Airborne observations during KORUS-AQ show that aerosol optical depths are more spatially self-consistent than aerosol intensive properties
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Abstract. Aerosol particles can be emitted, transported, removed, or transformed, leading to aerosol variability at scales impacting the climate (days to years and over hundreds of kilometers) or the air quality (hours to days and from meters to hundreds of kilometers). We present the temporal and spatial scales of changes in AOD (aerosol optical depth) and aerosol size (using Ångström exponent – AE; fine-mode fraction – FMF) over Korea during the 2016 KORUS-AQ (KORea–US Air Quality) atmospheric experiment. We use measurements and retrievals of aerosol optical properties from airborne instruments for remote sensing (4STAR; Spectrometers for Sky-Scanning Sun-Tracking Atmospheric Research) and in situ (LARGE; NASA Langley Aerosol Research Group Experiment) on board the NASA DC-8 and geostationary satellites (GOCI; Geostationary Ocean Color Imager; Yonsei aerosol retrieval – YAER, version 2) as well as from reanalysis (MERRA-2; Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2). Measurements from 4STAR when flying below 1000 m show an average AOD at 501 nm of 0.36 and an average AE of 1.11 with large standard deviation (0.12 and 0.15 for AOD and AE, respectively), likely due to mixing of different aerosol types (fine and coarse mode). The majority of AOD due to fine-mode aerosol is observed at altitudes lower than 2 km. Even though there are large variations, for 18 out of the 20 flight days, the column AOD measurements by 4STAR along the NASA DC-8 flight trajectories match the South Korean regional average derived from GOCI. GOCI-derived FMF, which was found to be slightly low compared to AERosol ROBotic NETwork (AERONET) sites (Choi et al., 2018), is lower than 4STAR’s observations during KORUS-AQ.
Understanding the variability of aerosols helps reduce uncertainties in the aerosol direct radiative effect by quantifying the errors due to interpolating between sparse aerosol observation sites or modeled pixels, potentially reducing uncertainties in the upcoming observational capabilities. We observed that, contrary to the prevalent understanding, AE and FMF are more spatially variable than AOD during KORUS-AQ, even when accounting for potential sampling biases by using Monte Carlo resampling. Averaging between measurements and models for the entire KORUS-AQ period, the reduction in correlation by 15% is 65.0 km for AOD and shorter at 22.7 km for AE. While there are observational and model differences, the predominant factor influencing spatial–temporal homogeneity is the meteorological period. High spatiotemporal variability occurs during the dynamic period (25–31 May), and low spatiotemporal variability occurs during the blocking pattern (1–7 June). While AOD and FMF / AE are interrelated, the spatial variability and relative variability of these parameters in this study indicate that microphysical processes vary at scales shorter than aerosol concentration processes at which microphysical processes such as aerosol particle formation, growth, and coagulation mostly impact the dominant aerosol size (characterized by, e.g., FMF / AE) and to some degree AOD. In addition to impacting aerosol size, aerosol concentration processes such as aerosol emission, transport, and removal mostly impact the AOD.

1 Introduction

Aerosol interactions with light are governed by their intensive and extensive properties (Rajesh and Ramachandran, 2020). Intensive properties represent the aerosol optical properties that do not scale with aerosol concentration or mass, such as the Ångström exponent (AE), fine-mode fraction (FMF), single-scattering albedo, asymmetry parameter, index of refraction, and hemispheric backscatter fraction. These intensive properties depend on the intrinsic properties of the aerosol in terms of its size, shape, and composition (Russell et al., 2010) and can capture the dominant aerosol speciation (e.g., organic aerosols, black carbon, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, dust, or sea salt) (Kacenelenbogen et al., 2022). Conversely, extensive properties such as aerosol optical depth (AOD), extinction, scattering, and absorption are predominantly dependent on the quantity of aerosol particles present.

The spatiotemporal scales at which the extensive and intensive properties vary are directly linked to the processes governing the emission, transport, removal, and transformation of the aerosol particles. The modeled aerosol lifetime or rate of change is directly represented by these aerosol processes (e.g., Tsigaridis et al., 2014; Hodzic et al., 2016; Saide et al., 2020); however, assessing the aerosol processes using atmospheric observations alone (i.e., without any model simulations) requires high-spatial- and temporal-resolution observations of multiple aerosol intensive and extensive properties. Anderson et al. (2003) described the use of aerosol’s variability across spatial scales and timescales, as observed by multiple measurements, which is needed for the integration used to calculate aerosol radiative forcing of climate, thus reducing its uncertainties. The high aerosol variability across scales shorter than 200 km in a few locations was attributed to aerosol processes such as patchy sources, sinks, and short residence time of tropospheric particles. Shinozuka and Redemann (2011) showcased the difference between high aerosol spatiotemporal variability in the Arctic, with freshly emitted biomass burning plumes from boreal forest fire, and low variability for aerosol long-distance transport near the North Pole. Targino et al. (2005) presented cases in which the aerosol extensive properties (scattering and absorption coefficients) change at scales smaller than the air mass or mesoscale compared to intensive properties (AE and single-scattering albedo) that varied much less.

Understanding the variability of aerosols helps reduce uncertainties in the aerosol direct radiative effect by quantifying the errors due to interpolating between sparse aerosol observation sites or modeled pixels. Since the aerosol direct radiative effect is an integral over time and space, variations of aerosol impact its derivation; e.g., integrating the radiative effect over 20 km for long-distance aerosol transport in the Canadian Arctic will only be subject to variations of 2%, while integrating over aerosol from boreal forest fires will give 19% variations (Shinozuka and Redemann, 2011; Kacenelenbogen et al., 2019). This impact can be important not only due to aerosol variability but also due to changes in the underlying surface, like when aerosol overlay clouds or varying surfaces (from sea to land). Min and Zhang (2014) presented potential biases in the direct aerosol radiative effect by up 10% when using mean gridded values as input, which are susceptible to subgrid horizontal heterogeneity. Defining the length scales at which aerosol variability impacts quantification of the direct aerosol radiative effect is important for reducing uncertainties in the upcoming observational capabilities afforded by the AOS (Atmosphere Observing System).

Similarly, quantifying the scale of aerosol variability can reduce errors in modeling atmospheric particles impacting air quality where observations are sparse and horizontal variations of these small aerosol particles often occur at scales shorter than the spacing between observations. Additionally, constraining the scales at which natural variations occur is necessary to quantify the minimum collocation crite-
ria when combining multiple observations and model platforms from AOS to upcoming field campaigns. This is similar to the question of “how long is too long?” described by Sayer (2020) or suborbital validation plans for the upcoming GEMS satellite (S. S. Park et al., 2020). In addition, retrieval biases like small-scale cloud contamination or 3D cloud radiative effects in AOD pixel retrievals from MODIS (Reid et al., 2022) can be identified when comparing the expected natural variation as measured by 4STAR’s predecessor (AATS-14; NASA Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometer) to the higher spatial variation retrieved by MODIS for the same matched scenes (Redemann et al., 2006). Here we investigate the common hypothesis that intensive aerosol properties are more homogeneous over larger length scales than their extensive counterpart, as exemplified by a more horizontally homogeneous size dependence, PM$_{2.5}$, and its chemical composition than AOD in the southeastern US (Kaku et al., 2018). We do this for aerosol measurements over the Korean Peninsula and its surrounding seas, where the aerosol type is dominantly mixed (urban–industrial, maritime, continental, sub-continental, biomass burning, and even some dust; Lee et al., 2018) due to multiple aerosol sources and transformation processes, contrary to other studies. This study has the potential to provide insight into air quality prediction, monitoring, and ultimately control.

The KOReae–US Air Quality (KORUS-AQ; Crawford et al., 2021; J. Choi et al., 2019; Peterson et al., 2019) field study measured atmospheric composition over the Korean Peninsula and surrounding waters from May to mid-June 2016. During KORUS-AQ, the atmosphere was sampled by multiple airborne and ground-based remote sensing and in situ measurements. Central to this paper is the airborne sun photometer, 4STAR (Spectrometers for Sky-Scanning Sun-Tracking Atmospheric Research; Dunagan et al., 2013). 4STAR’s main measurement is the spectral AOD representing the column above the aircraft. The following section summarizes the KORUS-AQ campaign, the 4STAR instrument, the spaceborne sensor, and the model used in this study. The methodology of defining length scale consistency among different properties is presented in Sect. 3; major findings and discussion are in Sect. 4, and the conclusion is in Sect. 5. The Appendixes provide some 4STAR measurement corrections and comparison of AOD measurements during KORUS-AQ between 4STAR, GOCI, and MERRA-2.

2 Data sources and instruments

2.1 Korea–United States Air Quality experiment (KORUS-AQ)

As a result of the dramatic increase in economic and energy production in East Asia in the preceding decades, there has been a significant increase in fine particle and ozone pollution emission (Crawford et al., 2021; Zeng et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2020). The air quality has been impacted in the recent decade, particularly in the Seoul metropolitan area, with a population of ~25 million. A better understanding of the sources and evolution of the aerosol particles in the region motivated a large-scale measurement campaign. The KORUS-AQ field study (Crawford et al., 2021) from May to June 2016 oversaw the deployment of the NASA DC-8 airborne research laboratory. KORUS-AQ was a joint effort by National Institute of Environmental Research of South Korea and NASA, and it oversaw the deployment of three research aircraft, extensive ground-based networks, and three ships to observe and quantify the air quality in South Korea due to local and transported sources. The NASA DC-8 flew a total of 20 research flights over the South Korean Peninsula and surrounding waters (see Fig. 1). Portions of each research flight were conducted while keeping a nearly constant altitude (level legs) to quantify both the emission sources and the upwind–downwind variability of aerosol properties. These level legs, which occurred mostly in the common corridors for air traffic and above research sites and common sources, enabled us to bound the spatial variability of aerosol properties (AOD, AE, and FMF) and thus give a restriction of the combined aerosol microphysical processes and aerosol sources and sinks. These level legs are illustrated in Fig. 1 and were identified through a running standard deviation of less than 5 m in altitude for each 20 s segment.

