Exploring dimethyl sulfide (DMS) oxidation and implications for global aerosol radiative forcing

Ka Ming Fung1, Colette L. Heald1-2, Jesse H. Kroll1, Siyuan Wang3-4, Duseong S. Jo5, Andrew Gettelman5, Zheng Lu6, Xiaohong Liu6, Rahul A. Zaveri7, Eric C. Apel5, Donald R. Blake8, Jose-Luis Jimenez3-9, Pedro Campuzano-Jost3-9, Patrick R. Veres4, Timothy S. Bates10, John E. Shilling7, and Maria Zawadowicz11

1Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
2Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
3Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA
4Chemical Sciences Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA
5Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
6Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
7Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA
8Department of Chemistry, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
9Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA
10The Cooperative Institute for Climate, Ocean, and Ecosystem Studies, College of the Environment, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
11Environmental and Climate Sciences Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

Correspondence: Ka Ming Fung (kamingfung@mit.edu) and Colette L. Heald (heald@mit.edu)

Received: 11 September 2021 – Discussion started: 27 September 2021
Revised: 1 December 2021 – Accepted: 17 December 2021 – Published: 1 February 2022

Abstract. Aerosol indirect radiative forcing (IRF), which characterizes how aerosols alter cloud formation and properties, is very sensitive to the preindustrial (PI) aerosol burden. Dimethyl sulfide (DMS), emitted from the ocean, is a dominant natural precursor of non-sea-salt sulfate in the PI and pristine present-day (PD) atmospheres. Here we revisit the atmospheric oxidation chemistry of DMS, particularly under pristine conditions, and its impact on aerosol IRF. Based on previous laboratory studies, we expand the simplified DMS oxidation scheme used in the Community Atmospheric Model version 6 with chemistry (CAM6-chem) to capture the OH-addition pathway and the H-abstraction pathway and the associated isomerization branch. These additional oxidation channels of DMS produce several stable intermediate compounds, e.g., methanesulfonic acid (MSA) and hydroperoxymethyl thioformate (HPMTF), delay the formation of sulfate, and, hence, alter the spatial distribution of sulfate aerosol and radiative impacts. The expanded scheme improves the agreement between modeled and observed concentrations of DMS, MSA, HPMTF, and sulfate over most marine regions, based on the NASA Atmospheric Tomography (Atom), the Aerosol and Cloud Experiments in the Eastern North Atlantic (ACE-ENA), and the Variability of the American Monsoon Systems (VAMOS) Ocean-Cloud-Atmosphere-Land Study Regional Experiment (VOCALS-REx) measurements. We find that the global HPMTF burden and the burden of sulfate produced from DMS oxidation are relatively insensitive to the assumed isomerization rate, but the burden of HPMTF is very sensitive to a potential additional cloud loss. We find that global sulfate burden under PI
and PD emissions increase to 412 Gg S (+29 %) and 582 Gg S (+8.8 %), respectively, compared to the standard simplified DMS oxidation scheme. The resulting annual mean global PD direct radiative effect of DMS-derived sulfate alone is −0.11 W m⁻². The enhanced PI sulfate produced via the gas-phase chemistry updates alone dampens the aerosol IRF as anticipated (−2.2 W m⁻² in standard versus −1.7 W m⁻², with updated gas-phase chemistry). However, high clouds in the tropics and low clouds in the Southern Ocean appear particularly sensitive to the additional aqueous-phase pathways, counteracting this change (−2.3 W m⁻²). This study confirms the sensitivity of aerosol IRF to the PI aerosol loading and the need to better understand the processes controlling aerosol formation in the PI atmosphere and the cloud response to these changes.

1 Introduction

The IPCC AR5 (Fifth Assessment Report of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Myhre et al., 2013) and the recent preliminary release of AR6 (Sixth Assessment Report; https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-cycle/, last access: 30 November 2021) indicate that atmospheric aerosol particles are a dominant source of uncertainty in global climate forcing. Aerosols interact with incoming and outgoing radiation directly (via scattering and absorption) and indirectly (via changing cloud properties and lifetime). In particular, the aerosol indirect radiative forcing (IRF) via interactions with clouds is driven by the fractional enhancement of aerosol burden from a pre-industrial (PI; 1850) atmosphere to a present-day (PD; 2000) one, with a cleaner PI atmosphere producing a larger IRF (Menon et al., 2002). Carslaw et al. (2013) confirm that the estimated uncertainty in aerosol IRF is dominated by uncertainty in natural aerosols. It is, therefore, critically important to accurately determine the formation of natural aerosols and their radiative impacts in both PD and PI atmosphere.

Marine dimethyl sulfide (DMS; CH₃SCH₃) accounts for > 50 % of natural gas-phase sulfur emissions (Chin et al., 1996; Andreea, 1990; Kilgour et al., 2021). Once emitted into the troposphere, oxidation of DMS takes place within 1–2 d, forming other sulfur-containing products, such as sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and methane sulfonic acid (MSA; CH₃SO₄H; Boucher et al., 2003; Breider et al., 2010). These gaseous products can facilitate the formation of new particles and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), especially in the marine boundary layer (MBL; Charlson et al., 1987; von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Kulmala et al., 2000). Sulfate and MSA formed in the particle phase can directly impact the size distribution of aerosols and alter cloud microphysics (Kaufman and Tanrê, 1994). DMS is estimated to be responsible for up to 11 %–18 % of global sulfate burden in PD (Yang et al., 2017; Gondwe et al., 2003) and > 48 % of atmospheric sulfur burden in PI (Tilmes et al., 2019). Though the crucial role of DMS oxidation as a source of natural aerosols has been acknowledged for decades, its oxidation mechanisms are still not well understood (Barnes et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2016).

Global/regional models often simplify the DMS oxidation processes for the sake of computational costs. For example, the Community Atmosphere Model with chemistry (CAM-chem) includes only the oxidation of DMS by OH and NO₃ radicals, directly producing SO₂, which further oxidizes to produce sulfate (Emmons et al., 2020; Lamarque et al., 2012). This simplification ignores some potentially important reaction intermediates and pathways. For instance, previous studies suggest that BrO contributes up to 30 % of the DMS sink in the remote MBL (Boucher et al., 2003; Breider et al., 2010; von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Khan et al., 2016). MSA has been found to form efficiently via the multiphase OH-addition DMS oxidation pathway followed by a reaction with OH(aq) to form sulfate aerosol in the MBL (von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Milne et al., 1989; Zhu et al., 2006). Recently, both theoretical and laboratory studies have proposed that a pristine environment favors the H-abstraction reaction when DMS is oxidized by OH, generating methylthiomethylperoxy radicals (MSP; CH₃SCH₂OO), which further undergo a series of rapid intramolecular H-shift isomerization reactions, yielding a stable intermediate hydroperoxymethylthioformate (HMPMF; HOOCH₂SCHO; Wu et al., 2015; Berndt et al., 2019). Recent in situ measurements report HMPMF concentrations that are, on average, 50 % of DMS concentrations in the MBL during the day, but can exceed DMS concentrations at times, confirming the importance of the isomerization branch for capturing the fate of oxidized DMS (Veres et al., 2020; Vermeuel et al., 2020).

The lifetimes of stable intermediates from DMS oxidation can be up to days. As a result, these intermediates can delay the formation of DMS-derived sulfate, affecting not only the spatial distribution of sulfate aerosols but also the effective sulfate yield from DMS as unreacted sulfate precursors may be subject to physical removal through wet or dry deposition. Thus, neglecting these intermediates could lead to misrepresentation of the spatial distribution of sulfate aerosol loading and limit our ability to accurately determine aerosol radiative forcing.

Here, we implement a more detailed multigenerational and multiphase chemical mechanism to describe DMS oxidation within the Community Atmosphere Model version 6 with chemistry (CAM6-chem; Emmons et al., 2020) – the atmosphere component of the Community Earth System Model.
version 2.1 (CESM2.1; Danabasoglu et al., 2020). The expanded chemistry captures the formation of stable intermediates such as MSA and HPMTF alongside SO2. We perform multiple sensitivity tests to investigate how the uncertainty in modeling the newly confirmed HPMTF could influence the DMS chemistry and the resulting atmospheric sulfate burden. The model results are compared against an array of in situ observations. Finally, we examine how the natural aerosol background from DMS oxidation simulated with the modified model impacts estimates of aerosol radiative forcing.

