



Supplement of

Investigation of new particle formation mechanisms and aerosol processes at Marambio Station, Antarctic Peninsula

Lauriane L. J. Quéléver et al.

Correspondence to: Lauriane L. J. Quéléver (lauriane.quelever@helsinki.fi) and Mikko Sipilä (mikko.sipila@helsinki.fi)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1

SI 1 Instrument description

4 5

6

Measuring real time gas-phase aerosol precursors

7 The Atmospheric Pressure interface Time Of Flight (APi-TOF) mass spectrometer (TOFWERK A.G.) 8 enables the analysis of the chemical composition of gas-phase atmospheric ions (Junninen et al., 2010) and 9 neutral molecules that can be charged or clustered with nitrate, NO₃⁻, ions (Jokinen et al., 2012). The latter 10 when complied to a chemical ionization source. The APi-TOF was run most of the time with an Eisele-type 11 chemical ionization inlet (Aerodyne / University of Helsinki - Eisele and Tanner (1993)) using nitric acid, 12 HNO₃, as reagent for chemical ionization (CI, Jokinen et al., 2012). The instrument constantly sampled outside 13 air via a one-meter-long stainless-steel inlet tube, with a diameter of $\frac{3}{4}$ inch, actively heated (> 5 °C), insulated 14 and with a U-shaped bend at its extremity, avoiding freezing or sampling of dust or snowflakes. The sample 15 flow was kept constant at 10 L min⁻¹ with a total vacuum flow of \sim 30 L min⁻¹ and a pressurized sheath air flow 16 (pumped from filtered outside air) of ~ 20 L min⁻¹. The sheath air flow contained the saturated nitric acid vapor 17 (~ 3 mL min⁻¹) later ionized to nitrate ions and their associated multimers (i.e., NO₃, HNO₃NO₃, (HNO₃)₂NO₃. 18) after X-ray exposure. After entering the instrument via a 300 µm critical orifice, the sampled molecules - as 19 atmospheric molecules clustered with nitrates ions - were guided into the TOF analyzer (~ 3.5×10^{-6} mbar) 20 through a succession of chambers. The instrument chambers were at different pressure and monitored at all 21 times: two segmented quadrupole chambers (~ 1.5 mbar and ~ 10^{-2} mbar, respectively), and an ion lens 22 assembly (~ 10^{-5} mbar). Inside the TOF analyzer, ions are separated according to their mass to charge ratio 23 (m/z). The exact elemental composition of ambient molecules is based on the mass defect of individual 24 recorded signal peaks and can be calculated by combining the individual exact masses of the possible atoms 25 present in the ion cluster. Additionally, an estimated ion concentration can be calculated by normalizing the 26 signal of the detected peaks of interest by the sum of reagent ion signals and by applying a calibration factor. 27 In this work, we estimate a calibration factor of 5×10^9 molecules cm⁻³, which is based on Sulfuric acid 28 calibration that was performed ahead of the campaign. More information about the CI-APi-TOF 29 instrumentation and the derived qualitative and quantitative products can be found in Jokinen et al. (2012).

To ensure an efficient ionization via proton transfer reaction between the sampled molecules and nitrate ion, we monitored of the total ion counts (TIC) and the respective signal of all nitrate ions (i.e., $NO_{3^{\circ}}$, $HNO_{3}NO_{3^{\circ}}$ and $(HNO_{3})_{2}NO_{3^{\circ}}$). The time series of the reagent ion signals can be found in **Figure S1**. In some instance, spikes of signal loss due to occasional power disturbances or exceptional failure of the pumping system were seen. Therefore, data filtering of measurements having a variation of more than 30 % of the overall median of the TIC signal was applied.

- 38
- 39



40

Figure S1: Time series of individual signal of reagent ions (NO_3^- , dark-blue line; $HNO_3NO_3^-$, medium-blue line; $(HNO_3)_2NO_3^-$, lightblue line) and total ion count signal (TIC, dashed-black line) for raw data (a) and filtered data (b) – within 30% of total average TIC campaign. Unit mass resolution signal on 10 min average time (Standard local time, UTC -3).

