



# Opinion: Coordinated development of emission inventories for climate forcers and air pollutants

Steven J. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Erin E. McDuffie<sup>2</sup>, and Molly Charles<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Joint Global Change Research Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, College Park, MD, USA

<sup>2</sup>AAAS Science and Technology Policy Fellowships, Washington, DC, USA

**Correspondence:** Steven J. Smith (ssmith@pnnl.gov) and Erin E. McDuffie (erin.mcduffie@wustl.edu)

Received: 17 December 2021 – Discussion started: 17 January 2022

Revised: 31 July 2022 – Accepted: 30 August 2022 – Published: 14 October 2022

**Abstract.** Emissions into the atmosphere of fine particulate matter, its precursors, and precursors to tropospheric ozone impact not only human health and ecosystems, but also the climate by altering Earth's radiative balance. Accurately quantifying these impacts across local to global scales historically and in future scenarios requires emission inventories that are accurate, transparent, complete, comparable, and consistent. In an effort to better quantify the emissions and impacts of these pollutants, also called short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs), the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is developing a new SLCF emissions methodology report. This report would supplement existing IPCC reporting guidance on greenhouse gas (GHG) emission inventories, which are currently used by inventory compilers to fulfill national reporting requirements under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and new requirements of the Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF) under the Paris Agreement starting in 2024. We review the relevant issues, including how air pollutant and GHG inventory activities have historically been structured, as well as potential benefits, challenges, and recommendations for coordinating GHG and air pollutant inventory efforts. We argue that, while there are potential benefits to increasing coordination between air pollutant and GHG inventory development efforts, we also caution that there are differences in appropriate methodologies and applications that must jointly be considered.

## 1 Introduction

Anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases and air pollutants into the atmosphere have wide-reaching impacts that span local to global scales. For example, emissions of short-lived air pollutant species such as sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), carbonaceous aerosols, and other products of incomplete combustion or fugitive sources cause enhanced levels of fine particulate matter and surface-level ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), both of which are harmful to human health and alter ecosystems (Mannucci et al., 2015; Malley et al., 2017; US EPA, 2020). In contrast, emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs), such as methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), are relatively longer-lived in the atmosphere and alter Earth's radiative balance, termed radiative forcing, leading to anthropogenic climate change (Myhre et al., 2013).

These classifications, however, are not always distinct as the same compounds that contribute to poor air quality can also impact Earth's radiative budget. For example, ambient fine particulate matter is the world's leading environmental health risk factor and was responsible for roughly 4 million deaths worldwide in 2019 (GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators, 2020), but it also impacts Earth's radiative balance, historically with a net cooling effect (Szopa et al., 2021). This cooling effect is sufficiently strong that historical climate patterns cannot be reproduced without including these effects in models (Barnett et al., 1999; Stone et al., 2009). In addition, O<sub>3</sub> in the troposphere, which is enhanced in the presence of sunlight and precursor emissions, is also damaging to both environmental and human health and is a GHG that contributes to anthropogenic climate change. Emissions of these compounds and their precursors rapidly increased during the 20th century (Hoesly et al., 2018) but in recent

decades have both increased and decreased, depending on the specific region and individual compound (O'Rourke et al., 2021).

Inventories of air pollutant and GHG emissions help to better understand, quantify, and manage the net impacts of these compounds, thereby serving as a central tool for scientific and policy activities. Inventories quantify the rate of emissions of individual compounds into the atmosphere from specific processes or activities. They are generally based on bottom-up calculations that require detailed information on emission factors and activity data, such as fuel consumption or vehicle miles traveled, but can also incorporate direct measurements or results from physical process models. Scientific computational models rely on these inventories as inputs in order to simulate and study atmospheric processes and global change. Emission inventories that are complete (include emissions from all major sources and regions), accurate, source-specific, and developed using consistent, transparent, and comparable methodologies also provide fundamental data to inform policy decisions and allow for the evaluation of mitigation activities. For instance, air pollutant and GHG emission inventories can be used within a suite of tools to identify activities that lead to unhealthy air pollution levels or to inform the design of policies intended to mitigate air pollution or climate change.

While having similar applications, the development of national air pollutant and GHG inventories has been historically distinct. For air pollutant emissions, inventories have historically been developed by individual governments to support national and multilateral efforts to reduce air pollutant levels. While there is currently no globally consistent framework for reporting national inventories of air pollutant emissions, national and regional inventory activities have been underway since the 1970s in North America (e.g., US Environmental Protection Agency) and Europe (e.g., EMEP/European Environment Agency), with air pollutant inventory activities now well-established in many countries around the world. As a result, in large part due to air pollution control efforts informed by these inventories, emission levels for most air pollutants have been generally decreasing in North America and Europe since the early 1980s, which has been broadly reflected in both inventory and observational data (Hoesly et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2015; Hand et al., 2012). Air pollution control actions in China are now also resulting in substantial emissions reductions for some air pollutants (Zheng et al., 2018), although a comprehensive official air pollutant emissions inventory has not yet been developed.


In contrast to air pollutant inventories, national efforts to quantify emissions of GHGs have been developed more recently and largely follow more standardized methodologies. Under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC; <https://unfccc.int/>, last access: 22 September 2022) 197 signatories agreed to report annual inventories of their national anthropogenic GHG emissions and sinks using comparable methodologies. This agreement

was a fundamental step in facilitating multilateral cooperation to address climate change. Under the UNFCCC, annual emissions inventory reporting is mandatory for “Annex I” countries<sup>1</sup> starting with emissions in 1990 up to the current year minus two and requires that countries use the IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (2006 IPCC Guidelines) to develop emission estimates (IPCC, 2006). The first national inventories were submitted to the UNFCCC in the early 1990s. More recently as part of the reporting requirements of the Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF) under the Paris Agreement, Annex I countries are still required to report annual anthropogenic GHG inventories, with all other signatories having new requirements to submit national GHG inventories at least every 2 years, starting in the year 2024. All countries will be required to report emissions following “good practice” GHG methodologies detailed in the 2006 IPCC GHG methodology reports.

As a follow-on to the IPCC GHG Guidelines and to address uncertainties in the emissions and impacts of air pollutant short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs), the IPCC recently directed its Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (TFI) to produce an IPCC methodology report on SLCFs (Decision IPCC-XLIX-7, May 2019). The addition of this report is intended to facilitate the estimation of both national air pollutant and GHG emissions. The TFI is currently gathering information on existing air pollutant inventory methods, in consultation with international inventory experts, to help inform an outline for the methodology report. Pending approval of the outline by the IPCC Panel, the development of the full SLCF methodology report is currently planned to commence during the seventh IPCC assessment report (AR7) cycle.

In this perspective, we provide a background and discussion on the similarities and differences between inventories of air pollutant and GHG emissions (Fig. 1). For both air pollutants (Sect. 2) and GHGs (Sect. 3), we first highlight the importance of these compounds by discussing their health and environmental impacts. We then summarize differences in the historical use and development of GHG and air pollution emission inventories and discuss their distinct data needs and emission uncertainty considerations. In the fourth section, we discuss unique characteristics of “air pollutant SLCF inventories” as well as approaches and considerations for quantifying the net health and climate impacts of these compounds. In the last section we argue for the benefits of developing a coordinated framework to jointly estimate GHG and air pollutant emission inventories, but we also discuss the associated challenges and cautions. We end with a sum-

<sup>1</sup> Annex I Parties include the industrialized countries that were members of the OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) in 1992, plus countries with economies in transition (the EIT Parties), including the Russian Federation, the Baltic states, and several central and eastern European states.



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram illustrating the main characteristics of greenhouse gas (GHGs) vs. air pollutant inventories, also highlighting overlap of characteristics in inventories of air pollutant short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs). As discussed in the main text, an “air pollutant SLCF inventory” is largely a matter of context rather than a distinct category of inventory data. Also note that all the air pollutants and some of the GHGs listed above are considered SLCF compounds.

mary of recommendations for organizations, compilers, and research groups interested in such activities.

## 2 Air pollutant emissions


### 2.1 Impacts

Understanding the net impacts (and mitigation opportunities) of air pollutants and GHGs on human health and the Earth system are important drivers for emissions inventory development. For air pollutants, in addition to their climate impacts (discussed in Sect. 4), long-term exposure to both indoor and outdoor air pollutants (i.e., O<sub>3</sub> and particulate matter) was attributable to nearly 7 million deaths worldwide in the year 2019, corresponding to the loss of over 213 million disability-adjusted life years (GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators, 2020). This global health burden is not uniformly distributed across all countries. For example, air-pollution-attributable mortalities are generally much higher in low- to middle-income countries (generally upper right in Fig. 2). The main sources of air pollution in each region differ as well, with a much larger fraction of pollutant emissions in lower-income countries originating from solid biofuel use and open burning, while dominant sources tend to shift to fossil fuel combustion over time as industrial activities expand (GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators, 2020; McDuffie et al., 2021). As also shown in Fig. 2, there has been a further shift in higher-income countries away from fossil emissions as air pollution policies reduce combustion emissions, but sources such as agricultural activities and wildfires remain constant or are increasing.

From a mitigation perspective, understanding relevant emission sources through the development of emission inventories can provide key insights. For example, a key challenge in lower-income countries is to accelerate the transition to lower air pollutant levels and mortality, while also improving living standards, without unduly increasing GHG emissions. Figure 2 shows historical trajectories of air-pollution-attributable mortality in individual countries relative to changes in emissions of fossil and industrial-equivalent particulate matter over time. These trajectories illustrate that low- to middle-SDI countries generally move leftward over time as economic activity expands, indicating that air pollution levels remain high as fossil fuel use increases and eventually dominates over solid biomass fuels. This means that mitigating the damage caused by air pollutant and precursor emissions is likely to have high priority, particularly for lower-income countries (upper right) where air pollution impacts are high but fossil emissions, including GHG emissions, are still relatively low. As incomes continue to increase and once control policies are instituted, countries generally move downward in Fig. 2 to lower levels of air pollution and attributable deaths (1 %–8 % in high-SDI countries after 2015). Inventories of relevant air pollutants may help to inform these activities that can lead to both improved living standards and lower pollutant levels. It is also important to note that any simultaneous GHG inventory activities in these cases should not detract from the development of data needed for improving air quality, which can result in substantial near-term improvements in health and well-being.