2 Model description

CESM2.1 consists of model components that quantitatively describe the atmosphere, land, sea ice, land ice, rivers, and ocean (Danabasoglu et al., 2020). Fluxes and state variables are exchanged through a coupler to describe the co-evolution of these Earth system components. Here, we run with a coupled atmosphere (CAM6-chem) and land (Community Land Model – CLM5) model and use prescribed data for the remaining Earth system components. In particular, sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice conditions (Hurrell et al., 2008), as well as the mixing ratios of greenhouse gases (Meinshausen et al., 2017), are all fixed to present-day conditions. Following similar practices in previous studies (Gettelman, 2015; Gettelman et al., 2019), this configuration aims to constrain the potential environmental feedbacks, such that the aerosol effects (on atmospheric composition, cloud, and radiation) are due to the change in emissions and chemistry only.

2.1 Model configuration

In this work, CAM6-chem is run in an online configuration with free dynamics at 1.9° × 2.5° (latitude by longitude) horizontal resolution and 32 vertical layers (surface to 3 hPa or ~45 km), with a model time step of 30 min. The default chemistry scheme is the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers with representations of both tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry (MOZART-TS1; Emmons et al., 2020), with a volatility basis set (VBS) scheme specifically for the gas-phase intermediate semi-volatile organic precursors of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs; Tilmes et al., 2019). The DMS chemistry is described in further detail in Sect. 2.3. Aerosols are simulated using the Modal Aerosol Model with four modes (MAM4; Liu et al., 2016) coupled with the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC; Zaveri et al., 2008, 2021; Lu et al., 2021), for sulfate (SO42−), ammonium (NH4+), nitrate (NO3−), primary organic matter (POM), SOA, sea salt, and mineral dust. MAM4 classifies aerosols into three size-dependent modes (Aitken, accumulation, and coarse), with an additional primary carbon mode for handling the aging of fine POM and black carbon (BC). Size distributions of aerosols in each mode are assumed to be lognormal, with fixed geometric standard deviations and a varying mode dry or wet radius, depending on the particle number and changes in total dry or wet volume (Liu et al., 2012). MAM4 defines the cut-off size ranges of 0.015–0.053 μm for aerosol in the Aitken mode, 0.058–0.27 μm for the accumulation mode, and 0.80–3.65 μm for the coarse mode. Dynamic partitioning of H2SO4, HNO3, HCl, and NH3 to each mode, related particle-phase thermodynamics, and water content and pH of interstitial aerosols are computed using the MOSAIC module (Zaveri et al., 2008, 2021; Lu et al., 2021). Further details describing the dry and wet deposition, aerosol optical properties, radiative transfer, and aerosol–cloud microphysics are described in the Supplement; these processes are all based on the standard CAM6-chem.

We perform four sets of simulations for the PD and PI atmospheric conditions with the standard and our modified chemical schemes. Details of the runs are tabulated in Table 1. Each run is performed for 10 years, with the first year as spin-up, and the averages over the latter 9 years are presented in our results.

2.2 Emissions

DMS emissions from the ocean (E_DMS) are simulated via the Online Air–Sea Interface for Soluble Species (OASISS) model developed for CAM6-chem, which has been validated against observations for acetaldehyde (S. Wang et al., 2019a), acetone (Wang et al., 2020), and organohalogenes (e.g., CHBr3 and CH2Br2; S. Wang et al., 2019b). OASISS employs a two-layer framework that considers transfer velocities both through air and through water (k_air and k_water) as follows (Johnson, 2010):

\[ E_{DMS} = k \left( \frac{[DMS]_{water} - [DMS]_{air}}{H_{DMS}} \right) (1 - f_{ice}) \]  
\[ k = \left( \frac{1}{k_{water}} + \frac{1}{k_{air}} \right)^{-1} \]

where \( k \) (meters per second; hereafter m s\(^{-1}\)) is the overall transfer velocity. The surface seawater concentration, \([DMS]_{water}\) (nanomolar; hereafter nM) is prescribed to follow the Lana et al. (2011) sea surface DMS climatology in both our PI and PD simulations. DMS mixing ratio in the air, \([DMS]_{air}\), and its Henry’s law constant are from CAM6-chem. \( k_{air} \) is based on the NOAA COARE algorithm (Jefferies et al., 2010), which is a function of surface wind speed, with an additional adjustment for the diffusivity of still air (Mackay and Yeun, 1983). \( k_{water} \) is based on Nightingale et al. (2000), which considers sea surface temperature and salinity. Last, \( f_{ice} \) is the fraction of sea ice coverage in each grid cell, such that DMS emission is suppressed from sea-ice-covered surfaces.

On average, the global annual total marine \( E_{DMS} \) is 21.5 Tg S yr\(^{-1}\) in [STD_2000]. Meteorological variability has little impact on the interannual variability of emissions.
(<±4%). Our $E_{\text{DMS}}$ is higher than the 18 Tg S yr$^{-1}$ from the model default inventory (Kettle and Andreae, 2000) but lower than the 28 Tg S yr$^{-1}$ reported in the original model study by Lana et al. (2011) and within the range of 11–28 Tg S yr$^{-1}$ simulated by Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS)-Chem, TOMCAT-GLOMAP software, and other models (Lennartz et al., 2015; Spracklen et al., 2005; Hezel et al., 2011). The estimation of $E_{\text{DMS}}$ is sensitive to the choice of sea surface DMS climatology; Chen et al. (2018) show that emissions vary from 18 Tg S yr$^{-1}$, with the Kettle et al. (1999) DMS climatology, to 22 Tg S yr$^{-1}$, with the Lana et al. (2011) DMS climatology.

In all simulations, anthropogenic emissions are from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS; Hoesly et al., 2018), and biomass burning emissions are from the CMIP6 inventory (van Marle et al., 2017). Biogenic emissions are estimated online from CLM5, using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012). CAM6-chem assumes that 2.5 % by molar of sulfur emitted from the energy and industry sector (Guenther et al., 2012). CAM6-chem assumes that 2.5 % by molar of sulfur emitted from the energy and industry sector (Guenther et al., 2012). The estimation of $E_{\text{DMS}}$ is sensitive to the choice of sea surface DMS climatology; Chen et al. (2018) show that emissions vary from 18 Tg S yr$^{-1}$, with the Kettle et al. (1999) DMS climatology, to 22 Tg S yr$^{-1}$, with the Lana et al. (2011) DMS climatology.

In all simulations, anthropogenic emissions are from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS; Hoesly et al., 2018), and biomass burning emissions are from the CMIP6 inventory (van Marle et al., 2017). Biogenic emissions are estimated online from CLM5, using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012). CAM6-chem assumes that 2.5 % by molar of sulfur emitted from the energy and industry sector is already in the form of primary sulfate aerosols (in accumulation mode). Volcanic emissions are fixed at the same level in both PI and PD simulations. Emissions from continuously outgassing volcanoes are constant (97.5 % as SO$_2$ and 2.5 % emitted as primary sulfate aerosols) based on the GEIA (Global Emissions Iniative) inventory (Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998). We use time-averaged (1995–2005) eruptive volcanic emissions of SO$_2$ to impose an average forcing from volcanic eruptions reaching the stratosphere, derived from the database of Volcanic Emissions for Earth System Models (VolcanEESM), version 3.10 (Neely and Schmidt, 2016). SO$_2$ emissions from aircraft (up to ∼15 km) and SO$_2$ and primary sulfate emissions from volcanoes (up to ∼30 km) are considered as elevated emissions, while other sources of SO$_2$ emissions and oceanic DMS emissions are at the surface. A breakdown of SO$_2$ emissions in this study is summarized in Table 2. We use the same emissions for other species as those in the standard CMIP6 simulations (Emmons et al., 2020).

