conditions. Thus, mixing ratios of H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_3$OOH are primarily controlled by the mixing ratios of O$_3$, H$_2$O, CO, CH$_4$ and NO$_x$ (NO + NO$_2$ = NO$_x$) as well as by UV radiation. Hydroperoxide levels depend to a large extent on available peroxy radicals and therefore on O$_3$ and NO$_x$ species as the key promoters and suppressors of hydroperoxide synthesis, since peroxy radicals generally react faster with NO than they recombine. Consequently, the budget of available peroxides is influenced by the levels of ambient NO$_x$ (Campbell et al., 1979; Jaeglé et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2000). The amount of available H$_2$O$_2$ in the troposphere further depends on the presence of water vapor.

With increasing altitudes and latitudes, the concentration of water vapor becomes the most prominent limiting factor for precursor production. With increasing altitude, the concentration of water vapor decreases, while photolytic activity simultaneously increases, and the role of hydroperoxides as a source of HO$_x$ becomes more prominent, leading to a decrease in hydrogen peroxide (Heikes et al., 1996b; Jaeglé et al., 1997; Faloona et al., 2000; Jaeglé et al., 2000). With increasing latitude, the zenith angle decreases, resulting in reduced UV radiation, while the amount of water is also reduced. Therefore, the availability of hydroperoxide precursors and consequently of hydroperoxides decreases towards the poles (Jacob and Klockow, 1992; Perros, 1993; Slemr and Tremmel, 1994; Snow, 2003; Snow et al., 2007).

Due to the strong sensitivity of hydrogen peroxide to deposition processes, its high solubility and pronounced mixing within the boundary layer, levels of H$_2$O$_2$ are limited at low altitudes where dry deposition and rainout remove the species from the troposphere. Consequently, the maximum mixing ratio can be expected above the boundary layer at 2–5 km, resulting in a characteristic inverted C-shaped vertical profile with increasing altitude (Hall and Claiborn, 1997; Hall et al., 1999).

An analogous but less pronounced vertical profile, due to lower sensitivity towards deposition processes, is expected for organic hydroperoxides (Palenik et al., 1987; Weinstein-Lloyd et al., 1998; Snow, 2003; Snow et al., 2007).

Clouds play a significant role in the budget of hydroperoxides in the atmosphere. Cloud uptake and subsequent rainout of hydroperoxides in the aqueous phase have a considerable impact on the distribution of hydrogen peroxide. H$_2$O$_2$ is taken up by water droplets, dissociated and partially consumed by aqueous-phase reactions within clouds (Sakugawa et al., 1990). Previous studies have reported that despite the low volume fraction of clouds in the troposphere, levels of hydroperoxides and their precursors are decreased by clouds, leading to reduced oxidation processes and therefore diminished self-cleaning efficiency of the atmosphere. Moreover, cloud-mediated upward transport processes as well as precipitation-induced downward transport of soluble trace gases and particulate matter play key roles in the vertical distribution of many species (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1994). Additionally, scattering, reflection and diffusion of solar radiation, which take place within, above and below clouds, lead to modification of photolysis rates. Therefore, changes in soluble species as well as influences on the chemical processes and the tropospheric redistribution caused by clouds have to be considered (Madronich, 1987; Eddy et al., 1996). Finally, the effective separation of soluble and insoluble gases and the consecutive perturbation of the gas-phase chemistry balance have a great impact on the budget of the species (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1991).

The amount and fate of H$_2$O$_2$ in the dynamic multi-phase cloud system are determined by the distribution of its precursors as well as by the partitioning of H$_2$O$_2$ between gas and liquid phases. Here, the balance between H$_2$O$_2$ and HO$_x$ (OH + HO$_2$ = HO$_x$) in both cloud phases is determined by the Henry coefficient and the presence of other interacting species (Brimblecombe and Dawson, 1984; Warneck, 1991, 1994). Generally, gas-phase production of OH is suppressed within clouds due to a significant pH-dependent uptake of HO$_2$ into the aqueous cloud phase. Further, due to its high Henry’s law constant, a critical amount of hydrogen peroxide itself is transferred into the aqueous phase as well. On the other hand, the aqueous phase of clouds can be an efficient source of these species as a result of cloud evaporation, droplet elevation and freezing. Earlier studies report mixing ratios of hydrogen peroxide in the gaseous cloud phase between 0.1 and 0.2 ppbv and concentrations of 10$^{-7}$–10$^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ in the aqueous phase (Zuo and Hoigné, 1993). The budget of hydrogen peroxide within clouds further depends on conditions such as solar radiation, temperature, concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and the liquid water content that impact the mixing ratio of the trace gas. Enhanced levels of NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ have a negative effect on the total hydrogen peroxide concentration (Kelly et al., 1985; Sakugawa et al., 1990). The cloud scavenging effect on MHP has to be considered as well. Despite the relatively low uptake of the species and its direct precursor CH$_3$OO by cloud droplets, the production of MHP is reduced as a result...
of the reduced availability of OH. Thus, overall clouds lead to a loss of MHP, but to a far lesser extent than for hydrogen peroxide. Numerous reactions within the aqueous phase of clouds have to be distinguished from comparable processes in the gas phase. Here, a variety of reaction paths depending on cloud water pH and the presence of transition metals as well as related ionic species (especially in continental clouds) derived from anthropogenic and mineral sources have to be considered (Kormann et al., 1988; Zuo and Hoigne, 1992; Anastasio et al., 1994; Zuo and Deng, 1997).