During KORUS-AQ, the atmospheric conditions were divided into four main periods, as presented in Table 1 (summarized from Peterson et al., 2019).

During each of these four time periods (dynamic, stagnation, extreme pollution, and blocking), the atmospheric dynamics and weather patterns either promoted local production of aerosols (haze development in periods 2 and 4, mostly
local sources in period 3) (Jordan et al., 2020) or brought in some long-range transport of aerosols (dust transport in period 1, low-level pollution transport in period 2) from neighboring land and sea areas such as the Gobi desert, Shanghai, and Beijing (Peterson et al., 2019; J. Choi et al., 2019).

### 2.2 Spectrometers for Sky-Scanning Sun-Tracking Atmospheric Research (4STAR)

4STAR combines airborne sun tracking and sky scanning with diffraction spectroscopy and was integrated in the NASA DC-8 in the zenith port. This airborne sun tracker and sky radiometer has multiple operating modes, which are selected by the operator depending on the sky conditions above the aircraft. 4STAR observes spectral AOD (see LeBlanc et al., 2020), aerosol properties (e.g., single-scattering albedo, scattering phase function, aerosol size distribution, aerosol refractive index) derived from skylight measurements following the AERONET retrievals (see Pistone et al., 2019), column trace gas density (e.g., water vapor, O$_3$, NO$_2$) using spectroscopic methods (see Segal-Rosenheimer et al., 2014), and cloud properties (cloud optical depth, effective radius, and thermodynamic phase) from transmitted light (LeBlanc et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2017). Here we focus on 4STAR’s measurements of direct solar irradiance, AOD, and derived products using its sun-tracking operating mode. 4STAR incorporates a modular sun-tracking and sky-scanning optical head with fiber-optic signal transmission to rack mounted spectrometers with spectral resolution ranges from 0.5–1 nm from 350 to 1000 nm and 3–6 nm from 1000 to 1750 nm. The uncertainty of the AOD during KORUS-AQ is dependent on wavelength, solar angles, and varying corrections but averages to 0.032 at 501 nm (0.033 at 452 nm to 0.027 at 1627 nm). This uncertainty includes corrections due to the deposition of material on the instrument window, fiber-optic rotating joint, gas-phase absorption, and spectrometer nonlinear correction, averaging to an uncertainty in AOD at 501 nm of 0.014, 0.0018, 0.0006, and 0.0005, respectively (described in Appendix A), as well as the variability observed during the calibrations at Mauna Loa Observatory (0.67 % standard deviation derived from six Langley extrapolations, similar to the method of Shinozuka et al., 2013, and LeBlanc et al., 2020). Recent advances in the fiber-optic light path on 4STAR are also described in Appendix A, which resulted in higher throughput and consistency between calibrations, increasing the number of viable Langley calibrations to six compared to two during TCAP (Shinozuka et al., 2013), and removed the need for a temperature correction. Processing procedures and codes of the 4STAR raw measurements of solar direct beam into quality-assured AOD are presented by the 4STAR Team et al. (2020).

### 2.3 Satellite-based remote sensing of AOD and fine-mode fraction (GOCI)

The Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI) Yonsei aerosol retrieval (YAER) version 2 (Choi et al., 2018) provides a geostationary view of aerosol evolution over the Korean Peninsula. This algorithm uses the reflectances measured by GOCI at eight spectral channels and retrieves hourly AOD at 550 nm as well as FMF (fine-mode fraction) over ocean and land. The native 0.5 km × 0.5 km resolution of GOCI is masked for clouds, inland waters, and highly turbid waters and is aggregated to an AOD product with 6 km × 6 km resolution by using the mean AOD from three aerosol models among a total of 27 models having the best fits between calculated and measured spectral top-of-atmosphere reflectance. The GOCI-YAER-retrieved AOD is matched to the closest 4STAR observation in time and space along the DC-8 flight path during KORUS-AQ. The collocation criteria are a maximum of 30 min between the satellite and airborne observations and a maximum distance of 3 km. The expected deviation of AOD during this 30 min lag is lower than 0.06, as identified by the variogram analysis of ground-based sun photometers (Sayer, 2020) and confirmed in Korea at a few AERONET stations (S. S. Park et al., 2020). The detailed GOCI aerosol retrieval algorithm, AOD features, and evaluation during the campaign are described in M. Choi et al. (2019). GOCI YAER version 2 has been compared to AERONET measurements over 5 years (Choi et al., 2018), reporting a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.16 and $R = 0.91$ for AOD over land with $N = 45 643$, with slightly lower values (RMSE = 0.11, $R = 0.89$) over ocean neighboring AERONET sites ($N = 18 499$). For FMF, the

---

**Table 1.** Description and time ranges of the four main meteorological periods during KORUS-AQ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date range</th>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1–16 May</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
<td>dynamic meteorology and complex aerosol vertical profiles (7 flights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 17–22 May</td>
<td>stagnation</td>
<td>stagnation under a persistent anticyclone (4 flights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 25–31 May</td>
<td>extreme pollution</td>
<td>dynamic meteorology, low-level transport, and haze development with extreme pollution (3 flights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 1–7 June</td>
<td>blocking</td>
<td>blocking pattern with a high-pressure ridge precluding any significant changes in synoptic meteorology (3 flights)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOCI YAERv2 retrievals are slightly biased towards more coarse-mode particles, with comparisons to AERONET for AOD > 0.3 having $R = 0.623$ over land.

2.3.1 MERRA-2 aerosol modeling and computation of AOD

The emission, evolution, transport, and removal of aerosols are represented by a reanalysis system: NASA’s Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2; Buchard et al., 2017; Randles et al., 2017). For understanding the vertically integrated AOD and AE intensive properties, we used the hourly resolved aerosol diagnostics with a spatial resolution of $0.5^\circ \times 0.625^\circ$ (roughly 59 km between two pixels) (GMAO 2015). In MERRA-2, aerosols are simulated by the GEOS model driven by assimilated meteorology fields, and it assimilates bias-corrected AOD derived from AVHRR and MODIS radiiances as well as AOD from MISR and the AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET; Holben et al., 1998) to build a four-dimensional gridded model representation of the real world’s sparse observations.

The reanalysis AOD and AE values are interpolated in time and space (4D) along the DC-8 flight trajectory from samples of a global analysis with a spatial resolution of 0.3125$^\circ$ longitude by 0.25$^\circ$ latitude at a temporal resolution of 3 h (Collow, et al., 2020). This gives a maximum temporal difference of 90 min and a maximum distance difference of approximately 12 km between airborne observations and reanalysis grid points. MERRA-2’s total AOD includes five species of aerosol: dust, sea salt, organic carbon, black carbon, and sulfates. The size distributions of sulfate, organic, and black carbon aerosols are all modeled as lognormal distributions. The mode of the lognormal distribution for the dry aerosol is 0.0695, 0.0212, and 0.0188 µm for sulfate, organic, and black carbon, respectively. The minimum and maximum dry particle radii ($R_{\text{Min}}$ and $R_{\text{Max}}$) are 0.005 and 0.3 µm. The size distributions for sulfate and the hydrophilic portions of organic and black carbon change with relative humidity according to a growth factor (GF) taken from the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS; Köpke et al., 1997). The computation of the aerosol size within this model is achieved through combining the dry aerosol size with the GF. For some RH, the $n$ mode of the lognormal distribution ($R_{\text{M}}$) and the maximum radius ($R_{\text{Max}}$) are given by

$$R_{\text{M}} = \text{GF}^{n} R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{dry}},$$

$$R_{\text{Max}} = \text{GF}^{n} R_{\text{Max}}^{\text{dry}}.$$  

The dust and sea salt aerosols have their size defined through a five-bin system ranging from 0.1 to 10 µm for dust and 0.03 to 10 µm for sea salt. Dust is modeled as hydrophobic, while sea salt is hydrophilic. The particle size distribution in each sea salt bin is described by Eq. (2) in Gong (2003). Particle growth as a function of RH is from Gong et al. (1997) (see Eq. 3 and Table 2). The size of each sea salt bin changes as the particles grows.

While not strictly super-micron aerosol sizes, the majority of sea salt and dust are considered here to correspond to the optically derived coarse-mode aerosols, and the sulfate, organic, and black carbon aerosols are considered part of the optically defined fine-mode aerosol. The modeled FMF is taken to be the fraction of total AOD that comes from sulfate, organic carbon, and black carbon.

2.4 In situ airborne aerosol extinction measurements from LARGE (NASA Langley Aerosol Research Group Experiment)

In situ optical aerosol measurements from the NASA DC-8 were obtained by LARGE (NASA Langley Aerosol Research Group Experiment; Ziemba et al., 2013) using a combination of TSI-3563 nephelometers for scattering coefficients, a Radiance Research three-wavelength PSAP (particle soot absorption photometer) for absorption coefficients (with wavelength-dependent corrections from Virkkula, 2010), and the impact on scattering by hygroscopic growth using nephelometers measuring aerosols at dry (< 20% RH) and humid (80% RH) conditions. Scattering coefficients were measured at 450, 550, and 700 nm and absorption coefficients at 470, 532, and 660 nm. The aerosols are brought into the aircraft for observation via a shrouded solid diffuser inlet, which has a cutoff at 5 µm dry aerodynamic diameter (McNaughton et al., 2007). The total ambient aerosol scattering coefficient and AE are calculated by correcting dry scattering measurements to ambient relative humidity using a gamma relationship and measured hygroscopicity (Ziemba et al., 2013). Total ambient extinction coefficients are the sum of ambient scattering and dry absorption coefficients. These measurements are sampled at 1 Hz, with an effective distance between sample points of roughly 130 m, and reported at standard temperature and pressure (273 K and 1013 mb) for quantifying the variability over the spatial and temporal domain, even at different altitudes. We use the total extinction coefficient at 532 nm and the AE calculated from 550 to 700 nm.