### 2.3 Expanded DMS oxidation scheme

The standard CAM6-chem contains three gas-phase DMS oxidation reactions (Table 3; Barth et al., 2000; Emmons et al., 2010). These reactions simplify the DMS oxidation chemistry by treating only gas-phase reactions and producing SO$_2$ directly, neglecting the role of multiphase chemistry and other key chemical products and intermediates found in chamber and field studies (e.g., Hoffmann et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2015). We note that the second reaction does not conserve sulfur.

To improve the representation of DMS oxidation in CAM6-chem, we add a suite of new reactions that describe the chemical evolution from DMS to SO$_2$ and, ultimately, sulfate via the H-abstraction and OH-addition pathways. Fig. 1 illustrates the expanded chemistry schematically. Our additions are based on recent laboratory studies and field observations and are discussed in detail in what follows.

#### 2.3.1 The H-abstraction pathway

The H-abstraction reactions of DMS with OH or Cl generate MSP, which then either reacts with NO or RO$_2$, forming MSA and H$_2$SO$_4$, or undergoes consecutive intramolecular H-shift reactions (isomerization), yielding HPMTF and SO$_2$. Hence, we group these two serial reactions into two branches, namely the MSA-producing branch and the isomerization branch. The reactions of the MSA-producing branch are tabulated in Table 4. These reactions are largely based on Hoffmann et al. (2016), who combined the chemical mechanism from the Master Chemical Mechanism version 3 (MCM v3; Saunders et al., 2003) and other laboratory and computational studies. The reactions in the isomerization branch are detailed in Table 5. Here, we use the only currently available temperature-dependent isomerization rate of MSP ($k_{\text{iso}}$) of 0.04 s$^{-1}$ at 293 K, as estimated by Veres et al. (2020).
Table 2. SO₂ emissions in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Annual total (Tg S)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total anthropogenic emission</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, solvents, and human waste</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential and transportation</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and industry</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total natural emission</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass burning</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanoes</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The three DMS oxidation reactions in the standard CAM6-chem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas-phase reactions</th>
<th>$k_{298}$ (cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (K)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMS + OH → SO₂ (H abstraction)</td>
<td>$9.60 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>Emmons et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + OH → 0.5SO₂ + 0.5HO₂ (OH addition)</td>
<td>See note*</td>
<td>Emmons et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + NO₃ → SO₂ + HNO₃</td>
<td>$1.90 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>Emmons et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $k(T, [O₃],[M]) = 1.7 \times 10^{-42}e^{(7810/T)} \times 0.21[M]/(1 + 5.5 \times 10^{-3}e^{(7460/T)} \times 0.21[M])$ (cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹).

new $k_{iso}$ is slower than the previously determined values of 0.23 s⁻¹ at 295 K (Berndt et al., 2019) to 2.1 s⁻¹ at 293 K (Wu et al., 2015), delaying the formation of HPMTF. A recent chamber experiment estimates an intermediate $k_{iso}$ value of 0.12 s⁻¹ at 293 K (Ye et al., 2021). We investigate the impact of the uncertainty in $k_{iso}$ in Sect. 3. The only chemical loss process of HPMTF in our model is oxidation by OH at a rate of $1.11 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹, as recommended by Vermeuel et al. (2020), which is an experimentally determined OH-oxidation rate of methyl thioformate (MTF; CH₃SCHO; a structurally similar proxy to HPMTF) by Patroescu et al. (1996). Oxidizing HPMTF at this rate was shown to match better with recent measurements (Vermeuel et al., 2020) than the $1.4 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹ suggested by a computational study (Wu et al., 2015). Recent studies (Veres et al., 2020; Vermeuel et al., 2020) suggest that cloud uptake is another important sink of HPMTF; we include a series of sensitivity tests based on [MOD_2000] to address the uncertainty in the HPMTF budget arising from this potential loss process. Vermeuel et al. (2020) report that using a cloud uptake rate ($k_{HPMTF+cloud}$) at $5 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ results in a better match of the diurnal variability in HPMTF with their local measurements. Due to the lack of detailed measurement, we use this $k_{HPMTF+cloud}$ and a substantially slower hypothetical value at $5 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ for our sensitivity tests.

2.3.2 Gas-phase reactions of the OH-addition pathway

Table 6 summarizes the new gas-phase reactions in the OH-addition pathway of DMS oxidation. We update the gas-phase reactions in the model to consider the oxidation of DMS by not only OH and NO₃ but also BrO, O₃, and Cl, as recommended or reported in previous studies (e.g., Barnes et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). The new reactions producing dimethyl sulfide (DMSO; CH₃₂S(OH)), methanesulfonic acid (MSIA; CH₃₂SOHOH), and MSA intermediates are added to the model as new advected chemical tracers which undergo not only chemical production and loss but also transport and deposition. MSA and SO₂ are terminating products of these new gas-phase OH-addition pathway reactions, which is consistent with various modeling studies (e.g., Pham et al., 1995; Spracklen et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2018). All oxidants (OH, O₃, H₂O₂, BrO, and HOBr) are simulated online by the standard gas-phase chemistry scheme of CAM6-chem.

2.3.3 Aqueous-phase reactions of the OH-addition pathway

We also introduce new aqueous-phase reactions of the OH-addition pathway, as shown in Table 7.

Following a similar treatment employed by the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.1 (Fa-
Figure 1. A schematic summary of our expanded atmospheric chemistry of DMS oxidation in CAM6-chem (Tables 4, 5, 6, and 7). Key relatively long-lived species (DMS, MSA, HPMTF, SO$_2$, and sulfate), with lifetimes of > 0.5 d, are highlighted in bold. The blue shadings denote species and reactions in the aqueous phase in interstitial aerosols and cloud droplets. DMS (highlighted in green) can undergo O-atom addition (rightward path) or H abstraction (upper paths). The H-abstraction channel further diverts into the isomerization branch (top path) and the MSA-producing branch. SO$_2$ is the dominant product of most gas-phase pathways, while MSA is formed mainly via the aqueous-phase oxidation of DMS. Oxidation of SO$_2$ to sulfate or sulfuric acid is handled by the CAM6-chem standard chemistry. The resultant particulate MSA and sulfate (highlighted in red) are key species with important radiative impacts.

Table 4. Summary of the MSA-producing branch of the H-abstraction pathway in the DMS chemistry implemented into CAM6-chem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas-phase reactions</th>
<th>$k_{298}$ (cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (K)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMS + OH → MSP (CH$_3$SCH$_2$OO)</td>
<td>1.12 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-250</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + Br → 0.45MSP + 0.55(CH$_3$)$_2$S(Cl) + 0.45HCl</td>
<td>3.40 × 10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>IUPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$S(Cl) → DMS + Cl</td>
<td>9.00 × 10$^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enami et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP + NO → CH$_3$SCH$_2$(O) + NO$_2$</td>
<td>4.90 × 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP + RO$_2$ → CH$_3$SCH$_2$(O) + O$_2$</td>
<td>3.74 × 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$SCH$_2$(O) → CH$_3$S + CH$_2$O</td>
<td>1.00 × 10$^6$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$S + O$_3$ → CH$_3$S(O) + O$_2$</td>
<td>1.15 × 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$S + O$_2$ → CH$_3$S(OO)</td>
<td>1.20 × 10$^{-16}$</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$S(O) + O$_3$ → CH$_3$(O$_2$) + SO$_2$</td>
<td>4.00 × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$S(O) → CH$_3$S(O) + SO$_2$</td>
<td>5.60 × 10$^{-16}$</td>
<td>-10 870</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$S(O) → CH$_3$SO</td>
<td>5.00 × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>-9673</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$SO$_2$ + O$_3$ → CH$_3$SO + O$_2$</td>
<td>5.00 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-9267</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$SO$_2$ → CH$_3$(O$_2$) + SO$_2$</td>
<td>5.00 × 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-9966</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$SO$_3$ + H$_2$O → MSA + O$_2$</td>
<td>5.00 × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>-9946</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Summary of the isomerization branch of the H-abstraction pathway in the DMS chemistry implemented into CAM6-chem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas-phase reactions</th>
<th>$k_{298}$ (cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (K)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSP → OOC$_2$SCH$_2$OOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOC$_2$SCH$_2$OOH → HPMTF (HOOCH$_2$SCHO) + OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOC$_2$SCH$_2$OOH + NO → HOOCH$_2$SCH$_2$O + NO$_2$</td>
<td>$4.90 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$SCH$_2$O → HOOCH$_2$S + CH$_2$O</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^6$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOC$_2$SCH$_2$OOH + HO$_2$ → HOOCH$_2$SCH$_2$OOH + O$_2$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMTF + OH → HOOCH$_2$SCO + H$_2$O</td>
<td>$1.11 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$SCO → HOOCH$_2$S + CO</td>
<td>$9.20 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>505.4</td>
<td>Wu et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$SCO → OH + CH$_2$O + OCS</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^7$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wu et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$S + O$_3$ → HOOCH$_2$SO + O$_2$</td>
<td>$1.15 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$S + NO$_2$ → HOOCH$_2$SO + NO</td>
<td>$6.00 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$SO + O$_3$ → SO$_2$ + CH$_2$O + OH + O$_2$</td>
<td>$4.00 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOCH$_2$SO + NO$_2$ → SO$_2$ + CH$_2$O + OH + NO</td>
<td>$1.20 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saunders et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $2.24 \times 10^{11} \exp(-9.8 \times 10^5/T) \exp(1.03 \times 10^8/T^3)$, $^b$ $6.09 \times 10^{11} \exp(-9.5 \times 10^5/T) \exp(1.1 \times 10^8/T^3)$, where $T$ is air temperature.