The following sections (Sects. 2 and 3) introduce the BLUESKY project and give a brief description of the experimental and modeling techniques as well as the measurement framework. In Sect. 4 we present the results and discuss the measurements in comparison with simulated data and with former campaigns and examine hydrogen peroxide uptake and release processes in clouds based on a case study over Frankfurt airport. Here we will show that although the BLUESKY campaign was performed under lockdown conditions, we find that reduced H$_2$O$_2$ mixing ratios in comparison to the HOOVER and UTOPIHAN-ACT campaigns as well as the EMAC simulations are not explained by chemical but rather by meteorological conditions. This study gives a general overview of the distribution of the species in mostly clouded environments. Hence, the presented work highlights the impact of cloud scavenging and rainout processes on the budget of the species in the troposphere.

2 BLUESKY campaign description

The purpose of the airborne BLUESKY campaign was to investigate how reduced emissions from anthropogenic sources due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the related shutdown impacted the chemistry and physics of the atmosphere over Europe. To this end, the campaign obtained an overview of the distribution of a large suite of trace gases and aerosols. The decrease in air pollution and aircraft emissions provided a unique opportunity for analysis of the resulting changes in the atmosphere. The reduced pollution levels gave rise to anomalous blue skies, hence the name “BLUESKY” (Voigt et al., 2022). The project was carried out in May and June 2020 covering an area from the Mediterranean region in southern Europe (approximately 35° N) up to the North Atlantic flight corridor (approximately 60° N). During the measurement period, eight measurement flights were carried out with the German High Altitude and LOng-range research aircraft (HALO). The entirety of the flight tracks of HALO during the campaign color-coded by flight altitude is presented in Fig. 1. Flights over the North Atlantic flight corridor were not included in this study, since they were performed entirely in the lower stratosphere.

The measurement flights ranged in altitude from a few tens of meters above the earth’s surface to approximately 14 km, i.e., reaching beyond the tropopause into the lower stratosphere. Individual flights were performed between 07:00 and 17:00 UTC on eight different days, with at least one maintenance day between flights. Vertical profiles were generally obtained during flybys near main European airports and urban areas in order to sample air from emission sources from the earth’s surface up to the tropopause region. The flights were performed from the flight base of the German Aerospace Center (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt), DLR, in Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany.

The Monthly Bulletin on the Climate in WMO Region IV (Europe and the Middle East) for the months of May and June 2020 published by DWD (Deutscher Wetterdienst) indicates a regional monthly averaged cloud coverage span of 37.5 %–75 %. The total precipitation in May and June varied locally between 100–200 mm per month and 100–150 mm per month, respectively, which amounts to 80 %–125 % of the values relative to the reference period from 1981 until 2010. The average air temperature at 2 m above the surface was approximately 2 °C higher than during the reference period of 1981–2010. An overview of the average meteorological conditions based on ERA5 reanalysis data generated by the Copernicus Climate Change Service (Hersbach et al., 2019) is presented in the Supplement (Fig. S1). Additionally, meteorological conditions for single flight days, which show cloud cover fractions of approximately 60 % and higher, with many rain events along the flight tracks at altitudes of 2–7 km, are also presented in the Supplement (Fig. S2).