These in situ measurements of the aerosol optical properties represent the aerosol environment at the DC-8 flight altitude, unlike the column observations by the 4STAR and GOCI, and as reported by MERRA-2. Additionally, the column measurements (AOD, AE, and FMF) are derived from optical remote sensing, which is sensitive to aerosol particles over a broad range of sizes at ambient conditions (Hou et al., 2020), while the in situ observations have lower sensitivity to particles larger than 5 µm in aerodynamic diameter owing to the inlet efficiency.
Table 2. Distance bins at which different relative autocorrelation is reached for AOD and AE from 4STAR, MERRA-2, GOCI, and in situ (LARGE). The range in distance (square brackets) is obtained from the autocorrelations that are varied by 1 standard deviation of the 50-member Monte Carlo ensemble of flight segments. The differences in AOD and AE from all flight segments at the distance bins are reported by their mean and standard deviation. The average AOD mean and standard deviation of the difference are averaged from 4STAR, MERRA-2, and GOCI, while AE also includes in situ (LARGE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative autocorrelation</th>
<th>AOD$_{500}$</th>
<th>AE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance [km]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[10, 35]</td>
<td>[5, 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of difference</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard deviation</td>
<td>0.1364</td>
<td>0.1436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance [km]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[25, 35]</td>
<td>[15, 25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of difference</td>
<td>−0.0072</td>
<td>−0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard deviation</td>
<td>0.1436</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ r = \frac{\sum_{j}^{N} (x_{j} - m_{+k})(x_{j+k} - m_{-k})}{(N-1)SD_{+k}SD_{-k}}, \]

3 Methodology

The AOD, AE, and FMF observed and modeled during KORUS-AQ are compared to each other first by setting a common time base for comparison. Here we use the AOD observations aboard the NASA DC-8, which has the finest spatial and temporal resolution of all data sources used in this study. Each observation of AOD spectra by 4STAR along the flight path is matched to the nearest LARGE in situ observation, the nearest satellite retrieval pixel (GOCI), and the 4D interpolation of the model reanalysis (MERRA-2). Only the level legs are used here to build this collocated dataset (see Fig. 1). This combined collocated dataset is used to investigate the spatial distribution, spatial variation, and representative nature of the AOD, AE, and FMF during KORUS-AQ.

3.1 Autocorrelation distance

To identify the distance at which one measurement can be best represented by itself or to what distance an aerosol property is consistent, we use the autocorrelation metric, as popularized by Anderson et al. (2003) and used by Redemann et al. (2006) and Shinozuka and Redemann (2011). The formulation from Eq. (5) by Shinozuka and Redemann (2011) is used here (Eq. 3). Autocorrelation is the correlation coefficient among all data pairs $x_{j}$ and $x_{j+k}$ within a set that exists at a separation, or lag, of $k$. That is,

\[ r = \frac{\sum_{j}^{N} (x_{j} - m_{+k})(x_{j+k} - m_{-k})}{(N-1)SD_{+k}SD_{-k}}, \]

where $k$ indicates the spatial lag (or distance), $m_{+k}$ and $SD_{+k}$ denote the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of all data points that are located a distance of $+k$ away from another data point, and $m_{-k}$ and $SD_{-k}$ are the corresponding quantities for data points located a distance of $-k$ away from another data point. Thus, one can reproduce the autocorrelation at various distances from each sample within a set, here understood as a flight leg.

To relate the autocorrelation distance of samples to the greater physical characteristics of the aerosol itself, a measure of the sampling bias can be estimated. This is done similarly to Shinozuka and Redemann (2011), wherein a Monte Carlo subsampling of the dataset is chosen, and the standard deviation of the autocorrelation distances is calculated. We used a randomly chosen portion (30 %) of all legs (304 level legs spanning all 20 research flights) to calculate the autocorrelation distances, which were iterated 50 times to calculate the standard deviation at each distance interval. We also allow each discretized bin, or lag distance $k$, to be within 20 % of the actual separation distance, effectively making the width of the bin 20 %, thereby increasing the dataset for calculation. As an example, the lag distance $k$ of 1.0 km encompasses all points within a segment that are separated by a distance from 0.8 to 1.2 km. To remove the influence of instrumental noise the shortest autocorrelation bins were ignored, representing a distance of 80 m, with a bin ranging from 64 to 96 m.
3.2 Spectral deconvolution of AOD for obtaining fine-mode fraction and relation to Ångström exponent

Inferring particle size information from spectral AOD has been widely demonstrated (O’Neill et al., 2003, 2008; Eck et al., 2010). Schuster et al. (2006) point out that for a bimodal distribution, AE may reflect particle size (especially the short wavelengths) or fine-mode fraction (reflected more in AE at longer wavelengths). The larger aerosol particles have a flatter spectral shape and a greater impact on extinction at longer wavelengths than small aerosol particles compared to a steeper spectral response in AOD for a similar mid-visible AOD. Generally, this produces low AE (roughly below 1) when the aerosol optical depth is dominated by the larger aerosol particles and conversely high AE (roughly greater than 1) for the column dominated by smaller particles. However, when considering changes in not only the size but also chemical composition, low AE (but still mostly above 1) has been observed for fine-mode-dominant aerosol humidified in the Korean summer (Koo et al., 2021). Koo et al. (2021) describe one of the downfalls of using solely AE for quantifying the fraction of aerosol optical depth that is dominated by fine particles (FMF) and also illustrate the impact of different wavelength ranges used in estimating AE, notably caused by curvature in the spectral AOD (other examples of variance in AE depending on the range of wavelengths used are presented by LeBlanc et al., 2020, and by Eck et al., 1999). Curvature in spectral AOD can also be caused by the relative proportions of the fine- and coarse-mode aerosols or the FMF (Eck et al., 1999, Yoon et al., 2012). While AE does generally relate to aerosol size, it is a proxy for FMF. The AOD spectral curvature information, with the assumption that coarse-mode aerosol consistently results in low AE, is further explored in the Spectral Deconvolution Algorithm (SDA; O’Neill, 2003; O’Neill et al., 2001a, b), which is used in AERONET for retrieving FMF.

We apply SDA (O’Neill et al., 2008) to the 4STAR sun photometer, which, unlike AERONET, samples the AOD using spectrometers and allows for wavelength choice. Here the fine-mode fraction is still reported at 500 nm, as previously evaluated (e.g., Eck et al., 2010), but we expand the input wavelengths of the AOD spectra to include AOD at 452, 501, 520, 532, 550, 606, 620, 675, 781, 865, 1020, 1040, and 1064 nm, unlike the five typically used from AERONET (O’Neill et al., 2008). Although we used many more wavelengths to characterize the spectral derivative, the shortest wavelength is omitted but is expected to produce results similar to the standard AERONET wavelength set with root mean square (rms) differences in retrieved fine-mode AOD of less than 0.01 (O’Neill et al., 2008). While the SDA does not directly evaluate the volumetric fine and coarse mode of aerosol size distribution, this optical equivalent is nearly linearly proportional to the volumetric sizes of the aerosol distribution (e.g., Hou et al., 2020). However, the SDA only assumes a bimodal distribution (fine and coarse), and there may be instances of a middle-mode aerosol size due to cloud or fog processing (e.g., Eck et al., 2020) that lie on the boundary between the two modes assumed in SDA. The AE in Korea is also directly proportional to the FMF except for fine-mode aerosol in environments of high relative humidity (above 80%) (Koo et al., 2021).

To quantify the uncertainty in AE (σAE) and FMF (σFMF), we used a propagation of the measured AOD probability distribution. For each measurement of AOD at time (t) and wavelength (λ), a probability distribution (P_{AOD}(t,λ)) is built from the measured AOD(t,λ), a reference probability distribution (P_{ref}(λ)), the uncertainty in AOD measurement (σ_{AOD}(t,λ)), and the standard deviation of the reference probability distribution (SD_{ref}(λ)), where

$$P_{AOD}(t,λ) = AOD(t,λ) + (P_{ref}(λ) - P_{ref}(λ)) \times \frac{σ_{AOD}(t,λ)}{SD_{ref}(λ)}$$

represents the linear transformation of the reference probability distribution and its mean (P_{ref}(λ)) to the AOD measurement probability distribution such that the mean of P_{AOD}(t,λ) is equal to the AOD value, and its standard deviation is equal to the measurement uncertainty, while keeping the relative relationship of the probability distribution for each wavelength. The P_{ref}(λ) is built from the 1023-point subset of the high-altitude level legs (greater than 6 km) at low AOD (< 0.07 at 500 nm) with AOD spectra measured by 4STAR. This represents the instrument’s measuring variability, while conserving the high spectral covariance afforded by the spectrometer design of 4STAR. From this, probability distributions of AE and FMF (P_{AE}(t) and P_{FMF}(t)) are computed by passing each individual AOD spectrum contained within the distribution (P_{AOD}(t,λ)) to the AE function (f_{AE}(AOD(λ))) and FMF function (f_{FMF}(AOD(λ))), following

$$P_{AE}(t) = f_{AE}(AOD(t,λ))$$

for AOD(t,λ) in P_{AOD}(t,λ) \text{ and }$$

$$P_{FMF}(t) = f_{FMF}(AOD(t,λ))$$

for AOD(t,λ) in P_{AOD}(t,λ) \text{.}$

The AE function is defined by the linear fit of the log of AOD over the log of the wavelengths, while the FMF function is defined by the SDA. Finally, the uncertainty in AE (σ_{AE}) and FMF (σ_{FMF}), is computed by the standard deviation of the probability distribution (P_{AE}(t) and P_{FMF}(t)) for each time step, thus representing the expected uncertainty of these computed values given 4STAR’s uncertainty due to instrument variability and accuracy.

