



## OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign in 2018

Xuefei Ma<sup>1</sup>, Zhaofeng Tan<sup>2</sup>, Keding Lu<sup>1</sup>, Xinping Yang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaorui Chen<sup>1</sup>, Haichao Wang<sup>1,3</sup>, Shiyi Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xin Fang<sup>1</sup>, Shule Li<sup>1</sup>, Xin Li<sup>1</sup>, Jingwei Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ying Liu<sup>1</sup>, Shengrong Lou<sup>4</sup>, Wanyi Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Hongli Wang<sup>4</sup>, Limin Zeng<sup>1</sup>, and Yuanhang Zhang<sup>1,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Energy and Climate Research, IEK-8: Troposphere, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

<sup>3</sup>School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

<sup>4</sup>State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Formation and Prevention of the Urban Air Complex, Shanghai Academy of Environmental Sciences, Shanghai, China

<sup>5</sup>Beijing Innovation Center for Engineering Sciences and Advanced Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>6</sup>CAS Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, Chinese Academy of Science, Xiamen, China

**Correspondence:** Keding Lu (k.lu@pku.edu.cn) and Yuanhang Zhang (yhzhang@pku.edu.cn)


Received: 8 December 2021 – Discussion started: 4 January 2022

Revised: 11 April 2022 – Accepted: 2 May 2022 – Published: 31 May 2022

**Abstract.** The first OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical observation in Yangtze River Delta, one of the four major urban agglomerations in China, was carried out at a suburban site (Taizhou) in summer 2018 from May to June, aiming to elucidate the atmospheric oxidation capacity in this region. The maximum diurnal averaged OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were  $1.0 \times 10^7$  and  $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively, which were the second highest HO<sub>x</sub> (sum of OH and HO<sub>2</sub>) radical concentrations observed in China. HONO photolysis was the dominant radical primary source, accounting for 42 % of the total radical initiation rate. Other contributions were from carbonyl photolysis (including HCHO, 24 %), O<sub>3</sub> photolysis (17 %), alkene ozonolysis (14 %), and NO<sub>3</sub> oxidation (3 %). A chemical box model based on the RACM2-LIM1 mechanism could generally reproduce the observed HO<sub>x</sub> radicals, but systematic discrepancy remained in the afternoon for the OH radical, when the NO mixing ratio was less than 0.3 ppb. An additional recycling mechanism equivalent to 100 ppt NO was capable to fill the gap. The sum of monoterpenes was on average up to 0.4 ppb during daytime, which was all allocated to  $\alpha$ -pinene in the base model. A sensitivity test without monoterpene input showed the modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations would increase by 7 % and 4 %, respectively, but modeled RO<sub>2</sub> concentration would significantly decrease by 23 %, indicating that monoterpene was an important precursor of RO<sub>2</sub> radicals in this study. Consequently, the daily integrated net ozone production would reduce by 6.3 ppb without monoterpene input, proving the significant role of monoterpene in the photochemical O<sub>3</sub> production in this study. In addition, the generally good agreement between observed and modeled HO<sub>x</sub> concentrations suggested no significant HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process during this campaign. Incorporation of HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process would worsen the agreement between HO<sub>x</sub> radical observation and simulation, and the discrepancy would be beyond the combined measurement–model uncertainties using an effective uptake coefficient of 0.2. Finally, the ozone production efficiency (OPE) was only 1.7 in this study, a few folds lower than other studies in (sub)urban environments. The low OPE indicated a slow radical propagation rate and short chain length. As a consequence, ozone formation was suppressed by the low NO concentration in this study.

## 1 Introduction

Stringent air quality regulations have been implemented in China for more than a decade to combat severe air pollution problems, and dramatic reduction of primary air pollutants such as sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), and coarse particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) has been achieved. Furthermore, a significant decrease in fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) has been found since 2013, when the Chinese government took the strictest measures to reduce anthropogenic emissions in polluted regions (Y. Wang et al., 2019, 2020). However, the surface ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) showed a contrasting trend, with an increasing rate of 1–3 ppb yr<sup>−1</sup> over the eastern Chinese megacity clusters, among which the North China Plain and Yangtze River Delta regions show the most significant increase of 3–12 ppb yr<sup>−1</sup> (Y. Wang et al., 2020). The only known formation pathway to O<sub>3</sub> in the troposphere is the photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> (Reactions R1 and R2). The increasing O<sub>3</sub> despite the successful reduction in NO<sub>2</sub> demonstrates the nonlinearity of the photochemistry caused by the dual role of NO<sub>x</sub>.



The ozone formation nonlinearity can be described by investigating HO<sub>x</sub> radical chemistry (Tan et al., 2018a, b). In low-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions, the local ozone production rate  $P(\text{O}_3)$  increases with NO<sub>x</sub> due to the efficient NO-to-NO<sub>2</sub> conversion by peroxy radicals (Reactions R3–R4). In high-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions,  $P(\text{O}_3)$  decreases with NO<sub>x</sub> because the radical termination (Reaction R5) overwhelms the radical propagation processes. The key is to find the optimized reduction strategy for both NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs to efficiently control the O<sub>3</sub> production, which the radical measurement could give insight to.



Numerous field campaigns focusing on the hydroxyl (OH) and hydroperoxy radical (HO<sub>2</sub>) measurements have been performed worldwide for the past decades, covering forest, marine, remote, polar, rural, suburban, and urban environments (Stone et al., 2012). The measured OH concentrations varied in an order of magnitude (in the range of 10<sup>6</sup>–10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>−3</sup>) among different types of environments, and the OH daily maximum concentrations showed a tendency of higher values in urban areas. Six field campaigns have been implemented in China during summer periods, namely the Backgarden (2006), Heshan (2014), and Shenzhen (2018) campaigns in the Pearl River Delta (PRD) (Lu et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2019a; F. Y. Wang et al., 2019) and Yufa (2006), Wangdu (2014), and Beijing (2017) campaigns in the North

China Plain (NCP) (Lu et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2017; Whalley et al., 2021) to investigate the atmospheric oxidation capacities and photochemistry characteristics of two of the most polluted regions in China, in which the Backgarden campaign reported the highest OH concentration (15 × 10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>−3</sup>) ever observed (Lu et al., 2019). Chemical box model simulation based on conventional mechanisms could generally reproduce the OH radical concentrations in these Chinese campaigns at NO concentrations above 1 ppb, but a tendency to underestimate OH radicals is continuously observed at NO concentrations less than 1 ppb, which is a common feature in isoprene-rich forest environments, and OH concentration could be underestimated by a factor of up to 10 (Rohrer et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2001; Lelieveld et al., 2008). A novel recycling mechanism related to isoprene and its degradation products without the involvement of NO has been considered as a possible reason for the OH measurement–model discrepancy in isoprene-rich environments (Peeters et al., 2009, 2014; Lelieveld et al., 2008), but it is not sufficient to explain the large discrepancy for campaigns in urban and suburban environments. Moreover, even in isoprene-rich environments, the inclusion of the novel recycling mechanism of isoprene is still not sufficient to reproduce the observed OH concentrations (Stone et al., 2011b). It is worth noting that the high OH concentration might be caused by an unknown interference in OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) (Mao et al., 2012; Novelli et al., 2014; Hens et al., 2014; Feiner et al., 2016). Mao et al. (2012) reported that up to 80 % of OH measurement is interference in a pine forest. However, the interference was minimal and within the instrumental detection limit in other campaigns under urban and suburban environments by different LIF instruments (Griffith et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2017; Woodward-Massey et al., 2020). Therefore, the OH measurement accuracy needs to be addressed prior to critical discussion about defects in our knowledge of the radical chemistry.

The Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region is one of the four major polluted regions in China, and O<sub>3</sub> has become the most critical pollutant in this region (Li et al., 2019). A 4-year continuous observation campaign showed the ozone pollution days have more than doubled from 2014 to 2017 (28 to 76 d) in the YRD region (Y. Liu et al., 2020). Lu et al. (2018) reported that the monthly averaged daily maximum 8 h concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> were even higher in the YRD than in the NCP. Plenty of studies have been performed to investigate the ozone pollution characteristics and diagnose the sensitivity of ozone formation to its precursors over this region (Zhang et al., 2020; Ding et al., 2013; Tie et al., 2013; Geng et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2017), but none of the studies were deployed with HO<sub>x</sub> radical observations. In the present study, we report a new radical observation in the YRD region during the campaign EXPLORE-YRD (EXperiment on the eLu-cidation of the atmospheric Oxidation capacity and aerosol

formation, and their Effects in Yangtze River Delta) together with a comprehensive set of trace gas measurements. It provides a unique chance to investigate the photochemistry with the support of HO<sub>x</sub> radical observation in this region. In addition, the in situ HO<sub>x</sub> radical observation also allows us to investigate the impact of potential mechanisms such as HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake on the photochemistry.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Measurement site

The EXPLORE-YRD campaign was conducted in the summer of 2018 (14 May to 20 June) in the park of the meteorological radar station in suburban Taizhou (32.56° N, 119.99° E), Jiangsu Province, which is approximately 200 km northwest and 100 km northeast of the two major megacities Shanghai and Nanjing in the Yangtze River Delta region (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The site was surrounded by fishponds and grasslands, characterized by strong biogenic emissions and occasionally biomass burning. No major industrial emissions were found within 500 m. The closest road with slight traffic was about 100 m to the south, and to the north and east of the measurement site were the highways S28 and S35 with moderate traffic. For most of the campaign, southerly and easterly winds prevailed and brought air from the megacities and sea upwind to this site during the daytime. Thus, the sampled air mass during this campaign could generally embody the atmospheric chemical characteristics in this region.

### 2.2 OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical measurements

OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals were measured by the Peking University Laser Induced Fluorescence system (called PKU-LIF), which was successfully deployed several times in previous campaigns in the Pearl River Delta and North China Plain regions in China (Tan et al., 2017, 2018c, 2019a; Ma et al., 2019). The OH radical is detected by laser-induced fluorescence at a low-pressure cell (4 hPa) after a sampling nozzle (Hofzumahaus et al., 1998; Holland et al., 2003). The OH signal is determined by tuning the laser wavelength (308 nm) on- and off-line, so-called wavelength modulation. Specific description of the instrument configuration could be found in Tan et al. (2017) and references therein.

The HO<sub>2</sub> radical is chemically converted to OH by reaction with NO that is injected into the flow through a ring-shaped injector installed below the sampling nozzle and then is detected in the form of OH in the second detection cell. Previous studies indicated that part of the RO<sub>2</sub> species derived from longer chain alkanes (> C<sub>3</sub>), alkenes, and aromatic compounds (namely complex-RO<sub>2</sub>) have the potential to rapidly convert to OH on the same timescale as HO<sub>2</sub> inside the fluorescence cell and thus might cause interference for HO<sub>2</sub> measurement (Fuchs et al., 2011; Whalley et al., 2013).

To minimize the potential interference from RO<sub>2</sub>, the added NO mixing ratio was switched between 2.5 and 5 ppm every 2 min, corresponding to the HO<sub>2</sub> conversion efficiencies of 10 % and 20 %, respectively. The expected RO<sub>2</sub> conversion efficiency for both modes was below 10 % for this experimental setup for isoprene-derived RO<sub>2</sub> from laboratory tests (Fuchs et al., 2011). The extent of the RO<sub>2</sub> interference was also proportional to the complex-RO<sub>2</sub>-to-HO<sub>2</sub> ratio. Unfortunately, RO<sub>2</sub> was not measured during this campaign, but one would expect a strong correlation between RO<sub>2</sub> (or complex-RO<sub>2</sub>) and HO<sub>2</sub> (Tan et al., 2017; Whalley et al., 2021). Previous field summer campaigns in China showed that the ratio of complex RO<sub>2</sub> to HO<sub>2</sub> varies from 0.6 at a rural site in Wangdu (Tan et al., 2017) to 2 at an urban site in Beijing (Whalley et al., 2021). As the chemical condition encountered in the YRD was more similar to that of Wangdu (the Beijing campaign was conducted at an urban site), it was reasonable to assume the complex-RO<sub>2</sub>-to-HO<sub>2</sub> ratio in this study was closer to 0.6. Therefore, by applying the RO<sub>2</sub> conversion efficiency of 0.1 as an upper limit, the maximum HO<sub>2</sub> interference from RO<sub>2</sub> radicals should be closer to 6 % of the HO<sub>2</sub> measurement in this study assuming the complex-RO<sub>2</sub>-to-HO<sub>2</sub> ratio to be 0.6.

The PKU-LIF instrument was calibrated every 2 d during the campaign using a radical calibration source (Hofzumahaus et al., 1996; Holland et al., 1998). Stable sensitivities were found over the whole campaign with reproducibility of 1.2 % and 8.0 % for OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, respectively (1σ standard deviation). Thus, averaged sensitivity was applied for the radical concentration determination. Considering the combined uncertainty of calibration source (10 %, 1σ) with reproducibility of calibrated sensitivities, the accuracies of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> measurement were 10 % and 13 %, respectively. The detection limits of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> measurements using the LIF technique depend on the sensitivity, the laser power, the background signal, and the integration time (Holland et al., 1995) and were  $6.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for OH and  $1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for HO<sub>2</sub> at a typical laser power of 12 mW for a data acquisition time of 30 s (for signal-to-noise ratio of 2).