3 Methods

3.1 Hydrogen peroxide measurement

Hydrogen peroxide and the sum of organic hydroperoxides were measured using a wet chemical monitoring system, the HYdrogen Peroxide and Higher Organic Peroxide monitor (HYPHOP; Stickler et al., 2006; Klippel et al., 2011;
Bozem et al., 2017; Hottmann et al., 2020), based on the work of Lazrus et al. (1985, 1986). Ambient air was probed via 1/4 in. PFA tubing installed in a stainless-steel inlet setup (TGI; trace gas inlet). From the inlet, the peroxide species were sampled via a bypass. In order to avoid any pressure and therefore airflow inconsistencies a constant pressure inlet (CPI) setup was used, which consists of a Teflon-coated membrane pump (type MD 1C; Vacuubrand, Wertheim, Germany) and a pressure control unit that adjusts the pump speed to a line pressure of 1000 hPa. The sampling efficiency of the inlet for H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was determined to be 0.52. After passing through the CPI, ambient air enters the instrument and passes through a sampling coil with a buffered sampling solution (potassium hydrogen phthalate–NaOH; pH 6) with a stripping efficiency of 1 for hydrogen peroxide and 0.6 for MHP (Lee et al., 2000). The resulting peroxide solution was separated into two channels, to which \(\rho\)-hydroxyphenyl acetic acid (POPHA) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) were added. The stoichiometric reaction yields the chemiluminescent compound 6,6′-dihydroxy-3,3′-biphenyldiacyclic acid, which is measured by means of fluorescence spectroscopy with a Cd pen ray lamp at 326 nm. The resulting hydroperoxide-specific fluorescence (Guilbault et al., 1968) at 400–420 nm was detected using a photomultiplier tube for each channel. In order to specifically measure hydrogen peroxide, this species was selectively destroyed by catalase in one channel (channel B). H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} can then be calculated as the difference between the sum of all hydroperoxides (channel A) and the entirety of the remaining organic hydroperoxides (channel B). This measurement technique does not provide mixing ratios for individual organic hydroperoxides. Previous studies indicate that methyl hydroperoxide is the most prominent free-tropospheric component of organic hydroperoxides (90 %–100 %; Heikes et al., 1996a; Jackson and Hewitt, 1996; Walker et al., 2006; Hua et al., 2008). For this study we assumed that MHP is the sole component of organic hydroperoxides that passes the inlet and is unaffected by any further losses, and we scaled the signal of channel B with the sampling efficiency for MHP based on the stripping efficiency by a precooled buffered sampling solution at a flow rate of 0.000508 L min\(^{-1}\) in accordance with previously reported sampling efficiencies. Thus, ROOH used in this paper is an upper limit for the actual MHP in the free troposphere. In particular, in the boundary layer other organic hydroperoxide species are expected to contribute to the signal in channel B. Based on previous studies, HMHP (hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide) and extent PAA (peroxyacetic acid) and EHP (ethyl hydroperoxide) significantly contribute to the total organic hydroperoxide mixing ratios at low altitudes (Fels and Junkermann, 1994; Slemr and Tremmel, 1994; Valverde-Canossa et al., 2005; Hua et al., 2008).

The catalase efficiency for the destruction of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in channel B was determined via liquid calibration of the instrument at 0.95–0.98. For the simultaneous liquid calibration of both channels, an H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} standard (0.98 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\)) produced in a serial dilution from a stock solution was used. In order to estimate the sampling efficiency, a calibration gas was analyzed every second day during the field campaign. The calibration gas was created by an LDPE (low-density polyethylene) permeation source filled with 30 % hydrogen peroxide embedded in a temperature-controlled oven at 35 \(\degree\)C and flushed with synthetic air at a rate of 60 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm). The defined amount of hydrogen peroxide gas was diluted with approximately 2300 sccm purified ambient air. The sampling efficiency was calculated based on the difference between the measured hydrogen peroxide levels with and without the CPI implemented into the calibration gas flow. The permeation gas can be calibrated by bubbling the gas through a water-filled flask followed by photometric examination via UV spectroscopy using the TiCl\(_4\) method described by Plz and Johann (1974). The in-flight background measurements were performed using peroxide-free air generated by a cartridge filled with hopcalite (type IAC-330) and silica gel (type IAC-502; Infiltec, Speyer, Germany).

To account for the sensitivity of hydrogen peroxide to metal ions in the Fenton reaction (Graedel et al., 1986; Zepp et al., 1992; Weinstein-Lloyd et al., 1998) as well as to sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}), ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) and formaldehyde (HCHO) were added to the stripping solution. Further, the data were corrected for existing positive ozone interference by subtraction of 0.016 ppbv H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} / 100 ppbv O\textsubscript{3}. The interference was derived by plotting hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios vs. ozone mixing ratios in the lower stratosphere, assuming that ambient H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is close to zero above the tropopause based on the decreased availability of water vapor for the H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} precursor production and simultaneously increased photolytic activity of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Due to instrumental issues caused by hopcalite contamination during the campaign, the uncertainty of the ozone interference was further extended by the hopcalite interference and estimated as 27 % at 0.16 ppbv hydrogen peroxide.

The total measurement uncertainty (TMU) of the instrument was calculated as

\[
TMU = \sqrt{\left(\frac{P}{2}\right)^2 + \left(US\right)^2 + \left(UIE\right)^2 + \left(UOI\right)^2}
\]  

and was derived by considering the instrument’s precision (\(P\)), uncertainty of the standard and of the inlet efficiency (US; UIE), and uncertainty of the ozone interference (UOI). The precision was determined as 0.3 % at 5.1 ppbv for hydrogen peroxide and 0.2 % at 5.4 ppbv for organic peroxides. The uncertainty of the standard was included in instrument precision calculations. The uncertainty of the inlet efficiency was calculated to be 5 %. The calculated total measurement uncertainty was therefore determined at 28 % for hydrogen peroxide and 40 % for the sum of organic peroxides. Moreover, the time resolution of the instrument was determined to be 2 min based on the signal rise time from 10 % to 90 %. Based on the average cruise speed of the research aircraft of
179 ± 51 m s⁻¹ the spatial resolution of the 2 min sample was estimated as 21.5 km. The detection limit, derived from 2σ uncertainty of 37 background measurements, was 0.035 ppb for hydrogen peroxide and 0.013 ppb for organic peroxides. For the purposes of this study, the obtained peroxide data were limited to measurements within the troposphere by removing all data points with ozone mixing ratios higher than 100 ppb.