44

45

46 A mass spectrum averaged between 15:30 and 16:30, on 16 February, with a mass range between 30 47 and 1000 Th is shown in Figure S2. A total of 465 peaks were fitted as most abundant high resolutions masses. 48 Despite the high number of Fluor-containing peak found (identified to originate from the set-up tubing), we 49 found a significant number of peaks - non attributed to Sulfuric acid, Methane sulfonic acid nor Iodic acid -50 with positive mass defect. Those peaks were found to have differing masses than the traditional highly oxidized 51 organic molecules, HOMs, as defined by Bianchi et al. (2019) and commonly measured in mid-latitudes (Ehn 52 et al., 2014). Here, we estimated the upper limit for maximum accumulated possible HOM concentration being 53 2.3×10^7 molec.cm⁻³, assuming that peaks with mass-to-charge ratio larger than 200 Th and with positive mass 54 defect could be signals of (highly) oxidized organic compounds present in the Antarctic field. Considering our 55 background measurements, some of these peaks were seen with even higher intensities, thus reducing the 56 maximum HOM estimate to 1.61×10^7 molec.cm⁻³, 1.26×10^7 molec.cm⁻³ or 1.92×10^7 molec.cm⁻³ accounting 57 for an interpolated, the closest or an averaged background spectrum, respectively.

Additionally, this estimate fall to 5.52×10^6 molec.cm⁻³, 5.12×10^6 molec.cm⁻³, and 8.55×10^6 molec.cm⁻³, respectively, when performing a removal of the background selection. Since no unambiguous chemical composition was found for those peaks, it is likely that only a fraction of those signals would contribute to possible HOM, if at all belonging to organics and/or oxidized molecule groups.





63

Figure S2: Mass spectra for 16 February showing the raw signal intensity (counts) as per mass-to-charge ratio withing the range 30 – 1000 Th. Upper panel: a total of 465 peaks – in black- were used for High resolution analysis (as most abundant peaks) among the full spectrum (grey). Lower panel: highlight on the identified contaminants (mostly Fluor-containing compounds, in orange) and possible organic oxidized compound (unresolved chemical composition, in green). Spectra averaged for 1 hour during new particle formation event.

69

In some instances, the X-ray ionizer was turned off, disabling the formation of artificial nitrate ions and thus the chemical ionization. In this mode, the mass spectrometer only measured naturally charged ions, either in negative, or exceptionally once in positive, ion mode. A timetable of the ion mode measurement periods is shown in **Table S1**. The main manuscript shows only negative ion mode data for 16 February.

- 74
- 75

76 **Table S1:** Timetable for (APi) ambient ion mode measurement. Note that the rest of the time the instrument measured in Chemical

77 Ionization mode using Nitrate as reagent ion.

Date	Start Time * (hh.ss)	Stop Time * (hh.ss)
	APi - negative ion mode	
6 Feb. 2018	10.54	11.55
6 Feb. 2018	14.57	15.59
7 Feb. 2018	12.42	13.47
8 Feb. 2018	14.32	15.34
12 Feb. 2018	10.09	11.09
13 Feb. 2018	12.03	13.04
16 Feb. 2018	14.52	15.53
21-23 Feb. 2018	17.11	14.33(+2**)
	APi - positive ion mode	
16 Feb. 2018	15.59	17.00

* Standard local time, UTC -3

** additional days

79

80

81 82

Measuring aerosol particle (and ions) from nano- to micro-meter size

83 Nano Condensation Nucleus Counter (nCNC)

84 The smallest particles were measured via an assembly of a Particle Size Magnifier (PSM, Airmodus Ltd.) 85 coupled to a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC, Airmodus Ltd.), together constituting a nano-Condensation 86 Nucleus Counter (nCNC, Airmodus Ltd). The nCNC was operated in scanning mode, where the aerosol 87 supersaturation is constantly modified. As a result, the size distribution of particles from 1.2 nm to 3 nm was obtained in various size bin with a resolution of 1 second per datapoint and 2×120 s per size bin during the 88 89 scanning (upward and downward). The outside air was sampled into the 6 mm inlet of the PSM, as core 90 sampling, via an 80 cm long insulated stainless-steel tube of 10 mm in diameter which was slightly bended at 91 45 °. The nanometer-size particles contained in the 2.5 L min-1 sample flow entering the PSM undergo a first 92 stage of growth by condensation of di-ethylene glycol vapors to reach sufficient diameter to be grown and 93 detected by the CPC. The second stage of growth occurs via condensation of butanol vapors inside the CPC, 94 where the particles are finally counted by optical detection. The CPC sample flow was 1 L min⁻¹, and the excess 95 flow was 1.5 L min⁻¹. More details about the PSM and the nCNC can be found in Vanhanen et al. (2011) and 96 in Kangasluoma et al. (2016). In order to convert the data from the saturator flow rate to particle number size 97 distribution, the Kernel inversion method was followed as well as inlet line losses corrections (Chan et al., 98 2020; Lehtipalo et al., 2014).