4 Results and discussion

Aerosol distribution over and surrounding the Korean Peninsula has contributions and influences from a multitude of varying sources. Recent publications show that the retrieved
black and brown carbon aerosol from polarized satellite retrievals over the Korean landmass has a distinctly different mass concentration and ratio of black to brown carbon in March through May compared to June through August (Li et al., 2020). Although black and brown carbon aerosols are not the only aerosol types found in this region, their mass concentrations are reported to vary by a factor of 5 over Korea, forming multiple gradients, regional maxima, and minima within the boundaries of the peninsula (Li et al., 2020). This type of spatial variance is indicative of multiple processes impacting aerosol in the region. An aerosol process largely impacting the region is the high relative humidity in the summer, which increases the size of the small aerosol particles stemming from pollution and secondary organic formation (Koo et al., 2021).

4.1 Overview of AOD variability during KORUS-AQ

4STAR measured an average AOD at 501 nm of 0.36 with a standard deviation of 0.12 and an average AE of 1.11 with a standard deviation of 0.15 during KORUS-AQ when flying below 1000 m.

Aerosol spatial distribution during KORUS-AQ is quantified by spatially binning (0.44° latitude and 0.33° longitude) the AOD observations for the entire period and obtaining the mean and standard deviation of each binned AOD (see Fig. 2). The largest AOD averages observed by 4STAR (below 1000 m altitude) occurred near Seoul and over the Yellow Sea on the western coast of Korea. Lower averages were found along the southern coast. The mean AOD at 501 nm over Seoul during KORUS-AQ is between 0.29 and 0.45 from the northernmost spatial bin to more southern bins encompassed within Seoul metropolitan area. This range is similar, albeit a bit low compared to the climatologically average observed values from a ground site for May and June for the decade 2007–2017 (~0.45 and 0.36, respectively; Choi and Ghim, 2021). The regions with the largest variability in AOD, as determined by the standard deviation in each of the binned statistics, are observed on the Western Sea and near Seoul. Directly south of Seoul, where there are large industrial regions, a larger than average standard deviation is also observed. The number of samples aggregated within each spatial bin to build these statistics is represented by the size of the square symbols in Fig. 2b, d, and f, while the number of days sampled is represented by the size of the circle symbols. The greater Seoul region shows a high number of observations, followed by the sea west of Korea, along the western coast, and over land in the corridor between Seoul and Busan (southeastern Korea). Although the remaining regions show some variability, the number of samples is lower than 1000 (roughly 3 d), with the lowest sampled region (by number of samples or days sampled) coinciding with the smallest average AOD. The standard deviation is only weakly correlated with the number of samples within a bin at a Pearson correlation coefficient of $R^2 = 0.13$, with a higher standard deviation for a larger number of samples; for every additional 403.2 ± 99.9 samples, or 0.9 ± 0.3 d sampled, there is an additional 0.1 standard deviation in AOD. The AE is more dependent on the number of samples or days sampled, with $R^2 = 0.19$ and $R^2 = 0.36$, respectively, with an increase in the standard deviation of AE by 0.1 for locations per 550 ± 111 samples, or 2.0 ± 0.3 d sampled. However, this relationship does not seem to hold with the matched MERRA-2 samples, for which a higher number of samples or days sampled does not directly translate to a higher standard deviation.

The AOD spatial trend, which is higher in the northwest and lower along the southern coast, is reproduced in the MERRA-2 reanalysis for the collocated pixels in time (within 3 h) and space compared to the observations. However, MERRA-2 does not seem to reproduce the same high average AOD over Seoul and the industrial region to the south and tends to overpredict the AOD along the southern coast. Similarly, the geostationary observations by GOCI show a similar trend of high AOD over the Western Sea and Seoul, with lower values on the Eastern Sea and in the south, but the number of high-quality retrievals collocated with the NASA DC-8 while flying below 1000 m is low.

The aerosol properties during KORUS-AQ not only varied based on location, but also based on the meteorological period; see Table 1. The AOD was highest during the extreme pollution meteorological period and lowest during the stagnation period (Fig. 3). The dynamic and transport period has a dual peak of AOD, with a main peak below 0.1 and a secondary peak near 0.3, all while having the flattest average AOD spectra, which is linked to a high fraction of coarse-mode aerosols (consequently the lowest AE in the legend of Fig. 3b). The secondary peak of AOD measured during the dynamic period for AOD higher than 0.2 has an average AE of 0.57, likely indicative of the presence of coarse-mode aerosol like dust influencing the highest AODs. The blocking period, while not having the highest average AOD, had a mode at 0.5 and had the steepest slope with respect to wavelengths, indicating a dominance of the fine-mode aerosol in the column (Fig. 3b). The AOD in Fig. 3b showcases the spectral dependence over the various periods, particularly with respect to their slope as evaluated over the entire range reported here, which minimizes the impact of AOD variations at any one wavelength. The AE of different meteorological periods follows a similar tendency when measured by the ground-based AERONET in Korea during KORUS-AQ (Eck et al., 2020) for higher AODs with a greater proportion of fine-mode aerosols going from May into June (dynamic → stagnation → extreme pollution → blocking; meteorological periods in the order of May to June).

The uncertainty in AOD also varied with enhancements of the uncertainty during the periods of window deposition (see Appendix A.1.3) that are also related to the larger AOD (see Fig. 4a). For most of the measurements, the mean and median uncertainty in AOD are near 0.03, except for observations.
Figure 2.
Figure 2. The spatial distribution binned by 0.44° latitude and 0.33° longitude for AOD measured by 4STAR (a, b), MERRA-2 (c, d), and GOCI (e, f) during KORUS-AQ matched to when the NASA DC-8 flew below 1 km of altitude. The average AOD in each spatial bin is in the left panels (a, c, and e), while the right panels (b, d, and f) showcase the standard deviation of the observations within each spatial bin. The number of samples is represented by the size of the square symbol for (a–f), while the number of days sampled is represented by the size of the circle for (g–l). Similar representation for AE in spatial bins (g, i, and k) and its standard deviation (h, j, and l).
with AOD near 1.2 and 0.3, which have higher mean than median uncertainty. The uncertainty in AE and FMF is calculated from all the AOD measurements using a propagation of the probability distribution, as described in Sect. 3.2. The AE and FMF uncertainties are both inversely proportional to the measured AOD (particularly for their medians, see Fig. 4b and c), peaking when AOD at 501 nm is below 0.025 with lower average AE and FMF than for larger AOD bins. At the mean measured AOD of 0.36, we find that the median uncertainties of AOD, AE, and FMF are 0.028, 0.106, and 0.057, respectively. Median uncertainty in AE of 0.15 occurs when AOD is greater than 0.2 (which corresponds to roughly 63% of the samples below 1000 m) and is associated with a median uncertainty in FMF of 0.07.

4.2 Along-flight-path 4STAR measurements match regional averages from GOCI and MERRA-2

During KORUS-AQ, the NASA DC-8 was deployed for 44 consecutive days, of which 20 d were sampling days. While the NASA DC-8 is heavily instrumented to accurately observe the atmospheric composition, it was unable to measure the entire region during each flight. It is in consequence unclear how representative these airborne samples were of the broader region. To answer this question, we compared the overall average and the space- and time-matched observations observed by GOCI or modeled by MERRA-2 to the valid samples by 4STAR on board the NASA DC-8 (Fig. 5). The regional averages are defined by daily averaging of the GOCI or MERRA-2 over all of South Korea, while the flight averages are only the daily averages for the aerosol properties.
from GOCI and MERRA-2 that are collocated to the NASA DC-8 flight path for the days that were sampled by the airborne platform. While the flight averages miss the sporadic aerosol events apparent in the regional average time series from both GOCI and MERRA-2, the overall trend in AOD over the field study time period is well represented by the flight averages. The difference between the GOCI flight average and the GOCI regional average showcases how representative the flight sampling is of the broader regional average over Korea and neighboring waters.

Differences between averages from 4STAR and from the GOCI flight averages illustrate potential differences between the GOCI retrievals and the 4STAR measurements. Notably, the mean and median average AOD from GOCI regional and flight averages are nearly identical, while 4STAR AOD averages have a lower mean and median by up to 0.043. Inversely the FMF from 4STAR is higher than GOCI by up to 0.07, while the regional and flight averages are within 0.02 of each other. The low bias of GOCI for FMF and AE compared to 4STAR is expected (see Fig. B1), particularly when the AOD is low (scene analysis; e.g., Fig. 7 of Choi et al., 2016), since GOCI Y AER retrieval preferentially selects coarse-mode-dominant aerosol models when there is limited signal. This low bias from GOCI FMF and AE is also observed when comparing to AERONET retrievals (Choi et al., 2018). In addition to the already known AERONET comparisons over land, we find that the coarse-mode AOD from GOCI has a lower RMSE over ocean (RMSE = 0.093) than land (RMSE = 0.112), albeit with relatively low correlation ($R^2$ of 0.058 and 0.066, respectively). This low correlation is accompanied by a nearly flat slope when comparing GOCI to 4STAR coarse-mode AOD over ocean (0.26 ± 0.05) and less so over land (0.49 ± 0.12), as estimated using a bivariate linear fit (York et al., 2004). The fine-mode AOD is much closer to the expected 1 : 1 line with slopes of 0.83 ± 0.03 and 0.78 ± 0.09 over ocean and land, respectively, and low biases of 0.05 and 0.06 for fine-mode AOD (see Fig. B2). Although there is a difference between 4STAR and GOCI, the small difference between GOCI regional and flight averages for FMF reinforces the representativeness of the 4STAR samples within the Korean region.