### 2.2 Inventory compounds

Inventories of air pollutants include emissions of compounds that are directly hazardous to human health, such as nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub> = NO<sub>2</sub> + NO) and primary aerosol. These inventories also generally include precursors to tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and secondary particulate matter, such as gaseous ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs), and SO<sub>2</sub>. These compounds are emitted from a wide variety of sources including fuel combustion for energy generation and transportation, agricultural practices, industrial processes, solvent use, and waste disposal. As described below, emission estimation methods for each compound can vary by source and region and in many cases require detailed source, temporal, and spatial information. Note that primary aerosol emissions in national air pollutant inventories are generally enumerated as PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> (particles smaller than 2.5 and 10 µm in diameter, respectively) to relate to health end points. However, for inventories intended for use in climate models primary aerosol emissions are enumerated as black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC), which are the components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions that have the largest radiative impacts (e.g., Klimont et al., 2017). Some inventories include emissions of total PM<sub>2.5</sub> as well as BC and OC.



**Figure 2.** Illustrative figure showing the relationship between emissions sources and the fraction of “all cause” deaths attributable to annual air pollution (e.g., outdoor and indoor  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  as well as  $\text{O}_3$ ) exposure over time and by socio-demographic category. The fraction of all deaths in 2019 attributable to annual air pollution exposure (y axis) by major socio-demographic index (SDI) groups (large symbols) from the GBD project (GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators, 2020) plotted against the fraction of equivalent particulate matter (PM) emissions from fossil and industrial sources (van Marle et al., 2017; O’Rourke et al., 2021; see Appendix for definitions). The largest countries within each SDI group are shown as small individual symbols. The trajectory over 1990 (open symbols) to 2019 (large filled symbols) for each country SDI group is shown by the smaller trailing symbols. Countries in the lower left position have the lowest mortality from air pollution, with PM largely from fossil and industrial sources. Countries in the upper right have a higher disease burden from air pollution and PM largely from non-fossil sources such as forest and grassland fires as well as household use of solid biofuels.

### 2.3 Historical inventory use and development

In contrast to GHG inventories (Sect. 3), there is no internationally accepted, globally consistent framework for reporting national emission inventories of air pollutants. As a result, the use and development of air pollutant emission inventories will often vary across world regions due to geographical differences in the relevant sources, available resources for emissions reporting and mitigation, and the relative impacts of air pollutants and their precursors.

In lower-income regions, where air pollution accounted for an average of 16 % of all attributable deaths in 2019 (low and low-middle SDI in Fig. 2), the largest near-term gains in air quality will often come from large-scale transformations focused on reducing open burning (agricultural field burning, forest and grassland burning) and the household use of traditional solid biofuels and coal. In these countries, however, the availability of detailed inventory data is not necessarily a prerequisite for effective large-scale action. In fact, even in Europe and the US, early air quality policies preceded coordinated inventory efforts, with official national inventory systems developed later to support and strengthen policy activities.

China provides a more recent and interesting example in this respect. Over the last 2 decades, there was no formal government process in place for air pollutant emissions inventory development. The de facto official air pollutant inventories were instead produced by university research groups, albeit with close ties and cooperation with government ministries. Throughout this same time period, there was increased focus on improving air quality in China, with strict controls on electric power plants and, more recently, large industrial boilers, as well as emission standards for new vehicles (Zheng et al., 2018; China State Council, 2013). The result was decreasing  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions by the mid-2010s (Zheng et al., 2018). In this example, a national air pollutant inventory was not a prerequisite for quite substantial mitigation, and instead the publication of an air pollutant emissions inventory in 2018 illustrated, in retrospect, significant declines in emissions and helped to evaluate the impact of these controls after many of these ambitious policy actions had already taken effect (Zheng et al., 2018).

In high-income countries (high SDI), where air pollution accounted for an average of 3 % of all attributable deaths in the year 2019 (left in Fig. 2), the use of air pollutant inventories is more central to the policy process. Improving air quality is often a more nuanced process in these countries where significant air pollution controls are already in place. Here,



emission inventories are used as a tool to help reach compliance goals, guide mitigation actions, and as input data for air quality modeling. The ultimate regulatory end points are measured pollutant concentrations, which are required to be below specified thresholds for a designated period for a region to be considered in regulatory compliance. A key issue is also the transportation of local emissions and secondary pollutants downwind as well as the potential for localities within a country to have differing compliance status. As a result, detailed air pollutant inventories are regularly produced, often with a focus on higher levels of spatial and temporal detail, including characterization of emissions during short periods when air pollution exceeds regulatory limits. Indeed, the term “inventory” in the air pollution community is often taken to be synonymous with these detailed spatial emissions data. Emission inventories, however, are only one part of regulatory, mitigation, and modeling activities, which must also contend with uncertainties in the split between natural and anthropogenic emission sources, as well as our evolving understanding of atmospheric processes that lead to the production of pollutants, their deposition, and downwind transport.

In high-SDI countries, air pollutant inventories also can be used in air pollutant trading or emissions cap programs across jurisdictional boundaries, particularly for the acidifying sulfur and nitrogen dioxide species. In large part because of these regulatory programs, emissions for large sources are directly measured in the United States and Canada, and it is these measurements that form the basis of subsequent inventories for these sources instead of bottom-up calculations. In Europe, country-level emissions targets have been negotiated under the Gothenburg Protocol, making inventory estimates central to this process as well (Kuklinska et al., 2015; Sliggers and Kakebeeke, 2004; Hashimoto, 1989).

In contrast to other regions, there has been substantial international effort across Europe to standardize methods for air pollutant emission estimation and reporting in support of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP) and the 2012 amendment of the Gothenburg Protocol. In 1979 the LRTAP was the first multilateral agreement to address transboundary air pollution, which created a regional framework for reducing transboundary pollution across Europe and North America. To support and standardize air pollutant emissions reporting, the EMEP/European Environmental Agency (EEA) Guidebook (European Environmental Agency, 2019), formerly known as the EMEP/CORINAIR Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook, was published in 1996 for intended use for parties by the LRTAP. The EMEP/EEA Guidebook has been regularly updated since, most recently in 2019, to account for evolving information on emission factors and the sources of air pollutant emissions and relevant compounds (e.g., adding emission estimation guidance on black carbon in 2013 in response to the inclusion of black carbon in the 2012 Gothenburg Protocol). There has also been effort to align the EMEP/EEA Guidebook with the IPCC GHG nomenclature and approaches

(e.g., tiered approaches) so that air pollutant emissions reporting at the country level in Europe could align with IPCC sectoral categories. As part of this alignment, however, some categories included in previous EMEP reporting protocols, which would be useful for more detailed estimation of air pollutants, have been dropped from current reporting requirements because these were not part of the IPCC protocol. In addition, differences in emission source definitions and methodologies between the EMEP/EEA Guidebook and IPCC GHG Guidelines persist, highlighting some of the challenges with coordinating or harmonizing efforts across GHG and air pollutant emission inventories (see Sect. 5).

## 2.4 Data needs

The development of emissions data used as part of regulatory processes in high-SDI countries is often supported by ministries and consultancies that specialize in the production of air pollutant emission inventories. Further, these inventories are made possible by the availability of voluminous ancillary data such as statistics on energy consumption, road networks, vehicle counts along highways, and economic and industrial activity (e.g., US EPA, 2021a; European Environmental Agency, 2019). Many country inventories rely heavily on extensive reporting processes by states and/or provinces as well as individual facilities. Because emission factors for many air pollutants depend on operational conditions, including the performance of emission control devices, there are substantial data and analysis needs for accurate air pollutant emission estimates.

Inventory data needs and development processes in high-SDI countries contrast with lower-income countries where the technical infrastructure and resources needed for inventory development are often limited, and the data needed to support detailed inventory development may not exist. For example, to estimate emissions from road vehicles, either the amount of fuel consumed or vehicle distance traveled by different vehicle categories (freight trucks, passenger cars, buses, etc.) needs to be known. This information is not available for many countries.

In light of these data and resource limitations, the type of information most needed to inform air quality policies should be prioritized based on the specific policy context, resources, and circumstances in each country or entity. In low-income countries, for example, ongoing efforts to improve energy access by providing on-demand lighting (broadly comparable to the goals of the rural electrification program in the late 1930s in the United States) and alternatives to traditional cooking fuels will reduce air pollution exposure and lower the time burden of solid biofuel collection, thereby improving livelihoods and lowering mortality rates. In this case, a key metric for progress might be prioritizing the collection of data related to the use of fuels contributing to poor air quality (e.g., traditional solid fuels, kerosene for lighting), their trends, and their cleaner replacements (e.g., electricity

for lighting, liquified petroleum gas for cooking, and use of improved cookstoves).

Further, given limited resources, inventory development will need to be prioritized based on dominant local sources and current trends. While similar in concept to the IPCC key category analysis for GHG emissions (see below), prioritization can be more complex for air pollutants given their impacts on climate and air quality, complex feedbacks, and dependence on local conditions and rapidly changing sectors and technologies. For example, China and India are rapidly adopting road vehicle emission standards similar to those in North America and Europe, which could result in a faster movement to higher vehicle emission control levels in these countries. This stands in contrast to the process in the United States and Europe that occurred over decades, where increasingly strict standards proceeded together with technological developments to facilitate improved emission control devices. While transportation continues to be a dominant source of GHG emissions, if most vehicles become compliant with higher control standards and air pollutant emissions from road vehicles are sufficiently reduced over the coming years, this sector could become less relevant for the development of new air pollution mitigation policies in these countries and air pollutant inventory activities could be reprioritized to focus on other major emission sources. Though transportation inventories will continue to be important to track compliance and usage rates<sup>2</sup>, these rapid technological changes suggest that resources for future air pollutant inventory improvements may best be focused on sectors and subsectors (e.g., off-road mobile sources in the USA) or specific emitted compounds (e.g., NMVOCs in China) that have recently become relatively larger sources of air pollution.