3.2 Measurement of other species

The measurements of ozone were carried out with a chemiluminescence detector calibrated by a UV photometer of the Fast Airborne Ozone instrument, FAIRO (Zahn et al., 2012). Upward and downward spectral actinic flux density was recorded with two spectroradiometers (Bohn and Lohse, 2017). Water vapor mixing ratios and humidity measurements were obtained with the Sophisticated Hygrometer for Atmospheric ResearCh (SHARC) based on a tunable diode laser (TDL) setup (Krautstrunk and Giez, 2012). GPS data as well as temperature, pressure, wind speed and true air speed were obtained using the BAsic HALO Measurement And Sensor System, BAHAMAS. The list of campaign instrumentation as well as the complementary measurement method, TMU, and references regarding the use of each technique are given in Table 1.

3.3 ECHAM/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model

In this study, we used the global numerical 3-D model EMAC (ECHAM/MESSy for Atmospheric Chemistry, Jöckel et al., 2010), which numerically simulates the chemistry and dynamics of the troposphere and the stratosphere. EMAC incorporates a variety of submodels addressing chemical and meteorological processes and their interactions with marine, continental and anthropogenic environments (Jöckel et al., 2006). The basis atmospheric model is the 5th generation of the European Centre HAMburg general circulation model (ECHAM5; Roeckner et al., 2003, 2006). For standardized data exchange between submodels and the base model, the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy; Jöckel et al., 2005, 2006, 2016) was used. The Module for Efficiently Calculating the Chemistry of the Atmosphere (MECCA) submodel was used to simulate stratospheric and tropospheric gaseous and heterogeneous chemistry (Sander et al., 2005, 2011, 2019). For the simulation of aqueous-phase chemistry in clouds and wet scavenging processes the Scavenging of Tracers (SCAV; Tost et al., 2006) submodel was applied. Primary emissions as well as dry deposition of atmospheric trace gases and aerosols were simulated by submodels ON-LEM, OFFLEM, TNUDGE and DRYDEP (Kerkweg et al., 2006a, b). The simulations of anthropogenic emissions were based on CAMS-GLOB-ANTv4.2 (Granier et al., 2019), which uses emission data provided by the EDGArv4.3.3 inventory developed by the European Joint Research Centre (JRC; Crippa et al., 2018) and CEDS emissions (Hoesly et al., 2018). Emission reduction coefficients were additionally adapted to lockdown conditions in Europe based on the work of Guevara et al. (2021). A detailed description of the emission submodels as well as their modifications are presented in the work of Reifenberg et al. (2021). The horizontal resolution of the model in this study is T63 (i.e., roughly 1.8° × 1.8°) and the vertical resolution consists of 47 levels up to 0.01 hPa. The simulated data has a time resolution of 5 min. Importantly, for the purpose of comparison with the observations, the model results were interpolated along the GPS flight tracks with the S4D submodel (Jöckel et al., 2010).

4 Results

4.1 Distribution of hydrogen peroxide and comparison with previous observations over Europe

During the previous field campaigns UTOPIHAN-ACT (Upper Tropospheric Ozone: Processes Involving HO₃ And NOₓ; The Impact of Aviation and Convectively Transported Pollutants in the Tropopause Region) and HOOVER (HO3 Over EuRope), numerous measurement flights were performed in 2002–2004 and 2006–2007 over Europe (Colomb et al., 2006; Stickler et al., 2006; Klippel et al., 2011). The flight tracks during the two campaigns covered a similar latitudinal and altitudinal range. Thus, parts of both campaigns performed during spring and summer seasons within the latitudinal range 40–55° N can be compared with the outcomes of our measurements. The comparison described below is restricted to HOOVER II (July 2007) and UTOPIHAN-ACT II (March 2003) and III (July 2003) to ensure overlap with the late spring and early summer measurements presented here. The latitudinal distribution of hydrogen peroxide during the three campaigns is presented in Fig. 2 as a function of latitude for three altitude ranges within the troposphere (boundary layer – BL – from 0 < 2 km, middle troposphere – MT – from 2 < 6 km and upper troposphere – UT – from 6–14 km). The presented mean values of the datasets with 2 min resolution are binned into subsets of 2.5° of latitude for the entirety of each tropospheric layer. The datasets can be further studied by comparing the vertical profiles of all campaigns, as displayed in Fig. 3a. The mean values of the data are binned into subsets of 0.5 km of altitude. The medians and means (±1σ) of hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios calculated with 2 min resolution within the range 37.5–52.5° N for each campaign are listed in Table S1 (Supplement).