The MERRA-2 mean regional AOD for the entire period of KORUS-AQ is biased high compared to 4STAR AOD by nearly 0.1, but when matched to flight days MERRA-2 is biased low on average by 0.08. The regional average MERRA-2 AOD is likely overestimated due to higher AOD in the later period of KORUS-AQ, with less frequent flights (see Fig. 5e). A similar high bias is observed when comparing the MODIS dark-target AOD retrievals, which is assimilated by MERRA-2, to AERONET measurements during KORUS-AQ (M. Choi et al., 2019). Both the regional average and the subsampled flight days AE from MERRA-2 are overestimated compared to 4STAR by nearly 0.25, suggesting a higher representation of fine-mode aerosol in MERRA-2 than observed by 4STAR, while the opposite occurs for GOCI. A more thorough comparison of the AOD between 4STAR, GOCI, and MERRA-2 is presented in Appendix B.

During the 20 flight days, only 2 flight days have a difference of average AOD between the regional average and the flight average of GOCI data greater than the regional standard deviation (see Fig. 6). While the regional standard deviation can be sometimes large (0.3 around DOY 145, grey shaded region in Fig. 6), the average is closer to 0.1 during KORUS-AQ. There is no clear preference between overestimation and underestimation of the GOCI flight average subset compared to the regional average, similar to the difference between 4STAR observations and the GOCI flight average subset. Thus, we can say that the sampling of AOD during the flights is representative of the greater Korean region for 18 out of 20 d.

### 4.3 Vertical variations in aerosol distribution

Aerosols from local sources and transported from sources further away are likely to stratify vertically and have different optical properties, depending on aerosol age and source (e.g., dust from Gobi Desert). During KORUS-AQ, we observed that the largest contributor to total column AOD is near the ground below 500 m (see Fig. 7) and has the largest slope of AOD with respect to wavelength (see below 0.5 km line in Fig. 7a).

The averaged profiles presented in Fig. 7a match individual profiles from the frequent missed approaches during each flight (three times per flight near Seoul), the landing and take-off profiles at Osan, and low flight maneuvers over water, particularly for AOD below 500 m. In Fig. 7a and as reported by Choi et al. (2021) when comparing 4STAR to AERONET sites, the difference in AOD from the surface to 500 m is roughly 0.1 at 500 nm, but with a highly consistent AE throughout that lower layer.

The lowest aerosols have the highest fraction of fine mode, as would be expected from pollution-based aerosol, and new aerosol formation. The vertical distribution of AOD is a column measurement representing the aerosol content between the measurement altitude and the top of the atmosphere; thus, the lower AOD spectra also incorporate the influence of the elevated aerosol layers, which are mostly influenced by coarser aerosol, as identified through the much lower AOD spectral slope. This is consistent with the observed aerosol transport at low altitudes consisting of pollution from more directly west, while higher-altitude flow was dominated by transport from more northerly regions (Peterson et al., 2019). Natural stratification from the combined AOD statistics during KORUS-AQ resulted in a slope change of the AOD spectra with observation altitude for AODs below 500 m, above around 2 km, and above 5 km. The distributions of altitudes at which each sample is measured and the altitudes at which each leg levels are similar (Fig. 7c), with some underrepresentation of level leg for all samples taken between 3 and 7 km.
Figure 5. The average AOD at 500 nm and the FMF or AE for the region observed during KORUS-AQ by 4STAR, GOCI (a, c), and MERRA-2 (e, g) as a function of day of year (DOY). The continuous time trace of the AOD (a) and FMF (c) for the GOCI regional average (for spatial bins centered from 33.8 to 37.6° N and 124.3 to 129.4° E) is compared to the subset of GOCI matching in space and time (GOCI flight average) with the 4STAR for each day. 4STAR measurements are also compared to the daily averaged time trace for MERRA-2 AOD (e) and MERRA-2 AE (g) for either regional averages or the MERRA-2 subset for flight averages. Histogram of the averages based on region and flight for GOCI AOD (b), GOCI FMF (d), MERRA-2 AOD (f), and MERRA-2 AE (h) presented in the time trace, with vertical solid lines denoting the mean and dashed lines the median. The meteorological periods are identified in (c, g) by the span of arrows relating to the edges of the DOY for each period.

The stratification of the AOD as a function of altitude can be further examined in terms of the aerosol’s fine- and coarse-mode contribution to the total AOD, which is obtained through the SDA extraction of the statistically aggregated observations of the AOD (Fig. 8). The impact on the vertical location of the observation is apparent in the probability distribution of fine- and coarse-mode AOD; the fine-mode AOD is responsible for nearly all AODs greater than 0.6, and AODs greater than 0.6 are only observed below 500 m. This large fine-mode fraction is absent in observations of the atmospheric column starting at 2–5 km up to the top of the atmosphere. There is also a shift in the peak coarse-mode fraction from ~0.15 to ~0.05 between 0.5 km and the 2–5 km layers (not shown), suggesting that the lowest portion of the atmosphere hosts a sizable portion of coarse-mode AOD.

During the multiple-month deployment, the relationship between fine- and coarse-mode aerosols at different altitudes shifted with time and changing meteorological periods. The proportion of the impact of fine- and coarse-mode aerosols on AOD is also illustrated with AE (Fig. 9), which is inversely proportional to size. Notably, the dynamic meteorological regime showcases the largest AE at the highest altitude (smaller particles than other periods), while the smallest AE is observed at high altitudes during the extreme pollution and transport meteorological period, which coincides with long-range transport of aerosol. The low AE at high altitude suggests that the largest particles are transported, which supports the back trajectories and meteorological estimate from Peterson et al. (2019), showing transport from the northeast during this timeframe. The dynamic period shows a large variation in AE at higher altitudes but may still be influenced by dust emissions, which have been shown to have rel-
When considering aerosol transport, the intensive properties are expected to remain constant, such as size, mass absorption efficiency, and index of refraction, but the total concentration within a column impacting the AOD would change due to dilution and removal of the aerosol (e.g., via rainout or dry deposition). For example, dust aerosol transported from mainland China, which after initial growth with chemical and morphology changes by coagulation and condensation near the source or after cloud–fog processing and humidification–dehumidification, has nearly constant intensive properties but experiences dilution, causing a reduction in AOD but no change in spectral dependence. This same dust transported from mainland China may experience external mixing with the fresher pollution from Korea (Heim et al., 2020), which would impact both the AOD and its spectral dependence at scales commensurate with the mixing region. Alternatively, for local aerosol production and growth, both the intensive properties and the extensive column aggregate properties, like the AOD, will vary within small distances, akin to the size of the source region and the rate of secondary organic aerosol production.

Even advected aerosols from the surrounding region will undergo local processing, such as hygroscopic growth, particularly in Korea for ammonium sulfate, ammonium nitrate, and organic aerosols (Saide et al., 2020), which impact intensive properties (size and AE) within a small distance, while simultaneously increasing the AOD extensive property. Figure 9 shows the autocorrelation as a function of distance of the aerosol properties measured and modeled during KORUS-AQ along horizontal flight segments of the NASA DC-8 for which there are 4STAR observations that are quality-assured. The altitudes of these segments are presented in Fig. 7c.

The extensive aerosol property investigated here is column AOD, and the intensive aerosol property is AE, which is inversely proportional to aerosol size and dependent on aerosol refractive index (e.g., Saide et al., 2020). The autocorrelation distance distribution of AE is nearly identical to the FMF of the aerosol for the remotely sensed products. All data points used to build these relationships were first matched in time and location to the NASA DC-8 horizontal flight segments.

From Fig. 10, we see that the extensive aerosol property, AOD, has a higher correlation over longer distances (e.g., $R > 0.85$ for distances up 25 km from 4STAR) than the intensive aerosol property, AE ($R > 0.85$ for distances up to 7.5 km from 4STAR). This difference between a consistently high autocorrelation over longer distances is particularly evident in the in situ data but is reproducible with all observations and the model. This is partly counterintuitive to the general notion (as described by Anderson et al., 2003) that aerosols have more consistent intensive properties from particular point sources than their extensive counterparts. We find here that aerosol concentration is less variable than size. While there are industrial point sources west of Seoul, most sources of aerosol impacting air quality are due to diffusion (e.g., secondary formation from traffic and transport emissions) or long-range transport. From these samples during
Figure 7. Aggregated AODs observed during KORUS-AQ as a function of observation altitude (a) with average AOD spectra and (b) binned vertically for a subset of wavelengths. The range in binned values is presented by the error bars, while the thicker bar denotes the interquartile range (25%–75%). The number of spectra per height bin in (a) is 64,736, 41,821, 63,130, 121,569, and 31,076 from lowest to highest, respectively. (c) The histogram of the altitude by number of data points (bottom axis) and by number of level legs (top axis), with the mean and median altitudes indicated by solid and dashed lines with the respective colors.

Figure 8. Histograms of fine- and coarse-mode AOD measured during KORUS-AQ for all data (a), AOD measured between 2 and 5 km (b), and AOD measured below 500 m (c). The total length of the histogram bar indicates the total AOD in that bin, while the red–blue differentiation indicates the portion of the total AOD due to AOD from either fine- or coarse-mode aerosol.

KORUS-AQ, the AOD is more consistent over a greater area than aerosol size and consequently type. This may be linked to the notion that AOD and aerosol concentration are regulated mostly by the combination of long-range transport and changes in local sources, which are in turn modulated by the meteorological periods (e.g., Peterson et al., 2019). The local aerosol production and aerosol evolution as well as transformation may be more related to the underlying processes and changes in dominant aerosol types that impact aerosol at shorter distances, and consequently timescales, than the transport process (Heim et al., 2020).