## 2.5 Uncertainty

There has been limited focus on estimating uncertainties in air pollutant inventories used by regulatory agencies. Particularly as emission levels fall, greater focus on estimating uncertainty will be helpful to better understand where estimated emissions may need improvement, given that incorrect emissions data could mischaracterize trends or lead to suboptimal policy choices. As an example, observational and modeling analyses have indicated that there appear to be biases in United States and European air pollutant inventory estimates for mobile sources that need to be addressed (Carslaw et al., 2011; Anenberg et al., 2017; Anderson et al., 2014; Hassler et al., 2016), even with the extensive emission estimation processes currently in place. This is indicative of the difficulty of making accurate estimates for some emission sectors and highlights the need to review the detailed as-

<sup>2</sup>Tracking usage rates for older vehicles will be increasingly important since once the majority of vehicles are equipped with strong emission controls, road vehicle emissions will be increasingly impacted by super-emitters (Carslaw et al., 2011).

sumptions and procedures used to estimate these emissions, understand sources of uncertainty, and make use of observational data for inventory evaluation.

Qualitatively, emission uncertainty is often higher in lower-income countries due to gaps in activity data and a lack of emission factor information appropriate for local technologies and usage patterns. Quantifying emissions from household solid biofuel use, for example, has proven to be particularly difficult with large uncertainties. Not only is residential biofuel consumption uncertain, with current global energy datasets populated with model estimates for many lower-income countries, but laboratory emission factor measurements also do not replicate varied real-world operational conditions, and in situ measurements are difficult to undertake. While this results in a significant level of uncertainty in pollutant emissions from this source, given the solid evidence of the resulting impacts on human health, this uncertainty does not detract from the overall rationale for expanding energy access. Therefore, while emission uncertainty needs to be recognized, uncertainty is not necessarily an impediment to effective pollution mitigation action.

## 3 Greenhouse gas emissions

### 3.1 Impacts

The context changes significantly when considering greenhouse gases rather than air pollutant compounds. Most GHG emissions become well-mixed into the atmosphere, which means that the location of emissions is largely decoupled from their impact on the Earth system. The time horizon for climate change is also relatively longer than for air pollution, given both the global scope of fossil fuel consumption and the century to millennial atmospheric timescales of the carbon cycle. This means that the impacts of GHG emissions and concentrations are a global and long-term issue. Further, in contrast with air pollutants, while emission estimates derived from atmospheric concentrations of GHGs can help inform inventory efforts<sup>3</sup>, concentrations of GHG are not particularly useful as near-term regulatory end points, as concentrations change slowly and are influenced by short-term variations in the carbon cycle and atmospheric chemistry. Instead, emission inventories of GHGs are the central tools for tracking emission trends and mitigation progress, such as those submitted regularly to the UNFCCC, which are key indicators of progress toward meeting national GHG emission reduction goals.

<sup>3</sup>It is possible to estimate GHG emissions from inverse modeling of concentration measurements from stationary platforms (e.g., towers, surface stations), mobile platforms (e.g., road vehicles, aircraft), and/or satellite retrievals. Such inversion estimates can play a role in GHG inventory development, particularly in identifying large sources and identifying regions or sectors where inventories may have large biases.

### 3.2 Inventory compounds

Inventories of GHGs include carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), and emissions of multiple fluorinated gases (F-gases). Fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are the most straightforward to quantify because emissions can be estimated from statistics on net fossil fuel consumption and fuel energy content. Fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are the largest portion of anthropogenic GHG emissions both globally (72 % of global GHG emissions in 2018, according to Minx et al., 2021, excluding CO<sub>2</sub> from land use change) and for most large countries. A robust estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions therefore provides a solid foundation for total GHG emission estimates.

Methane and N<sub>2</sub>O are the next most important GHGs. These emissions originate largely from either fugitive sources or through biological processes such as anaerobic decomposition and soil nitrogen cycles, making them inherently more uncertain. Fugitive emissions are also challenging to estimate and have large uncertainties since conditions vary spatially and temporally, and a small number of sources can dominate the total emissions within a sector. Zimmerle et al. (2015) estimate, for example, that uncertainty in fugitive CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the transmission and storage of natural gas in the United States is between −23 % and +36 % (+30 % and −19 % if unmodeled portions of the sector are included) and that over 36 % of emissions from this sector are from a small number of individual sources. Note, however, that fugitive sources are not often dominant sources of total national anthropogenic GHG emissions. As estimated by the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) global GHG inventory (Minx et al., 2021; Crippa et al., 2021), fugitive CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from oil and gas operations were less than 20 % of total national CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in 86 of the top 100 GHG-emitting countries in 2015.

Fluorinated gases are the remaining category of GHGs, which have industrial uses including as refrigerants, foam blowing agents, insulators in high-voltage electrical equipment, and in other processes. Because most of these gases have no natural sources (unlike other GHGs), total anthropogenic emissions of individual F-gases can be more directly estimated using atmospheric concentration measurements or inverse modeling (Montzka et al., 2018; Rigby et al., 2019; Flerlage et al., 2021; Brunner et al., 2017), although understanding the contributing sources still largely requires source-specific emission inventories.

### 3.3 Historical inventory use and development

In contrast with air pollutant inventories, the use and development of GHG emission inventories have been strongly influenced by international reporting requirements, starting with national GHG inventory reporting in the early 1990s. For example, the UNFCCC (ratified by 197 countries) requires the development and reporting of accurate, com-

plete, consistent, comparable, and transparent annual anthropogenic GHG emission and removal estimates. Emissions reporting is facilitated by detailed templates and methodological guidance from the IPCC (IPCC, 2006). These emission methodologies are divided into three tiers, with higher-tier methods requiring more detailed information but also having higher presumed accuracy. It is recommended that the higher-tier methods be used for source categories that are large or quickly growing in a particular country. There is also a focus on obtaining consistent emission trends over time, given that these are a key metric for measuring progress towards GHG reduction goals. For this reason, as data or methods are revised, it is IPCC good practice for countries to re-estimate emissions over the entire reporting period (base year forward). We note that this sort of past re-estimation is not always conducted for air pollutant emissions, for which it is not uncommon to find discontinuities in time series due to methodological changes over time.

For parties included in Annex I to the convention (Annex I Parties), annual GHG inventories require anthropogenic emissions and removals of GHGs (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, perfluorocarbons – PFCs, hydrofluorocarbons – HFCs, sulfur hexafluoride – SF<sub>6</sub>, and nitrogen trifluoride – NF<sub>3</sub>)<sup>4</sup> from five sectors (energy; industrial processes and product use; agriculture; land use, land use change, and forestry (LULUCF); and waste). The current UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for these parties include use of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and subsequent 2019 Refinements (IPCC, 2019).

Reporting for non-Annex I Parties (including most lower-income countries) under the UNFCCC is currently less detailed and is implemented through national communications (NCs) and biennial update reports (BURs). NCs provide information on GHG inventories and are submitted every 4 years. BURs provide an update of the information presented in NCs, in particular on national GHG inventories, and are submitted every 2 years as a summary of the NC or a stand-alone report. The non-Annex I Parties' national inventories of anthropogenic emissions should include sources and removals by sinks of all greenhouse gases, to the extent national capabilities and circumstances permit, using comparable methodologies to be promoted and agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

In the more recent Paris Agreement, the distinction between Annex I and non-Annex I Parties is removed, and in its place is the establishment of the Enhanced Transparency

<sup>4</sup>From paragraph 33 (p. 12) of UNFCCC decision 24/CP.19, revision of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for parties included in Annex I to the convention: “Annex I Parties are strongly encouraged to also report emissions and removals of additional GHGs, such as hydrofluoroethers (HFEs), perfluoropolyethers (PFPEs), and other gases for which 100-year global warming potential values are available from the IPCC but have not yet been adopted by the COP. These emissions and removals should be reported separately from national totals.”

Framework (ETF) for all parties under the commitment. Under the ETF, starting in the year 2024, each party shall regularly provide a national inventory report of anthropogenic emissions and removals of GHGs submitted using common reporting tables and prepared using good practice methodologies from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, as well as information necessary to track progress made in implementing and achieving its nationally determined contribution (NDC) to the GHG target.

### 3.4 Data needs

Under UNFCCC requirements, each country reports their national inventory of anthropogenic GHG emissions to the UNFCCC secretariat. Within this framework, the development of GHG emissions data in Annex I (generally high-SDI) countries is often supported by ministries and consultancies that specialize in the production of GHG emission inventories. These groups, however, are not always the same as those that lead the development of air pollutant inventories. Similar to air pollutant inventories, however, GHG inventories also rely on voluminous ancillary datasets, including energy and infrastructure statistics. As fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are typically a major source of national GHG emissions, complete and accurate energy consumption statistics are key data needed for GHG emission inventories. Increasingly detailed activity and emission factor data at the sub-national and facility level are also required when implementing higher-tier IPCC methods. It is recommended by the IPCC that a country implement higher-tier methods for key categories<sup>5</sup> within their inventory and use these categories to prioritize national resources for inventory improvement (including data collection, inventory compilation, and reporting). In lower-income countries, data and resource limitations present a similar challenge as those for air pollutant inventories, but the IPCC GHG Guidelines provide tier 1 methods and default emission factors with the intent of making it feasible for all countries to develop their own national GHG inventory.