Several studies conducted in forested environments indicated that OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence technique using the wavelength modulation method might suffer from unknown internally produced interference (Mao et al., 2012; Novelli et al., 2017), and the magnitude of interference is highly dependent on the specific design of the instrument, the operating parameters, and the type of environment in which the instrument is deployed (Fuchs et al., 2016; Novelli et al., 2014; Woodward-Massey et al., 2020; Cho et al., 2021). To investigate the possible OH interference in this campaign, we performed an extended chemical modulation experiment on 7 June. During the experiment, a chemical modulation device consisting of a Teflon tube with an inner diameter of 1.0 cm and a length of 10 cm was placed on top of the OH sampling nozzle. About 17 slpm (standard liters per minute) of ambient air was drawn through the tube

by a blower, 1 slpm of which entered the fluorescence cell. Tests on the transmission efficiency of OH through the chemical modulation device showed that the signals differed by less than 7 % with or without a chemical modulation device, indicating the losses of ambient OH to the chemical modulation device were insignificant. For ambient measurement application, either propane (a 12 % mixture in nitrogen, 6 sccm) diluted in a carrier flow of pure nitrogen (200 sccm) or pure nitrogen (200 sccm) was injected into the center of the tube alternatively every 5 min via two oppositely posited needles at the entrance of the Teflon tube. The ambient OH signal can then be deduced by differentiating the signals from adjacent measurement modes with and without propane injection. The amount of the scavenger added is typically selected to be sufficiently high for reacting with ambient OH but not in excess in case of reaction with internally produced OH, and thus, the scavenging efficiency is usually kept around 90 %. Calibrations of OH sensitivity with and without propane injection showed the scavenging efficiency of OH was around 93 % in this experiment, and the kinetic calculation indicated the added propane removed less than 0.7 % of the internally produced OH. Therefore, the real ambient OH concentration can be obtained by multiplying the differential OH signal by the scavenging efficiency and by the instrument sensitivity. More details about the prototype chemical-modulation reactor used with PKU-LIF and the calculation method can be seen in Tan et al. (2017).

### 2.3 Trace gas measurements

A large number of trace gases and aerosol properties related to the atmospheric oxidation chemistry investigation were measured simultaneously. Instruments were placed in sea containers with their sampling inlets mounted 5 m above ground. The detail of instrumentation is described by H. Wang et al. (2020). In Table 1, the measured species related to photochemistry study are listed together with the performance of instruments.

O<sub>3</sub>, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CO were detected by a series of commercial analyzers from Thermo Inc. O<sub>3</sub> was measured by a UV photometric analyzer (model 49i). Both NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were measured by a trace-level analyzer (model 42i) using chemiluminescence. Therein, NO<sub>2</sub> measurement was accomplished by a home-built photolytic converter to avoid interference from other NO<sub>y</sub> species. HONO measurement was conducted with a long-path absorption photometer with a time resolution of 1 min. A gas chromatograph coupled with a flame ionization detector and mass spectrometer (GC-FID-MS) was deployed to measure volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including non-methane hydrocarbons (C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>11</sub> alkanes, C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>6</sub> alkenes, C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>10</sub> aromatics, isoprene, sum of monoterpenes) and oxygenated VOCs including methyl vinyl ketone (MVK)/methacrolein (MACR), methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), acetaldehyde (ACD), and acetone (ACT) at a time resolution of 1 h. The sum of

monoterpenes was also detected by proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS). Formaldehyde and glyoxal were measured by commercial and home-built instruments, namely Hantzsch and CEAS, respectively. Additionally, meteorological parameters including temperature, relative humidity, pressure, wind speed, and wind direction were all measured simultaneously. Photolysis frequencies were calculated by integrated actinic flux measured by a spectroradiometer.

### 2.4 Model description

An observation-constrained box model based on the RACM2-LIM1 mechanism (Goliff et al., 2013; Peeters et al., 2014) was used to simulate the OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations. Briefly, observations of the photolysis frequencies  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ,  $j(\text{NO}_2)$ ,  $j(\text{HONO})$ ,  $j(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)$ ,  $j(\text{HCHO})$ , and  $j(\text{NO}_3)$ ; O<sub>3</sub>; NO; NO<sub>2</sub>; CO; CH<sub>4</sub>; SO<sub>2</sub>; HONO; C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>12</sub> VOCs; certain oxygenated VOCs such as HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and acetone; and the meteorological parameters were used to constrain the model with a time resolution of 5 min. Photolysis frequencies of other species were calculated in the model using the following function of solar zenith angle ( $\chi$ ) and scaled to the ratio of measured to calculated  $j(\text{NO}_2)$  to represent the effect from clouds:

$$J = I \times (\cos \chi)^m \times e^{-n \times \sec \chi}, \quad (1)$$

where the optimal values of parameters  $I$ ,  $m$ , and  $n$  for each photolysis frequency were adopted (Saunders et al., 2003). The organic compounds were not treated individually but assigned to different lumped species according to the reactivities with OH. The classification of the constrained organic compounds in RACM2 were listed in Table 2 in detail. The sum of monoterpenes was allocated to  $\alpha$ -pinene in the model, and the uncertainty due to such simplification was discussed in Sect. 4.2.2. Isomerization of isoprene-derived peroxy radicals was also considered. Other lumped secondary species were unconstrained due to the technical limits but generated numerically by the model calculation.

An additional first-order loss term equivalent to a lifetime of 8 h was given to all species to represent physical losses by means of deposition, convection, and advection. The observed-to-model ratio of PAN concentration was 1.09 using this physical loss rate, while the modeled PAN concentration agreed to measurements from late morning to midnight but slightly lower than measurements in the early morning (Fig. S2), which might be related to the effect of boundary layer height variation. To test the influence of diurnal boundary layer height variation, we performed a sensitivity test by imposing a loss rate dependent on boundary layer height (BLH, reanalysis data from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) to all species. In this scenario, the model continuously underpredicted the concentration in the early morning, and additionally, the model overestimated the observed PAN in the midday and afternoon (Fig. S2). This



**Table 1.** Measured species and performance of the instruments.

Parameters	Techniques	Time resolutions	Limit of detection <sup>a</sup>	Accuracy
OH	LIF <sup>b</sup>	30 s	$6.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$\pm 10 \%$
HO <sub>2</sub>	LIF <sup>b,c</sup>	30 s	$1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$\pm 13 \%$
Photolysis frequencies	Spectroradiometer	9 s	<sup>d</sup>	$\pm 10 \%$
O <sub>3</sub>	UV photometry	60 s	0.5 ppb	$\pm 5 \%$
NO	Chemiluminescence	60 s	60 ppt	$\pm 20 \%$
NO <sub>2</sub>	Chemiluminescence <sup>e</sup>	60 s	0.3 ppb	$\pm 20 \%$
HONO	LOPAP <sup>f</sup>	60 s	10 ppt	$\pm 20 \%$
CO	Infrared absorption	60 s	1 ppb	$\pm 1 \text{ ppb}$
SO <sub>2</sub>	Pulsed UV fluorescence	60 s	0.1 ppb	$\pm 5 \%$
VOCs <sup>g</sup>	GC-FID/MS <sup>h</sup>	1 h	20–300 ppt	$\pm 15 \%$
HCHO	Hantzsch fluorimetry	60 s	25 ppt	$\pm 5 \%$
Glyoxal	CEAS	60 s	60 ppt	$\pm 10 \%$
Monoterpene <sup>i</sup>	PTR-MS	10 s	20 ppt	$\pm 15 \%$
PNSD	SMPS	5 min	14–700 nm	$\pm 20 \%$

<sup>a</sup> Signal-to-noise ratio = 1. <sup>b</sup> Laser-induced fluorescence. <sup>c</sup> Chemical conversion to OH via NO reaction before detection.

<sup>d</sup> Process-specific, 5 orders of magnitude lower than maximum at noon. <sup>e</sup> Photolytic conversion to NO before detection, home-built converter. <sup>f</sup> Long-path absorption photometry. <sup>g</sup> VOCs including C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>11</sub> alkanes, C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>6</sub> alkenes, and C<sub>6</sub>–C<sub>10</sub> aromatics. <sup>h</sup> Gas chromatography equipped with a mass spectrometer and a flame ionization detector. <sup>i</sup> The sum of monoterpene.

was because the boundary-layer-height-dependent loss rate was largest at night, which made the loss of PAN greater and further worsened the measurement–model comparison. Therefore, the treatment of a first-order loss term equal to 8 h for all species in the model might not reflect the loss due to deposition but gave a reasonable approximation on the overall physical loss of the model-generated intermediates. Nevertheless, the modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were insensitive to the imposed loss rate (Fig. S3). The concentrations differed less than 0.5 % between two cases for both OH and HO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, a sensitivity test without HCHO and glyoxal constrained indicated that the model would under-predict the HCHO and over-predict the glyoxal concentrations (Fig. S2), which might be related to the significant primary emission of HCHO and missing sinks of glyoxal in the current mechanisms. However, the missing sources and sinks of HCHO and glyoxal are not the scope of this study. To avoid interruption from incapability of model performance, both HCHO and glyoxal were constrained to observations in this study.

According to the Monte Carlo simulation tests, the estimated 1 $\sigma$  uncertainty of the model calculation was 32 % and 40 % for OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, arising mainly from the uncertainties of both observational constraints and kinetic rate constants, among which the rate constants between HO<sub>2</sub> and NO, dilution time, and NO concentration were of most significant importance in this study.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Meteorological and chemical conditions

The meteorological condition encountered during the campaign was characterized by high temperature (up to 35 °C), high relative humidity (54 % on average), and strong solar radiation. The wind speed was usually below 2 m s<sup>−1</sup> during the daytime. Back trajectory analysis demonstrated that the air masses were predominately transported from the south and east during the campaign (Fig. S4). High O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were frequently observed on days when the air masses transported to the measurement site had passed through the south, especially the large southwest city clusters. As shown in Fig. 1, the daytime O<sub>3</sub> concentrations exceeded the Chinese national air quality standard level II (hourly averaged limit 93 ppb) on several days and reached as high as 150 ppb on 5 and 6 June.

Figure 2 shows mean diurnal profiles of the key parameter observations. The averaged period is selected when HO<sub>x</sub> measurements were available (23 May–17 June excluding the break). Solar radiation was intense during the whole campaign, indicated by photolysis frequencies  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$  and  $j(\text{NO}_2)$ . NO concentration peaked at 4 ppb during morning rush hour and then dropped to 0.2 ppb at noon. O<sub>3</sub> concentration started to increase after sunrise and reached the peak of 86 ppb around noon and lasted until sunset. Subsequently, O<sub>3</sub> concentration decreased and partially converted to NO<sub>2</sub> due to the absence of sunlight. The total oxidant (O<sub>x</sub>), the sum of O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, also decreased after sunset. Along with the increased NO<sub>2</sub> at night, HONO concentration increased and reached the maximum of up to 1.3 ppb at sunrise and then declined rapidly due to the fast photolysis. The averaged


**Table 2.** Assignment of measured and constrained VOCs in RACM<sub>2</sub> during this study.

RACM	Measured hydrocarbons
ACE	Acetylene
ETH	Ethane
HC3	Propane, <i>i</i> -butane, <i>n</i> -butane, 2,2-dimethylbutane
HC5	<i>i</i> -Pentane, <i>n</i> -pentane, cyclopentane, 2,3-dimethylbutane, 2-methylpentane, 3-methylpentane, MTBE, <i>n</i> -hexane, 2,3-dimethylpentane, 2,4-dimethylpentane, methylcyclopentane, 2-methylhexane
HC8	Cyclohexane, 3-methylhexane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, 2,3,4-trimethylpentane, <i>n</i> -heptane, methylcyclohexane, 2-methylheptane, 3-methylheptane, <i>n</i> -octane, <i>n</i> -nonane, <i>n</i> -decane, <i>n</i> -undecane
ETE	Ethylene
OLI	<i>trans</i> -2-Butene, <i>cis</i> -2-butene, <i>trans</i> -2-pentene, <i>cis</i> -2-pentene
OLT	Propene, 1-butene, 1-pentene, 1-hexene, styrene
DIEN	1,3-Butadiene
BEN	Benzene
TOL	Toluene, ethylbenzene, <i>i</i> -propylbenzene, <i>n</i> -propylbenzene
XYO	<i>o</i> -Xylene, <i>o</i> -ethyltoluene
XYM	<i>m</i> -Ethyltoluene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, <i>m</i> -diethylbenzene
XYP	<i>m,p</i> -Xylene, <i>p</i> -ethyltoluene, <i>p</i> -diethylbenzene
ISO	Isoprene
API	Sum of monoterpenes
HCHO	Formaldehyde
ACD	Acetaldehyde
GLY	Glyoxal
ACT	Acetone
MACR	Methacrolein
MVK	Methyl vinyl ketone
MEK	Methyl ethyl ketone

HONO concentration was 0.6 ppb on a daytime basis. Peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs) is an indicator for active photochemistry, which increased since sunrise, reaching a maximum of 1.6 ppb at 12:00 and then decreasing in late afternoon during this campaign. However, other oxidation products, including HCHO and glyoxal, similar to CO and SO<sub>2</sub>, peaked at 08:00 CNST rather than at noon and in the late afternoon and decreased afterwards, indicating an anthropogenic emission-related origin of these species. Since this campaign was conducted during a harvest season, agriculture biomass burning might be responsible for the elevated HCHO and glyoxal in

the early morning (Guo et al., 2021; J. W. Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2018).

Isoprene showed a broad peak of 0.2 ppb from 09:00 to 15:00, which was several times lower than during the previous summer campaigns (Lu et al., 2012, 2013; Tan et al., 2017). The sum of monoterpene concentrations varied from 0.2 to 0.4 ppb, showing a diurnal peak around noon. Though the speciation is not known, the daytime monoterpene concentration was comparable to monoterpene-dominated pine forest (Kim et al., 2013; Hens et al., 2014). The role of monoterpene in HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry is discussed in Sect. 4.2.2.



**Figure 1.** Time series of measured photolysis frequencies ( $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ,  $j(\text{NO}_2)$ ), relative humidity (RH), ambient temperature ( $T$ ), and concentrations of  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{O}_x$  ( $= \text{O}_3 + \text{NO}_2$ ),  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{HONO}$ , formaldehyde (HCHO), and glyoxal (CHOCHO). The dotted horizontal line represents the Chinese national air quality standard level II of  $\text{O}_3$  (hourly averaged limit 93 ppb). The grey areas denote nighttime.