The observed distribution within the UT and the latitudinal range 37.5–52.5° N amount to a mean (median) mixing ratio of 0.28 ± 0.22 (0.24) ppb for BLUESKY, which is lower in comparison to the previously measured 0.67 ± 0.43 (0.56) ppb, during HOOVER II and 0.47 ± 0.36 (0.47) ppb, during UTOPIHAN-ACT. In both lower tropospheric lay-
Table 1. Overview of other observed species with corresponding measurement method, total measurement uncertainty (TMU) and references regarding the supplementary instrumentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>TMU</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence + UV absorption</td>
<td>2.5 %</td>
<td>Zahn et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinic flux density</td>
<td>Spectroradiometer</td>
<td>7%–8% (15% for j(H₂O₂))</td>
<td>Bohn and Lohse (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>TDLAS</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Krautstrunk and Giez (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Latitudinal dependence of hydrogen peroxide concentrations (mean ± 1σ) compared to former campaigns (red: BLUESKY; black: HOOVER II; blue: UTOPIHAN-ACT). The data with 2 min time resolution were subdivided into three atmospheric layers of the upper troposphere, middle troposphere and boundary layer (from top to bottom), with mean values binned for 2.5° of latitude for each tropospheric layer. The corresponding numbers indicate the total number of data points per bin.

ers (0–6 km) the hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios during BLUESKY differed significantly from those measured previously. The mean mixing ratios for hydrogen peroxide show further pronounced reductions with values up to 72% and 76% lower compared to HOOVER and UTOPIHAN-ACT for both lower tropospheric layers. Mixing ratios of $0.42 \pm 0.25$ (0.37) ppbv within the MT and $0.48 \pm 0.17$ (0.48) ppbv in the BL were determined. During previous campaigns much higher hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios of $1.49 \pm 0.71$ (1.33) ppbv during HOOVER and $1.74 \pm 0.97$ (1.80) ppbv during UTOPIHAN were measured at altitudes of 2–6 km. Also, the results within the boundary layer display a significant discrepancy with the previously measured $1.59 \pm 0.78$ (1.48) ppbv for HOOVER and $1.65 \pm 0.16$ (1.32) ppbv for UTOPIHAN. The observed mixing ratios are only in approximately 30% agreement with previous results.

A significant tendency towards lower mixing ratios for hydrogen peroxide during the BLUESKY project was observed, in particular at altitudes below approximately 7 km (Fig. 3a). The altitude profile does not agree very well with the described inverted C-shaped distribution trend in the literature (Klippel et al., 2011; Bozem et al., 2017). The most striking feature of the BLUESKY observations is the absence of a local maximum of H₂O₂ above the BL. Instead, the mixing ratio is rather constant in the 3–7 km range. We hypothesize that these differences between the campaigns predominately originate from differences in the meteorological rather than chemical conditions. We will show that the low observed mixing ratios of hydrogen peroxide during BLUESKY are most likely caused by enhanced wet scavenging processes due to a pronounced presence of clouds at altitudes of 3–7 km. An analysis of hourly cloud coverage at altitudes of 2–7 km based on ERA5 reanalysis generated using Copernicus Climate Change Service information (Hersbach et al., 2018; Fig. S2) for single flights (BLUESKY) shows high average values. Further, based on log book information for all campaigns, there was a pronounced presence of clouds during BLUESKY in comparison with previous airborne measurements. We have observed a high number of cloud and rain events along the flight tracks during the BLUESKY campaign compared to the mostly cloud-free measurement conditions during HOOVER and UTOPIHAN-ACT.
Generally, the presence of clouds has a marked impact on H$_2$O$_2$ but a much smaller effect on the majority of organic peroxides. ROOHs are less sensitive to wet deposition due to lower Henry’s law coefficients ($2.2 \times 10^4$ mol L$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$ at 298 K for MHP in contrast to $7.4 \times 10^4$ mol L$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$ at 298 K for H$_2$O$_2$). Therefore, the concentration ratio of both species can be an indicator of cloud presence (Heikes et al., 1996b; O’Sullivan et al., 1999; Snow, 2003; Snow et al., 2007; Klippel et al., 2011). The assumption of cloud processing via ratio comparison is derived from the fact that highly soluble species are transferred into the aqueous phase of clouds, wherein they are removed by reactions with other soluble species or by precipitation (Crutzen and Lawrence, 2000). Consequently, an increase in the ratio between ROOH and hydrogen peroxide of $\geq 1$ can ensue as a result of deposition processes within clouds. Please note that due to the characteristics of the measurement technique, which derives the estimated ROOH mixing ratio as its tropospheric upper limit (Sect. 3.1), the vertical trend of ROOH and therefore also the ROOH/H$_2$O$_2$ ratio are expressed as qualitative comparisons. Vertical profiles of ROOH measured during the BLUESKY and UTOPIHAN-ACT projects are comparable, while HOOVER II found higher values (Fig. 3b). However, the vertical trends of peroxides during HOOVER II can be assumed to be about equal, leading to an ROOH vs. H$_2$O$_2$ ratio of approximately 1. Thus, the two previous campaigns over Europe show corresponding trends with decreasing ROOH/H$_2$O$_2$ ratios above the boundary layer, where H$_2$O$_2$ mixing ratios are at their maxima (Fig. 3c). In contrast, increasing ratios of ROOH vs. hydrogen peroxide at altitudes of 3–7 km were observed during the BLUESKY campaign. These increases during the BLUESKY campaign can be attributed to the lower mixing ratio of H$_2$O$_2$ and are indicative of more pronounced cloud scavenging.