The shortest autocorrelation distances are subject to both random noise from instruments and retrievals and the natural variability of the observed physical property (Anderson et al., 2003). The best-case scenario is to have autocorrelation values near 1.0 for the shortest distances, indicating low natural variability and low noise from the observations and models. In this comparison, since the observable quantity is consistent, the same natural variations should be present for all of the observations and models; therefore, any reduction in autocorrelation can be attributed to the method’s smallest observable distances and source of random noise. For the sake of comparison of the physical processes, we opt to mostly ignore the autocorrelation at the shortest distances except to serve as a baseline upper bound of the autocorrelation that can be resolved by MERRA-2, 4STAR, and GOCI, as well as in situ sampling. In this comparison (Fig. 10), both MERRA-2 and 4STAR have nearly 1.0 autocorrelation at the shortest distances, while GOCI and the in situ observations have lower values. This high initial value in autocorrelation can be interpreted as an upper bound of autocorrelation values that can be resolved by those methods. While MERRA-2 is inter-
Ångström exponent (AE) box plot distribution measured at different altitudes separated by different meteorological periods during KORUS-AQ and for all data (black). The number of days sampled within each vertical bin and meteorological regime is illustrated by the shading of the color. The vertical bar indicates the median of each altitude bin, while the center dot represents the mean. The interquartile range of the data in each vertical bin is represented by the thick horizontal bar. The range of values observed at the given altitude is presented as horizontal colored error bars.

Figure 9. Ångström exponent (AE) box plot distribution measured at different altitudes separated by different meteorological periods during KORUS-AQ and for all data (black). The number of days sampled within each vertical bin and meteorological regime is illustrated by the shading of the color. The vertical bar indicates the median of each altitude bin, while the center dot represents the mean. The interquartile range of the data in each vertical bin is represented by the thick horizontal bar. The range of values observed at the given altitude is presented as horizontal colored error bars.

For all data observed and modeled during KORUS-AQ, the AOD at 500 nm wavelength (AOD$_{500}$) shows the longest distances with high autocorrelation compared to the AE (Fig. 10). The absolute magnitude of the autocorrelation for the observations and model is not as instructive as the relative decrease with distance. In both the AOD and AE autocorrelation, we include the measure from the in situ aerosol extinction coefficient (AOD equivalent for point measurements) and AE have decreased autocorrelations at shorter distances. However, at longer autocorrelation distances, the autocorrelations of AOD (at > 20 km) and AE (at > 2 km) from GOCI mirror those from the point-like in situ measurements of the aerosol extinction coefficient.

To account for potential sampling biases, a subset of 91 segments (30%) of the total 302 horizontal flight segments, comprising 583 183 samples, was randomly selected via Monte Carlo sampling and repeated to build a 50-member ensemble. The ensemble mean and standard deviation are interpreted as the potential impact due to changing the selection of samples (Shinozuka and Redemann 2011). We observed relatively small autocorrelation divergence from the majority of dataset subsamples at the shortest distances (represented by the vertical error bars, Fig. 10). The standard deviation of the Monte Carlo sampling is largest for the longest distances; fewer of the horizontal segments span that length. The standard deviation of the ensemble sampling is smaller on average for AE than AOD for all observations and models except for 4STAR-derived values, with MERRA-2 showing the least dependence on sampling biases. The larger deviation of 4STAR AE than AOD within the Monte Carlo sampling is because AE is more variable than the AOD as measured by 4STAR, and this may be caused by the smaller AE range available for GOCI or MERRA-2 due to their confined number of aerosol microphysical models and the fact that the in situ observations may be limited to a subset of the aerosol due to only sampling aerosol at the aircraft level. 4STAR’s column measurement may also reflect the influence of multiple aerosol sources and types (e.g., dust over pollution) that are not represented in modeled, retrieved, or aircraft-level in situ measurements; some of that variation can be observed in Fig. 9.

Both MERRA-2 and 4STAR show similar autocorrelation over a wide distance range for AOD, with the 85th percentile point (where autocorrelation is reduced by 15%) occurring at 35–100 km (Fig. 10). Even though MERRA-2 uses assimilation to link its model representation to the observed world using MODIS and other remote sensors, it still shows the longest distance with a consistently high autocorrelation. Even when accounting for the 50-member standard deviation the MERRA-2 AOD autocorrelation only overlaps with the 4STAR AOD mean member at distances longer than 60 km. For AE from both MERRA-2 and 4STAR, the standard deviation and mean of the member ensemble do not overlap until distances of > 60 km, with MERRA-2 showing consistently higher autocorrelation than observed by 4STAR. The GOCI observations show a much shorter autocorrelation distance at the 85th percentile for AOD just shy of 100 km, at which the overall trend follows the point-like in situ observations by LARGE. This is observed with both the AOD and AE autocorrelations. The AE autocorrelation decreases at shorter distances compared to AOD for all samplings (MERRA-2,
Figure 10. The autocorrelation distances of the aerosol properties measured and modeled during KORUS-AQ, separated by either aerosol intensive properties (AE, relating to aerosol size, dashed lines) or extensive properties (column AOD, solid lines). The point (in situ) denotes the autocorrelation from the aerosol extinction coefficient instead of the column values of all others. The colors denote the source of the data presented here. The vertical error bars represent the standard deviation of the 50-member ensemble Monte Carlo subsampling at 30% of flight segments. The vertical dotted lines represent the autocorrelation distance of the 85th percentile, which is the location that the autocorrelation is reduced by 15% when compared to the autocorrelation of the shortest distance. The lighter-colored lines for GOCI and MERRA-2 represent the autocorrelation for distances smaller than the diagonal length from one pixel center to another, which are closest to the NASA DC-8 flight path.

4STAR, GOCI, and in situ), with much higher downward slopes. MERRA-2 AE also shows a distinct inflection in autocorrelation at 35 to 65 km, while 4STAR, GOCI, and in situ all show a constant steeper slope. The average distance to decrease autocorrelation by 15% for AOD of all methods is 52.5 km; for AE it is 22.1 km.

The 15% decrease metric is used to identify where there is an inflection point in autocorrelation; however, the distances at which autocorrelation decays by 10% or by 1/e show similar trends (see Table 2 for examples). For AOD, the mean distance where there is a 10% decrease in autocorrelation occurs at 26.8 km, roughly 1/2 the distance of the 15% decrease, while for an 1/e decrease (~37% reduction), the mean distance is 167.5 km. Because of the larger dependence for samples (showing larger spread in autocorrelation at longer distances), the 1/e results in a larger spread of distances (standard deviation of 54 km). Similarly for AE, the largest standard deviation and spread are found from the 1/e decrease level.

These results contrast with those reported by ground-based observations from AERONET during KORUS-AQ, as presented by Choi et al. (2021), which show smaller changes in FMF than AOD for coarse or fine mode as a function of distance between the AERONET ground sites (0.11/100 km for FMF, 0.16/100 km, and 0.14/100 km for AOD fine and coarse mode). However, Choi et al. (2021) also show a lower correlation in FMF and, arguably, a nonlinear relationship, particularly at distances shorter than 100 km. This nonlinear relationship is presented here in Fig. 10.

The distances at which autocorrelation varies can also be understood through the expected variation of the aerosol properties (AOD or AE). Since we use the combination of all level flight legs, the difference between AOD or AE between measurements binned by their lag distance has a mean and median very close to zero, while the standard deviation grows with distance. The near-zero mean difference in AOD or AE at varying distances implies an even distribution of measurements. Table 2 shows the values of the mean, median, and standard deviation for AOD and AE at distances with different autocorrelation reduction. Notably, at 90% relative autocorrelation, the standard deviation in AE from 4STAR exceeds the median uncertainty (see Fig. 4b) expected for the average AOD value of 0.36 by 0.048 or even for 63% of the measurements below 1000 m.

What remains to be clarified are the differences between our current understanding of aerosol point sources and their combined impact on meteorology, vertical distribution of aerosols, and aerosol speciation.

4.5 Untangling the impact of meteorology, altitude, and speciation on autocorrelation

The average AOD during KORUS-AQ is dependent on the meteorological regime (Fig. 3) and the vertical sampling (Fig. 7). The AOD vertical distribution of fine- and coarse-mode aerosols (Fig. 8) is a driver for changes of AE as a function of altitude and combined together for the total column. However, the AE vertical distribution is also depen-
Figure 11. Autocorrelation of aerosol properties during KORUS-AQ, separated by meteorological time periods in panels (a, c) and separated by altitude in panels (b, d), with the top panels representing the autocorrelation of extensive AOD properties and the bottom panels representing the intensive properties. The vertical error bars indicate the standard deviation of the autocorrelation computed using a 50-member Monte Carlo ensemble.


dent on the different meteorological periods (Fig. 9). Here we show the autocorrelation distances of the AOD and FMF as a function of meteorological periods and sampling altitude for a variety of observations and models (Fig. 11). For easier comparison we opted to show the autocorrelation normalized to the shortest distance, since reduction in autocorrelation over varying distances was the main focus and less so the resolution of instrumental noise. Additionally, the autocorrelation distances as reported by Shinozuka and Redemann (2011) for long-range transport from Arctic observations and local biomass burning in the Canadian boreal forest are included for reference (SR2011 Long and SR2011 Local, respectively).

Random sampling of the level flight segments allows illustration of the range by which the autocorrelation depends on the specific flight segments. The most variability is observed at the longest distances for which there are fewer samples from the flight segments (Fig. 11). Significant changes between the autocorrelations are observed for the varying meteorological periods, with the blocking period having the shortest distance, which was negatively correlated with both the AOD and FMF. The blocking period, which experienced a high-pressure ridge diverting much of the midlatitude storm tracks away from Korea but with the highest average surface temperature and with most days having a cloud fraction over 50%, is also the only period when the distance to the 85th percentile autocorrelation for MERRA-2 AOD is the same as for 4STAR AOD. This last time period may be subject to the largest variations in both AOD and FMF due to rapid aerosol growth by water vapor condensation on the aerosol particles and amplification of secondary aerosol formation, which occur in the warm and humid Korean summertime (Koo et al., 2021; Jordan et al., 2020).