### 3.2 OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical observation

Figure 3 shows the time series of the observed and calculated OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations. Continuous measurement of HO<sub>x</sub> radicals was interrupted by rainfall and calibration or instrument maintenance. Distinct diurnal variation was observed for both OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals. The daily maxima of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentration were in the range of  $(8\text{--}24) \times 10^6$  and  $(4\text{--}28) \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively. The mean diurnal profiles showed that averaged OH and HO<sub>2</sub> peak concentrations (1 h averaged) were  $1.0 \times 10^7$  and  $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively (Fig. 4). Additionally, the chemical modulation tests performed on 7 June, an  $\text{O}_3$  polluted day, indicated that the unknown OH interference, if existed, was insignificant and below the detection limits during this campaign (Fig. S5).

For comparison, the daytime measured OH concentration in this campaign together with the OH concentrations in the Yufa and Wangdu campaigns in the NCP region and in the Backgarden, Heshan, and Shenzhen campaigns in the PRD region, where OH radical observations were available in China, are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 5. Overall, the OH radical concentration in the present study was relatively

higher than during other campaigns except for the Backgarden campaign in 2006 (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). A recent winter observation in Shanghai in the YRD region reported an averaged noontime OH concentration of  $2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (Zhang et al., 2022), which was comparable to or even higher than that observed in winter in Beijing ( $1.7\text{--}3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) (Tan et al., 2018c; Ma et al., 2019; Slater et al., 2020). It demonstrated the strong atmospheric oxidation capacity in this region among the three megapolitan areas (NCP, PRD, and YRD) in China from the perspective of OH concentration.

We also found strong correlation between observed OH radical concentration and photolysis frequency ( $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ) during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign, with the correlation coefficient  $R^2$  and the correlation slope being 0.85 and  $4.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ s cm}^{-3}$ , respectively (Fig. 6). Notably, the slopes were in the range of  $(4.0\text{--}4.8) \times 10^{11} \text{ s cm}^{-3}$  for all the previous filed campaigns in the NCP and PRD regions, for both summer and winter (Tan et al., 2017, 2018c; Lu et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2019). It suggested that the atmospheric oxidation capacity to sustain the radical concentrations was comparable under various chemical conditions in the three major urban agglomerations. In addition, the intercept of the linear fit

**Table 3.** Summary of filed measurements and model simulation for  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ,  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , OH,  $\text{HO}_2$ ,  $P(\text{RO}_x)$ ,  $F(\text{O}_x)$ , and OPE at local noon in urban and suburban environments.


Location	Month Year	Type	$j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ / $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$\text{O}_3$ /ppb	$\text{NO}_x$ /ppb	OH / $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$\text{HO}_2$ / $10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$P(\text{RO}_x)$ /ppb h <sup>-1</sup>	$F(\text{O}_x)$ /ppb h <sup>-1</sup>	OPE <sup>s</sup>	References
Pabstuhm, Germany, 52.85° N, 50 km NW of Berlin	July–August 1998	Rural	1.5	42	1.55	3.5	2.2	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	2.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.3	Holland et al. (2003), Volz-Thomas et al. (2003), Platt et al. (2002)
Nashville, USA, 36°11.4' N, 86°42.0' W, 8 km NE of downtown area	June–July 1999	Suburban	3.0 <sup>a</sup>	60 <sup>a</sup>	4.4 <sup>a</sup>	10	7.5	1.1	9 <sup>c</sup>	8.2	Martinez (2003), Thornton et al. (2002)
La Porte, USA, 29°40' N, 95°01' W, 40 km SE of Houston	August–September 2000	Suburban	3.0	70	6	20	7.5	4.9	25 <sup>d</sup>	5.1	Mao et al. (2010)
New York (Queens College), USA, 40°44' 15" N, 73°49' 18" W, in the borough of Queens	June–August 2001	Urban	2.5	48	28	7.0 <sup>e</sup>	1.0 <sup>e</sup>	4.8	34 <sup>d</sup>	7.1	Mao et al. (2010), Ren et al. (2003a, b)
Mexico City, Mexico, 19°25' N, ~ 7 km SE of downtown area	April–May 2003	Urban	4.5	115	18	12 <sup>f</sup>	15 <sup>f</sup>	8.6	65 <sup>d</sup>	7.6	Mao et al. (2010), Shirley et al. (2006)
Essex (Writtle University College), England, 51°44' 12" N, 0°25' 28" E, 25 miles NE of central London	July–August 2003	Rural	1.0 <sup>g</sup>	46.5 <sup>g</sup>	10.8 <sup>g</sup>	2 <sup>g</sup>	0.7 <sup>g</sup>	11.6 <sup>g</sup>	7.25 <sup>h</sup>	0.6	Emmerson et al. (2007)
Tokyo (University of Tokyo), Japan, 35°39' N, 139°41' E, near city center	July–August 2004	Urban	2.5	32	12	6.3 <sup>e</sup>	1.4 <sup>e</sup>	2.2 (6.8) <sup>i</sup>	13.9 <sup>j</sup>	6.3 (2.0) <sup>i</sup>	Kanaya et al. (2007, 2008)
Backgarden, China, 23.487° N, 113.034° E, 60 km NW of downtown Guangzhou	July 2006	Rural	3.5	51	11.4	14	17 <sup>k</sup>	10.7	18 <sup>l</sup>	1.7	Lu et al. (2012), Lou et al. (2010)
Yufa, China, 39.5145° N, ~ 40 km south of the Beijing downtown area	August–September 2006	Rural	1.8	71	8.8	5.5	7.2 <sup>k</sup>	7.0	15 <sup>l</sup>	2.1	Lu et al. (2013)
Mexico City, Mexico, 19° N, 100° W, ~ 7 km SE of downtown area	March 2006	Urban	4.0	90	49	4.6 <sup>e</sup>	1.9 <sup>e</sup>	7.5	31 <sup>c</sup>	4.1	Dusanter et al. (2009a, b), Molina et al. (2010)
University of Houston (70 m a.g.l.), USA, 29.7176° N, 95.3413° W, 5 km SE of downtown Houston	August–September 2006	Urban (tower)	3.1	68	4	15	12.5	5.3	45 <sup>d</sup>	8.5	Mao et al. (2010)



Table 3. Continued.

Location	Month Year	Type	$j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ $/10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$	O <sub>3</sub> /ppb	NO <sub>x</sub> /ppb	OH $/10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	HO <sub>2</sub> $/10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$	$P(\text{RO}_x)$ /ppb h <sup>-1</sup>	$F(\text{O}_x)$ /ppb h <sup>-1</sup>	OPE <sup>s</sup>	References
University of Houston (70 m a.g.l.), USA, 29.7176° N, 95.3413° W, 5 km SE of downtown Houston	April–May 2009	Urban (tower)	–	47	2.5	8.8 <sup>e</sup>	6.3 <sup>e</sup>	3	18 <sup>j</sup>	6	Ren et al. (2013), Lee et al. (2013)
Paris, France, 48.718° N, 2.207° E, ~ 14 km SW of Paris	July 2009	Suburban	2.2	35	4.3	4.2	1.3 <sup>m</sup>	0.75 <sup>n</sup>	7.1 <sup>o</sup>	9.5	Michoud et al. (2012)
Pasadena, USA, 34.1408° N, 118.1223° W, ~ 18 km NE of downtown Los Angeles	May–June 2010	Suburban	2.1 (2.5) <sup>p</sup>	45 (72) <sup>p</sup>	19 (9) <sup>p</sup>	3.5 (4.0) <sup>p</sup>	2.0 (5.0) <sup>p</sup>	4.0 (5.3) <sup>p</sup>	33 (23) <sup>p,q</sup>	8.3 (4.3)	Griffith et al. (2016)
London, England, 51°31′16″N, 0°12′48″W, in central London	July–August 2012	Urban	–	24.2 (37.4) <sup>r</sup>	13.1 (24.3) <sup>r</sup>	2.1 (3.0) <sup>r</sup>	2.0 (0.6) <sup>r</sup>	4.9	5.6 <sup>g</sup>	1.1	Whalley et al. (2018), Whalley (2016)
Wangdu, China, 38.71° N, 115.15° E, ~ 35 km SW of Baoding and 170 km SW of Beijing	June–July 2014	Rural	1.8	88	8.2	8.3	7.7	4.8	14.7 <sup>b</sup>	3.1	Tan et al. (2017)
Heshan, China, 22.728° N, 112.929° E, ~ 6 km SW of the city of Heshan and 50 km SW of Guangzhou and Foshan	October–November 2014	Suburban	1.3	51	26.9	4.8	2.3	5.1	18.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.5	Tan et al. (2019a)
Beijing, China, 39.97° N, 116.38° E, in central Beijing	May–June 2017	Urban	2.4	100	25	9.0	3.0	7.0	7.8 <sup>t</sup>	2.4 <sup>t</sup>	Whalley et al. (2021), Shi et al. (2019)
Taizhou, China, 32.56° N, 119.99° E, ~ 200 km NW of Shanghai	May–June 2018	Suburban	2.1	82	3.6	10.6	11.4	6.8	11.4 <sup>j</sup>	1.7	This study

<sup>a</sup> Take from a typical day. <sup>b</sup> Calculated from measured peroxy radical with NO reaction. <sup>c</sup> Calculated from measured HO<sub>2</sub> and scaled RO<sub>2</sub> (measured HO<sub>2</sub> times the ratio of modeled RO<sub>2</sub> to HO<sub>2</sub>) with NO. <sup>e</sup> Median. <sup>f</sup> Median and revised. <sup>g</sup> 11:00–15:00 mean. <sup>h</sup> Calculated by summing all of the reaction rates for NO-to-NO<sub>2</sub> conversions. <sup>i</sup> For smog-free day and smog day (in parentheses) separately. <sup>j</sup> Calculated from measured HO<sub>2</sub> and modeled RO<sub>2</sub> with NO. <sup>k</sup> HO<sub>2</sub> (HO<sub>2</sub> and partial RO<sub>2</sub>). <sup>l</sup> Calculated from modeled HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> with NO. <sup>m</sup> Total peroxy radicals (HO<sub>2</sub> + RO<sub>2</sub>). <sup>n</sup> 08:00–16:00 mean. <sup>o</sup> Calculated by measured total peroxy radicals (HO<sub>2</sub> + RO<sub>2</sub>) with NO. <sup>p</sup> For weekdays and weekend days (in parentheses) separately. <sup>q</sup> Calculated from measured HO<sub>2</sub> with NO. <sup>r</sup> For westerly flow and easterly flow (in parentheses) separately. <sup>s</sup> Calculated by the ratio between  $F(\text{O}_x)$  and  $P(\text{RO}_x)$ . <sup>t</sup> Daily mean.



**Figure 2.** Mean diurnal profiles of measured photolysis frequencies ( $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ ), relative humidity (RH), ambient temperature ( $T$ ), and concentrations of  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{O}_x$  ( $=\text{O}_3 + \text{NO}_2$ ), NO,  $\text{NO}_2$ , CO,  $\text{SO}_2$ , HONO, formaldehyde (HCHO), glyoxal (CHOCHO), biogenic VOCs (monoterpenes, isoprene), and PAN. Data are averaged over the period with  $\text{HO}_x$  radical measurement. Colored areas denote the standard deviation of variability ( $1\sigma$ ). The grey areas denote nighttime.

for this campaign was about  $7.6 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , which was comparable to the Wangdu campaign in 2014 ( $7.7 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and lower than the Yufa and Backgarden campaigns in 2006 ( $1.6 \times 10^6$  and  $2.4 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively). It represented the non-photolytically produced OH concentration.

### 3.3 Modeled OH reactivity


OH reactivity ( $k_{\text{OH}}$ ) is the pseudo first-order loss rate coefficient of the OH radical and indicates the inverse of the chemical lifetime of the OH radical. It can be defined by the sum of the OH reactant concentrations multiplied by their reaction rate constants versus OH radical (Fuchs et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2016, 2019; Lou et al., 2010):

$$k_{\text{OH}} = \sum_i k_{\text{OH}+X_i} [X_i]. \quad (2)$$


In this study, the  $k_{\text{OH}}$  was calculated from measured NO,  $\text{NO}_2$ , CO,  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{--C}_{12}$  VOCs (including isoprene and monoterpene), HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and acetone and model-generated intermediate species (mainly referring to the unconstrained oxygenated VOCs). The calculated  $k_{\text{OH}}$  ranged between 5 and  $40 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3).

The typical mean diurnal variation in  $k_{\text{OH}}$  showed a peak in the early morning and then dropped by nearly 50 % to a minimum in the afternoon (Fig. 7a). The averaged  $k_{\text{OH}}$  for periods with OH radical measurement was  $10.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$  on a day-time basis (08:00–16:00), and a total of 36 % of the modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$  could be attributed to the inorganic compounds (Fig. 7b). CO was the single largest contributor to  $k_{\text{OH}}$ , with a campaign average contribution of 19 %. NO and  $\text{NO}_2$  together contributed 15 % of the modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$ . Alkanes, alkenes, and aromatics contributed an additional 15 % of the modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$ . The reactivity from isoprene made a small contribution (5 %) to the modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$  compared to other campaigns conducted in suburban China, where isoprene typically contributed about 20 % of the total  $k_{\text{OH}}$  (Lou et al., 2010; Fuchs et al., 2017). The contribution that monoterpene made was 4 %, which was a substantial fraction considering that the daytime monoterpene level was usually low in suburban and urban areas.