Also in contrast with air pollutant inventories, the historical focus of GHG inventory reporting has been on estimating annual national-level emissions. This is largely because the sub-annual and spatial variability of GHG emissions is not relevant to their climatic impacts. Outside the UNFCCC framework, GHG inventories at the state or province and city level are increasingly being produced so that jurisdictions can also track their progress toward emission reduction goals (Ibrahim et al., 2012). More spatially detailed GHG inventories can also be used to compare inventories with atmospheric observations. These inventories require increasingly detailed underlying inputs or the use of proxy datasets to al-

locate national or state-level inventories to finer spatial and temporal resolutions.

### 3.5 Uncertainty

The IPCC GHG Guidelines also provide methodologies for estimating emission uncertainties, which are required in national inventories submitted by Annex I countries. The IPCC provides two approaches for estimating sector- and compound-specific uncertainties. The choice of approach depends on the shapes of the uncertainty distributions in the underlying input activity and emission factor datasets as well as the specific estimation methods used. Neither approach, however, can capture all sources of uncertainty, and as with air pollutant emissions, total inventory uncertainties are likely higher in countries with limited data. Regardless, uncertainty estimates are a valuable guide to inventory development because they point to areas where data and methodological improvements are needed most. It is likely that, because GHG inventories use more homogenous methodologies compared to air pollutant inventories, uncertainty analysis is more tractable.

## 4 Air pollutant short-lived climate forcers

A major link between air pollution and climate change is through the emissions of short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs), which are generally defined as aerosols, tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> precursor compounds, CH<sub>4</sub>, and shorter-lifetime hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). There is therefore no “SLCF inventory” that is distinct from air pollutants or GHGs, given that all conventional air pollutant emissions are also SLCFs. As a result, SLCF inventory development and data needs are already reflected in the air pollutant emissions section above.

In this section, we instead focus on SLCFs that impact both air quality and climate, which we call “air pollutant SLCFs”. While the term “air pollutant” can include additional categories of emissions such as heavy metals and toxic compounds (e.g., benzene and perchloroethylene) that are “known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects” (called hazardous air pollutants in the US),<sup>6</sup> we instead discuss the specific air pollutant SLCF compounds that will be considered by the upcoming IPCC SLCF Methodology Report. These include BC and OC, as well as SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and NMVOCs, which each uniquely impact the formation of particulate matter and tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>.

For these compounds, the relationship between emissions, climate, and air pollution is complex and often nonlinear. For particulate matter, for example, most individual components have a net cooling effect (sulfates, nitrates, and OC), while BC (or soot) has an opposite warming effect. Therefore, while atmospheric aerosols are estimated to have a

<sup>5</sup>Key categories are emission categories that have a significant influence on a country's total inventory of greenhouse gases in terms of the absolute level of emissions and removals, the trend in emissions and removals, or uncertainty in emissions and removals.

<sup>6</sup><https://www.epa.gov/haps/what-are-hazardous-air-pollutants> (last access: 25 October 2021).



net cooling effect on the climate, reducing emissions to reduce particulate matter concentrations and improve air quality could “unmask” GHG warming that had previously been offset (Lelieveld et al., 2019). In addition, with a lifetime in the atmosphere of roughly a week, the distribution of aerosol concentrations has a strong dependence on the spatial distribution of emissions, which is in contrast with GHG concentrations.

Understanding the net impact of reactive gas emissions is even more complex. For example, when considering their net radiative impacts, emissions of  $\text{NO}_x$ , CO, and NMVOCs not only impact atmospheric concentrations of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{O}_3$ , but changes in  $\text{CH}_4$  concentrations will also then feed back to impact background levels of  $\text{O}_3$  (e.g., Fiore et al., 2008). In addition, due to nonlinearities in atmospheric chemistry, changes in  $\text{NO}_x$  and NMVOC emissions can either increase or decrease local  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations depending on background conditions and the relative emissions of each (McDuffie et al., 2016). In China, for example, recent reductions in  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions reduced particulate matter concentrations, but  $\text{O}_3$  levels appear to have increased because emissions of NMVOCs were not reduced at the same time (Liu and Wang, 2020). In terms of the global climate, emissions of  $\text{CH}_4$ , CO, and NMVOCs have increased the historical forcing from both  $\text{CH}_4$  and background tropospheric  $\text{O}_3$  (Myhre et al., 2013; Szopa et al., 2021). Increased  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, however, have decreased  $\text{CH}_4$  forcing (by decreasing  $\text{CH}_4$  lifetime) but have increased background  $\text{O}_3$  forcing, with the decrease in  $\text{CH}_4$  forcing estimated to be larger.

Tropospheric  $\text{O}_3$  itself is also complex as it is both a health hazard and a GHG. While this means that reducing tropospheric  $\text{O}_3$  on a global level is a “win–win” for both air pollution and climate, the impacts of  $\text{O}_3$  occur across differing spatial and temporal scales. For air quality, most attention is focused on polluted areas with high seasonal and/or peak  $\text{O}_3$  levels. In contrast,  $\text{O}_3$  GHG forcing is not driven by areas of peak concentrations, but by the larger-scale background  $\text{O}_3$  level. As mentioned above, local concentrations will largely depend on relative emissions of  $\text{NO}_x$  and NMVOC precursors, while background  $\text{O}_3$  is determined largely by the magnitude of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions.

An additional complication is that the forcing effects of individual air pollutant SLCFs are much more uncertain than those of GHGs. The *relative* impact of  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations compared to  $\text{CH}_4$ , for example, is much better constrained (even considering recent methane forcing updates, Etminan et al., 2016) than the radiative impact of aerosols, either collectively or for its individual components. The impact of BC is particularly uncertain, in large part because of the dynamics that stem from BC heating within the atmospheric column (Stjern et al., 2017) and warming caused by darkening snow- and ice-covered areas, which is also uncertain. There are also substantial uncertainties in atmospheric chemistry calculations of the net impact of precursor compounds on  $\text{O}_3$  concentrations.

To capture these complex relationships between emissions, climate, and air pollution, as well as to inform or evaluate mitigation strategies, the climate impacts of air pollutant emissions are generally estimated by using air pollutant inventories as inputs into global atmospheric models (Stevenson et al., 2020). These are often the same models used to project the consequences of future GHG emission trajectories (O'Neill et al., 2016). Similar models are also used to examine the environmental and health impacts of these emissions, although models used for these purposes may have more detailed representations of atmospheric chemistry than those used for long-term simulations of climate change (e.g., Box 6.1 in Szopa et al., 2021; Appel et al., 2021). Compared to  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ , and F-gas emissions, air pollutant emissions data for both types of modeling are needed with spatial and temporal detail appropriate for these models, which have horizontal resolutions of roughly 50–100 km for global analyses (Feng et al., 2020). High-resolution spatial emissions data are particularly important for analyses at regional to city scales, although additional detail likely needs to be added to global emission datasets for finer-scale analysis (Huneus et al., 2020).

When using these types of tools to evaluate the net impacts of a new technology or mitigation strategy, it is important to acknowledge the substantial uncertainty in the climate impacts of air pollutants. For example, many modeling studies have shown that reducing emissions from solid biofuel combustion for cooking and heating in the residential sector can improve air quality and avoid hundreds of thousands of attributable deaths in many densely populated regions throughout the world (e.g., McDuffie et al., 2021). However, the net climate impact of reducing biofuel use in buildings appears to be small due to offsetting heating and cooling effects (e.g., BC vs. OC), with a large uncertainty range that may even include a slight warming (Smith and Mizrahi, 2013). As a second example, while the climate and health effects of BC emission reductions from the transport sector are less ambiguous (at least under the assumption that diesel fuel is desulfurized) because of the predominance of BC emissions relative to OC, there is still significant uncertainty in the overall magnitude of impacts.

In addition to uncertainties in net impacts, there are also uncertainties in the emission estimates themselves. As discussed above for air pollutant inventories, uncertainty estimates for air pollutants would be useful but are methodologically challenging in ways that can be distinct from GHG emissions. For example, for BC, OC, and NMVOC emissions, there are uncertainties not only in the magnitude of total emissions, but also in the partitioning between BC and OC, as well as a multitude of different volatile organic compounds (termed speciation). Uncertainty in speciation adds to the uncertainty in climate and health impacts of sources that emit these compounds.

While there has recently been significant interest in incorporating air pollutants into climate mitigation efforts, emission and modeling uncertainties mean that the net climate and health impacts of air pollutant emissions may change substantially as scientific knowledge advances. These uncertainties pose challenges to integrating air pollutant and greenhouse gas mitigation efforts and need to be considered to produce robust policies.

## 5 Benefits and challenges of coordinating air pollutant and GHG emission inventory activities

As a result of wide-ranging health and environmental impacts of air pollutant SLCF emissions and to facilitate consistent, transparent, comparable, accurate, and complete emission estimates, the IPCC recently directed its Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (TFI) to produce an additional IPCC Methodology Report on SLCFs (Decision IPCC-XLIX-7, May 2019). Additional SLCF-focused guidelines may help to coordinate air pollutant and GHG inventory methodological approaches and could enhance the ability to consider synergies between both air pollution and GHG mitigation strategies. In this context, we are considering inventory coordination to be the co-development of GHG and air pollutant inventories (not necessarily by the same team) that include regularly reported anthropogenic emissions for a common set of sources and compounds using consistent underlying activity data and methodologies where appropriate. While there is no uniform approach to inventory development for all purposes, this coordination (provided the availability of methodological guidance) may be most straightforward in countries with more limited inventory resources, limited pre-existing inventory capacities, or common emitting activities with similar underlying driver data for both types of emissions (e.g., power plants). However, air pollutant and GHG emissions inventory coordination may need to be considered more carefully in other cases (e.g., emissions related to land use and land use change) or in countries or entities with well-established inventory systems.