4.2 Comparison with the EMAC model

In order to test the hypothesis that hydrogen peroxide is depleted at altitudes of 3–7 km due to cloud scavenging, a comparison of in situ data with the output of the EMAC model was performed. The analysis of the EMAC and in situ results for different latitudinal distribution subdivided into three main tropospheric air layers is presented in the Supplement (Fig. S3). The comparison between the model results and observations shows generally good agreement for the UT as well as for the majority of data in the BL. Discrepancies here at low latitudes can be seen for Mediterranean areas strongly influenced by marine air masses (Barcelona at 41°24'N and Rome at 41°53'N), where the model tends to overestimate the mixing ratio of hydrogen peroxide by up to a factor of 3. This is most likely related to model resolution ($\sim 180$ km $\times$ 180 km), which makes it difficult to differentiate marine from continental air masses in coastal areas. The difference between observed and modeled data for both tropospheric regions is not significant and good agreement between the simulated and measured sources and sinks within the top and bottom tropospheric layers can be assumed (latitudinal distribution at 0–2 and 6–12 km; Fig. S3). In contrast, the model tends to overestimate H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in the 2–6 km range. As stated above, the observed mixing ratios are generally low at 3–7 km, most likely related to the high impact of deposition processes within clouds. An analysis of the impact of emissions from anthropogenic sources on modeled H$_2$O$_2$ data shows an average difference of approximately 2.5% between mixing ratios with and without...
out the lockdown emissions reductions within lower tropospheric layers (1–7 km; Fig. S4). Thus, emission reduction is not considered to be responsible for the strong deviation between the simulated and observed hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios (Reifenberg et al., 2021).

In order to investigate potential causes for the observed difference between observations and model simulations, we calculate the hydrogen peroxide budget based on photostationary steady-state conditions using model-simulated radical and photolysis rate data. In the free troposphere, the production rate \( P \) of hydrogen peroxide can be calculated from Eq. (2) and the photochemical loss rate \( L \) due to photolysis and reaction with \( \text{OH} \) from Eq. (3).

\[
P(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) = k_{\text{HO}_2+\text{HO}_2} \cdot [\text{HO}_2]^2 \tag{2}
\]

\[
L(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) = (k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2+\text{OH}} \cdot [\text{OH}] + j(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)) \cdot [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \tag{3}
\]

Neglecting deposition and transport processes impacting the hydrogen peroxide budget, the maximum concentration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) can be calculated as presented in Eq. (4).

\[
[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{PSS}} = \frac{[\text{HO}_2]^2 \cdot k_{\text{HO}_2+\text{HO}_2}}{[\text{OH}] \cdot k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2+\text{OH}} + j(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)} \tag{4}
\]

Vertical profiles of observed, simulated and calculated \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) under the assumption of photostationary state conditions are displayed in Fig. 4a. Additionally, a model sensitivity study in which the scavenging of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in clouds was omitted has been incorporated.

The comparison of all datasets shows that no significant hydrogen peroxide loss occurs within the cloud-free layers of the upper troposphere (above 10 km), where the resulting mixing ratios show a similar vertical trend (Fig. 4a). Both the sensitivity study and the PSS calculation further indicate that wet scavenging in clouds followed by rainout as the ultimate removal process forms a substantial sink for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), in particular in the middle and lower troposphere. Please note that this sink is not always associated with in-cloud conditions along the flight paths. Due to the photochemical lifetime of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), which is on the order of several days, local \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) mixing ratios will also depend on upwind cloud processing (Cohan et al., 1999; Hua et al., 2008). Although EMAC reproduces this cloud processing, the absolute mixing ratios are still overestimated, indicating a potential underestimation of the deposition rate in the model.