The OVOCs made up a large portion, accounting for approximately 40 % of the modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$ . The model-generated OVOCs made a comparable contribution to the measured ones (22 % vs. 18 %), and the model-generated contribution to OH reactivity was insensitive to the imposed physical loss rate (Fig. S3). This characteristic was similar to what was observed in London and Wangdu (Whalley et al., 2016; Fuchs et al., 2017), where major OVOCs including HCHO, acetaldehyde, and acetone were directly measured, and the measured OVOCs together with the model-generated OVOCs accounted for a large portion of the total reactivity (44 % and 25 %, respectively). It was noteworthy that, in both campaigns,  $k_{\text{OH}}$  was directly measured and the  $k_{\text{OH}}$  budget was largely closed. In some previous studies in urban and suburban areas, however, missing  $k_{\text{OH}}$  ranging from less than 30 % to over 50 % of the total reactivity was often observed (Kovacs et al., 2003; Lou et al., 2010; Shirley et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2016). The common feature of these observations was that the measurement of OVOCs was completely missing. In fact, model simulations had proven that the model-generated OVOCs from the photooxidation of measured VOCs could quantitatively explain the missing  $k_{\text{OH}}$  in most of these campaigns during daytime, and the majority of the model-generated OVOCs were HCHO, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and the isoprene oxidation products. Therefore, in recent studies, with the improved coverage of the measurement of major OVOC species, together with the model-generated secondary species, the calculated  $k_{\text{OH}}$  was largely in agreement with the measured  $k_{\text{OH}}$  in urban and suburban areas during the daytime. However, a significant difference could still be observed in areas affected by dra-



**Figure 3.** Time series of observed and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and the modeled grouped OH reactivity ( $k_{\text{OH}}$ ). Vertical dashed lines denote midnight. The grey areas denote nighttime.




**Figure 4.** The mean diurnal profiles of measured and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations **(a)** as well as the discrepancies between observation and model **(b)** in different scenarios (Scenario 1: base case; Scenario 2: without  $\alpha$ -pinene constrained; Scenario 3: with HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process considered by assuming the uptake coefficient of 0.2; Scenario 4: with HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process considered by assuming the uptake coefficient of 0.08). Colored areas denote  $1\sigma$  uncertainties of measured (red) and base case modeled (blue) radical concentrations, respectively. The grey areas denote nighttime.

matic anthropogenic influences, for instance in central Beijing (Whalley et al., 2021). About 30 % of the measured  $k_{\text{OH}}$  remained unaccounted for, even if the measured and model-generated OVOCs were taken into account, which only contributed 6.5 % of the total reactivity, implying that the missing reactivity could be attributed to the undetected or unrecognized species under complex environments.


## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Sources and sinks of RO<sub>x</sub> radicals

The sum of OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, and RO<sub>2</sub> radicals is known as the RO<sub>x</sub> radical. The interconversion within the RO<sub>x</sub> radical family is relatively efficient via radical propagation reactions, in which the number of consumed and number of produced radicals



**Figure 5.** Summary of OH radical concentrations (noontime, 11:00–13:00) measured in five summer field campaigns in China. Yufa (YF) and Wangdu (WD) campaigns in the North China Plain, Heshan (HS) and Backgarden (BG) campaigns in the Pearl River Delta, and Taizhou (TZ, this study) campaign in Yangtze River Delta. The box–whisker plot shows the 90th, 75th, 50th, 25th, and 10th percentile values of noon OH radical concentrations in each campaign. The diamond shows the mean values of noon OH radical concentrations.



**Figure 6.** Correlation between measured OH and  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$ . Grey scatter plot represents the 5 min observation result for the EXPLORE-YRD campaign. A linear fit which takes both measurement errors into account is applied. The linear fit lines and correlation slopes, intercept, and coefficients are also shown.


are equal and do not change the total  $\text{RO}_x$  concentrations. In this section, we concentrate on the radical initiation processes that produce radicals from non-radical molecules and chain termination processes that destroy radicals. The radical primary production consists of photolysis reactions and alkene ozonolysis. Radical termination processes include reactions with nitrogen oxides and recombination of peroxy radicals.

Figure 8 presents the mean diurnal profiles of  $\text{RO}_x$  radical production and destruction rates based on the model calculation. The  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  and  $L(\text{RO}_x)$  show distinct diurnal variation with a maximum of  $6.8 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  at noontime. In other campaigns (Table 3), diurnal maximum  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  varies


from  $1.1 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  at a suburban site in Nashville to about  $11.6 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  at a rural site near London during a heat wave (Martinez, 2003; Emmerson et al., 2007). The  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  in the EXPLORE-YRD campaign is comparable to that found in Mexico 2003, Mexico 2006, and Yufa 2006 (Mao et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009b; Lu et al., 2013).

The daytime averaged radical chemistry production rate was  $5.7 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$ , of which 83 % was attributed to the photolytic process. HONO photolysis was the dominant primary source for the entire day and contributed up to 42 % of  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  on a daytime basis. Two recent winter campaigns in the same region also found HONO photolysis dominated the radical primary source, contributing 38 % to 53 % of the total radical sources, despite the overall radical production rates being several times lower than that in summertime (Lou et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). In fact, the photolysis of HONO is one of the most important radical primary sources in worldwide urban and suburban areas for both summer (Ren et al., 2003b; Dusanter et al., 2009b; Michoud et al., 2012; Whalley et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2017) and winter (Ren et al., 2006; Kanaya et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2018c; Ma et al., 2019). In addition, carbonyl compound (including HCHO) photolysis was also an important contributor to radical primary sources under urban and suburban conditions (Kanaya et al., 2007; Griffith et al., 2016; Emmerson et al., 2007). In this study, carbonyl compound photolysis accounted for on average 24 % of  $P(\text{RO}_x)$ , in which 14 % was from HCHO solely. The dominant primary radical source in remote regions, ozone photolysis (generating  $\text{O}^1\text{D}$  and subsequently reacting with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to produce OH), also played a significant role in this study, contributing 17 % to  $P(\text{RO}_x)$ . Furthermore, the non-photolytic radical source alkene ozonolysis peaked at around 10:00 in the morning, and the most important  $\text{O}_3$  reactant was monoterpene (35 % on a daytime basis). It was worth noting that  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  reduced significantly after sunset while there was a small peak of  $1.5 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  at dusk. The nighttime radical chemistry was mainly initiated by  $\text{NO}_3$  oxidation (82 %) with monoterpene in the first half of the night, but the  $\text{NO}_3$  chemistry was suppressed from midnight to sunrise by the increasing NO concentration because of the efficient titration effect (H. Wang et al., 2020).

During the EXPLORE-YRD campaign, the  $\text{RO}_x$  termination processes were mainly dominated by the  $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$  reaction before 08:00 and by peroxy radical self-reaction in the afternoon (Fig. 8). On a daytime basis, nitrate formation and peroxy radical recombination both accounted for half of  $L(\text{RO}_x)$ . The peroxy radical recombination including  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2$ ,  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ , and  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{RO}_2$  reactions contributed 33 %, 15 %, and 1 % to  $L(\text{RO}_x)$ , respectively. Because the  $\text{HO}_2$  and  $\text{RO}_2$  concentrations were usually similar, the different contributions between three kinds of peroxy radical recombinations were caused by different reaction rate constants. In RACM2, the  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2$  reaction rate varied from  $5.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (methyl peroxy radical



**Figure 7.** (a) The mean diurnal profiles of speciated OH reactivity. The grey areas denote nighttime. (b) Breakdown of modeled OH reactivity for daytime conditions (08:00–16:00).



**Figure 8.** Hourly mean diurnal profiles of primary sources and sinks of RO<sub>x</sub> radicals from model calculations. The grey areas denote nighttime.

at 298 K) to  $1.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (isoprene-derived RO<sub>2</sub> at 298 K). In comparison, the effective HO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> reaction rate constant was  $3.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  assuming an ambient H<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratio of 2 %. The self-combination of methyl peroxy radical rate constant was  $3.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec.}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , 1 order of magnitude smaller than the other radical recombination reactions. The reversible reaction between the peroxyacyl radical and PANs became a net radical sink in the morning because relatively high NO<sub>2</sub> and low temperature shifted the thermodynamic equilibrium to form PANs. The net formation of PANs followed by physical losses contributed on average 12 % of  $L(\text{RO}_x)$ . In addition, part of the RO<sub>2</sub> species reacts with NO to form organic

nitrate rather than recycle to HO<sub>2</sub> radical, resulting in 6 % of the radical losses during the daytime. As for the nighttime, since the radicals formed from NO<sub>3</sub> oxidation were dominantly OLND (peroxy radicals of NO<sub>3</sub>-alkene adduct reacting via deposition) and OLNN (peroxy radicals of NO<sub>3</sub>-alkene adduct reacting to form carbonitrates and HO<sub>2</sub>) in RACM2, the nighttime radical losses were dominated by the formation of organic nitrates from OLND and OLNN reaction with themselves and other peroxy radicals. The radical termination processes in winter were quite different from that in summer. During wintertime, the peroxy radical recombination was almost negligible, and the radical termination was almost all contributed by the reactions with NO<sub>x</sub> (Zhang et al., 2022; Tan et al., 2018d; Ma et al., 2019; Slater et al., 2020).

## 4.2 OH and HO<sub>2</sub> measurement–model comparison

OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations were simulated by a box model, which showed generally good agreement with observations (Fig. 3). A significant discrepancy between observed and modeled HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations occurred on 12 and 13 June. On these two days, maximum HO<sub>2</sub> increased to  $2.6 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , twice the campaign averaged maximum, while modeled HO<sub>2</sub> concentration remained nearly the same as the campaign averaged maximum. We investigated the discrepancy between observed and modeled HO<sub>2</sub> against different chemical compositions but could not identify the cause of elevated HO<sub>2</sub> concentration on these two days. In the following analysis, the observation–model comparison mainly focused on the mean diurnal average to extract the overall feature of the campaign.

### 4.2.1 OH underestimation in low-NO regime

As shown in Fig. 4, the modeled OH concentration reproduced the observed OH well in the early morning but underestimated the observation since 10:00, with the largest dis-




crepancy occurring at noon. The HO<sub>2</sub> measurement–model comparison showed similar diurnal variation, but the largest discrepancy shifted to 1 h later together with the diurnal maximum. On a daytime basis, the modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations were on average 30 % and 28 % smaller than measurements, respectively. The discrepancies can be explained by their respective combined 1 $\sigma$  uncertainties of measurement and model calculation (10 % and 13 % for measurement and 32 % and 40 % for model calculation). In fact, the HO<sub>2</sub> discrepancy in the mean diurnal profile was mainly caused by two outlier days, which disappeared in the median diurnal profile (Fig. S6). However, the discrepancy of OH was also observed in the median diurnal profile, indicating a persistent OH underestimation during the afternoon.

The OH underestimation discrepancy showed dependence on the NO concentration. Figure 9 illustrates the dependence of observed and modeled HO<sub>x</sub> radicals on NO concentration. To remove the influence of photolysis on the OH radical, OH concentration was normalized to  $j(\text{O}^1\text{D})$  prior to NO dependence analysis. The observed median OH<sub>norm</sub> was almost constant over the whole NO regime, while the modeled value tended to decrease towards lower NO (< 0.3 ppb). The modeled OH<sub>norm</sub> was 42 % smaller than the observed one at a NO mixing ratio below 0.1 ppb (Fig. 9), which was beyond the measurement–model combined uncertainty. This discrepancy was mainly caused by the data obtained in the afternoon. The observed and modeled HO<sub>2</sub> agreed throughout the NO regime (Fig. 9) and was consistent with the median diurnal profiles.

Such OH underestimation in the low-NO regime (typically with NO concentration less than 1 ppb) was frequently found in environments with intense biogenic emissions, especially isoprene (Tan et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2008; Lelieveld et al., 2008; Whalley et al., 2011; Stone et al., 2011a; Lu et al., 2012, 2013; Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). We included up-to-date chemical mechanisms related to H-shift processes to consider the impact of an additional OH source, such as the H-shift mechanism of isoprene-derived peroxy radicals (Peeters et al., 2014). However, during this campaign, isoprene concentration was only 0.2 ppb, contributing 5 % of the modeled OH reactivity. The H-shift mechanism of isoprene-derived peroxy radicals only increased 1.2 % of the modeled OH concentration and thus played a minor role in OH chemistry. Therefore, other processes should account for the OH underestimation in low-NO conditions.

To resolve the OH underestimation, a genetic mechanism X was proposed for the Backgarden 2006 campaign, in which X served as NO that converted RO<sub>2</sub> to HO<sub>2</sub> and then HO<sub>2</sub> to OH (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). Sensitivity tests demonstrated the requested amount of X was equivalent to 100 ppt NO for the EXPLORE-YRD campaign (Fig. 9). Comparatively, the X concentration is the same as in the Wangdu campaign (Tan et al., 2017) but smaller than that identified in Backgarden (0.8 ppb, Hofzumahaus et al., 2009), Yufa (0.4 ppb, Lu et al., 2013), and Heshan (0.4 ppb,



**Figure 9.** Dependence of measured and modeled OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, and  $P(\text{O}_x)$  on NO concentrations for daytime conditions ( $j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) > 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Box-whisker plot shows the median, the 75th and 25th percentiles, and the 90th and 10th percentiles of the measured results for each NO interval bin. Only median values are shown for modeled results. Numbers in the upper panel represent the data points incorporated in each NO interval. Results from the base case, with an additional recycling process by a species X (equivalent to 100 ppt NO) and with an additional HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process (assuming  $\gamma$  to be 0.08), are all plotted.

Tan et al., 2019a), where the biogenic isoprene and OH reactivities were 3 to 5 times and twice as high as during this campaign, respectively (Table 3).