Table 6. Gas-phase DMS oxidation (OH-addition pathway) implemented into CAM6-chem in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas-phase reactions</th>
<th>$k_{298}$ (cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (K)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMS + OH → 0.6SO$_2$ + 0.4DMSO + CH$_3$O$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + NO$_3$ → SO$_2$ + HNO$_3$ + CH$_3$O$_2$ + CH$_2$O</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + BrO → DMSO + Br</td>
<td>$3.39 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + O$_3$ → SO$_2$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS + Cl → 0.5SO$_2$ + 0.5DMSO + 0.5HCl + 0.5ClO</td>
<td>$3.40 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO + OH → 0.95MSIA + 0.05SO$_2$</td>
<td>$8.94 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSIA + OH → 0.95SO$_2$ + 0.1MSA</td>
<td>$9.00 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Burkholder et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSIA + O$_3$ → MSA</td>
<td>$2.00 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Lucas (2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ $k(T, [O_2], [M]) = 8.2 \times 10^{-39}([O_2])^{0.5378/T}/(1 + 1.05 \times 10^{-5}([O_2]/[M])^{0.5644/T})$ (cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$).

For the new aqueous-phase reactions, the phase transfer equations for gas-aqueous partitioning, as follows:

$$\frac{dC_g}{dt} = -k_{(g)\rightarrow(a)}C_g + k_{(a)\rightarrow(g)}C_a$$

(3)

$$\frac{dC_a}{dt} = k_{(a)\rightarrow(g)}C_g - k_{(g)\rightarrow(a)}C_a$$

(4)

$$k_i = \left( \frac{r^2}{3D_g} + \frac{4r}{3c\alpha} \right)^{-1}$$

(5)

where $C_g$ and $C_a$ are the gas-phase and aqueous-phase concentration of a species involving in reactions in Table 7. $k_{(g)\rightarrow(a)}$ and $k_{(a)\rightarrow(g)}$ (per second) are its gas-to-aqueous and aqueous-to-gas phase transfer coefficients. $k_i$ (per second) is its base phase transfer coefficient. $H$ (molar per standard atmosphere; hereafter M atm$^{-1}$) is its effective Henry’s law constant. $r$ (centimeters) is its mean particle radius, and $D_g$ is the gas-phase diffusion coefficient (assumed at 0.1 cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$; here following Dentener and Crutzen, 1993). $c$ (centimeters per second) is its thermal speed, and $\alpha$ is its mass accommodation coefficient. Values of $H$ and $\alpha$ for DMS, DMSO, MSIA, and MSA are given in Table 8. LWC (cubic centimeter of water per cubic centimeter of air; hereafter cm$^3$-water (cm$^3$-air)$^{-1}$) is liquid water content of interstitial aerosol determined by MOSAIC (Zaveri et al., 2021) or cloud liquid water content calculated by CAM6; $R = 0.082$ (liter standard atmosphere per Kelvin per mole; hereafter L atm K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$) is the universal gas constant, and $T$ (Kelvin) is air temperature.


### Table 7. Aqueous-phase DMS oxidation (OH-addition pathway) implemented into CAM6-chem in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aqueous-phase reactions</th>
<th>$k_{298}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (K)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMS$_{(aq)}$ + O$<em>3$(aq) → DMSO$</em>{(aq)}$ + O$_2$(aq)</td>
<td>8.61 × 10$^8$</td>
<td>-2600</td>
<td>Gershenzon et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO$<em>{(aq)}$ + OH$</em>{(aq)}$ → MSA$_{(aq)}$</td>
<td>6.63 × 10$^9$</td>
<td>-1270</td>
<td>Zhu et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$<em>{(aq)}$ + OH$</em>{(aq)}$ → MSA$_{(aq)}$</td>
<td>6.00 × 10$^9$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Sehested and Holcman (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$_{(aq)}$ + O$<em>3$(aq) → MSA$</em>{(aq)}$</td>
<td>1.20 × 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bardouki et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$_{(aq)}$ + O$<em>3$(aq) → MSA$</em>{(aq)}$</td>
<td>3.50 × 10$^7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hoffmann et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$_{(aq)}$ + O$<em>3$(aq) → MSA$</em>{(aq)}$</td>
<td>2.00 × 10$^6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Flyunt et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$<em>{(aq)}$ + OH$</em>{(aq)}$ → SO$_4^{2-}$(aq)</td>
<td>1.50 × 10$^7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hoffmann et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS$<em>{(aq)}$ + OH$</em>{(aq)}$ → SO$_4^{2-}$(aq)</td>
<td>1.29 × 10$^7$</td>
<td>-2630</td>
<td>Zhu et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8. Summary of parameters of DMS and its oxidation intermediates used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$H_{298}$ (Henry’s law coefficients at 298 K) (M atm$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-E_a/R$ (Heat of dissolution for $H_{298}$/R (K))</th>
<th>$K_1$ (acid dissociation constant) (M)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (mass accommodation coefficient)</th>
<th>molar mass (g mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>62.1324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>10$^7$</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>78.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA</td>
<td>10$^8$</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>80.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA$_{(aq)}$</td>
<td>10$^9$</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Lamarque et al. (2012); $^b$ Chen et al. (2018); $^c$ Zhu et al. (2006).

### 3 Implications of the extended DMS oxidation mechanism

#### 3.1 Global sulfur budget and distribution in the present day

The global burden of DMS in [MOD_2000] is 50 Gg S. It is 38% lower than the standard run, [STD_2000], but remains within the range of 9.6–140 Gg S from other studies (Faloona, 2009; Kloster et al., 2006). Figure 2 shows that the reduction is mainly over the Southern Ocean and is attributable to faster chemical losses via DMS + BrO and DMS$_{(aq)}$ + O$_3$(aq) (Fig. 3). The global lifetime of DMS decreases from 1.5 d in [STD_2000] to 0.8 d in [MOD_2000]. These values are comparable to the range of 1.2–2.1 d reported in Chen et al. (2018).