The meteorological period with the preceding longest distance at high autocorrelation was the dynamic period. While this is true for both AOD and FMF, the FMF behaves less monotonically than AOD autocorrelation, with larger variations with the Monte Carlo subsampling that encompasses different flight legs with potentially different aerosol composition. The largest difference between autocorrelation of AOD from 4STAR and MERRA-2 occurs during the stagnation period, which had a significant anticyclone flow over Korea. This would suggest that MERRA-2 either undervalues the removal processes of the aerosol during that period or that the AOD sources are less consistent than what is expected by the model. The stagnation period, which was a particularly dry but hot period, also has the second-shortest distance with high autocorrelation of FMF (repeated by both 4STAR and GOCI), indicating high variability of the aerosol size during this time, albeit with higher uncertainty in FMF and AE measurements due to low AOD. The dry conditions were unlikely to be favorable for secondary organic aerosol formation (Liu et al., 2018), but the heat of the day contributed to mixing depths of 1500–3000 m (Peterson et al., 2019), which would point to changes in aerosol removal processes in MERRA-2 rather than sources. In tandem, the ebb and flow of the sea breeze shifted aerosol from over the Yellow Sea and Seoul, likely contributing to variations in aerosol during this time period (Eck et al., 2020). However, persistent clearer skies, obscured by some dust but fewer clouds, may promote photochemistry in tandem with the inflow of ozone from the sea breeze near Seoul. This period is also identified as having sig-
significant changes in vertical mixing, which in turn impacts the containment and distribution of aerosol (Jordan et al., 2020). The representation of the vertical mixing depth in MERRA-2 could be influential for its autocorrelation representation.

For all periods, GOCI presented lower autocorrelation than 4STAR for both AOD and FMF, notably going lower during the blocking period than the reference SR2011 Local, which focused on wildfire and biomass burning events in Canada. This may be explained by the selection criteria for GOCI AOD retrieval, which returns the mean of the three best aerosol models (Choi et al., 2018) and at the same time accounts for variations in surface albedo.

While mostly following the same autocorrelation trends with distance as 4STAR AOD and FMF, GOCI AOD and FMF have higher autocorrelation during the extreme pollution and transport period than the dynamic period, which boasts the highest autocorrelation for 4STAR and MERRA-2. These consistently high autocorrelations over large distances for the extreme pollution and dynamic periods (12.6 and 15.2 km, respectively, with $r(AOD)_{500}$ above 0.85 from 4STAR) in combination with the low average AE match the expectation of dust aerosol transported from long distances (Peterson et al., 2019). Additionally, these aerosols were identified as dust mixed type because of their high depolarization ratio in the 3 to 7 km range using the DIAL/HSRL (Differential Absorption Lidar/High Spectral Resolution Lidar) measurements for that time period (KORUS-AQ Science Team 2019; HSRL – DIAL KORUS-AQ Flight 19 – 30 May 2016). For these periods, the autocorrelation remains higher for longer distances for the FMF than the AOD, likely indicating that transformation of the aerosol size is not significantly affected during transboundary transport, e.g., no large spatial variations in rainout, new particle formation, or particle growth. There are increased ground-based observations of the small PM$_{2.5}$ particles potentially linked to new particle formation (e.g., Eck et al., 2020); however, these are either not observed here or do not vary the FMF as much as the AOD. While there is evidence of pollution aggregating on dust particles (Heim et al., 2020) and large fine-mode particles occurring during high RH and cloud fractions, suggesting cloud processing or particle growth by humidification (Eck et al., 2020), this would be less impactful for the autocorrelation than aerosol processes occurring during the blocking period. The blocking period is notable because of the shortest distance with high autocorrelation for the FMF (3.5 km with $r(FMF)$ greater than 0.85 observed by 4STAR), likely resulting from a variable combination of secondary aerosol formation, deeper episodic vertical mixing, and cloud processing of the aerosol, similar to PM$_{2.5}$ (Jordan et al., 2020). These processes would result in rapid change in particle size and thus shorter distances with high autocorrelation. Since these processes occur regionally for distributed sources on the Korean Peninsula, we find that the distances of aerosol size change are smaller than those for change in optical depth (8.0 km with $r(AOD)_{500}$ greater than 0.85 observed by 4STAR). This is likely from a combination of factors, including the fact that AOD from new particle formation more than compensates for aerosol dilution, similarly to that found downwind of Canadian oil sand processing centers (Baibakov et al., 2021). For the distances reported, there are no periods exhibiting higher autocorrelation than those sampled from long-range transport in the Arctic (SR2011 Long).

The autocorrelations for both AOD and FMF, as segregated by altitude, have nearly indistinguishable behavior for distances shorter than 10 km. The altitude dependence of the autocorrelations shows that the highest sampling (greater than 3 km altitude, from all 20 flights) had the longest autocorrelation, as expected, likely due to being affected mostly by long-range transport rather than local sources (Fig. 11b). The aerosol observed at the mid-layer (1–3 km, sampled by 18 of the 20 flights) has the shortest distances with high autocorrelation, while the lowest layer (below 1 km, sampled by 18 of the 20 flights) has its autocorrelation between the mid-layer and high layer, nearly identical to the average of all segments. The vertical mixing height was predominantly around 1 km during the dynamic and transport periods, but it increased to nearly 3 km at Seoul during periods coinciding with shorter distances of high autocorrelation (stagnant and blocking) (Peterson et al., 2019; Jordan et al., 2020). The reduction in autocorrelation is likely a result of the changes in mixing layer height. The FMF for all altitudes had a more gradual decrease in autocorrelation over the whole range of distances than for AOD, while the autocorrelation for AOD dropped more precipitously. Consistent with Fig. 10, the GOCI AOD and FMF have lower autocorrelations for set distances than the 4STAR and MERRA-2 counterparts.

Figure 12 shows the distances at which the autocorrelations for speciated AOD were reduced by 15 %, or at the 85th percentile of the first autocorrelation value (as represented by the vertical dotted lines in Fig. 11). These 85th percentile distances are the median values of the 50-member ensemble. We represent the 4STAR and GOCI AODs as separated by their portions due to either fine-mode or coarse-mode aerosols. Including the MERRA-2 AOD enables understanding of the AOD contributions from multiple aerosol types: dust and sea salt compared to optically defined coarse-mode aerosol (top panel, Fig. 12), as well as sulfate, black, and organic carbon aerosols compared to the fine-mode aerosol (bottom panel, Fig. 12). The autocorrelation distance is calculated based on data from the different meteorological periods (all at altitudes below 3000 m).

Of all the meteorological periods observed during KORUS-AQ, the dynamic period (first 16 d of May 2016) showcased the largest distances with autocorrelations higher than 85 % and consistently amongst model, satellite, and 4STAR observations, even when discretized between various aerosol types, at 31.5 ± 2.7 km (20 % bin size). The stagnation period, while having much lower average AOD, still showcased very similar 85th percentile autocorrelation
distances, except for GOCI, which showed longer distances than during the blocking period; this period had more AOD from sulfate aerosol as reported from MERRA-2. The distance of autocorrelation at 85% for fine-mode AOD for the combination of all KORUS-AQ demonstrates a high degree of consistency between 4STAR, GOCI, and MERRA-2 sulfate, as well as, to a lesser degree, organic and black carbon AODs (Fig. 12). The extreme pollution meteorological pattern boasts the lowest 85th percentile with autocorrelation distances from all meteorological patterns, and the coarse mode has shorter distances than the fine mode. During this time period, the greatest proportion of AOD is attributed to sulfates by MERRA-2, with similar autocorrelation distances as determined by 4STAR, while the GOCI average AOD is overestimated during that time period. The 85th percentile distance for the fine-mode AOD from 4STAR seems to be consistently shorter than modeled by MERRA-2, except for the AOD due to black carbon, which also boasts a lower average AOD (black horizontal line, Fig. 12). When comparing the mean AOD from MERRA-2 by species to the different periods, the same trends (for aerosol mass density) appear of the chemical composition of particle matter of less than 1 µm aerodynamic diameter as measured at a ground site in Seoul (Jordan et al., 2020). While not exactly a one-to-one comparison, the sulfate AOD during the blocking period is greater than the average, and the reported mass density for sulfates is slightly lower than the average at the surface during that same period.

5 Conclusion

The AOD measured during KORUS-AQ by airborne sampling using 4STAR, satellite remote sensing using GOCI, and reanalysis from MERRA-2 was found to follow general climatological trends for the Korean Peninsula (Choi and Ghim, 2021). The aerosol intensive properties are also observed during KORUS-AQ, particularly aerosol size (fine or coarse mode) and related AE. We present the general trends in AOD and AE / FMF sampled over the duration of KORUS-AQ and show the vertical dependence, the impact of meteorological periods, and the autocorrelation as a function of distance for level flight legs. The spatial distribution of AOD was mostly matched between 4STAR, GOCI, and MERRA-2, with the highest AOD in the Yellow Sea and near Seoul and the lowest AOD observed just south and also directly east of the Korean Peninsula. The uncertainty in AE and FMF was also evaluated and shown to peak at low AOD, while the AOD uncertainty was more variable at larger AODs. Comparing the sampling by the NASA DC-8 to GOCI and MERRA-2 regional averages and subsets of observations matched to the NASA DC-8 flight paths, we observed that (i) 4STAR AOD was representative of the regional average and variability for 18 out of 20 d, (ii) 4STAR FMF has a high bias compared to the subsets of GOCI, and (iii) 4STAR AE has a low bias compared to MERRA-2. The relatively low GOCI FMF compared to 4STAR corroborates the findings from Choi et al. (2018) when comparing to AERONET sites.