It should be pointed out that the preceding quantified X of 100 ppt equivalent NO was supposed to be the lowest limit in this study, if missing reactivity existed. Therefore, we performed a series of sensitivity tests, by adding a genetic reaction converting OH to RO<sub>2</sub> that was equivalent to 30 % of the total OH reactivity to account for the possible missing reactivity in this study. The adopted degree of missing reactivity was comparable to that observed in central Beijing (Whalley et al., 2021), which represented a significant portion of potential missing reactivity. Furthermore, the formed RO<sub>2</sub> species was varied to investigate the influence of different RO<sub>2</sub> types on the modeled radical concentrations including MO<sub>2</sub> (methyl peroxy radical), ETEP (peroxy radical formed from ethene), and ACO<sub>3</sub> (acetyl peroxy radical). In these cases, the modeled OH decreased by  $1.1\text{--}1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  compared to the base case, and the requested amount of X

increased to be equivalent to 200–300 ppt of NO depending on the specific RO<sub>2</sub> types (Fig. S7).

On the other hand, the OH measurement–model discrepancy could be attributed to measurement artifacts (Mao et al., 2012; Novelli et al., 2014, 2017; Rickly and Stevens, 2018; Fittschen et al., 2019). Previous studies proposed that stabilized Criegee intermediates (SCIs) produced from reaction of ozone with alkenes and trioxides (ROOOH) produced from reaction of larger RO<sub>2</sub> with OH might cause artificial OH signals using LIF techniques (Novelli et al., 2017; Fittschen et al., 2019). However, chemical modulation tests on an ozone-polluted day when both O<sub>3</sub> and ROOOH (modeled) concentrations were high (7 June) indicated insignificant interference for OH measurement in this study (Fig. S8). Furthermore, little relevance of ROOOH and the degree of disagreement between measurement and model was found in this study (Fig. S9), and thus, there is no hint for significant OH measurement interference during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign. However, one should note that the precision is not good enough to rule out the possibility.

#### 4.2.2 Monoterpene influence

The observed monoterpenes varied from 0.2 to 0.4 ppb, showing a broad peak around noon (Fig. 2). The high monoterpene concentration and daytime peak indicate a strong daytime source given its short lifetime due to oxidation (24 min for  $\alpha$ -pinene or 8.2 min for Limonene, OH =  $1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , O<sub>3</sub> = 80 ppb). The diurnal variation was different from forest environments where maxima usually appeared at night (Kim et al., 2013; Wolfe et al., 2014; Hens et al., 2014). The relatively low nighttime monoterpenes could be related to the strong NO<sub>3</sub> chemistry in this study (H. Wang et al., 2020).

In the base model run, observed monoterpene concentrations were all allocated to  $\alpha$ -pinene, accounting for  $0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  of  $k_{\text{OH}}$  (Fig. 7). Detailed mechanisms referring to  $\alpha$ -pinene oxidation in RACM2 are listed in Table S1 in the Supplement. A sensitivity test without monoterpenes constrained showed the  $k_{\text{OH}}$  would decrease by  $1.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Apart from the decrease in monoterpene itself, half of the decrease in  $k_{\text{OH}}$  was attributed to the degradation products of  $\alpha$ -pinene oxidation. Consequently, the daytime OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations would increase by 7 % ( $5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and 4 % ( $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), respectively (Fig. 4).

We also performed a sensitivity test to attribute the sum of monoterpenes to limonene, another monoterpene species in RACM2. In this case, the OH concentration would decrease by 11 %, while the HO<sub>2</sub> concentration would slightly increase by 1 % relative to the base case. The reduced modeled OH concentration resulted from the 3 times faster reaction rate constant of limonene with OH ( $1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K) than that of  $\alpha$ -pinene ( $5.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K). It indicated that the different assumptions of

monoterpene speciation had a minor impact on modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in this study.

In recent studies, Whalley et al. (2021) highlighted that large RO<sub>2</sub> species, such as those derived from  $\alpha$ -pinene and ozone reaction, form RO species upon reaction with NO, and these RO species can isomerize to form another RO<sub>2</sub> species rather than forming HO<sub>2</sub> directly and thus might have an impact on the modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentration. We also performed a sensitivity test to substitute the reactions of  $\alpha$ -pinene with ozone in RACM2 with those considering RO isomerization in MCM3.3.1. The modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations decreased by  $2.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively, compared to the base model (Fig. S3), indicating that  $\alpha$ -pinene-derived RO isomerization had little impact on the modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in this study.

Other studies conducted in forested environments with a strong influence of monoterpenes from pine tree emissions found discrepancies of up to a factor of 3 in HO<sub>2</sub> measurement–model comparison (Kim et al., 2013; Wolfe et al., 2014; Hens et al., 2014). In the present study, however, HO<sub>2</sub> concentration was well reproduced by the chemical model within combined uncertainty during daytime with high monoterpene concentrations. Nevertheless, we cannot draw a solid conclusion that the monoterpene oxidation chemistry in an environment with both strong anthropogenic and biogenic influences can be captured by the applied chemical mechanisms with respect to HO<sub>x</sub> concentration, since missing HO<sub>2</sub> sources and sinks might exist simultaneously but cancel out each other. Given that there were no OH reactivity or RO<sub>2</sub> observations in this study, we cannot rule out these possibilities.

#### 4.2.3 HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake

A recent model study proposed that HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake processes play an important role in HO<sub>x</sub> radical chemistry and thus suppress ozone formation in China (Li et al., 2019). The RACM2-LIM1 mechanisms used in our study only consist of gas phase reactions without heterogeneous chemistry. Therefore, in this section, we performed a sensitivity test with HO<sub>2</sub> radical uptake considered to investigate the potential impact on the modeled radical concentrations by adding a radical termination process (Reaction R6).



The heterogeneous loss rate of the HO<sub>2</sub> radical is limited by the free molecular collision because the aerosol surface is mainly contributed to by submicron particles. The HO<sub>2</sub> radical uptake process can be simplified as a pseudo first-order reaction, and the first-order kinetics constant can be calcu-

lated by Eq. (3):

$$k_{\text{HO}_2} = \frac{V_{\text{HO}_2} \times S_a \times \gamma}{4}, \quad (3)$$

$$V_{\text{HO}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{8RT}{\pi \times 0.033}}. \quad (4)$$

$V_{\text{HO}_2}$  represents the mean molecular velocity of HO<sub>2</sub> determined by Eq. (4).  $S_a$  is the humid aerosol surface area calculated by the SMPS measured particle number and size distribution in each size bin corrected by the hygroscopic growth factor.  $\gamma$  is the effective HO<sub>2</sub> uptake coefficient on aerosol giving the probability of HO<sub>2</sub> loss by impacting the aerosol surface.

The effective uptake coefficients vary from  $10^{-5}$  to 0.82 from multiple laboratory studies (Thornton et al., 2008; Taketani et al., 2009; Taketani and Kanaya, 2010; George et al., 2013; Lakey et al., 2015; Zou et al., 2019). A relatively high value of 0.2 was found in aerosol samples collected in the North China Plain, which was attributed to the abundant dissolved copper ions in aqueous aerosol (Taketani et al., 2012). A study based on radical experimental budget analysis determined the effective HO<sub>2</sub> uptake coefficient to be  $0.08 \pm 0.13$  in the North China Plain (Tan et al., 2020). In our sensitivity tests, both coefficients were applied and simulated separately.

As shown in Fig. 4, the incorporation of the HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake process worsened the model–measurement agreement with both OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals for both cases. The modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals were reduced by 10 % and 20 %, respectively, for the coefficient of 0.2 and by 5 % and 10 % for the coefficient of 0.08. For the case the coefficient of 0.08, the increased radical loss rate from the HO<sub>2</sub> uptake process was  $0.4 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  on a daytime basis, which was smaller than that during the Wangdu campaign ( $0.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$ ). The discrepancy between the two studies was caused by the lower aerosol surface areas during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign ( $750$  compared to  $1600 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ). The measured and modeled HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations agreed within 33 % on a daytime basis, which was less than the 40 % uncertainty of the HO<sub>2</sub> simulation. However, this discrepancy enlarged to 51 % as the coefficient increased to 0.2, exceeding the uncertainty of HO<sub>2</sub> simulation. The agreements between measurement and model calculation of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> indicated that the base model without heterogeneous reaction captured the key processes for OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical chemistry in this study.

As discussed in Sect. 4.2.1, a series of sensitivity tests had been performed to test the effect of missing reactivity on the modeled radical concentrations (Fig. S7). It turned out that when OH converted to MO<sub>2</sub>, the modeled HO<sub>2</sub> would increase by  $6.2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  compared to the base case, which makes more room for the HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous loss. However, considering the potential effect of missing reactivity on HO<sub>2</sub>, the measured and modeled HO<sub>2</sub> discrepancy (41 %) would

still be beyond the uncertainty of HO<sub>2</sub> simulation for a coefficient of 0.2. On the contrary, for cases where OH converted to ETEP and ACO<sub>3</sub>, the modeled HO<sub>2</sub> decreased by  $1.3 \times 10^7$  and  $1.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively, compared to the base cases, possibly due to the faster radical termination rates through  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2$  in both these cases compared to that of MO<sub>2</sub>. Nevertheless, the model sensitivity tests suggested that the HO<sub>2</sub> uptake coefficient was less than 0.2, if the HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous loss played a role during this campaign.

#### 4.3 Local ozone production rate

Peroxy radical chemistry is intimately tied to the atmospheric ozone production. All peroxy radicals which could react with NO to form NO<sub>2</sub> lead to ozone formation ( $F(\text{O}_x)$ ), as expressed in Eq. (5). In this study, the ozone formation contributed by RO<sub>2</sub> was derived from model calculation due to the absence of RO<sub>2</sub> measurement. The reaction rate constant between HO<sub>2</sub> and NO is approximately  $8.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K, while the rate constant for the reaction of RO<sub>2</sub> with NO varies significantly (ranging 5-fold) depending on the specific speciation in RACM2. In addition, the NO<sub>2</sub> yield from RO<sub>2</sub> and NO reaction also differs for different RO<sub>2</sub> groups in RACM2. Part of the RO<sub>2</sub> radicals react with NO, forming organic nitrates rather than producing NO<sub>2</sub> and recycling the peroxy radicals. The nitrate yield increases with higher carbon numbers and branch structure. Therefore, the NO<sub>2</sub> production from RO<sub>2</sub> + NO reaction is manipulated by the effective reaction rate considering both reaction rate constant and NO<sub>2</sub> yield for different RO<sub>2</sub> species  $i$  (Eq. 5).

$$F(\text{O}_x) = k_{\text{HO}_2+\text{NO}} [\text{HO}_2] [\text{NO}] + \sum_i k_{\text{RO}_2i+\text{NO}} [\text{RO}_2]_i [\text{NO}] \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, formed O<sub>3</sub> could be involved and consumed in the radical chain reactions by initiating the radicals from photolysis and reaction with alkenes and propagating the radicals from reaction with OH and HO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, part of the NO<sub>2</sub> would react with OH to generate nitric acid rather than photolysis ( $L(\text{O}_x)$ ). Additionally, NO<sub>2</sub> could also react with O<sub>3</sub> to form the NO<sub>3</sub> radical, which could further combine with another NO<sub>2</sub> to form N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or oxidize VOCs to form organic nitrates, leading to 2 to 3 times faster O<sub>x</sub> loss than NO<sub>3</sub> radical formation. Considering the fact that the NO<sub>3</sub> radical could be easily photolyzed to regenerate NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> or be titrated by NO to regenerate NO<sub>2</sub>, the contribution from the net NO<sub>3</sub> radical formation pathway was taken into account by taking the largest O<sub>x</sub> loss per NO<sub>3</sub> net formation of 3 in Eq. (6).

$$L(\text{O}_x) = J(\text{O}^1\text{D}) [\text{O}_3] \times \varphi + k_{\text{O}_3+\text{Alkenes}} [\text{Alkenes}] [\text{O}_3] + k_{\text{O}_3+\text{OH}} [\text{OH}] [\text{O}_3] + k_{\text{O}_3+\text{HO}_2} [\text{HO}_2] [\text{O}_3] + k_{\text{OH}+\text{NO}_2} [\text{OH}] [\text{NO}_2] + 3 \times (k_{\text{NO}_2+\text{O}_3} [\text{NO}_2] [\text{O}_3] - k_{\text{NO}+\text{NO}_3} [\text{NO}] [\text{NO}_3] - j_{\text{NO}_3} [\text{NO}_3]) \quad (6)$$

Thus, the net ozone production rate ( $P(\text{O}_x)$ ) could be deduced from the difference between  $\text{O}_x$  formation and  $\text{O}_x$  loss rates as expressed in Eq. (7).

$$P(\text{O}_x) = F(\text{O}_x) - L(\text{O}_x) \quad (7)$$

Figure 10a shows the mean diurnal profiles of the calculated  $F(\text{O}_x)$  and  $L(\text{O}_x)$  in this study. Fast ozone formation rate of up to  $20 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  was observed at 09:00, while the maximum ozone loss rate of  $4 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  shifted 2 h later at noon, when the ozone formation rate reduced to  $11.4 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$ . This rate was comparable to other campaigns conducted in rural areas, while the ozone production rates increased significantly in urban areas, where the noontime ozone formation rates varied from  $13.9 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  in Tokyo to  $65 \text{ ppb h}^{-1}$  in Mexico (Table 3).

Fast ozone formation is the consequence of both strong primary source and efficient radical propagation. The latter can be evaluated by the ratio between  $F(\text{O}_x)$  and  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  known as ozone production efficiency (OPE). As discussed in Sect. 4.1, the radical primary source was relatively high during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign, and thus, the OPE was only 1.7, which was smaller than or comparable to other rural campaigns (Table 3). Urban campaigns in the US, Mexico, and Tokyo showed significantly higher OPE varying from 6 to 10 (Table 3) probably benefitting from the moderate  $\text{NO}_x$  level. In comparison, OPE was smaller in four megacities in China (Beijing: 3.4, Shanghai: 3.1, Guangzhou: 2.2, Chongqing: 3.6) than in the US cities ranging from 3 to 7 because of the suppression of high  $\text{NO}_x$  in Chinese cities (Tan et al., 2019b). However, during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign, the low OPE indicates that the radical propagation chain length was relatively short due to low- $\text{NO}$  conditions.