Globally, chemical loss is the largest sink of DMS (~24 Tg S yr$^{-1}$) in both PD simulations. The model default chemistry in [STD_2000] predicts that OH oxidation makes up 40% (H abstraction) and 39% (OH addition) of DMS chemical removal globally, while the remaining portion is attributed to NO$_3$ oxidation. These three reactions are only responsible for 80% of global DMS loss in [MOD_2000]. Figure 3 shows that, in [MOD_2000], DMS is mainly oxidized by OH in the gas phase (34% via the H-abstraction channel and 23% via the OH-addition pathway), which contributes up to 80% of local loss over the tropical oceans where surface OH is the highest. The annual mean surface concentrations of all oxidants which react with DMS in our updated scheme are summarized in Fig. S1 in the Supplement. NO$_3$ oxidation of DMS accounts for 23% of global DMS chemical loss and is dominant in Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes, where the outflow of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) – precursors of atmospheric NO$_3$ – from the land are substantial (Miyazaki et al., 2012). DMS oxidation by NO$_x$ contributes <10% over most marine environments in the Southern Hemisphere. Previous studies estimate that the global contribution of OH and NO$_3$ to the DMS oxidation ranges from ~50%–70% to 15%–30%, respectively (Berglen, 2004; Boucher et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018).

Oxidation by BrO is responsible for 11% of the global DMS removal, which falls midway within the previously estimated range of 8%–29% (Boucher et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). Regionally, its importance can be up to 50%–60% over the high latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere, which is close to a previous box model experiment (Hoffmann et al., 2016).

DMS + O$_3$ is the only multiphase DMS oxidation reaction in this study, accounting for 8% (aqueous phase) and 0.4% (gas phase) of global DMS depletion. The oxidation rates via these reactions, estimated by Boucher et al. (2003), were 6% and 3% of the total DMS sink calculated, respectively. Our lower gas-phase DMS + O$_3$ reaction rate could be due to the inclusion of the BrO oxidation, which is miss-
Figure 2. Spatial distribution of the annual mean column concentration (micrograms of sulfur per meter squared; hereafter µg S m⁻²) for DMS simulated by [MOD_2000] (a) and its difference from the baseline run, i.e., [MOD_2000]–[STD_2000] (b). Dotted regions (nearly worldwide) indicate where statistically significant differences are identified by grid-by-grid two-sample t tests with p values < 0.05.

Figure 3. Spatial distribution of the fractional DMS oxidation (percent) from [MOD_2000] through DMS + OH (abstraction), DMS + OH (addition), DMS + NO₃, DMS + BrO, DMS_{aq} + O₃_{aq}, DMS + O₃, DMS + Cl (abstraction), and DMS + Cl (addition). Percentages in parentheses denote contribution to global chemical loss. Subplots are arranged in descending order of their annual total oxidation rates.

ing in their study. Regionally, the fractional contribution of aqueous-phase DMS + O₃ to DMS oxidation can be up to 20 %–30 % over high-latitude oceans, which is on the upper end of the range of 5 %–30 % high-latitude DMS losses previously reported (von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Chen et al., 2018).

Lastly, the Cl oxidation reactions via either the addition or abstraction channels contribute equally (0.3 % each, globally) to the chemical removal of DMS, which is consistent with the proposal of Atkinson et al. (2004). Our estimated values are much lower than the 4 % found in a global model study (Chen et al., 2018) and the 8 %–18 % from box model studies (von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Hoffmann et al., 2016).

We note that recent studies (e.g., X. Wang et al., 2021) have shown that large discrepancies in Cl and BrO are found within the same models and/or sets of measurements. Further investigation of how uncertainties in the representation of the halogen cycle feed back onto DMS chemistry is, hence, warranted.

The global atmospheric sulfur burden is increased by 41 Gg S (or 4.1 %) from [STD_2000] to [MOD_2000] (Fig. 4)
and Table S1). Approximately half (23 Gg S) of this increment is associated with the recovery of the missing sulfur associated with the OH-addition reaction in the standard chemistry (the second reaction in Table 3), which does not conserve sulfur. The remaining total sulfur burden increase is attributable to the extended chemistry scheme. As discussed above, the DMS burden in [MOD_2000] is lower than [STD_2000] by 38% due to faster oxidation. This oxidation produces intermediates with a wide range of lifetimes. The addition of intermediates with relatively long physical lifetimes (to dry and wet deposition only) of HPMTF (1300 d) and MSA (8.5 d) delays the formation of SO$_2$ (2.6 d) and sulfate (4.4 d) compared to the standard reactions in [STD_2000], which increases the export of sulfur-containing intermediates.

The PD global annual mean burden for sulfate aerosol is 582 Gg S in [MOD_2000], with an interannual variability of 46 Gg S (standard deviation of annual means). It is comparable to the 580 Gg S in a previous CAM6-chem study (Tilmes et al., 2019) and is within the estimates (420–660 Gg S) from studies using other models (e.g., Heald et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2018). The new DMS chemistry has increased the global sulfate burden by 47 Gg S (or 8.8%) from the baseline value of 535 Gg S in [STD_2000]. The statistically significant increases in sulfate resulting from the expanded chemistry are mostly found over the tropical and subtropical oceans in the Southern Hemisphere (Fig. 5). There is no strong seasonality in the additional sulfate produced from our expanded chemistry. We estimate that the sulfate burden attributable to DMS increases by 41% from 126 Gg S in [STD_2000] to 178 Gg S in [MOD_2000]. Most of this increase in sulfate burden (72%) comes from the expansion of the gas-phase chemistry with a minor additional contribution from the aqueous-phase chemistry. In a sensitivity test where the isomerization branch reaction (Table 5) is removed from [MOD_2000], the global DMS-derived sulfate burden is reduced by 2.0% (relative to [MOD_2000]).

The spatial distribution of the product branching ratios of DMS oxidation is shown in Fig. 6. In addition to depositional removal, HPMTF converts into SO$_2$, while SO$_2$ and MSA are then oxidized to form sulfate. We estimate that 33% of the annual total DMS oxidation will yield HPMTF. This is comparable to the observationally constrained estimates from NASA Atmospheric Tomography (ATom)-3 and ATom-4 flight campaigns, where ∼30%–40% DMS was oxidized to HPMTF along their flight tracks (Veres et al., 2020). High HPMTF production is typically seen in the summer MBL, coinciding with the HPMTF hotspots over tropical oceans, as shown in Fig. S2. To address the uncertainty in the production and loss of HPMTF as discussed in Sect. 2.3, we run several sensitivity tests using five combinations of $k_{iso}$ and $k_{HPMTF+cloud}$ values based on [MOD_2000]. Table S2 and Fig. S5 summarize the key results of these sensitivity tests. Compared to [MOD_2000], we find that using a faster $k_{iso}$ of 0.12 s$^{-1}$ at 293 K (Ye et al., 2021) increases the global annual total isomerization rate of MSP by 5.6%, while the global burden of HPMTF increases by 4.1%. Increasing the isomerization rate has little impact on the burden of sulfate from DMS (increase of only 4.0%). We also evaluate the importance of the cloud uptake of HPMTF with two hypothetical values of $k_{HPMTF+cloud}$ at 5 × 10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (Vermeuel et al., 2020) and 5 × 10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. At these rates, the cloud uptake...
becomes an important sink of HPMTF, which is responsible for 68%–69% and 28% of the total HPMTF losses respectively. The corresponding global burdens of HPMTF are substantially lowered by 85%–86% and 52%. For simulation with cloud uptake loss, the burdens of HPMTF and sulfate are much less sensitive to our choice of $k_{iso}$ due to the rapid loss of HPMTF to cloud uptake. In these sensitivity simulations, the sulfur contained in HPMTF is assumed to be removed from the system once taken up by cloud, thus reducing the sequential formation of SO$_2$ and sulfate (by up to 8%).

MSA is a key intermediate generated from the OH-addition channel of the multiphase DMS oxidation, especially over the remote marine atmosphere. Our result shows that aqueous-phase MSA formation accounts for most of the MSA production as commonly reported (von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Barnes et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). In [MOD_2000], the global MSA burden is 7.5 Gg S, which is smaller than the range of 13–40 Gg S from previous model studies (Pham et al., 1995; Chin et al., 1996, 2000; Cosme et al., 2002; Hezel et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018). In [MOD_2000], most MSA is formed over the Southern Ocean (Fig. S3). The lifetime of MSA is 0.6 d globally, shorter than the 5–7 d previously proposed (Chin et al., 1996, 2000; Cosme et al., 2002; Hezel et al., 2011; Pham et al., 1995), likely because we include the aqueous-phase OH oxidation to sulfate, which is a significant loss process for MSA. This oxidation accounts for ~76% of removal in [MOD_2000], followed by cloud uptake (18%).