Additional benefits of coordinated air pollutant and GHG inventory efforts could include the development of common reporting and/or methodological standards for air pollutant emissions that do not always exist at present. For countries without well-developed emissions inventory systems, it may also be more efficient to build a single coordinated system for estimating both GHG and air pollutant emissions. Recent revisions in EMEP/EEA guidance, which better harmonizes air pollutant and GHG reporting, could be useful in this context, although this guidance is sometimes limited with respect to technologies and practices not common in Europe. Better coordinated inventory development could also facilitate consideration of strategies to jointly reduce both air pollutant and GHG emissions from specific sectors, such as waste (Gómez-Sanabria et al., 2022). Increased coordination may also fa-

cilitate productive engagement between research groups and GHG and air pollutant inventory compilers that could lead to community-wide efforts to better align emission source definitions and methodological approaches, as well as facilitate additional data collection that could be used to improve emission inventories for both scientific and policy-oriented analyses (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015; Hoesly et al., 2018; Perugini et al., 2021). Similarly, existing workflows developed for producing spatially distributed (gridded) air pollutant inventories could facilitate the production of gridded GHG inventory data. These spatially explicit emissions are necessary for atmospheric modeling and are a useful tool for comparisons between regional and observationally informed emission estimates (e.g., Maasakkers et al., 2016), as discussed in the 2019 Refinements to the IPCC GHG Guidelines (IPCC, 2019).

Challenges in coordinated development efforts, however, must also be considered and will largely depend on the different end uses of air pollutant (SLCF) and GHG emissions data as well as available resources in different countries and entities. For example, because air pollutant inventories are often used for impact and regulatory analyses, air pollutant inventory development will need to consider detailed technology-specific activity factors and emissions data, as well as the spatial and temporal variability in these underlying datasets. This is not always the case for GHG emissions, for which aggregate annual national-level emission factors and national fuel consumption statistics (often updated more quickly than sub-national data) are often sufficient to track national mitigation efforts, at least for fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. As air pollutant methodologies can also be more data-intensive than GHG inventories, air pollutant inventories may require additional tools, data, and resources, which can also lead to longer lag times. For example, mobile sources in the United States are estimated using the MOVES model, which requires a more detailed set of input data than is required for estimating national GHG emissions from this sector. In this example, estimating both GHG and air pollutant emissions using the same tools could delay GHG emission estimates. More generally, as globally accepted, international reporting guidelines for air pollutants do not currently exist, new methodology development may also be required for air pollutants as existing methodologies (e.g., EMEP/EEA Guidebook) may not be relevant to the dominant emission sources, combustion conditions, or mitigation technologies used across low-SDI countries (IPCC, 2006). Therefore, different end uses as well as resource and time requirements present major challenges to coordinating GHG and air pollutant inventory activities and should be considered.

Key emission categories can also differ substantially between air pollutant and GHG inventories. This presents an additional challenge as there may be different development and resource priorities between the two. For example, by 2012, off-road mobile combustion emissions in the United States (e.g., construction, domestic shipping, agriculture)

were over a quarter of  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions but only 3 % of  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions (O'Rourke et al., 2021). In the above example, increased effort to better quantify off-road mobile  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, including their spatial and temporal distribution, is likely justified to better understand drivers of air pollution but would not be a high priority for a GHG inventory. Therefore, given finite resources and differing sources and trends across countries and regions, key emission categories should be evaluated separately for different air pollutant and GHG compounds, and data needs and development efforts should be prioritized as needed.

This point also highlights the need to clearly define emission sectors and ideally align these definitions across chemical compounds and driver data, while also accommodating different reporting conventions and legal requirements. This is not as straightforward as it sounds. Currently, air pollution reporting for “point sources” such as power plants or refineries is often at the facility level (which is also the level at which some remote sensing validation methods can be applied), whereas IPCC GHG Guidelines call for separate reporting of fossil combustion, fugitive, and process emissions. As discussed in Sect. 2.3, sectoral differences have led to challenges in aligning international EMEP/EEA and IPCC methodologies across all sources of air pollutant and GHG emissions. One option to consider could be the expanded use of flexible reporting conventions that allow for the reporting of certain compounds by sector, which is the level at which the most accurate information may exist and would not require a detailed split between combustion and other processes. Where resources are sufficient to allow reporting at the most detailed level, data could always be aggregated to a less detailed level. Another option would be to facilitate the use of multiple reporting standards depending on the desired use. An example is the multiple reporting formats currently available for air pollutant emissions from European countries from the EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (<https://www.ceip.at>, last access: 22 September 2022). An added challenge is that in addition to source category definitions, the chemical definitions (e.g., chemical speciation of aerosol components, such as BC and OC, as well as NMVOCs) across different sectors also need to be aligned. Chemical speciation is currently an active area of research (GEIA: Global Emissions Initiative; Huang et al., 2017), and air pollutant inventory development will need to consider flexible guidance on appropriate emission speciation profiles as well.

Another consideration is the coordination of reported emission units. GHG emissions are commonly reported as individual compounds in units of mass (e.g., Tg) and also as “ $\text{CO}_2$  equivalents”, which are calculated using global warming potentials (GWPs). Differing atmospheric GHG lifetimes mean that there is no unique method of equating the climate impact of emissions. For instance, there has been exploration of numerous alternative metrics and policy frameworks focusing largely on comparing  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ . Note, however,

that in idealized energy–economic model experiments, the exact  $\text{CH}_4$  metric used does not have a large impact on long-term global policy outcomes as long as there are not too many barriers to increasing  $\text{CH}_4$  mitigation over time, although there can be differing near-term and regional effects (van den Berg et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2013; Streffler et al., 2014). In contrast, for air pollutants, it was recommended at a recent IPCC expert meeting (IPCC, 2018) that air pollutant emissions in an SLCF context continue to be reported in absolute units of mass for each individual compound and not be aggregated into  $\text{CO}_2$  equivalents, as is recommended for GHGs. This is in part due to their much shorter atmospheric lifetimes (days to weeks vs. decades to centuries), complex climate and air quality feedbacks, and much larger uncertainties in radiative forcing compared to well-mixed GHGs. These differences translate to a very large range of potential comparison metrics that would, in essence, require value judgments as to what impact or effect should be represented.

A final aspect to consider, which is both an opportunity and a challenge, is emission uncertainty reporting. While challenging to produce, emissions uncertainty estimates help to prioritize inventory improvements and inform the robustness of potential mitigation measures. The implementation of a formal uncertainty analysis, however, does not guarantee that all sources of uncertainty are captured or quantified. For example, as noted in the US GHG inventory and per IPCC Guidelines, quantitative GHG uncertainty estimates focus only on parameter uncertainty and do not consider structural uncertainty in models used for estimation (for example, for many agricultural emission sources) or the potential uncertainty from incorrect data reporting or missing emission sources (US EPA, 2021b). In general, correlation of errors across sectors (or countries) will increase uncertainty estimates, but it is difficult to estimate and therefore might not be considered. In a formal uncertainty analysis, it is also important to flag where default emission factors may be used because sector- or region-specific values are not available (per IPCC inventory guidance), thus implying greater uncertainty for these sources (Solazzo et al., 2021). As discussed in Sect. 2, air pollutant inventories have not historically incorporated emission uncertainty estimates, and one challenge for the forthcoming SLCF Methodology Report will be specifying uncertainty guidance for the wide variety of methods used for air pollutant inventory production, which range from complex models (e.g., for mobile sources: MOVES in the USA and elsewhere, EMFAC in California, and COPERT in Europe) to direct emissions monitoring.

**Table 1.** Recommendations for coordination efforts.

- 
- Account for the different end uses of air pollutant and GHG emissions inventory data while maintaining the useability of each (e.g., consider necessary sectoral, spatial, and temporal data resolutions).
  - Consider regional development priorities and recognize that different levels of coordination may be appropriate for different countries or entities.
    - Understand the key emission sources across regions and compounds to prioritize development and reporting as well as data collection resources.
    - Develop flexible reporting protocols and methodologies that allow for accurate reporting but that are not overly burdensome. For example, the use of the IPCC tier approach (e.g., higher tiers needed for some emission species in a particular sector, but lower tiers might be acceptable for other species).
    - Consider the use of research inventories as a starting point and enhance communication between air pollutant and GHG inventory compiler, research, and policy communities.
  - Align, and publicly document, definitions of sectoral, chemical speciation, and activity driver data between air pollutant and GHG inventories.
  - Air pollutant SLCFs should not be reported in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents.
  - Draw on existing GHG inventory methodologies to provide estimates of air pollutant emission uncertainties.
  - An international expert review system for air pollutant SLCF inventories should be considered.
- 

## 6 Conclusions and recommendations for coordination efforts

Summarized in Table 1 are recommendations that are particularly relevant for aligning and coordinating air pollutant and GHG emission methodologies as well as inventory reporting within the context of the UNFCCC and IPCC frameworks.

A key point is that, when developing an emissions inventory methodology, the end goals and uses should be kept in mind. For instance, air pollutants have substantial impacts on human health (Fig. 2), economies (Chantret et al., 2020), and ecosystems, resulting in different end uses of air pollutant emissions data compared to GHGs. Air pollutant and GHG emission inventories can also have different formal roles, for instance playing an integral part in regulatory and international policy frameworks in some countries, while in others, inventories are just one, arguably important, part of a larger toolset. It is therefore critical that any efforts to harmonize GHG and air pollutant emission methodologies and reporting, particularly at the international level, do not detract from the production of emissions information needed by local policy makers (e.g., high temporal and spatial resolution for air pollutant emissions).

We also recognize that varying levels of coordination between air pollutant and GHG emission activities may be appropriate given a country's national circumstances. Regional development priorities should consider factors such as the relevant regional emission sources, emission trends, impacts,

and current inventory capabilities and needs. For example, the benefits from increased coordination may be greater in countries that do not currently have established GHG and/or air pollutant inventory processes or systems.