Based on \( [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{PSS}} \) the deposition loss rate constant was calculated by comparing to \( [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{Obs}} \) and \( [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{EMAC}} \),

\[
\{k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2+\text{OH}} \cdot [\text{OH}] + j(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) + k_{\text{SCAV}}\} \cdot [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = P(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) \tag{5}
\]

The total modeled loss rate constant due to deposition \( k_{\text{SCAV}} \) based on PSS conditions (Eqs. 2 and 3) shows an underestimation of 2.2 compared to the observationally derived constant. The vertical profile of the simulated deposition rates is in good agreement with the observations at low altitudes (below 2 km), where dry deposition plays a key role in the removal of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) species (Fig. 4b). At high altitudes (UT) EMAC loss rate results agree well with the observations. The majority of the loss processes take place within the MT (2–8 km). Here, EMAC underestimates the deposition impact most prominently, which corresponds to the discrepancies between observed and modeled hydroperoxide mixing ratios (Fig. 4a).

Since the loss of hydrogen peroxide in the atmosphere strongly depends on the presence of clouds, the temporal uptake and loss of the species within cloud droplets, and the permanent loss by rainout, it is important that the model correctly reproduces cloud coverage, liquid water content (LWC) and precipitation rates. In Fig. 5a, a histogram of the average total cloud coverage over all measurement days based on EMAC and ERA5 (containing modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information; Hersbach et al., 2018), respectively, indicates an underestimation of cloud coverage by EMAC in comparison with ERA5. The discrepancy is most pronounced over central Europe (47 to 55° N, 6 to 15° E) and smaller over the North Atlantic (approximately 30 to 40° N, −50 to −30° E; Fig. S5). The comparison of the average liquid water path (LWP) based on LWC of the measurement days shows a difference of approximately 2 % by EMAC in comparison to ERA5, which indicates a minor deficit in the simulated species uptake (Fig. S6). The main difference between EMAC and ERA5 arises from the comparison of the total precipitation. As shown in Fig. 5b, the model underestimates heavy rain events (> 0.5 mm s\(^{-1}\)) in comparison with the ERA5 reanalysis model (modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information; Hersbach et al., 2018). With respect to ERA5, a difference by a factor of 2.2 was estimated for the entirety of the region compared to EMAC, which agrees well with the calculated ratio of the loss rates. Further, a less pronounced impact of scavenging on the hydrogen peroxide budget and therefore higher mixing ratios are simulated by the model.

As shown based on the difference in total precipitation between EMAC and ERA5, EMAC appears to underestimate the majority of the rainout events at the location of the flight tracks (Fig. 6). Detailed comparison of the average total precipitation between EMAC and ERA5 during the campaign can be found in the Supplement of this work (Fig. S7).

Assuming a linear dependence between ultimate removal of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in cloud droplets and precipitation as given by EMAC simulations, total large-scale scavenging was estimated based on precipitation given by ERA5. The prediction is based on extrapolating the linear relationship between EMAC scavenging and simulated total precipitation. The simulated scavenging by EMAC falls short by \( 7.6 \times 10^{13} \) molec. m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) relative to the scavenging prediction based on ERA5 output (Fig. S8). The differences between hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios obtained using EMAC and the observations (Fig. 4a) estimated as the integral between the observed and simulated mixing ratios over the entire trop-
Figure 4. Vertical profiles of observed (red), simulated (blue), reduced simulated (gray) and calculated under the assumption of PSS (photo-stationary steady-state) conditions (black) for hydrogen peroxide (a) and the calculated loss rate constant $k_{\text{SCAV}}$ by scavenging (b); red lines show observed values, while blue lines show modeled $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$.

Figure 5. Histograms of average cloud cover fraction (a) and total precipitation (b) over the North Atlantic and Europe (73 to 28° N, −50 to 15° E) based on ERA5 (red; modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information; Hersbach et al., 2018) and EMAC simulation (blue).

Figure 6. Average total precipitation difference between ERA5 and EMAC over Europe and the North Atlantic (73 to 28° N, −50 to 15° E; contains modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information; Hersbach et al., 2018). The North Atlantic region was included in view of the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ lifetime in the atmosphere and the air mass origins based on backward trajectories. The performed flights are indicated in black.

The photochemistry for the total measurement time in molec. m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ are comparable ($7.3 \times 10^{13}$ molec. m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). This indicates that the underestimation of the rain rate by EMAC relative to ERA5 is responsible for the overestimation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in the model. As indicated by the rainout discrepancy between EMAC and ERA5, higher variability in scavenging can be expected along the flight tracks (Fig. 6).

Other major causes leading to the observed discrepancy might be an overestimation of peroxide sources as well as an underestimation of its photochemical sinks. The analysis of the photolysis frequencies for both datasets showed a
4.3 The fate of hydrogen peroxide below clouds

The distribution of hydrogen peroxide above, in and below clouds at Frankfurt airport (50°1′59″N and 8°34′14″O) was measured during BLUESKY flight no. 1 and showed untypical increases in hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios at low altitudes.