As shown in Fig. 10b, the integrated net ozone production was  $68.3 \text{ ppb d}^{-1}$  over the entire daytime (08:00–16:00). The daily integrated  $P(\text{O}_x)$  calculated based on the modeled peroxy radicals was 6.9 ppb lower than that derived from observation (Fig. 10b). The discrepancy for observations and model  $P(\text{O}_x)$  mainly appears at  $\text{NO}$  concentrations larger than 1 ppb (Fig. 9). This behavior has been observed in a number of previous urban radical measurement campaigns (Kanaya et al., 2008, 2012; Martinez, 2003; Ren et al., 2003a, 2013; Elshorbany et al., 2012; Brune et al., 2016; Whalley et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2017), which was caused by the model underprediction of the observed  $\text{HO}_2$  concentrations under high  $\text{NO}$  concentration (typically  $\text{NO}$  greater than 1 ppb). Although some of the previous  $\text{HO}_2$  measurement might suffer from unrecognized interference from  $\text{RO}_2$  species, this kind of interference has been minimized by lowering down the added  $\text{NO}$  concentration in recent studies (Griffith et al., 2016; Brune et al., 2016). However, the underestimation of ozone production from  $\text{HO}_2$  radical persists, indicating that the photochemical production mechanism of ozone under a polluted urban environment is still not well understood.

We also investigated the impact of different model scenarios on  $P(\text{O}_x)$  by comparing integrated  $P(\text{O}_x)$  in different cases to that obtained in the base model (Fig. 10b). A sensitivity test without  $\alpha$ -pinene constrained predicted 6.3 ppb less daily integrated net ozone production than the base case. Meanwhile, the contribution of  $\alpha$ -pinene-derived peroxy radicals (APIP) to  $F(\text{O}_x)$  only accounted for 2.3 ppb  $\text{O}_3$  formation (Fig. 10a). The difference can be attributed to the degradation products of  $\alpha$ -pinene, which also contribute to ozone production. For example, aldehyde (ALD) is an important daughter product from  $\alpha$ -pinene oxidation, which reacts with OH and forms acyl peroxy radicals. Acyl peroxy radicals have two advantages in ozone formation. On the one hand, acyl peroxy radicals have the fastest rate constants with NO among all the peroxy radicals (2–5 times faster than others). On the other hand, acyl peroxy radicals react with NO to produce  $\text{NO}_2$  and methyl or ethyl peroxy radicals, which can further oxidize the NO to  $\text{NO}_2$  and generate  $\text{HO}_2$ . Given that the modeled  $\text{HO}_2$  concentration increased by 4 % in the sensitivity test, the reduction in  $P(\text{O}_x)$  was mainly attributed to significant reduction in modeled  $\text{RO}_2$  concentration. In fact, the modeled  $\text{RO}_2$  concentration would reduce by 23 % if  $\alpha$ -pinene were not constrained to observation, which indicated  $\alpha$ -pinene was an important  $\text{RO}_2$  precursor. It proved that monoterpene contributes significantly to the photochemical production of  $\text{O}_3$  in this study.


Moreover, we also investigated the impact of the  $\alpha$ -pinene-derived  $\text{RO}$  species, which can isomerize to form another  $\text{RO}_2$  rather than forming  $\text{HO}_2$  directly on the calculated ozone production rate. It turned out that including an  $\alpha$ -pinene-derived  $\text{RO}$  isomerization mechanism in the model run would reduce the daily net  $\text{O}_3$  production by 1 ppb.

Additionally, the  $\text{HO}_2$  heterogeneous uptake process in the model run would reduce the daily net  $\text{O}_3$  production by 4.8 ppb by assuming the effective coefficient of 0.08. The reduction in  $P(\text{O}_x)$  was only slightly smaller than the relative change in modeled  $\text{HO}_2$  concentration (10 %) because 62 % of the  $F(\text{O}_x)$  was contributed by the reaction of  $\text{HO}_2$  with NO (Fig. 10a).

## 5 Conclusions

A comprehensive field campaign to elucidate the atmospheric oxidation capacity in the Yangtze River Delta in China was carried out in summer 2018, providing the first OH and  $\text{HO}_2$  radical observations in this region. Daily maximum concentrations of OH and  $\text{HO}_2$  radicals were in the range from  $8$  to  $24 \times 10^6$  and  $4$  to  $28 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , with mean values of  $1.0 \times 10^7$  and  $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively. The OH radical had the second highest concentration among the observations in China, indicating the strong oxidation capacity in the YRD region from the perspective of OH radical concentration. The modeled  $k_{\text{OH}}$  varied from 5 to  $40 \text{ s}^{-1}$  over the whole campaign, 40 % of which could be explained by





**Figure 10.** (a) Mean diurnal profiles of the speciation ozone formation rate ( $F(\text{O}_x)$ ) from different peroxy radical species (upper panel) and the speciation ozone destruction rate ( $L(\text{O}_x)$ ), lower panel) calculated based on the measured OH and HO<sub>2</sub> and modeled RO<sub>2</sub> radicals. (b) Daily (08:00–16:00) integrated net ozone production calculated from the observed and modeled radical concentration, respectively. The discrepancies between two model scenarios run (Scenario 1: without  $\alpha$ -pinene constrained; Scenario 2: with HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake considered by assuming  $\gamma$  to be 0.08) from the base case are also shown.

OVOCs, in which measured and modeled OVOCs made up comparable contributions.

The radical primary source was dominated by HONO photolysis during this campaign, contributing 42 % of  $P(\text{RO}_x)$ . The secondary contributor was the photolysis of carbonyl compounds (including HCHO), accounting for 24 % of the total radical primary source. Radical termination was dominated by the reactions with NO<sub>x</sub> in the morning and peroxy radical self-reactions in the afternoon. Specifically, OH + NO<sub>2</sub> reaction and peroxy radical self-reaction from HO<sub>2</sub> + RO<sub>2</sub> were the most important pathways, contributing 25 % and 33 % of the total radical loss rates, respectively.

The comparison between observation and box model simulation showed generally good agreement for both OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals on average. However, the OH radical showed a tendency of underestimation for the low-NO regime (NO < 0.1 ppb), and the discrepancy (42 %) was beyond the combined measurement–model uncertainty. The up-to-date H-shift mechanism of isoprene-derived peroxy radicals could not explain the discrepancy due to the low isoprene concentration (0.2 ppb) during this campaign. A genetic OH recycling process equivalent to 100 ppt NO was capable to fill the gaps, which was also found in previous campaigns in Backgarden, Yufa, Heshan, and Wangdu in China. In addition, the good simulation for the HO<sub>2</sub> radical was different from other monoterpene-rich forest environments, where HO<sub>2</sub> underestimations were found.

Additional sensitivity tests were performed to investigate the impact of monoterpenes and HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake on radical chemistry in this study. Model simulation without monoterpene input or allocating monoterpene to a dif-

ferent isomer ( $\alpha$ -pinene and limonene in this study) showed that HO<sub>x</sub> radical concentrations were not sensitive to the monoterpene in this study. In fact, the modeled RO<sub>2</sub> radical concentration would be reduced by 23 % without monoterpenes constrained. The reduced RO<sub>2</sub> radical offset the enhancement of HO<sub>x</sub> radicals. The combined influence caused the net daily integrated ozone production to decrease by 6.3 ppb compared to the base model of 61.4 ppb, which demonstrated the importance of monoterpene chemistry on the photochemical ozone production in this study. The role of HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake was tested by adding a pseudo first-order reaction loss of HO<sub>2</sub> and taking the effective uptake coefficients of 0.2 and 0.08, respectively. The sensitivity test suggested the applied chemical mechanism without HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous uptake could capture the key processes for HO<sub>x</sub> radicals, and the effective uptake coefficient should be less than 0.2, if the HO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous loss played a role in this study; otherwise, the HO<sub>2</sub> measurement–model discrepancy would be beyond the combined uncertainty. The daily integrated net ozone production would reduce by 4.8 ppb, if the effective uptake coefficient was assumed to be 0.08.

Additionally, the noontime ozone production rate was 11.4 ppb h<sup>-1</sup>, which was much slower than other campaigns in urban and suburban areas varying from 13.9 to 65 ppb h<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, the ozone production efficiency calculated from the ratio of  $P(\text{O}_x)$  and  $P(\text{RO}_x)$  was only 1.7 in this study, which was comparable to the values in rural campaigns but was 3 to 7 times lower than the values in other urban and suburban campaigns, indicating the slow radical propagation rate and short chain length in this study.



**Data availability.** The data used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request (k.lu@pku.edu.cn).

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-7005-2022-supplement>.

**Author contributions.** YZ and KL organized the field campaign. KL and YZ designed the experiments. XM and ZT analyzed the data. XM wrote the manuscript with input from ZT. All authors contributed to measurements, discussing results, and commenting on the manuscript.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the science teams of the EXPLORE-YRD campaign for their support. We appreciate the help of the anonymous reviewers to improve this article.

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (grant no. JQ19031), the National Research Program for Key Issue in Air Pollution Control (grant nos. 2019YFC0214801, 2017YFC0209402, 2017YFC0210004, 2018YFC0213801), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 21976006, 91544225, 91844301).

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Yugo Kanaya and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

## References

- Brune, W. H., Baier, B. C., Thomas, J., Ren, X., Cohen, R. C., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Goldstein, A. H., Gentner, D. R., Keutsch, F. N., Thornton, J. A., Harrold, S., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Ozone production chemistry in the presence of urban plumes, *Faraday Discuss.*, 189, 169–189, <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fd00204d>, 2016.
- Cho, C., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Dorn, H.-P., Glowania, M., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Vardhan, V., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Novelli, A.: Characterization of a chemical modulation reactor (CMR) for the measurement of atmospheric concentrations of hydroxyl radicals with a laser-induced fluorescence instrument, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 1851–1877, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-1851-2021>, 2021.
- Ding, A. J., Fu, C. B., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Zheng, L. F., Xie, Y. N., Herrmann, E., Nie, W., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Ozone and fine particle in the western Yangtze River Delta: an overview of 1 yr data at the SORPES station, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5813–5830, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5813-2013>, 2013.
- Dusanter, S., Vimal, D., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., and Molina, L. T.: Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the MCMA-2006 field campaign – Part 1: Deployment of the Indiana University laser-induced fluorescence instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 1665–1685, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1665-2009>, 2009a.
- Dusanter, S., Vimal, D., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Baker, A., Meinardi, S., Blake, D., Sheehy, P., Merten, A., Zhang, R., Zheng, J., Fortner, E. C., Junkermann, W., Dubey, M., Rahn, T., Eichinger, B., Lewandowski, P., Prueger, J., and Holder, H.: Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the MCMA-2006 field campaign – Part 2: Model comparison and radical budget, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 6655–6675, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6655-2009>, 2009b.
- Elshorbany, Y. F., Kleffmann, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Brauers, T., Bohn, B., Dorn, H. P., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kanaya, Y., Yoshino, A., Nishida, S., Kajii, Y., Martinez, M., Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Lelieveld, J., Elste, T., Plass-Duelmer, C., Stange, G., Berresheim, H., and Schurath, U.: HO<sub>x</sub> budgets during HOxComp: A case study of HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry under NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, D03307, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jd017008>, 2012.
- Emmerson, K. M., Carslaw, N., Carslaw, D. C., Lee, J. D., McFiggans, G., Bloss, W. J., Gravestock, T., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J., Ingham, T., Pilling, M. J., Smith, S. C., Jacob, M., and Monks, P. S.: Free radical modelling studies during the UK TORCH Campaign in Summer 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 167–181, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-167-2007>, 2007.
- Feiner, P. A., Brune, W. H., Miller, D. O., Zhang, L., Cohen, R. C., Romer, P. S., Goldstein, A. H., Keutsch, F. N., Skog, K. M., Wennberg, P. O., Nguyen, T. B., Teng, A. P., DeGouw, J., Koss, A., Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Guenther, A., Edger-ton, E., Baumann, K., and Fry, J. L.: Testing Atmospheric Oxidation in an Alabama Forest, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 73, 4699–4710, <https://doi.org/10.1175/jas-d-16-0044.1>, 2016.
- Fittschen, C., Al Ajami, M., Batut, S., Ferracci, V., Archer-Nicholls, S., Archibald, A. T., and Schoemaeker, C.: ROOOH: a missing piece of the puzzle for OH measurements in low-NO environments?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 349–362, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-349-2019>, 2019.
- Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Lu, K. D., Nehr, S., Rohrer, F., and Wahner, A.: Detection of HO<sub>2</sub> by laser-induced fluorescence: calibration and interferences from RO<sub>2</sub> radicals, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1209–1225, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1209-2011>, 2011.
- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Hofzumahaus, A., Broch, S., Dorn, H.-P., Holland, F., Künstler, C., Gomm, S., Rohrer, F., Schrade, S., Tillmann, R., and Wahner, A.: Investigation of potential interferences in the detection of atmospheric RO<sub>x</sub> radicals by laser-induced fluorescence under dark conditions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 1431–1447, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-1431-2016>, 2016.