The level of coordination and amount of inventory data development will also need to be prioritized based on local circumstances and available resources. For example, given limited resources, a regularly updated and reported inventory focused on priority sectors may be more useful than a more comprehensive effort that is not regularly updated or uses overly simplistic methodologies. The latter case is an example of when caution must be taken when applying default (i.e., lower-tier) emission factor assumptions for certain air pollutant emission sources. Some sources, such as fugitive emissions or products of incomplete combustion (particularly of carbonaceous aerosols), have a strong dependence on environmental and operating conditions. Therefore, their emission factors can vary by over an order of magnitude. Strengthening institutional and technical capacity would be an important activity in this example but would also require balancing limited inventory resources with local data availability and needs. The development of the needed underlying information, such as energy consumption data, however, is often generally valuable for public and private decision-making and could be part of a larger information infrastructure development strategy (Meso et al., 2009).



Resources for improving underlying data streams also need to be balanced with those required for inventory compilation and reporting. In this regard, air pollutant inventory development in an SLCF context should be flexible to be able to respond to changing priorities and context within a country or entity. If emissions from a once key source category are reduced, then focus may need to shift to sources that may now be relatively more important. This is reflected in current IPCC GHG inventory guidance, which recommends the use of more detailed methods for larger or quickly growing source categories. As we note above, the same level of methodological detail will not necessarily be needed for both GHG and air pollutant emissions for a given sector, although greater spatial detail is generally necessary for air pollutant emissions data. For example, while IPCC Guidelines for GHGs suggest estimating emissions from fuel combustion separately from fugitive emissions, this split can be difficult to determine for some sources and species. The highest priority is to have an accurate estimate of total emissions from a facility rather than spend resources to obtain finer-grained detail. This may require more flexible reporting options.

To reduce the development burden of a new national air pollutant SLCF-focused inventory, consideration could also be given to using research inventories as a starting point, such as EDGAR (Crippa et al., 2018), GAINS/ECLIPSE (Klimont et al., 2017), or CEDS (Hoesly et al., 2018; which builds on EDGAR and GAINS). For some specific sources and emission species, such as large CH<sub>4</sub> or SO<sub>2</sub> sources, remote sensing estimates can also be useful adjuncts to bottom-up inventories (Schneising et al., 2020; Fioletov et al., 2016). If assessed to be credible for a specific country, these data sources could be used where resources are limited and selectively modified or augmented where local circumstances indicate that the default assumptions used in these global estimates are not applicable. Extensive reporting requirements will be challenging for countries with limited resources or those without existing institutional arrangements to support inventory development. Methods to reduce the burden of inventory development and reporting, including the use of open-source software approaches, should be explored to enable more countries to develop emissions data that would be useful for local policy making. In this context, robust communication between inventory developers, users, and researchers may help to prioritize limited resources for inventory improvement and to maximize the potential benefits of coordinated development and reporting of air pollutant and GHG emissions data.

To further assist coordination efforts, definitions in underlying driving data, sources, and chemical compounds should also be aligned between air pollutant and GHG inventories. It is important that this alignment does not decrease the utility of either GHG or air pollutant emissions information. In general, increased consistency in reporting of air pollutant emissions across countries would be valuable in assessing the health or economic impacts of specific sources of air pol-

lution, assessing the impact of specific mitigation policies on both air pollutant and GHG emissions, quantifying impacts from transboundary air pollution, and generally facilitating more frequent and accurate emissions reporting across countries. As noted above, air pollutant SLCF emissions should continue to be reported in units of mass of each compound (e.g., Tg of SO<sub>2</sub>) and not be converted to units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents.

In terms of emissions uncertainty, it would be useful to adapt the methods used for GHG uncertainty estimation to apply to air pollutant emissions where feasible. Some additional techniques may be needed, such as meta-models to characterize uncertainty in estimates from tools such as transportation sector emission models. Top-down emission estimates derived from atmospheric observations or remote sensing can also be a useful component of inventory evaluation and uncertainty analysis for both air pollutant and GHG emissions by providing an independent estimate of total emissions, albeit with its own methodological uncertainties. This will require increased communication and coordination between inventory developer, compiler, and research communities (Perugini et al., 2021).

Finally, an international expert review system for air pollutant SLCF inventories should also be considered. Currently, intensive country reviews are incorporated into the GHG inventory reporting processes under the UNFCCC and will continue under the Paris Agreement as a means to improve inventory accuracy, quality, and transparency. Pulles (2017) has argued that, while the UNFCCC review process has resulted in inventory adjustments, there was little evidence that this process has resulted in significant changes in estimated total GHG emissions (although we note that there are likely other benefits of the review process). Pulles (2017) and Hanle et al. (2019) have argued that the review process should be redesigned and streamlined. Such a redesign could be important as inventory activities expand to more countries and if international inventory reporting expands to include air pollutant SLCF emissions, which would add additional burdens to the existing review system.

We presented an overview of the history and issues associated with developing both air pollution and GHG inventories, the importance and impacts of SLCFs, and the benefits and challenges of coordinating joint GHG and air pollutant emission estimates through the development of an air pollutant SLCF inventory. A more thorough assessment of the potential benefits for both policy and research communities from such coordination efforts may be useful.

## Appendix A: Data sources and methodology for Fig. 2

### A1 Equivalent particulate matter (PM) emissions

Illustrating the relationship between emissions and air-pollution-related mortalities, as we have done in Fig. 2, is challenging because of the complexities discussed in the main text. In order to show the general sources of particulate pollution in different countries over time we developed a metric we call equivalent particulate emissions. This represents a generic estimate of how much particulate matter is produced by emissions in each country.

This metric is constructed by summing the primary BC and OC particulate emissions together with an estimate of secondary particulate formation obtained by multiplying each precursor emission species by a multiplier that represents the amount of particulate (by weight) that is produced globally by that emission species. Molecular conversions are applied as necessary. For example, each kilogram of SO<sub>2</sub> gas that is converted into sulfate aerosols results in 1.8 kg of ammonium bisulfate aerosol, but we assume that only 55 % of emitted SO<sub>2</sub> is converted into sulfate aerosol as the remainder is lost through wet or dry deposition (Ghan et al., 2013a). Sources for conversion factors used are provided in Table A1 in this Appendix.

This metric is approximate given uncertainties and spatial variation in nearly all of these conversion factors, which will also change over time. For example, the role of NH<sub>3</sub> in particle formation is increasing as SO<sub>2</sub> emissions decrease. The globally representative values used here provide a rough estimate of how much particulate matter is produced by emissions of each species and allow us to provide an estimate of the fraction of particulate matter originating from fossil and industrial sources as shown in Fig. 2. While a more detailed calculation would change some details of the figure, here we highlight the large-scale features and general trends over time.

For Fig. 2 we required the fraction of PM-equivalent emissions from fossil sources. Non-fossil and industrial emissions were considered to be the following sources: grassland burning, forest burning (including deforestation), agricultural waste burning on fields, and all combustion of solid biomass fuels. All other emissions were considered fossil sources. We have categorized all anthropogenic emissions as “fossil and industrial”, including emissions from agricultural systems, which are largely, although not entirely, facilitated by fossil-fueled inputs and industrial-scale operations (e.g., fertilizer application, confined animal feeding operations). For primary OC emissions, the conversion is from carbon units, as used in the inventory data, to total OC weight.

We note that secondary organic aerosol (SOA) due to anthropogenic NMVOC emissions is not included as we did not find a definitive source for this conversion (although we note that a large portion of anthropogenic SOA originates from biogenic VOC emissions).

Mineral PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, such as fly ash from coal combustion, are also not included because these are not available in global emission inventories by country. Mineral PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions are implicitly included in most air pollutant inventories as part of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in global and country-level inventories, but they are generally not explicitly estimated as a separate category. Because of this mineral PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions are not always included in model studies (Philip et al., 2017).

The *x* axis of Fig. 2 indicates the fraction of total PM-equivalent emissions from fossil and industrial sources. As noted above we consider fossil and industrial emissions to be all emissions excluding the following:

- solid biomass CEDS (anthropogenic) emissions across all sectors,
- waste incineration and open burning of waste (CEDS), and
- all open burning emissions (forest fires, grassland fires, ag waste burning on fields) from GFED.

We recognize that there is a small portion of emissions from liquid and gaseous fuels derived from biofuels that would be included in the fossil and industrial fraction, but these are small. Similarly, emissions from both waste incineration and open burning result from a combination of fossil (e.g., plastics) and non-fossil (paper and food) sources.

### A2 Health impacts of air pollution

Health impact results used in this work were downloaded from <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool> (last access: 21 February 2021), as documented in GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators (2020). Shown in Fig. 1 is the ratio of deaths from “air pollution” exposure to “all cause” deaths. This ratio represents the deaths that are attributable to annual exposure to total air pollution (indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub>, outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>; caused by type II diabetes, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, lower respiratory infections, ischemic heart disease, lung cancer, and neonatal disorders – low birth weight, pre-term births) relative to the total number of deaths caused by 369 diseases and injuries assessed by the 2019 GBD. Changes in this ratio over time may reflect changes in both the number of deaths attributable to air pollution (due to changes in both air pollution and baseline mortality rates) and trends in the remaining causes of death in particular countries.

The emissions and health impact data, as processed for Fig. 2, are provided in the Supplement.

**Table A1.** Conversion factors used for the PM-equivalent emissions calculation. The factor converts emissions in terms of weight units used in the emissions inventory to the weight of particulate matter accounting for the fraction of emissions converted to aerosol and changes in molecular weight.