Based on NOAA HYSPLIT backward trajectory analysis (model duration of 24 h), the probed air masses originated from the North Atlantic, passing northern France, and were nearly uniformly affected by rainout during 6 h prior to the measurement time. During the measurement the aircraft passed a cloud layer at approximately 2–6 km during descending and ascending legs of the vertical profile. The descent and ascent into and out of Frankfurt took place between 09:00 and 11:00 UTC. Figure 7 displays the time series of the approach to Frankfurt. Mixing ratios of H$_2$O$_2$ from observations and EMAC are shown.

The relative humidity (RH) of 100 % (gray areas in Fig. 7) indicates the presence of clouds. Rain was mainly observed below the clouds at low altitudes (light blue areas) at slightly lower RH. ERA5 reanalysis (Fig. S11a) confirmed the presence of clouds at altitudes of 2–6 km (flight no. 1). Based on local meteorological reports, light rain started approximately 1 h prior to the vertical profile measurement and lasted approximately half an hour. The average mixing ratio of H$_2$O$_2$ over Frankfurt (Fig. 7) was 0.646 ± 0.229 ppbv. Above the tops of the clouds, no significant increase in mixing ratios could be observed. An increase in observed hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios occurred after exiting the clouds during the descending part of the vertical profile track. Here, the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration exceeded 0.7 ppbv and dropped again within a short time (10 min) to 0.567 ppbv. The maximum measured hydrogen peroxide mixing ratio was 0.8 ppbv. The observed hydrogen peroxide mixing ratio peak might be caused by cloud scavenging, which is strongest at the bottom, where the liquid water content is also highest. However, this assumption is not supported by the simultaneous ROOH mixing ratio observations (Fig. 7b). In contrast to hydrogen peroxide, ROOH mixing ratios display a decreasing trend below 2 km. It seems that the increase in hydrogen peroxide concentrations was caused by an additional source of this species below clouds. An analogous phenomenon was observed for measurements taken over Bordeaux (Figs. S10 and S11b).

Previous studies on the possibility of mass transfer of H$_2$O$_2$ from rainwater to the surrounding air indicate a possible release of hydrogen peroxide to the atmosphere (Hua et al., 2008; Huang and Chen, 2010; Xuan et al., 2020). Raindrops are affected by the temperature gradient between the earth’s surface and the cloud base. The negative dependence of hydrogen peroxide solubility on temperature derived from the Henry’s law constant means that an impact on the aqueous-gas phase equilibrium can be assumed. Moreover, the mass transfer coefficient is dependent on the surface-to-volume ratio of the raindrops and is diminished for large raindrops due to a smaller contact surface between the liquid and gas phase (Xuan et al., 2020). The size of the raindrops can be derived from the rain intensity, as shown by Kumar (1985). During the vertical profile flights light rain (drizzle) was reported, consistent with rain sum measurements (approximately 10$^{-4}$ mm h$^{-1}$) and ERA5 reanalysis plots provided by the Copernicus Climate Change Service (Hersbach et al., 2018; Fig. S11). It seems that evaporation of small raindrops releases hydrogen peroxide, causing elevated hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios at low altitudes.

5 Conclusions

A comparison of hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios during the BLUESKY campaign with the previous HOOVER and UTOPIHAN-ACT campaigns shows significant differences within the middle troposphere and the boundary layer. The measurements are only in 30 % agreement with previous observations within the lower tropospheric layers. Hydrogen peroxide does not exhibit the expected local maximum at altitudes of 3–7 km. The rather constant vertical distribution of the mixing ratio is most likely related to the enhanced presence of clouds and the subsequent wet scavenging during the measurement period relative to previous airborne studies.

The measured hydrogen peroxide mixing ratios agree with those simulated by EMAC within the upper troposphere and the boundary layer. The model simulations partly reproduce the strong effect of cloud uptake and rainout loss of the species in the middle troposphere. The calculated deposition loss rates based on EMAC reveal an underestimation relative to the observations, indicating difficulties in the simulation of wet scavenging by the model. This was confirmed by the discrepancies between the rain rates and H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging values simulated by EMAC and ERA5 meteorological reanalysis data.
While the BLUESKY campaign was performed under lockdown conditions, with substantially reduced anthropogenic emissions, particularly of NO$_x$, we find that reduced H$_2$O$_2$ mixing ratios compared to the HOOVER and UTOPIAH-ACt campaigns are not explained by chemical but rather by meteorological conditions. The importance of rain as an H$_2$O$_2$ sink, but potentially also in vertically redistributing H$_2$O$_2$, was shown in a case study based on aircraft measurements over central Germany. While precipitation scavenging removed H$_2$O$_2$ from the cloud layer, the evaporation of drizzle droplets in the boundary layer beneath locally increased H$_2$O$_2$ mixing ratios.
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