### 3.2 Comparison with observations

Table 9 summarizes the key observational datasets used here to compare with our PD model simulations for their wide coverage of the remote marine atmosphere. The Variability

---

**Figure 5.** Spatial distribution of annual mean column concentrations (µg S m$^{-2}$) for sulfate aerosol simulated by [MOD] (a, c) and their difference from the baseline run (b, d). Only values over the ocean are shown. Dotted regions indicate where statistically significant differences are identified by grid-by-grid two-sample t tests with $p$ values < 0.05.

**Figure 6.** Branching ratio (percent) of the multiphase DMS oxidation pathways in [MOD_2000], considering HPMTF, SO$_2$, and MSA as terminating products estimated from their annual total production rates.
of the American Monsoon Systems (VAMOS) Ocean-Cloud-Atmosphere-Land Study Regional Experiment (VOCALS-REx) is an international field project that took place during October and November in 2008 over the southeastern Pacific off northern Chile and southern Peru (Wood et al., 2011). VOCAL-REx consists of both ship-based and airborne measurements for lower-atmospheric DMS (MSA\textsubscript{(aq)}) and non-sea-salt sulfate aerosol (nss-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}\textsubscript{(aq)}). The ATom mission of NASA is a flight campaign spanning from the Arctic to the Antarctic over the remote Pacific and Atlantic oceans between 2016 and 2018 (Wofsy et al., 2018). During ATom, an array of instruments was used to collect and analyze daytime air samples from the remote marine environments, providing measurements of DMS, HPMTF, MSA\textsubscript{(aq)}, and nss-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}\textsubscript{(aq)}.

The Aerosol and Cloud Experiments in the Eastern North Atlantic (ACE-ENA) probed the atmosphere surrounding the ENA observatory on Graciosa Island during summer in 2017 and winter in 2018 (J. Wang et al., 2019, 2021). ACE-ENA provides high time resolution in situ measurements of MSA and sulfate aerosol in the lower troposphere (Zawadowicz et al., 2021). We note that the model–measurement comparisons are not exact, given that our simulations are performed using free-running dynamics and, thus, are not paired to the meteorological year of measurements. We, therefore, sample monthly mean values from the model at the location of the observations to provide qualitative comparisons.

Most DMS resides in the lower troposphere (Fig. 7). Annual mean surface DMS from [MOD\_2000] ranges from 40–300 ppt (parts per trillion) over much of the ocean but can exceed 320 ppt over the Southern Ocean and northeastern Pacific, which are regions with high DMS emissions. DMS concentrations of ~25–125 ppt were observed at Cape Grim, Tasmania, Australia, in 1990–1993 (Ayers et al., 1995). Sciare et al. (2000) report an annual mean DMS of 181 ppt at Amsterdam Island in the Indian Ocean during the 1990s. Both values are in line with the surface DMS at the corresponding locations modeled by [MOD\_2000].

Figure 8 summarizes the spatial difference between the observed DMS from the VOCALS-REx and ATom missions and the simulated DMS. The model captures the peaks over the tropical Pacific and the Southern oceans off the coast of South America, but aircraft measurements detect hotspots that are not simulated by the model (Fig. 8a). During VOCALS-REx the ship-based measurements (BROWN) recorded a range of near-surface DMS from 18 to 111 ppt, while the airborne measurements (C130) reveal a vertically decreasing trend of DMS mixing ratios, from 33 ppt at ~500 m to 2.0 ppt at ~2 km (Fig. 8b). Modeled surface DMS falls in the range of the ship measurements. Compared to the aircraft observations, simulated DMS is biased high at the surface and declines more abruptly, which may indicate biases in vertical mixing or cloud processing. DMS concentrations are slightly lower in the simulation with updated chemistry [MOD\_2000] but follow the same vertical profile. We disaggregate the ATom observations into three regional groups, namely Pacific, Atlantic, and Southern oceans as in Fig. 8a. DMS concentrations were measured by two instruments during ATom (WAS and TOGA; the former generally reported higher values), and both are compared with model values in Fig. 8c. Observed DMS concentrations during ATom are substantially lower than measured during VOCALS, and lower than any region simulated by the model. Modeled DMS is biased high in all three regions, especially over the Southern Ocean region where the discrepancy extends up to 5 km. The new chemistry increases DMS losses and shortens the DMS lifetime, reducing the model bias in [MOD\_2000]. The decrease in simulated DMS is largest over the Southern Ocean (~49% at the surface), where oxidation by BrO and O\textsubscript{3} in the aqueous phase are important and the model–observation bias is substantially reduced. The remaining model biases during ATom exceed the uncertainty of the kinetics for the current DMS oxidation scheme and are likely attributable, at least in part, to the uncertainty in DMS emission. A sensitivity test, where we reduce the sea surface DMS concentration by 50% in regions south of 30° S in [MOD\_2000] produces, as expected, a comparable decrease in DMS mixing ratios in the lower atmosphere (<5 km), and the model–observation deviations are further narrowed (see Fig. S4 and the Supplement for details). Constraining DMS emissions is beyond the scope of this work but is clearly a major source of uncertainty that may impact the sulfur budget discussed in Sect. 3.1 and the climate response discussed below.

Figure 9 compares the mean vertical profile of HPMTF mixing ratios observed during ATom against the model [MOD\_2000]. Over the Pacific and Atlantic regions, HPMTF mixing ratios are largest at lower altitudes and decrease to <1 ppt in the middle and upper troposphere. The model generally reproduces the observed magnitude and vertical profile. The model [MOD\_2000] is biased high over the Southern Ocean region, particularly in the lower troposphere. Such high biases are consistent with the aforementioned over-
estimation of DMS over this region. In the lower atmosphere over tropical and mid-latitude oceans, the modeled DMS : HPMTF ratios range from 5 : 1 to 2 : 1, which is generally larger than the average 2 : 1 ratio observed during ATom (Veres et al., 2020), suggesting that the model may underestimate the DMS-to-HPMTF conversion rate or overestimate the HPMTF loss. Our model predicts that OH oxidation to SO$_2$ is dominant in the removal of HPMTF while dry and wet deposition are negligible. The addition of cloud uptake (discussed in Sect. 2.3.2) can dramatically decrease HPMTF concentration (by up to >73 % when assuming moderate cloud uptake rate of 5 × 10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$), resulting in a better model–observation agreement in the lower troposphere over the Southern Ocean but low biases over the Pacific and Atlantic (Fig. 9). In light of the DMS biases in Fig. 8, this irreversible cloud uptake may overcorrect the HPMTF concentrations.

Our simulation shows that the gas-phase MSA formation is small compared to aqueous-phase formation, in line with previous work (Barnes et al., 2006; von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004; Zhu et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Hoffmann et al., 2021). Figure 10 shows the concentration of submicron particulate MSA measured during ATom and the co-located concentration of MSA aerosol in Aitken and accumulated modes modeled by [MOD_2000]. The model overestimates the mid-tropospheric MSA concentrations in the Southern Ocean during ATom. Conversely, over the Pacific and Atlantic, the model underestimates MSA at mid- and low altitudes. Over the southeastern Pacific, the measured submicron MSA from VOCALS-REx ranged from 50–80 ng m$^{-3}$ at lower altitudes (<1 km; Wood et al., 2011), while the location-matched simulated MSA was considerably lower (6–15 ng m$^{-3}$). Above Graciosa Island in the North Atlantic, ACE-ENA-observed MSA ranged from 10 to 20 ng m$^{-3}$ at the lower troposphere (<1 km) and gradually reduced to ~5 ng m$^{-3}$ in the mid-troposphere (2–5 km; Zawadowicz et al., 2021), whereas the model estimates a negligible amount of MSA (<0.5 ng m$^{-3}$) near the Azores as a result of limited DMS emissions in that region (as reflected by low DMS concentrations in Fig. 2). Outside of the Southern Ocean, the mean simulated concentration of MSA is underestimated compared to all observations, which suggests that the MSA-forming branches of DMS oxidation (H abstraction, where MSP reacts with NO or multiphase OH-addition reactions of DMS) may be underrepresented in our simulations or that the loss of MSA (by reaction with OH, the reaction rate for which is still highly uncertain; Milne et al., 1989; Zhu et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2018) may be overestimated.
Concentrations of the sulfate aerosol simulated with both [STD_2000] and [MOD_2000] generally agree well with measurements from ATom (Fig. S6). Our model also performs well at the surface when compared against VOCALS-REx and ACE-ENA but is biased high above 1 km, likely reflecting biases in anthropogenic sulfate exported from continental regions.