Emission	Emission unit	Conversion factor	Source
SO <sub>2</sub>	kt SO <sub>2</sub>	0.99	Ghan et al. (2013b)
NO <sub>x</sub>	kt NO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	Feng and Penner (2007)
NH <sub>3</sub>	kt NH <sub>3</sub>	0.5	Feng and Penner (2007)
BC	kt C	1	NA
OC_biomass	kt C	1.8	Klimont et al. (2017)
OC_fossil	kt C	1.3	Klimont et al. (2017)

**Code and data availability.** All data used in this work are from publicly available sources. Data as compiled for use in Fig. 2 are supplied as a Supplement.

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-13201-2022-supplement>.

**Author contributions.** SJS and EEM drafted the text. MC conducted data analysis and visualization.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Acknowledgements.** SJS was supported for this work by the US Environmental Protection Agency. EEM was supported by an American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) Science and Technology Policy Fellowship. The authors thank Bill Irving, Vince Camobreco, Robert Pinder, and Marcus Sarofim for their comments and suggestions during paper development. We also thank Zbigniew Klimont, an anonymous reviewer, and Luke Western for their helpful comments during the review process.

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the US Environmental Protection Agency (grant no. DW-089-92459801).

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Ken Carslaw and Yafang Cheng, and reviewed by Zbigniew Klimont and one anonymous referee.

## References

- Anderson, D. C., Loughner, C. P., Diskin, G., Weinheimer, A., Canty, T. P., Salawitch, R. J., Worden, H. M., Fried, A., Mikoviny, T., Wisthaler, A., and Dickerson, R. R.: Measured and modeled CO and NO<sub>y</sub> in DISCOVER-AQ: An evaluation of emissions and chemistry over the eastern US, *Atmos. Environ.*, 96, 78–87, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.004>, 2014.
- Anenberg, S. C., Miller, J., Injares, R. M., Du, L., Henze, D. K., Lacey, F., Malley, C. S., Emberson, L., Franco, V., Klimont, Z., and Heyes, C.: Impacts and mitigation of excess diesel-related NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in 11 major vehicle markets, *Nature*, 545, 467–471, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22086>, 2017.
- Appel, K. W., Bash, J. O., Fahey, K. M., Foley, K. M., Gilliam, R. C., Hogrefe, C., Hutzell, W. T., Kang, D., Mathur, R., Murphy, B. N., Napelenok, S. L., Nolte, C. G., Pleim, J. E., Pouliot, G. A., Pye, H. O. T., Ran, L., Roselle, S. J., Sarwar, G., Schwede, D. B., Sidi, F. I., Spero, T. L., and Wong, D. C.: The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model versions 5.3 and 5.3.1: system updates and evaluation, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 14, 2867–2897, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-2867-2021>, 2021.
- Barnett, T. P., Hasselmann, K., Chelliah, M., Delworth, T., Hegerl, G., Jones, P., Rasmusson, E., Roeckner, E., Ropelewski, C., Santer, B., and Tett, S.: Detection and attribution of recent climate change: A status report, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 80, 2631–2659, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1999\)080<2631:Daaorc>2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<2631:Daaorc>2.0.Co;2), 1999.
- Brunner, D., Arnold, T., Henne, S., Manning, A., Thompson, R. L., Maione, M., O'Doherty, S., and Reimann, S.: Comparison of four inverse modelling systems applied to the estimation of HFC-125, HFC-134a, and SF<sub>6</sub> emissions over Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 10651–10674, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10651-2017>, 2017.
- Carslaw, D. C., Beevers, S. D., Tate, J. E., Westmoreland, E. J., and Williams, M. L.: Recent evidence concerning higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from passenger cars and light duty vehicles, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 7053–7063, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.063>, 2011.
- Chantret, F., Chateau, J., Dellink, R., Durand-Lasserve, O., and Lanzi, E.: Can better technologies avoid all air pollution damages to the global economy?, *Clim. Change*, 163, 1463–1480, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02631-2>, 2020.

- China State Council: Action Plan on Prevention and Control of Air Pollution, <https://policy.asiapacificenergy.org/sites/default/files/Air%20Pollution%20Prevention%20and%20Control%20Action%20Plan%20%28EN%29.pdf>, (last access: 22 September 2022), 2013.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F., van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S., Doering, U., Olivier, J. G. J., Pagliari, V., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Gridded emissions of air pollutants for the period 1970–2012 within EDGAR v4.3.2, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1987–2013, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1987-2018>, 2018.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Solazzo, E., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Banja, M., Olivier, J. G. J., Grassi, G., Rossi, S., and Vignati, E.: GHG emissions of all world: 2021 report, EUR 30831 EN, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, <https://doi.org/10.2760/173513>, 2021.
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 12614–12623, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl071930>, 2016.
- European Environmental Agency: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, Technical guidance to prepare national emission inventories, ISBN 978-92-9480-098-5, 2019.
- Feng, Y. and Penner, J. E.: Global modeling of nitrate and ammonium: Interaction of aerosols and tropospheric chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D01304, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jd006404>, 2007.
- Feng, L., Smith, S. J., Braun, C., Crippa, M., Gidden, M. J., Hoesly, R., Klimont, Z., van Marle, M., van den Berg, M., and van der Werf, G. R.: The generation of gridded emissions data for CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 13, 461–482, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-461-2020>, 2020.
- Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Li, C., Joiner, J., Theys, N., Carn, S., and Moran, M. D.: A global catalogue of large SO<sub>2</sub> sources and emissions derived from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 11497–11519, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11497-2016>, 2016.
- Fiore, A. M., West, J. J., Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V., and Schwarzkopf, M. D.: Characterizing the tropospheric ozone response to methane emission controls and the benefits to climate and air quality, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D08307, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009162>, 2008.
- Flerlage, H., Velders, G. J. M., and de Boer, J.: A review of bottom-up and top-down emission estimates of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) in different parts of the world, *Chemosphere*, 283, 131208, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131208>, 2021.
- GBD 2019 Risk Factor Collaborators: Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, *The Lancet*, 396, 1223–1249, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30752-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30752-2), 2020.
- Ghan, S. J., Smith, S. J., Wang, M., Zhang, K., Pringle, K., Carslaw, K., Pierce, J., Bauer, S., and Adams, P.: A simple model of global aerosol indirect effects, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 6688–6707, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50567>, 2013a.
- Ghan, S. J., Smith, S. J., Wang, M. H., Zhang, K., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Pierce, J. R., Bauer, S. E., and Adams, P. J.: A simple model of global aerosol indirect effects, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 6688–6707, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50567>, 2013b.
- Gómez-Sanabria, A., Kiesewetter, G., Klimont, Z., Schoepp, W., and Haberl, H.: Potential for future reductions of global GHG and air pollutants from circular waste management systems, *Nat. Commun.*, 13, 106, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27624-7>, 2022.
- Hand, J. L., Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., and Pitchford, M. L.: Particulate sulfate ion concentration and SO<sub>2</sub> emission trends in the United States from the early 1990s through 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 10353–10365, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-10353-2012>, 2012.
- Hanle, L., Gillenwater, M., Pulles, T., and Radunsky, K.: “Challenges and Proposed Reforms to the UNFCCC Expert Review Process for the Enhanced Transparency Framework”, Seattle, WA, Greenhouse Gas Management Institute, <http://capacitybuildingcoalition.org/discussion-paper-series/> (last access: 22 September 2022), 2019.
- Hashimoto, M.: Chapter 1 History of Air Pollution Control in Japan, in: *Studies in Environmental Science*, edited by: Nishimura, H., Elsevier, 1–93, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116\(08\)70055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116(08)70055-4), 1989.
- Hassler, B., McDonald, B. C., Frost, G. J., Borbon, A., Carslaw, D. C., Civerolo, K., Granier, C., Monks, P. S., Monks, S., Parrish, D. D., Pollack, I. B., Rosenlof, K. H., Ryerson, T. B., von Schneidmesser, E., and Trainer, M.: Analysis of long-term observations of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO in megacities and application to constraining emissions inventories, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 9920–9930, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl069894>, 2016.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J.-I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 369–408, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018>, 2018.
- Huang, G., Brook, R., Crippa, M., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Schieberle, C., Dore, C., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., and Friedrich, R.: Speciation of anthropogenic emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds: a global gridded data set for 1970–2012, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 7683–7701, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7683-2017>, 2017.
- Huneus, N., van der Gon, H. D., Castesana, P., Menares, C., Granier, C., Granier, L., Alonso, M., Andrade, M. D., Dawidowski, L., Gallardo, L., Gomez, D., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Osses, M., Puliafito, S. E., Rojas, N., Sanchez-Ccoyllo, O., Tolvet, S., and Ynoue, R. Y.: Evaluation of anthropogenic air pollutant emission inventories for South America at national and city scale, *Atmos. Environ.*, 235, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117606>, 2020.
- Ibrahim, N., Sugar, L., Hoornweg, D., and Kennedy, C.: Greenhouse gas emissions from cities: comparison of international inventory frameworks, *Local Environ.*, 17, 223–241, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2012.660909>, 2012.
- IPCC: IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, IGES, Japan, 2006.