99

101 Neutral Cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS)

102 The size distribution of total atmospheric particles and naturally charged ion clusters from 2.7 to 42 nm and from 0.8 to 42 nm, respectively, was monitored using a Neutral Cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (Airel Ltd.). 103 104 The inlet (length: 90 cm, diameter: 1 inch) was actively heated and bended as a U-shape to avoid dust, ice or 105 snow to enter during the sampling of the 60 L min⁻¹ flow rates of the outside air. In essence, the instrument is 106 composed of two separated differential mobility analyzers (DMA) measuring simultaneously the electrical 107 mobility of positively and negatively charged particles (as ion clusters). In front of each DMA, a pre-108 conditioning unit is equipped with a unipolar corona charger and an electrical filter. For neutral particle 109 measurements, the unipolar charger was used to create a known aerosol charge distribution that then allowed 110 to calculate the total particle numbers from the measured number of ions. Measurements were done in both 111 ion and particle mode. Each mode was measured for 4 s and the offset signal was automatically removed from 112 the measured signal to extract the ion concentrations. Mirme and Mirme (2013) and Manninen et al. (2016) explain the working principle and the measurement of the instrument in detail. The data are inverted from 113 114 electric mobility diameter to aerodynamic diameter using the instrument specific inverter and later corrected 115 for sampling losses.

116

117 Differential Particle sizer (DMPS)

With the sample and sheath flow rate of 1 L min⁻¹ and 5 L min⁻¹, respectively, a complete particle mobility distribution is obtained in 6 minutes. The size distribution is calculated from these raw data using an inversion routine, based on essentially the transfer function by Stolzenburg and McMurry (1991) and the multiple charging correction by Wiedensohler (1988). DMPS system design and data inversion routines are similar as described in Wiedensohler et al. (2012), for the 'Helsinki DMPS'.

123

The air sample is drawn into the DMPS via a shared laboratory inlet, already described in Asmi et al. (2018). The inlet consists of a stainless-steel tube (diameter: 16 mm) extending to a height of 6 m above the ground and 2 m above the roof of the laboratory container. The inlet is equipped with a Digitel Enviro-sense PM_{10} particle pre-separator with a nominal flow rate of 2.3 m³ h⁻¹. This flow is distributed to different instruments via a custom-made flow-divider unit designed for isokinetic sampling with minimal particle losses. The diffusional particle losses in the 2 m-sample lines having a flow rate of 1 L min⁻¹ are considered in the analysis.

- 130
- Time series of DMPS data were flagged as good (000), possibly contaminated based on wind direction (189) or speed (188), instrument malfunction (699) and unidentified pollution (559), following the NILU EBAS database standards (<u>https://projects.nilu.no/ccc/flags/flags.html</u>, last access: 02 November, 2021) and with
- 134 wind criteria as described by Asmi et al. (2018).
- 135
- 136

137 SI 2 Observation of NPF at Marambio Station

- 138
- 139 Daily size distributions for individual events
- 140 Daily plots, including size distributions from 2.7 nm to 40 nm from the NAIS measurement, are shown in
- 141 Figure S3 to identify and characterize each event individually.
- 142





Figure S3: Daily size distribution of aerosol particle from NAIS measurements (2.7 nm to 42 nm) for event days. Note that the datado not include the pollution flag.

146 Atmospheric air ions

147 Using NAIS instrumentation, neutral aerosol particles (cf. Fig. 2) but also naturally charged ion clusters can 148 be detected. Size distribution series are shown below in Figure S4, for the particle (Fig. S4a) and ion mode 149 (both positive negative and positive – Fig. S4b-c) of the NAIS, respectively. Note that the ion data are used in 150 the estimation of formation rates for both positive and negative ion clusters presented in Table 1 in the 151 manuscript.



Figure S4: Size distribution of air ions from NAIS measurements during the whole campaign. The upper panel (a) shows the particle
 mode size distribution, the middle and lower panels are the ion mode size distribution for negative, and positive ion, respectively.

156

157 SI 3 Sources of Aerosol precursors

158

159 Air mass trajectories

160 Air mass backward trajectories were calculated with the NOAA HYSPLIT 4 model using the meteorological 161 model data of the global data assimilation model (GDAS) half-degree archive (available between September 162 2007 – June 2019). Trajectories were calculated for the arrival height of 200 m above the ground level, which in Marambio island is about 200 m above the sea surface. One trajectory of 120-hours backward was calculated 163 164 for every NPF event start time using the closest full hour, 13 trajectories in total. Trajectories were also 165 calculated for non-event days for peak-hours of the measured sulfuric acid concentration. The results are 166 discussed in the main manuscript and presented here in Figure S5. Additionally, we implemented sea ice data 167 for the year 2018 (Fetterer et al. 2017, updated daily) 168