3.3 Global sulfur budget and distribution in the preindustrial era

As seen under PD conditions, the formation of intermediates expands the overall lifetime of sulfur-containing species in the PI atmosphere, thereby increasing the natural sulfate aerosol background. A summary of the burdens and lifetimes of the sulfur-containing species from the PI simulations is given in Table S1. The DMS burden in the PI from [MOD_1850] is 84% larger than its PD counterpart, due to slower oxidation which prolongs the atmospheric lifetime. Oxidation by OH via the H abstraction (38% of total DMS oxidation in [MOD_1850]) and the OH-addition channels (27%) are still the primary loss pathways of DMS (Fig. S7). DMS + NO₃ becomes less important (23% in PD vs. 6.0% in PI), given the reduced sources of NOₓ, resulting in a lowered DMS-to-SO₂ conversion rate at 27%, compared to 47% in [MOD_2000] (Fig. S8). Reduced NOₓ also limits the reaction rates of MSP + NO, thereby favoring the isomerization pathway (92% of total loss of MSP in PD vs. 96% in PI). Hence, the conversion of DMS to HPMTF becomes more important (39% of total DMS oxidation in PI), leading to a doubling of the HPMTF burden in the PI compared to PD. The addition pathway producing MSA becomes more dominant over the tropical ocean via oxidation by OH and the high latitudes by DMS + BrO, raising the MSA burden by 59% compared to PD. Lastly, the expanded DMS oxidation chemistry increases the PI global annual mean sul-

Figure 8. (a) Measurements of DMS during VOCALS-REx (diamonds in the southeastern Pacific near the coastline of Peru) and ATom (dots) missions. Measured values are showing local 90th percentiles above oceans. ATom data are grouped into three regions, as shown in the purple dashed polygons. Marine-only annual mean near-surface (> 500 hPa) DMS mixing ratios from [MOD_2000] are shown in the background as a reference. Vertically binned modeled and observed medians of DMS, during (b) VOCALS-REx and (c) ATom, are shown. Error bars and gray shadings indicate that the data ranged between corresponding upper and lower quantiles.
fate burden by 29% from 319 Gg S [STD_1850] to 412 Gg S ([MOD_1850]; Fig. 5), of which 57% is derived from DMS, significantly larger than the 31% in PD, confirming that DMS is a relatively more important source of sulfate in PI. Similar to PD, the majority (66%) of this additional sulfate in the PI is produced via the expanded gas-phase oxidation pathways, and this addition is largely aseasonal. The absolute burden of sulfate produced from DMS oxidation is higher in the PI (236 Gg S) compared to the PD (178 Gg S).

4 Global radiative impacts of updated DMS chemistry

Changes to particle-phase sulfate and MSA due to the expanded DMS chemistry, as described above, may alter both aerosol–radiation and aerosol–cloud interactions. Given that particulate MSA is not included in the current CAM6-chem aerosol scheme, to account for its radiative impacts, we assume MSA interacts with radiation like sulfate optically by implementing an artificial rapid conversion of MSA to sulfate. These two adjusted cases are aliased as [MOD_RE_1850] and [MOD_RE_2000], respectively. Details of this implementation are described in the Supplement.
4.1 Direct radiative effect (DRE)

Following the recommendation in Ghan (2013), we focus our analyses on the shortwave (SW) DRE. The PD global annual mean sulfate DRE modeled with [MOD_RE_2000] and [STD_2000] are −0.32 and −0.31 W m$^{-2}$, respectively, which are slightly less negative than previous estimations of −0.36 to −0.42 W m$^{-2}$ (Heald et al., 2014; Myhre et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2017). The global annual mean cloud fraction in the model is 77%, while the all-sky DRE is 59% of the estimate of clear-sky DRE. The more frequent cloudiness in CAM6-chem may explain the lower DRE compared to the AeroCom II models, which report a typical all-sky to clear-sky ratio of 1:2 (Myhre et al., 2013). The DMS-associated sulfate DRE in PD is −0.11 W m$^{-2}$ in [MOD_RE_2000], which is slightly stronger than the value of −0.074 W m$^{-2}$ in a CAM5-chem study using the standard DMS oxidation chemistry (Yang et al., 2017) but substantially weaker than the −0.23 W m$^{-2}$ reported by Rap et al. (2013) using a different model. The DRE contribution of MSA alone is small (−0.8 mW m$^{-2}$; estimated by the DRE difference of [MOD_RE_2000] minus [MOD_2000]). The sulfate DRE is not sensitive to HPMTF cloud loss, given that this loss has a modest impact on the sulfate burden in our simulations. Our new DMS chemistry has strengthened the PD sulfate direct cooling by 0.01 W m$^{-2}$ or 4% of the contribution attributed to DMS relative to [STD_2000].

The rise in the sulfate burden from PI to PD, driven by anthropogenic emissions, occurs mainly over the land in the Northern Hemisphere; this impact is much larger than the increase in sulfate produced by the expanded DMS chemistry (Fig. 11). The zonal extrema of the PD sulfate burden, aerosol optical depth (AOD), and DRE are co-located around 30° N (Fig. 11). The larger difference in sulfate load in the southern tropics (30° S to 0° N) due to the new DMS oxidation reactions, also translates to a larger DRE difference in those latitudes (Fig. S9).

The direct radiative forcing (DRF) is estimated by differencing the DRE estimated with anthropogenic emissions at 1850 and 2000 levels. The DRF of [MOD_RE] and [STD] attributed to sulfate and MSA aerosols are calculated as −0.11 and −0.13 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. This difference indicates a relatively linear relationship between sulfate loading and DRE.

4.2 Impacts on aerosol–cloud interactions and indirect radiative forcing (IRF)

While the central estimate of the IRF of aerosols from the AR5, which reflects constraints from selected satellite and general circulation model (GCM) analyses, is −0.45 W m$^{-2}$, the IRF estimated by the majority of models reported in AR5 (see Figs. 7–19 of Boucher et al., 2013) ranges from −1.0 to −2.5 W m$^{-2}$. This suggests that aerosol–cloud interactions may be substantially overestimated by the majority of models; an overpristine preindustrial era may contribute to this (Menon et al., 2002; Carslaw et al., 2013). We anticipate that the increase in the PI sulfate, following the expansion of DMS chemistry (Fig. 11a), may dampen the IRF in our simulations. We evaluate IRF by calculating changes in the SW cloud radiative effects (ACRE) from PI to PD conditions, following previous studies (Gettelman et al., 2019; Ghan, 2013). Our estimates of IRF encapsulate not only the Twomey and the Albrecht effects (Twomey, 1977; Albrecht, 1989) but also cloud feedbacks in response to meteorological changes driven by different sulfate aerosol loadings. For instance, our simulations do not fix air temperature and wind fields. Deeper mixing and stronger turbulence may affect cloud microphysics and limit/promote the precipitation efficiency of clouds and, hence, alter the cloud lifetime (Gettelman and Sherwood, 2016). These cloud feedback mechanisms are still poorly constrained and contribute to the uncertainty in cloud radiative effect (CRE) estimations in both the PI and PD eras.

The global mean ACRE estimated by differencing [STD_2000] and [STD_1850] is −2.2 W m$^{-2}$, comparable to a previous study, using CAM6 (Gettelman et al., 2019), which reported SW ACRE of −2.1 W m$^{-2}$ with CMIP6 emissions. They also showed that, under CMIP5 emissions, the magnitude of the SW ACRE drops to −1.4 W m$^{-2}$, which is closer to the AR5 range. Our expanded DMS chemistry leads to a modest (−5%) strengthening in the simulated IRF (−2.3 W m$^{-2}$). However, due to the high variability in the cloud effects, these differences, both in the global values and the zonal means shown in Fig. 12c, are not statistically significant.