- IPCC: Report of the Expert Meeting on Short-Lived Climate Forcers, IGES, Japan ISBN 978-4-88788-218-8, 2018.
- IPCC: Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Switzerland, 2019.
- Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Dentener, F., Muntean, M., Pouliot, G., Keating, T., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Wankmüller, R., Denier van der Gon, H., Kuenen, J. J. P., Klimont, Z., Frost, G., Darras, S., Koffi, B., and Li, M.: HTAP\_v2.2: a mosaic of regional and global emission grid maps for 2008 and 2010 to study hemispheric transport of air pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11411–11432, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015>, 2015.
- Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Heyes, C., Purohit, P., Cofala, J., Rafaj, P., Borken-Kleefeld, J., and Schöpp, W.: Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black carbon, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 8681–8723, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8681-2017>, 2017.
- Kuklinska, K., Wolska, L., and Namiesnik, J.: Air quality policy in the U.S. and the EU – a review, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, 6, 129–137, <https://doi.org/10.5094/APR.2015.015>, 2015.
- Lelieveld, J., Klingmüller, K., Pozzer, A., Burnett, R. T., Haines, A., and Ramanathan, V.: Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emission removal on public health and climate, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 116, 7192–7197, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1819989116>, 2019.
- Liu, Y. and Wang, T.: Worsening urban ozone pollution in China from 2013 to 2017 – Part 2: The effects of emission changes and implications for multi-pollutant control, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 6323–6337, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6323-2020>, 2020.
- Malley, C., S., Henze, D., K., Kuylenstierna, J., C. I., Vallack, H., W., Davila, Y., Anenberg, S., C., Turner, M., C., and Ashmore, M., R.: Updated Global Estimates of Respiratory Mortality in Adults = 30 Years of Age Attributable to Long-Term Ozone Exposure, *Environ. Health Perspec.*, 125, 087021, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP1390>, 2017.
- Mannucci, P. M., Harari, S., Martinelli, I., and Franchini, M.: Effects on health of air pollution: a narrative review, *Intern. Emerg. Med.*, 10, 657–662, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-015-1276-7>, 2015.
- McDuffie, E. E., Edwards, P. M., Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Dubé, W. P., Trainer, M., Wolfe, D. E., Angevine, W. M., de Gouw, J., Williams, E. J., Tevlin, A. G., Murphy, J. G., Fischer, E. V., McKeen, S., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Holloway, J. S., Aikin, K., Langford, A. O., Senff, C. J., Alvarez Ii, R. J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Lantz, K. O., and Brown, S. S.: Influence of oil and gas emissions on summertime ozone in the Colorado Northern Front Range, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 121, 8712–8729, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025265>, 2016.
- McDuffie, E. E., Martin, R. V., Spadaro, J. V., Burnett, R., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Hammer, M. S., van Donkelaar, A., Bindle, L., Shah, V., Jaeglé, L., Luo, G., Yu, F., Adeniran, J. A., Lin, J., and Brauer, M.: Source sector and fuel contributions to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and attributable mortality across multiple spatial scales, *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 3594, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23853-y>, 2021.
- Meso, P., Musa, P., Straub, D., and Mbarika, V.: Information infrastructure, governance, and socio-economic development in developing countries, *Europ. Info. Syst.*, 18, 52–65, <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2008.56>, 2009.
- Minx, J. C., Lamb, W. F., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Crippa, M., Döbbeling, N., Forster, P. M., Guizzardi, D., Olivier, J., Peters, G. P., Pongratz, J., Reisinger, A., Rigby, M., Saunio, M., Smith, S. J., Solazzo, E., and Tian, H.: A comprehensive and synthetic dataset for global, regional, and national greenhouse gas emissions by sector 1970–2018 with an extension to 2019, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 13, 5213–5252, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5213-2021>, 2021.
- Montzka, S. A., Dutton, G. S., Yu, P., Ray, E., Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Kuijpers, L., Hall, B. D., Mondeel, D., Siso, C., Nance, J. D., Rigby, M., Manning, A. J., Hu, L., Moore, F., Miller, B. R., and Elkins, J. W.: An unexpected and persistent increase in global emissions of ozone-depleting CFC-11, *Nature*, 557, 413–417, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0106-2>, 2018.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Breisov, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestad, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 659–740, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018>, 2013.
- O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G. A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., and Sanderson, B. M.: The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 3461–3482, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016>, 2016.
- O'Rourke, P., Smith, S. J., Mott, A. R., Ahsan, H., McDuffie, E. E., Crippa, M., Klimont, Z., McDonald, B., Wang, S., Nicholson, M. B., Hoesly, R. M., and Feng, L.: CEDS v\_2021\_04\_21 Gridded emissions data, [data set], <https://doi.org/10.25584/PNNLDataHub/1779095>, 2021.
- Perugini, L., Pellis, G., Grassi, G., Ciais, P., Dolman, H., House, J. I., Peters, G. P., Smith, P., Gunther, D., and Peylin, P.: Emerging reporting and verification needs under the Paris Agreement: How can the research community effectively contribute?, *Environ. Sci. Pol.*, 122, 116–126, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.04.012>, 2021.
- Philip, S., Martin, R. V., Snider, G., Weagle, C. L., van Donkelaar, A., Brauer, M., Henze, D. K., Klimont, Z., Venkataraman, C., Guttikunda, S. K., and Zhang, Q.: Anthropogenic fugitive, combustion and industrial dust is a significant, underrepresented fine particulate matter source in global atmospheric models, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 12, 044018, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa65a4>, 2017.
- Pulles, T.: Did the UNFCCC review process improve the national GHG inventory submissions?, *Carbon Manage.*, 8, 19–31, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2016.1271256>, 2017.
- Rigby, M., Park, S., Saito, T., Western, L. M., Redington, A. L., Fang, X., Henne, S., Manning, A. J., Prinn, R. G., Dutton, G. S., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Hall, B. D., Harth, C. M., Kim,

- J., Kim, K. R., Krummel, P. B., Lee, T., Li, S., Liang, Q., Lunt, M. F., Montzka, S. A., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, M. K., Reimann, S., Salameh, P. K., Simmonds, P., Tunnicliffe, R. L., Weiss, R. F., Yokouchi, Y., and Young, D.: Increase in CFC-11 emissions from eastern China based on atmospheric observations, *Nature*, 569, 546–550, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1193-4>, 2019.
- Schneising, O., Buchwitz, M., Reuter, M., Vanselow, S., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J. P.: Remote sensing of methane leakage from natural gas and petroleum systems revisited, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 9169–9182, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9169-2020>, 2020.
- Szopa, S., Naik, V., Adhikary, B., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Collins, W. D., Fuzzi, S., Gallardo, L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Klimont, Z., Liao, H., Unger, N., and Zanis, P.: Short-Lived Climate Forcers. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Pe'an, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekci, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 817–922, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.008>, 2021.
- Sliggers, S. and Kakebeeke, W.: Clearing the air, 25 years of the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Geneva, 168 pp., 2004.
- Smith, S. J. and Mizrahi, A.: Near-term climate mitigation by short-lived forcers, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 14202–14206, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308470110>, 2013.
- Smith, S. J., Karas, J., Edmonds, J., Eom, J., and Mizrahi, A.: Sensitivity of multi-gas climate policy to emission metrics, *Clim. Change*, 117, 663–675, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0565-7>, 2013.
- Solazzo, E., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Choulga, M., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Uncertainties in the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) emission inventory of greenhouse gases, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 5655–5683, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5655-2021>, 2021.
- Soriano, J. B., Kendrick, P. J., Paulson, K. R., Gupta, V., Vos, T., and Collaborators, G. C. R. D.: Prevalence and attributable health burden of chronic respiratory diseases, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017, *Lancet. Resp. Med.*, 8, 585–596, 2020.
- Stevenson, D. S., Zhao, A., Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Tilmes, S., Zeng, G., Murray, L. T., Collins, W. J., Griffiths, P. T., Shim, S., Horowitz, L. W., Sentman, L. T., and Emmons, L.: Trends in global tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime since 1850 from AerChemMIP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 12905–12920, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12905-2020>, 2020.
- Stjern, C. W., Samset, B. H., Myhre, G., Forster, P. M., Hodnebrog, O., Andrews, T., Boucher, O., Faluvegi, G., Iversen, T., Kasoar, M., Kharin, V., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J. F., Olivie, D., Richardson, T., Shawki, D., Shindell, D., Smith, C. J., Takemura, T., and Voulgarakis, A.: Rapid Adjustments Cause Weak Surface Temperature Response to Increased Black Carbon Concentrations, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 122, 11462–11481, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jd027326>, 2017.
- Stone, D. A., Allen, M. R., Stott, P. A., Pall, P., Min, S. K., Nozawa, T., and Yukimoto, S.: The Detection and Attribution of Human Influence on Climate, *Annu. Rev. Env. Resour.*, 34, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.enviro.040308.101032>, 2009.
- Streffer, J., Luderer, G., Aboumahboub, T., and Kriegler, E.: Economic impacts of alternative greenhouse gas emission metrics: a model-based assessment, *Clim. Change*, 125, 319–331, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1188-y>, 2014.
- U.S. EPA. Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants (Final Report, Apr 2020), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-20/012, 2020.
- US EPA: 2017 National Emissions Inventory: January 2021 Updated Release, Technical Support Document, EPA-454/R-21-001, 2021a.
2. US EPA: Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–2019, USEPA, USA, EPA 430-R-21-005, 2021b.
- van den Berg, M., Hof, A. F., van Vliet, J., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Impact of the choice of emission metric on greenhouse gas abatement and costs, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 10, 024001, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/024001>, 2015.
- van Marle, M. J. E., Kloster, S., Magi, B. I., Marlon, J. R., Daniau, A.-L., Field, R. D., Arneth, A., Forrest, M., Hantson, S., Kehrwald, N. M., Knorr, W., Lasslop, G., Li, F., Mangenon, S., Yue, C., Kaiser, J. W., and van der Werf, G. R.: Historic global biomass burning emissions for CMIP6 (BB4CMIP) based on merging satellite observations with proxies and fire models (1750–2015), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 3329–3357, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3329-2017>, 2017.
- Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C.-M., Wong, D. C., Wei, C., Gilliam, R., and Pouliot, G.: Observations and modeling of air quality trends over 1990–2010 across the Northern Hemisphere: China, the United States and Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2723–2747, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2723-2015>, 2015.
- Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L., Qi, J., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 14095–14111, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018>, 2018.
- Zimmerle, D. J., Williams, L. L., Vaughn, T. L., Quinn, C., Subramanian, R., Duggan, G. P., Willson, B., Opsomer, J. D., Marchese, A. J., Martinez, D. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Transmission and Storage System in the United States, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 9374–9383, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01669>, 2015.