170 Figure S5: Backward trajectories calculated 120 hours for non-event days segregated by Sulfuric acid: [SA]>2×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ -171 left panels (a-c) and $[SA] < 2 \times 10^6$ molecules cm⁻³ -right panels (a'-c'). In panel a-a' and b-b' the black and grey lines delimit the sea 172 extent and February 2018, ice as average for January respectively. Sea ice data are from: 173 ftp://sidads.colorado.edu/DATASETS/NOAA/G02135/south/monthly/ (Fetterer et al., 2017). Panel c and c' show the altitude of the 174 trajectories and are colored according to sulfuric acid concentration (e.g., highest concentrations in darker red and lowest concentration 175 in darker blue). The reference time for each trajectory is the time of the maximum daily SA concentration.

- 178 Wind analysis
- 179 Joined with the back trajectories, we complemented the wind analysis with wind roses (Fig. S6) to determine
- 180 possible local emission sources.
- 181
- 182



184 Figure S6: Winds rose for event days. The wind data of 10 min time resolution were accounted for every event day, separately (24h).

- 185 The event numbering corresponds to the classification of the manuscript in Table 1.
- 186

- 188 SI 4 Consideration for site contamination
- 189

190 Station activity

Even if the laboratory container was located 800 m further away from the main accommodation building of the station, contamination from human activity (e.g., heating and cooking) is to be expected. Flags were assessed according to wind direction and low wind speed, and further considered in the aerosol analysis. Note that DMPS data were flagged and as possibly contaminated when wind originated from the station main building sector (i.e., $0^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$), during low wind speed conditions, or when a pollution event is suspected (also marked as flag number 189, 188, 599, respectively, in Table 1).

197 198

199 *Flight activity*

Being a logistic hub for the whole North Antarctic Peninsula, Marambio station airfield operates many flights, 200 201 especially during the summer season. We investigated the disturbance of the flying activities and the possible 202 contamination on our data. As visible from Figure S8, out of the 12 days where particle formation was observed, simultaneous air traffic was recorded only for 4 NPF days: on Jan 25th and 27th, and on Feb 5th and 203 10th. This eventually impacted our analysis and specifically the determination of the aerosol parameters such 204 205 as the growth rate depending on the DMPS data, then flagged as pollution points. The small size particles were 206 not seen to be significantly affected by flights, as suggests the resulting size series from the NAIS and the PSM 207 (cf. Figure 2), likely due to favorable wind directions and the inlets sampling lines facing South.



210

Figure S7: Time series of reported flying movements (red bars: Hercules activity, orange bars: Twin Otter activity, yellow bars: Helicopter(s) activity) in relation to observed NPF events (green bars) during the summer campaign. Numbers above the green bars inform the event number according to the aerosol characterization made in Table. 1 in the manuscript.

- 214
- 215

216 References

217

Asmi, E., Neitola, K., Teinilä, K., Rodriguez, E., Virkkula, A., Backman, J., Bloss, M., Jokela, J., Lihavainen,
H., de Leeuw, G., Paatero, J., Aaltonen, V., Mei, M., Gambarte, G., Copes, G., Albertini, M., Fogwill, G. P.,

Ferrara, J., Barlasina, M. E., and Sánchez, R.: Primary sources control the variability of aerosol optical
properties in the Antarctic Peninsula, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 70, 1-16,
10.1080/16000889.2017.1414571, 2018.

223 Bianchi, F., Kurtén, T., Riva, M., Mohr, C., Rissanen, M. P., Roldin, P., Berndt, T., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg,

224 P. O., Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Thornton, J. A.,

Donahue, N., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Ehn, M.: Highly Oxygenated Organic Molecules (HOM) from Gas-Phase
 Autoxidation Involving Peroxy Radicals: A Key Contributor to Atmospheric Aerosol, Chemical Reviews, 119,

227 3472-3509, 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00395, 2019.

Brewer, G. P.: Minor elements in seawater, Chemical Oceanography, 1, 415-496, 1975.

Chan, T., Cai, R., Ahonen, L. R., Liu, Y., Zhou, Y., Vanhanen, J., Dada, L., Chao, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, L.,
Kulmala, M., and Kangasluoma, J.: Assessment of particle size magnifier inversion methods to obtain the
particle size distribution from atmospheric measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 4885-4898, 10.5194/amt13-4885-2020, 2020.

233 Draxler, R. R. and Hess, G.: Description of the HYSPLIT4 modeling system, 1997.

Draxler, R. R. and Hess, G.: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modelling system for trajectories, Australian
 meteorological magazine, 47, 295-308, 1998.

Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann,
R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I.-H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S.,
Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G.,
Canagaratna, M., Maso, M. D., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop,
D. R., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506,
476-479, 10.1038/nature13032, 2014.

Eisele, F. L. and Tanner, D. J.: Measurement of the gas phase concentration of H2SO4 and methane sulfonic
acid and estimates of H2SO4 production and loss in the atmosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research:
Atmospheres, 98, 9001-9010,.1029/93JD00031, 1993.

Fetterer, F., K. Knowles, W. N. Meier, M. Savoie, and A. K. Windnagel. 2017, updated daily. *Sea Ice Index, Version 3*. [ftp://sidads.colorado.edu/DATASETS/NOAA/G02135/south/monthly/]. Boulder, Colorado USA.

247 NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center, 10.7265/N5K072F8, 2021 [last access: August 5, 2021].

248
249 Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Lönn, G., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., Mauldin Iii, R. L., Kulmala,
250 M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and neutral cluster measurements using CI-APi-TOF,

251 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4117-4125, 10.5194/acp-12-4117-2012, 2012.

Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Luosujärvi, L., Kotiaho, T., Kostiainen, R., Rohner, U., Gonin, M., Fuhrer,
K., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A high-resolution mass spectrometer to measure atmospheric ion
composition, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1039-1053, 10.5194/amt-3-1039-2010, 2010.

255 Kangasluoma, J., Franchin, A., Duplissy, J., Ahonen, L., Korhonen, F., Attoui, M., Mikkilä, J., Lehtipalo, K.,

256 Vanhanen, J., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Operation of the Airmodus A11 nano Condensation Nucleus

- 257 Counter at various inlet pressures and various operation temperatures, and design of a new inlet system, Atmos.
- 258 Meas. Tech., 9, 2977-2988, 10.5194/amt-9-2977-2016, 2016.

- 259 Lehtipalo, K., Leppa, J., Kontkanen, J., Kangasluoma, J., Franchin, A., Wimnner, D., Schobesberger, S.,
- Junninen, H., Petaja, T., and Sipila, M.: Methods for determining particle size distribution and growth rates between 1 and 3 nm using the Particle Size Magnifier, Boreal Environment Research, 2014.
- Loo, B. W. and Cork, C. P.: Development of High Efficiency Virtual Impactors, Aerosol Science and Technology, 9, 167-176, 10.1080/02786828808959205, 1988.
- Manninen, H. E., Mirme, S., Mirme, A., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: How to reliably detect molecular clusters
 and nucleation mode particles with Neutral cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9,
 3577-3605, 10.5194/amt-9-3577-2016, 2016.
- Mirme, S. and Mirme, A.: The mathematical principles and design of the NAIS a spectrometer for the
 measurement of cluster ion and nanometer aerosol size distributions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 1061-1071,
 10.5194/amt-6-1061-2013, 2013.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA?s HYSPLIT
 Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/bams-d-14-00110.1, 2015.
- Stolzenburg, M. R. and McMurry, P. H.: An Ultrafine Aerosol Condensation Nucleus Counter, Aerosol
 Science and Technology, 14, 48-65, 10.1080/02786829108959470, 1991.
- Vanhanen, J., Mikkilä, J., Lehtipalo, K., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Siivola, E., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.:
 Particle Size Magnifier for Nano-CN Detection, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45, 533-542,
 10.1080/02786826.2010.547889, 2011.
- Wiedensohler, A.: An approximation of the bipolar charge distribution for particles in the submicron size range, Journal of Aerosol Science, 19, 387-389, 10.1016/0021-8502(88)90278-9, 1988.
- 280 Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., Nowak, A., Sonntag, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Wehner, B., Tuch, T., 281 Pfeifer, S., Fiebig, M., Fjäraa, A. M., Asmi, E., Sellegri, K., Depuy, R., Venzac, H., Villani, P., Laj, P., Aalto, 282 P., Ogren, J. A., Swietlicki, E., Williams, P., Roldin, P., Quincey, P., Hüglin, C., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Riccobono, F., Santos, S., Grüning, C., Faloon, K., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., 283 284 Monahan, C., Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C. D., Marinoni, A., Horn, H. G., Keck, L., Jiang, J., Scheckman, J., McMurry, P. H., Deng, Z., Zhao, C. S., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Löschau, G., and Bastian, 285 286 S.: Mobility particle size spectrometers: harmonization of technical standards and data structure to facilitate 287 high quality long-term observations of atmospheric particle number size distributions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 288 657-685, 10.5194/amt-5-657-2012, 2012.
- 289