The strengthening of the IRF is opposite in sign to the expected response to the increase in PI sulfate. Carslaw et al. (2013) describe how cloud albedo is much more strongly sensitive to CCN in the PI, suggesting that higher PI aerosol burden may decrease the cloud response to anthropogenic increases. Figure 13 illustrates the change in SW CRE and sulfate burden from multiple simulations from the PI to the PD eras. Counter to expectations, we find that the SW CRE is more sensitive to each unit increment of sulfate burden (steeper slope) when the PI aerosol burden is higher (in [MOD_RE]; shown in dark red) compared to our baseline simulations ([STD]; shown in blue). Figure S10 shows that the PI-to-PD changes in CCN and cloud properties are also more sensitive to the change in sulfate burden in [MOD_RE] than [STD]. Hence, each unit PI-to-PD increase in sulfate burden in [MOD_RE] appears to induce more numerous and smaller cloud droplets and enrich cloud water content, thus enhancing cloud albedo. This could contribute to the enlarged change in SW CRE (or the IRF) in [MOD_RE], even though its PI-to-PD sulfate burden increment is smaller than [STD].

This sensitivity may also be related to the spatial distribution in the change in DMS-derived sulfate burden. Figure 12 shows that the increase in sulfate burden from PI to
Figure 11. Contrasting the zonal means of (a) sulfate column concentration, (b) sulfate aerosol optical depth (AOD), and (c) all-sky SW sulfate DRE modeled with [MOD_RE] and [STD] chemistry at the PI and PD emission levels. Note that particulate MSA is included as sulfate in the MOD_RE simulations.

Figure 12. Contrasting the zonal means of changes in (a) sulfate column concentration, (b) SW CRE, and (c) marine-only SW CRE modeled with [MOD_RE] and [STD] chemistry for PI and PD simulations. Dotted lines in panel (a) show the zonal mean marine grid cells only.
Figure 13. PI-to-PD changes in the SW CRE and sulfate burden of simulations in this study. [STD] (blue) refers to the simulation with model default chemistry. [MOD, RE] (dark red) denote the cases with our expanded DMS chemistry implemented with all gas-phase, aerosol-phase, and in-cloud reactions. [GAS, RE] (yellow), which only includes the expanded gas-phase reactions, is also shown. Arrows indicate changes from PI (tails) to PD (heads). Horizontal and vertical error bars span the 1σ variabilities in the burden and SW CRE in the simulations, respectively.

PD is stronger in the marine atmosphere, as expected. In a sensitivity test, [GAS, RE], where only the gas-phase reactions of the new DMS oxidation scheme are enabled but not the aqueous-phase reactions (with the exception of aqueous-phase oxidation of SO2), produces a less negative IRF of 1.7 W m⁻². Figure S11 illustrates that this sensitivity simulation follows the anticipated response, with an increase in the PI sulfate decreasing the change in the liquid water path (LWP) from the PI and the PD (particularly in low clouds) and, thus, dampening the IRF, indicating the important climate implications of marine stratocumulus clouds (Wood, 2012). Contrasting [MOD, RE] and [GAS, RE] reveals that the introduction of the aqueous-phase pathway contributes to large changes in the PD–PI sulfate co-located with high clouds over the tropics and low clouds over the Southern Ocean. Though the change in sulfate in both regions produces stronger regional cooling IRF, this appears to be the result of two different processes. In the tropics, decreases in sulfate in the presence of high clouds modify ice nucleation (Gettelman et al., 2010), leading to increased ice water path (IWP) and strengthened cloud cooling over the tropical oceans. Over the Southern Ocean, decreases in sulfate attributable to the aqueous-phase chemistry are associated with even higher LWP in low clouds, further exaggerating the local cooling IRF compared to the gas-phase-only simulation. Even though the amount of sulfate produced by the aqueous-phase pathway is relatively small (~8% of DMS-derived sulfate), it appears to have a disproportionate impact on clouds and the estimate of aerosol IRF, suggesting a strong sensitivity of cloud properties to the spatial distribution of natural marine sulfate. More work is needed to better understand this response.

5 Conclusions

We expand the chemical mechanism in CAM6-chem to better describe DMS oxidation in the atmosphere, determine the formation of the intermediate sulfur products, and estimate the aerosol radiative implications under the PI and PD periods.

Uncertainty in our estimate of sulfate response to the new DMS chemistry is largely associated with estimated reaction rates. Some rate constants for the multiphase reactions are obtained from a limited set of box model and laboratory studies which have not been validated with field measurements. For example, our rate constant for MS(liquid) + OH(liquid) from Zhu et al. (2003) is 4.7 times smaller than another lab study (Milne et al., 1989), potentially leading to a higher global tropospheric MSA burden by ~30% (Chen et al., 2018). As discussed in Sect. 3.2, it is likely that our model overestimates the concentration of particulate MSA and underestimate gaseous MSA when compared with in situ measurements (e.g., ATom; Yan et al., 2019) in the Southern Ocean. This could result in an overestimate of sulfate, given that gas-phase MSA is expected to have a longer lifetime than particulate MSA and H2SO4 vapor (Berresheim, 2002). Our comparisons with observations also suggest that emissions of DMS, in particular a likely overestimate over the Southern Ocean, play an important role in dictating the regional loading of secondary oxidation products; the climate response to these changes should be further investigated.

This study included a relatively new chemical mechanism for the formation and loss of HPMTF. The rate of isomerization of MSP (kiso) controls the production of HPMTF. The analyses reported here are based on a theoretically calculated value of kiso (0.04 s⁻¹ at 293 K; Veres et al., 2020), which is slower than previous experiment- and model-based estimates of 0.23–2.1 s⁻¹ (Wu et al., 2015; Berndt et al., 2019). We find that a faster kiso (0.12 s⁻¹ at 293 K, based on Ye et al., 2021) has a negligible impact on the HPMTF burden (+4.1%) and resulting sulfate formation. However, the HPMTF burden is quite sensitive to the loss of HPMTF due to the cloud uptake (k_HPMTF-cloud), which was recently suggested as being a particularly important sink of HPMTF in the MBL (Veres et al., 2020; Vermeuel et al., 2020). These large changes suggest that further field measurements are needed to better understand the cloud uptake process of HPMTF and the resulting formation of in-cloud sulfur products.

In this study, we dramatically expand the DMS oxidation mechanism within an Earth system model. Doing so increases the global sulfate burden by 8.8% in PD and 29% in PI. While we anticipated that a larger PI burden of sulfate would dampen the aerosol IRF, our simulations instead suggested that the role of aqueous-phase chemistry, though modest in terms of the sulfate burden, confounds this effect. In a simulation with only updated gas-phase chemistry, the higher PI burden decreases the magnitude of the IRF, as anticipated (~2.2 W m⁻² in standard chemistry vs. ~1.7 W m⁻²).
with updated gas-phase chemistry). However, high clouds in the tropics and low clouds in the Southern Ocean appear to be particularly sensitive to the sulfate produced via the aqueous-phase pathway, counteracting the effect of the additional sulfate formed via the gas-phase pathways (net $-2.3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$). These large differences confirm the high sensitivity of aerosol indirect effects to the natural aerosol background, while revealing complex cloud responses to aerosol produced in different geographical regions via different pathways. More work is needed to understand these responses (e.g., better understanding of cloud responses to aerosols formed in the aqueous phase and observational constraints on the cloud-uptake process of HPMTF via both laboratory and field measurements). While our new chemistry increases the computational costs by $\sim 15\%$ (in core hours per simulation year), this study suggests that a detailed description of the chemical oxidation of DMS and its products, and particularly the chemistry relevant to pristine conditions, is needed to accurately represent the abundance of natural sulfur species in the marine atmosphere and changes in natural aerosol burden over time.
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