- Fuchs, H., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Brown, S. S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Häsel, R., He, L., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Min, K.-E., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: OH reactivity at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: contributions from OH reactants and experimental OH budget, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 645–661, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-645-2017>, 2017.
- Geng, F., Mao, X., Zhou, M., Zhong, S., and Lenschow, D.: Multi-year ozone concentration and its spectra in Shanghai, China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 521, 135–143, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.082>, 2015.
- George, I. J., Matthews, P. S. J., Whalley, L. K., Brooks, B., Goddard, A., Baeza-Romero, M. T., and Heard, D. E.: Measurements of uptake coefficients for heterogeneous loss of HO<sub>2</sub> onto sub-micron inorganic salt aerosols, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12829–12845, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP51831K>, 2013.
- Goliff, W. S., Stockwell, W. R., and Lawson, C. V.: The regional atmospheric chemistry mechanism, version 2, *Atmos. Environ.*, 68, 174–185, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.038>, 2013.
- Griffith, S. M., Hansen, R. F., Dusanter, S., Michoud, V., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Veres, P. R., Graus, M., de Gouw, J. A., Roberts, J., Young, C., Washenfelder, R., Brown, S. S., Thalmann, R., Waxman, E., Volkamer, R., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Alvarez, S. L., Rappenglueck, B., Mielke, L. H., Osthoff, H. D., and Stevens, P. S.: Measurements of hydroxyl and hydroperoxy radicals during CalNex-LA: Model comparisons and radical budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 121, 4211–4232, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jd024358>, 2016.
- Guo, Y. L., Wang, S. S., Zhu, J., Zhang, R. F., Gao, S., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Zhou, B.: Atmospheric formaldehyde, glyoxal and their relations to ozone pollution under low- and high-NO<sub>x</sub> regimes in summertime Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Res.*, 258, 105635, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105635>, 2021.
- Hens, K., Novelli, A., Martinez, M., Auld, J., Axinte, R., Bohn, B., Fischer, H., Keronen, P., Kubistin, D., Nölscher, A. C., Oswald, R., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Regelin, E., Sander, R., Sinha, V., Sipilä, M., Taraborrelli, D., Tatum Ernest, C., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Observation and modelling of HO<sub>x</sub> radicals in a boreal forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 8723–8747, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8723-2014>, 2014.
- Hofzumahaus, A., Aschmutat, U., Hessling, M., Holland, F., and Ehhalt, D. H.: The measurement of tropospheric OH radicals by laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopy during the POPCORN field campaign, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2541–2544, <https://doi.org/10.1029/96gl02205>, 1996.
- Hofzumahaus, A., Aschmutat, U., Brandenburger, U., Brauers, T., Dorn, H. P., Hausmann, M., Hessling, M., Holland, F., Plass-Dulmer, C., and Ehhalt, D. H.: Intercomparison of tropospheric OH measurements by different laser techniques during the POPCORN campaign 1994, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 31, 227–246, <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006014707617>, 1998.
- Hofzumahaus, A., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C.-C., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Amplified Trace Gas Removal in the Troposphere, *Science*, 324, 1702–1704, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164566>, 2009.
- Holland, F., Hessling, M., and Hofzumahaus, A.: In-situ measurement of tropospheric OH radicals by laser-induced fluorescence – a description of the kfa instrument, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 52, 3393–3401, 1995.
- Holland, F., Aschmutat, U., Hessling, M., Hofzumahaus, A., and Ehhalt, D. H.: Highly time resolved measurements of OH during POPCORN using laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopy, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 31, 205–225, <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005868520002>, 1998.
- Holland, F., Hofzumahaus, A., Schäfer, J., Kraus, A., and Pätz, H. W.: Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations and photolysis frequencies during BERLIOZ, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8246, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001393>, 2003.
- Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Akimoto, H., Fukuda, M., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., Tanimoto, H., Takegawa, N., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 1. Observed and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations during the winter and summer of 2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D21312, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jd008670>, 2007.
- Kanaya, Y., Fukuda, M., Akimoto, H., Takegawa, N., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 2. Rates and regimes of oxidant (O<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>) production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D06301, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jd008671>, 2008.
- Kanaya, Y., Hofzumahaus, A., Dorn, H.-P., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Bohn, B., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wahner, A., Kajii, Y., Miyamoto, K., Nishida, S., Watanabe, K., Yoshino, A., Kubistin, D., Martinez, M., Rudolf, M., Harder, H., Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Plass-Dulmer, C., Stange, G., Kleffmann, J., Elshorbany, Y., and Schurath, U.: Comparisons of observed and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the ambient measurement period of the HO<sub>x</sub> Comp field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2567–2585, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2567-2012>, 2012.
- Kim, S., Wolfe, G. M., Mauldin, L., Cantrell, C., Guenther, A., Karl, T., Turnipseed, A., Greenberg, J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Apel, E., Hornbrook, R., Kajii, Y., Nakashima, Y., Keutsch, F. N., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Graus, M., Hansel, A., Zheng, W., and Flocke, F. F.: Evaluation of HO<sub>x</sub> sources and cycling using measurement-constrained model calculations in a 2-methyl-3-butene-2-ol (MBO) and monoterpene (MT) dominated ecosystem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 2031–2044, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2031-2013>, 2013.
- Kim, S., VandenBoer, T. C., Young, C. J., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A., Swarthout, B., Sive, B., Lerner, B., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Guenther, A., Wagner, N. L., Dube, W. P., Williams, E., and Brown, S. S.: The primary and recycling sources of OH during the NACHTT-2011 campaign: HONO as an important OH primary source in the wintertime, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 119, 6886–6896, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jd019784>, 2014.
- Kovacs, T. A., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Simpas, J. B., Frost, G. J., Williams, E., Jobson, T., Stroud, C., Young, V., Fried, A., and Wert, B.: Direct measurements of urban OH reactivity during Nashville SOS in summer 1999, *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 5, 68–74, <https://doi.org/10.1039/b204339d>, 2003.
- Lakey, P. S. J., George, I. J., Whalley, L. K., Baeza-Romero, M. T., and Heard, D. E.: Measurements of the HO<sub>2</sub> Uptake Coefficients

- onto Single Component Organic Aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 49, 4878–4885, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00948>, 2015.
- Lee, B. H., Wood, E. C., Herndon, S. C., Lefer, B. L., Luke, W. T., Brune, W. H., Nelson, D. D., Zahniser, M. S., and Munger, J. W.: Urban measurements of atmospheric nitrous acid: A caveat on the interpretation of the HONO photostationary state, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 12274–12281, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jd020341>, 2013.
- Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., Harder, H., Lawrence, M. G., Martinez, M., Taraborrelli, D., and Williams, J.: Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest, *Nature*, 452, 737–740, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06870>, 2008.
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Shen, L., Zhang, Q., and Bates, K. H.: Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer surface ozone in China, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 116, 422–427, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812168116>, 2019.
- Liu, J. W., Li, X., Li, D. Q., Xu, R. J., Gao, Y. Q., Chen, S. Y., Liu, Y., Zhao, G., Wang, H. C., Wang, H. L., Lou, S. R., Chen, M. D., Hu, J. L., Lu, K. D., Wu, Z. J., Hu, M., Zeng, L. M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Observations of glyoxal and methylglyoxal in a suburban area of the Yangtze River Delta, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 238, 117727, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117727>, 2020.
- Liu, Y., Zhao, Q., Hao, X., Zhao, J., Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Fu, Q., Xu, X., Wang, X., Huo, J., and Chen, J.: Increasing surface ozone and enhanced secondary organic carbon formation at a city junction site: An epitome of the Yangtze River Delta, China (2014–2017), *Environ. Pollut.*, 265, 114847, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114847>, 2020.
- Lou, S., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Fuchs, H., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Atmospheric OH reactivities in the Pearl River Delta – China in summer 2006: measurement and model results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11243–11260, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11243-2010>, 2010.
- Lou, S., Tan, Z., Gan, G., Chen, J., Wang, H., Gao, Y., Huang, D., Huang, C., Li, X., Song, R., Wang, H., Wang, M., Wang, Q., Wu, Y., and Huang, C.: Observation based study on atmospheric oxidation capacity in Shanghai during late-autumn: Contribution from nitryl chloride, *Atmos. Environ.*, 271, 118902, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118902>, 2022.
- Lu, K., Guo, S., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Shang, D., Liu, Y., Li, X., Wu, Z., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y.: Exploring atmospheric free-radical chemistry in China: the self-cleansing capacity and the formation of secondary air pollution, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 6, 579–594, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwy073>, 2019.
- Lu, K. D., Rohrer, F., Holland, F., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Häseler, R., Hu, M., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Nehr, S., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y. H., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Observation and modelling of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the Pearl River Delta 2006: a missing OH source in a VOC rich atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1541–1569, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1541-2012>, 2012.
- Lu, K. D., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Fuchs, H., Hu, M., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S. R., Oebel, A., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Wahner, A., Zhu, T., Zhang, Y. H., and Rohrer, F.: Missing OH source in a suburban environment near Beijing: observed and modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in summer 2006, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1057–1080, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1057-2013>, 2013.
- Lu, X., Hong, J., Zhang, L., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Xu, X., Wang, T., Gao, M., Zhao, Y., and Zhang, Y.: Severe Surface Ozone Pollution in China: A Global Perspective, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 5, 487–494, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00366>, 2018.
- Ma, X., Tan, Z., Lu, K., Yang, X., Liu, Y., Li, S., Li, X., Chen, S., Novelli, A., Cho, C., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Winter photochemistry in Beijing: Observation and model simulation of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals at an urban site, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 685, 85–95, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.329>, 2019.
- Mao, J., Ren, X., Chen, S., Brune, W. H., Chen, Z., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Lefer, B., Rappenglück, B., Flynn, J., and Leuchner, M.: Atmospheric oxidation capacity in the summer of Houston 2006: Comparison with summer measurements in other metropolitan studies, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 4107–4115, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.01.013>, 2010.
- Mao, J., Ren, X., Zhang, L., Van Duin, D. M., Cohen, R. C., Park, J.-H., Goldstein, A. H., Paulot, F., Beaver, M. R., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Keutsch, F. N., Park, C., Schade, G. W., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., and Brune, W. H.: Insights into hydroxyl measurements and atmospheric oxidation in a California forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8009–8020, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8009-2012>, 2012.
- Martinez, M.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, sources, and loss rates during the Southern Oxidants Study in Nashville, Tennessee, summer 1999, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4617, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003jd003551>, 2003.
- Michoud, V., Kukui, A., Camredon, M., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Miet, K., Aumont, B., Beekmann, M., Durand-Jolibois, R., Perrier, S., Zapf, P., Siour, G., Ait-Helal, W., Locoge, N., Sauvage, S., Afif, C., Gros, V., Furger, M., Ancellet, G., and Doussin, J. F.: Radical budget analysis in a suburban European site during the MEGAPOLI summer field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11951–11974, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11951-2012>, 2012.
- Molina, L. T., Madronich, S., Gaffney, J. S., Apel, E., de Foy, B., Fast, J., Ferrare, R., Herndon, S., Jimenez, J. L., Lamb, B., Osornio-Vargas, A. R., Russell, P., Schauer, J. J., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., and Zavala, M.: An overview of the MILAGRO 2006 Campaign: Mexico City emissions and their transport and transformation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8697–8760, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8697-2010>, 2010.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Tatum Ernest, C., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Elste, T., Plass-Dülmer, C., Martinez, M., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Characterisation of an inlet pre-injector laser-induced fluorescence instrument for the measurement of atmospheric hydroxyl radicals, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 3413–3430, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-3413-2014>, 2014.
- Novelli, A., Hens, K., Tatum Ernest, C., Martinez, M., Nölscher, A. C., Sinha, V., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Elste, T., Plass-Dülmer, C., Phillips, G. J., Kubistin, D., Williams, J., Vereecken, L., Lelieveld, J., and Harder, H.: Estimating the atmospheric concentration of Criegee intermediates and their possible interference in a FAGE-LIF instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 7807–7826, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7807-2017>, 2017.

- Peeters, J., Nguyen, T. L., and Vereecken, L.: HO<sub>x</sub> radical regeneration in the oxidation of isoprene, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5935–5939, <https://doi.org/10.1039/b908511d>, 2009.
- Peeters, J., Muller, J.-F., Stavrou, T., and Nguyen, V. S.: Hydroxyl radical recycling in isoprene oxidation driven by hydrogen bonding and hydrogen tunneling: The upgraded LIM1 mechanism, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 118, 8625–8643, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5033146>, 2014.
- Platt, U., Alicke, B., Dubois, R., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Martinez, M., Mihelcic, D., Klupfel, T., Lohrmann, B., Patz, W., Perner, D., Rohrer, F., Schafer, J., and Stutz, J.: Free radicals and fast photochemistry during BERLIOZ, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 42, 359–394, <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1015707531660>, 2002.
- Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Leshner, R. L., Oligier, A., Shirley, T., Adams, J., Simpao, J. B., and Brune, W. H.: HO<sub>x</sub> concentrations and OH reactivity observations in New York City during PMTACS-NY2001, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 3627–3637, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310\(03\)00460-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00460-6), 2003a.
- Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Leshner, R. L., Oligier, A., Simpao, J. B., Brune, W. H., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., He, Y., Zhou, X. L., and Gao, H. G.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 3639–3651, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310\(03\)00459-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00459-x), 2003b.
- Ren, X. R., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Mitchell, M. J., Leshner, R. L., Simpao, J. B., Metcalf, A. R., Schwab, J. J., Cai, C., and Li, Y.: Behavior of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the winter atmosphere in New York City, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 252–263, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.073>, 2006.
- Ren, X. R., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Long, R. B., Chen, Z., Chen, G., Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., Barrick, J. D., Diskin, G. S., Huey, L. G., Fried, A., Cohen, R. C., Heikes, B., Wennberg, P. O., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., and Shetter, R. E.: HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry during INTEX-A 2004: Observation, model calculation, and comparison with previous studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, 310, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009166>, 2008.
- Ren, X. R., van Duin, D., Cazorla, M., Chen, S., Mao, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B. L., Rappenglück, B., Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Dibb, J. E., Thomas Jobson, B., Luke, W. T., and Kelley, P.: Atmospheric oxidation chemistry and ozone production: Results from SHARP 2009 in Houston, Texas, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 5770–5780, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50342>, 2013.
- Rickly, P. and Stevens, P. S.: Measurements of a potential interference with laser-induced fluorescence measurements of ambient OH from the ozonolysis of biogenic alkenes, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-1-2018>, 2018.
- Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C.-C., Fuchs, H., Haeseler, R., Holland, F., Hu, M., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S., Oebel, A., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Zhu, T., Zhang, Y., and Wahner, A.: Maximum efficiency in the hydroxyl-radical-based self-cleansing of the troposphere, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 559–563, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2199>, 2014.
- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 161–180, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-161-2003>, 2003.
- Shi, Z., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Harrison, R. M., Grimmond, S., Yue, S., Zhu, T., Lee, J., Han, Y., Demuzere, M., Dunmore, R. E., Ren, L., Liu, D., Wang, Y., Wild, O., Allan, J., Acton, W. J., Barlow, J., Barratt, B., Beddows, D., Bloss, W. J., Calzolari, G., Carruthers, D., Carslaw, D. C., Chan, Q., Chatzidiakou, L., Chen, Y., Crilley, L., Coe, H., Dai, T., Doherty, R., Duan, F., Fu, P., Ge, B., Ge, M., Guan, D., Hamilton, J. F., He, K., Heal, M., Heard, D., Hewitt, C. N., Hollaway, M., Hu, M., Ji, D., Jiang, X., Jones, R., Kalberer, M., Kelly, F. J., Kramer, L., Langford, B., Lin, C., Lewis, A. C., Li, J., Li, W., Liu, H., Liu, J., Loh, M., Lu, K., Lucarelli, F., Mann, G., McFiggans, G., Miller, M. R., Mills, G., Monk, P., Nemitz, E., O'Connor, F., Ouyang, B., Palmer, P. I., Percival, C., Popoola, O., Reeves, C., Rickard, A. R., Shao, L., Shi, G., Spracklen, D., Stevenson, D., Sun, Y., Sun, Z., Tao, S., Tong, S., Wang, Q., Wang, W., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, Z., Wei, L., Whalley, L., Wu, X., Wu, Z., Xie, P., Yang, F., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., and Zheng, M.: Introduction to the special issue “In-depth study of air pollution sources and processes within Beijing and its surrounding region (APHH-Beijing)”, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 7519–7546, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7519-2019>, 2019.
- Shirley, T. R., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., Leshner, R., Cardenas, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Lamb, B., Velasco, E., Jobson, T., and Alexander, M.: Atmospheric oxidation in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) during April 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2753–2765, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2753-2006>, 2006.
- Silva, S. J., Heald, C. L., and Li, M.: Space-Based Constraints on Terrestrial Glyoxal Production, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 123, 13583–13594, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jd029311>, 2018.
- Slater, E. J., Whalley, L. K., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L., Bloss, W., Vu, T., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Yue, S., Ren, L., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X., Fu, P., and Heard, D. E.: Elevated levels of OH observed in haze events during winter-time in central Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 14847–14871, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14847-2020>, 2020.
- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Edwards, P. M., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Rickard, A. R., Brookes, D. M., Hopkins, J., Leigh, R. J., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., Oram, D., Reeves, C. E., Stewart, D., and Heard, D. E.: Isoprene oxidation mechanisms: measurements and modelling of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> over a South-East Asian tropical rainforest during the OP3 field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6749–6771, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6749-2011>, 2011a.
- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Edwards, P. M., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Rickard, A. R., Brookes, D. M., Hopkins, J., Leigh, R. J., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., Oram, D., Reeves, C. E., Stewart, D., and Heard, D. E.: Isoprene oxidation mechanisms: measurements and modelling of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> over a South-East Asian tropical rainforest during the OP<sub>3</sub> field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6749–6771, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6749-2011>, 2011b.
- Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Tropospheric OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals: field measurements and model comparisons, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6348–6404, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC35140d>, 2012.
- Taketani, F., Kanaya, Y., and Akimoto, H.: Heterogeneous loss of HO<sub>2</sub> by KCl, synthetic sea salt, and natural sea-



- water aerosol particles, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 1660–1665, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.010>, 2009.
- Taketani, F. and Kanaya, Y.: Kinetics of HO<sub>2</sub> Uptake in Levoglucosan and Polystyrene Latex Particles, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 1, 1701–1704, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jz100478s>, 2010.
- Taketani, F., Kanaya, Y., Pochanart, P., Liu, Y., Li, J., Okuzawa, K., Kawamura, K., Wang, Z., and Akimoto, H.: Measurement of overall uptake coefficients for HO<sub>2</sub> radicals by aerosol particles sampled from ambient air at Mts. Tai and Mang (China), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11907–11916, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11907-2012>, 2012.
- Tan, D., Faloona, I., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W., Shepson, P. B., Couch, T. L., Sumner, A. L., Carroll, M. A., Thornberry, T., Apel, E., Riemer, D., and Stockwell, W.: HO<sub>x</sub> budgets in a deciduous forest: Results from the PROPHET summer 1998 campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 24407–24427, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd900016>, 2001.
- Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Gomm, S., Häseler, R., He, L., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and model calculations of OH, HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub> radicals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 663–690, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-663-2017>, 2017.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Dong, H., Hu, M., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Shao, M., Su, R., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Explicit diagnosis of the local ozone production rate and the ozone-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivities, *Sci. Bull.*, 63, 1067–1076, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2018.07.001>, 2018a.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Jiang, M., Su, R., Dong, H., Zeng, L., Xie, S., Tan, Q., and Zhang, Y.: Exploring ozone pollution in Chengdu, southwestern China: A case study from radical chemistry to O<sub>3</sub>-VOC-NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 636, 775–786, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.286>, 2018b.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Holland, F., Liu, Y., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Sun, K., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Zou, Q., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Experimental budgets of OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, and RO<sub>2</sub> radicals and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 7129–7150, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7129-2019>, 2019a.
- Tan, Z., Lu, K., Jiang, M., Su, R., Wang, H., Lou, S., Fu, Q., Zhai, C., Tan, Q., Yue, D., Chen, D., Wang, Z., Xie, S., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: Daytime atmospheric oxidation capacity in four Chinese megacities during the photochemically polluted season: a case study based on box model simulation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3493–3513, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3493-2019>, 2019b.
- Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of RO<sub>x</sub> radical concentrations in the North China Plain during the BEST-ONE campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 12391–12411, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018>, 2018c.
- Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of RO<sub>x</sub> radical concentrations in the North China Plain during the BEST-ONE campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 12391–12411, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018>, 2018d.
- Tan, Z., Hofzumahaus, A., Lu, K., Brown, S. S., Holland, F., Huey, L. G., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Li, X., Liu, X., Ma, N., Min, K.-E., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wahner, A., Wang, Y., Wiedensohler, A., Wu, Y., Wu, Z., Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., and Fuchs, H.: No Evidence for a Significant Impact of Heterogeneous Chemistry on Radical Concentrations in the North China Plain in Summer 2014, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 54, 5973–5979, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00525>, 2020.
- Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Cohen, R. C., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Brune, W. H., Williams, E. J., Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Hall, S. R., Shetter, R. E., Wert, B. P., and Fried, A.: Ozone production rates as a function of NO<sub>x</sub> abundances and HO<sub>x</sub> production rates in the Nashville urban plume, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 107, 4146, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd000932>, 2002.
- Thornton, J. A., Jaegle, L., and McNeill, V. F.: Assessing known pathways for HO<sub>2</sub> loss in aqueous atmospheric aerosols: Regional and global impacts on tropospheric oxidants, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D05303, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jd009236>, 2008.
- Tie, X., Geng, F., Guenther, A., Cao, J., Greenberg, J., Zhang, R., Apel, E., Li, G., Weinheimer, A., Chen, J., and Cai, C.: Megacity impacts on regional ozone formation: observations and WRF-Chem modeling for the MIRAGE-Shanghai field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5655–5669, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5655-2013>, 2013.
- Volz-Thomas, A., Patz, H. W., Houben, N., Konrad, S., Mihelcic, D., Klupfel, T., and Perner, D.: Inorganic trace gases and peroxy radicals during BERLIOZ at Pabstthum: An investigation of the photostationary state of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8248, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd001255>, 2003.
- Wang, C., Huang, X. F., Han, Y., Zhu, B., and He, L. Y.: Sources and Potential Photochemical Roles of Formaldehyde in an Urban Atmosphere in South China, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 122, 11934–11947, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jd027266>, 2017.
- Wang, F. Y., Hu, R. Z., Chen, H., Xie, P. H., Wang, Y. H., Li, Z. Y., Jin, H. W., Liu, J. G., and Liu, W. Q.: Development of a field system for measurement of tropospheric OH radical using laser-induced fluorescence technique, *Opt. Express*, 27, 419–435, <https://doi.org/10.1364/Oe.27.00a419>, 2019.
- Wang, H., Chen, X., Lu, K., Hu, R., Li, Z., Wang, H., Ma, X., Yang, X., Chen, S., Dong, H., Liu, Y., Fang, X., Zeng, L., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y.: NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> chemistry at a suburban site during the EXPLORE-YRD campaign in 2018, *Atmos. Environ.*, 224, 117180, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117180>, 2020.
- Wang, Y., Li, W., Gao, W., Liu, Z., Tian, S., Shen, R., Ji, D., Wang, S., Wang, L., Tang, G., Song, T., Cheng, M., Wang, G., Geng, Z., Hao, J., and Zhang, Y.: Trends in particulate matter and its chemical compositions in China from 2013–2017, *Sci. China Earth Sci.*, 62, 1857–1871, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-018-9373-1>, 2019.
- Wang, Y., Gao, W., Wang, S., Song, T., Gong, Z., Ji, D., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Tang, G., Huo, Y., Tian, S., Li, J., Li, M., Yang, Y.,



- Chu, B., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., He, H., Hao, J., Kulmala, M., Wang, Y., and Zhang, Y.: Contrasting trends of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and surface-ozone concentrations in China from 2013 to 2017, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 7, 1331–1339, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa032>, 2020.
- Whalley, L. K., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Ingham, T., Evans, M. J., Stone, D., Hopkins, J. R., Jones, C. E., Karunaharan, A., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., Moller, S. J., and Heard, D. E.: Quantifying the magnitude of a missing hydroxyl radical source in a tropical rainforest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7223–7233, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7223-2011>, 2011.
- Whalley, L. K., Blitz, M. A., Desservettaz, M., Seakins, P. W., and Heard, D. E.: Reporting the sensitivity of laser-induced fluorescence instruments used for HO<sub>2</sub> detection to an interference from RO<sub>2</sub> radicals and introducing a novel approach that enables HO<sub>2</sub> and certain RO<sub>2</sub> types to be selectively measured, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 3425–3440, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-3425-2013>, 2013.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Bandy, B., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., and Heard, D. E.: Atmospheric OH reactivity in central London: observations, model predictions and estimates of in situ ozone production, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 2109–2122, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2109-2016>, 2016.
- Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Dunmore, R., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Williams, P., Kleffmann, J., Laufs, S., Woodward-Massey, R., and Heard, D. E.: Understanding in situ ozone production in the summertime through radical observations and modelling studies during the Clean air for London project (ClearfLo), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 2547–2571, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2547-2018>, 2018.
- Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H., Percival, C. J., Ouyang, B., Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Yue, S., Ren, L., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X., Fu, P., and Heard, D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> in Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 2125–2147, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2125-2021>, 2021.
- Wolfe, G. M., Cantrell, C., Kim, S., Mauldin III, R. L., Karl, T., Harley, P., Turnipseed, A., Zheng, W., Flocke, F., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Henry, S. B., DiGangi, J. P., Boyle, E. S., Kaser, L., Schnitzhofer, R., Hansel, A., Graus, M., Nakashima, Y., Kajii, Y., Guenther, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: Missing peroxy radical sources within a summertime ponderosa pine forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 4715–4732, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4715-2014>, 2014.
- Woodward-Massey, R., Slater, E. J., Alen, J., Ingham, T., Cryer, D. R., Stimpson, L. M., Ye, C., Seakins, P. W., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Implementation of a chemical background method for atmospheric OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence: characterisation and observations from the UK and China, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 3119–3146, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3119-2020>, 2020.
- Xing, C., Liu, C., Wang, S., Chan, K. L., Gao, Y., Huang, X., Su, W., Zhang, C., Dong, Y., Fan, G., Zhang, T., Chen, Z., Hu, Q., Su, H., Xie, Z., and Liu, J.: Observations of the vertical distributions of summertime atmospheric pollutants and the corresponding ozone production in Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 14275–14289, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14275-2017>, 2017.
- Yang, X., Wang, H., Tan, Z., Lu, K., and Zhang, Y.: Observations of OH Radical Reactivity in Field Studies, *Acta Chim. Sinica*, 77, 613–624, <https://doi.org/10.6023/a19030094>, 2019.
- Yang, Y., Shao, M., Wang, X., Noelscher, A. C., Kessel, S., Guenther, A., and Williams, J.: Towards a quantitative understanding of total OH reactivity: A review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 134, 147–161, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.010>, 2016.
- Zhang, G. X., Hu, R. Z., Xie, P. H., Lou, S. R., Wang, F. Y., Wang, Y. H., Qin, M., Li, X., Liu, X. Y., Wang, Y., and Liu, W. Q.: Observation and simulation of HO<sub>x</sub> radicals in an urban area in Shanghai, China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 810, 152275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152275>, 2022.
- Zhang, K., Xu, J. L., Huang, Q., Zhou, L., Fu, Q. Y., Duan, Y. S., and Xiu, G. L.: Precursors and potential sources of ground-level ozone in suburban Shanghai, *Front. Env. Sci. Eng.*, 14, 92, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-020-1271-8>, 2020.
- Zou, Q., Song, H., Tang, M. J., and Lu, K. D.: Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub> uptake coefficient on aqueous (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol using aerosol flow tube with LIF system, *Chinese Chem. Lett.*, 30, 2236–2240, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccllet.2019.07.041>, 2019.