The highest AODs were observed during the extreme pollution period (25–31 May), when transport was observed alongside haze formation (Peterson et al., 2019). This high-AOD period was also observed using ground-based AERONET sensors (Choi et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2018). This meteorological period had the lowest AE at high elevations, suggesting lofted dust or other coarse-mode aerosol, consistent with back trajectories and DIAL/HSRL (Peterson et al., 2019; HSRL – DIAL KORUS-AQ Flight 19 – 30 May 2016). When observing the distance at which the autocorrelation of the extensive (AOD) and intensive (FMF / AE) properties are reduced by 15%, the meteorological periods seem to be the primary drivers, with the extreme pollution period showing the smallest distance. While there are variations between 4STAR, GOCI, MERRA-2, and in situ measurements, the shortest distance is observed during extreme pollution, with the intensive properties showing shorter distances for a 15%
decrease in the autocorrelation of extensive properties. With this autocorrelation decrease, there is an increase in standard deviation, which is larger than the median uncertainty of AE for the majority of samples.

During the stagnation meteorological period (17–22 May), we observed the lowest AOD (similarly to Choi et al., 2021) and the highest AE at elevated observations. The high AE is likely due to lofted small-sized aerosol. While this period showcased smaller aerosols in the column, it also hosted the longest distances at which the autocorrelation remained above 85 % at its lowest value. This long distance was rivaled only by the blocking period (1–7 June) and was reproduced in all of our observation methods (4STAR, GOCI, MERRA-2, and in situ).

Throughout the entire period, the AOD due to fine-mode aerosol constituted the largest contributor to AOD at lower altitudes (below 0.5 km), while the AODs due to coarse-mode aerosol were predominant in the lofted vertical region (2–5 km). The exact distribution of fine- and coarse-mode aerosols was modulated during the different meteorological periods, with the altitude region between 1.5 and 3 km showing the largest variability in FMF. Throughout the entire period, the distance at which a decrease in autocorrelation is observed is consistently shorter for intensive properties than extensive, which is repeatable with 4STAR, GOCI, and MERRA-2. To account for potential sampling bias, we computed this distance and the autocorrelation by using a Monte Carlo ensemble of the level flight legs and reporting its mean and standard deviation. This suggests that, contrary to common opinion, when dealing with a region where there are aerosols from multiple sources, the intensive properties are not as consistent over long distances as the extensive aerosol properties. This work showcases the fact that in some regions the spatial scale at which aerosol size varies is smaller than that for aerosol optical depth.

Appendix A: Measurement quality and corrections

A1 4STAR aerosol optical depth derivation

AOD is calculated using the inversion of direct solar transmittance measured by 4STAR while actively tracking the sun on board the NASA DC-8. The simple inversion process is based on the refined Beer’s law but is subject to multiple correction procedures, namely the correction of transmittance based on the variability of transmittance influenced by the fiber-optic rotating joint (FORJ), the nonlinearity of the spectrometer, the removal of the trace gas column impact on the AOD spectra, and the correction of the transmittance change due to unwanted material deposition on the 4STAR window, as similarly described by LeBlanc et al. (2020).

A1.1 FORJ correction and gas-phase optical depth

Sunlight entering the 4STAR Gershun tubes is propagated to the spectrometers using low-loss multimode optical fiber bundles and other fiber-optic components. The FORJ is part of the light path that allows endless rotation of the 4STAR sun-tracking head azimuthal position with respect to the fixed geometry of the aircraft fuselage, where the rest of the 4STAR instrument is located. The FORJ introduces variability, which includes angle-dependent hysteresis and some random noise, in the transmission due to this azimuthal position and can be corrected. The correction is computed from the azimuthal dependence through measurements of a stable light source (a light-emitting diode that has less than 0.1 % variation in radiance during the time of the test) between each flight by a full rotation in each direction. The variations have a nearly sinusoidal shape with features departing from the mean by no more than ±1.4 % and are repeatable between each measurement (within 0.4 % over the course of the field mission), with the largest features not moving by more than 20 °.

AOD is influenced by trace gas absorption in the entire column in distinct wavelength regions. We correct the influence of trace gases (NO2, CO2, O3, O2–O2, CH4) by convolving their retrieved vertical column gas abundance and profile with their spectral absorption coefficients (Segal-Rosenheimer et al., 2014). This results in an optical depth contribution from these gases (typically very minor), which is then subtracted from the AOD spectrum.

A1.2 Updated 4STAR light path instrument design for thermal stability

Prior to the KORUS-AQ deployment, the 4STAR flight path was improved using funding from the ESTO Airborne Instrument Technology Transition (AITT) program to reduce variability in the transmission of the optical path. Notable modifications include improved fabrication processes for the fiber-optic assemblies, as well as improved polishing and cleaning of the fiber-optic ends and direct beam diffusing element to ensuring a flat field of view (less than 1 % deviation over 1 °) for sampling the solar direct beam.

Fiber-optic assembly modifications include annealing of the PEEK jacketing to reduce the degree of dimensional creep induced by the considerable thermal cycling exposure, the use of thermal epoxy heat shrink tubing to reinforce the jacket-to-connector interfaces, and careful control of the fabrication geometry to ensure that there is sufficient radial clearance in the coils to accommodate the thermal expansion differential between the fused silica fiber and the PEEK jacket to avoid thermally induced stresses as well as resultant microbending losses and connector reliability in the light path for temperature ranges from −50 to +50 °C. The fiber-optic ends have been repolished to ensure minimal light scattering and a high degree of flatness for each of the fiber-
optic bundle end faces that link the spectrometers and the optical inlets (see Fig. A1 for examples before and after fiber-optic bundle). Additionally, procedures were adopted for the preparation, curing, polishing, inspection, and cleaning of the fiber-optic connectors.

Prior to KORUS-AQ, 4STAR’s direct irradiance measurement was dependent on the instrument head temperature, which had to be corrected. This impact was up to $0.15 \%^\circ C^{-1}$ in transmittance. This was caused by the thermal expansion of the metal ferrule at the end of the fiber-optic assembly pressing against the Spectralon diffusing element at the base of the light-capturing Gershun tube. Spacing between the fiber-optic bundle face and the Spectralon diffuser was adjusted and mechanically stabilized to prevent temperature-dependent pistoning of the connector from encroaching onto the diffuser.

Through cycling the head temperature in lab settings, the temperature dependence of the improved light path has been identified to vary less than $0.004 \%^\circ C^{-1}$, which is roughly equivalent to the worst-case scenario of optical depth changes of less than 0.004 with the sun directly at zenith, for temperatures ranging from $+50$ to $-50^\circ C$. Typically the error in optical depth due to the temperature variation was about 0.002 due to the sun angle being on average much lower than zenith, and the majority of the temperature ranged to about half of the extremes.

To correct for window deposition on the AOD, each flight with greater than 2% post-flight light intensity difference is manually inspected to determine the set of discrete events leading to the deposition, notably during low-level near-water flight segments, in highly polluted periods, or during cloud insertions. The uncertainty in the AOD surrounding these events (within ±6 min) has been increased to the magnitude of the optical depth of the window deposition and by 30% of the corrected magnitude for the rest of the flight, producing a step change in the AOD uncertainty. The full solar spectra measured at high-altitude flight segments (above 6 km) are used to evaluate the change in spectral optical depth due to window deposition, with the AOD expected to be mostly representative of the stratospheric aerosol at around 0.03. Fortunately, the typical flight maneuvers required profiling through the boundary layer and up to higher altitudes, frequently enabling a “bookend” check on the window deposition, confirming a reasonable correction. Figure A2 presents the impact of the window deposition correction on the AOD at 501 nm for all the flights during KORUS-AQ as a function of altitude. A nearly constant vs. altitude impact is shown here of up to 0.1, while the AOD vertical dependence is not highly impacted.

### A1.3 AOD window deposition correction

During KORUS-AQ, 4STAR was subjected to varying thermal and atmospheric conditions as well as polluted atmospheres. During the science flights of the DC-8, the 4STAR window exposed to ambient air at the top of the DC-8 became coated by a persistent thin contamination film that resulted in a reduction in the transmission efficiency of the window for potentially the remainder of the flight until the window surface was cleaned when 4STAR was back on the ground. Table A1 showcases the impact of window deposition as measured on the ground post-flight by measuring the change in signal from a stable light source before versus after cleaning the window, with missing elements representing negligible (less than 1%) impact. For 14 out of the total 25 flights (research + check + transit flights), the amplitude difference was less than 2%.
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Figure A1. Image of the three-element fiber-optic bundle end face that is part of the solar direct beam measurement optical path of 4STAR. Before polishing is seen in (a), while after polishing is seen in (b). The uneven fiber flatness, severe contamination, and pocked end face increased scattered light and were linked to distortions in the instrument field of view. The polished fiber face has a very planar end face with no pocking or contamination, resulting in a much more predictable light measurement.

Table A1. Magnitude of light intensity change due to cleaning 4STAR’s window post-flight for the highest-magnitude peak of the LED light source near the 650 nm wavelength. Research flights are numbered, while project check flights and transit flights are indicated by the acronyms PCF and TR, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Flight number</th>
<th>Difference [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20160418</td>
<td>PCF1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160421</td>
<td>PCF2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160426</td>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160427</td>
<td>TR2</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160501</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160503</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160504</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160506</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160510</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160511</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160512</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160516</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160517</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160519</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160521</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160524</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160526</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160529</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160530</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160601</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160602</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160604</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160608</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160609</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20160614</td>
<td>TR3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A2. Summary of the impact on correcting the AOD at 501 nm for window deposition during KORUS-AQ as a function of altitude. The AOD is binned in roughly 200 m altitudes, with the error bars representing the interquartile range for each of those bins.
Appendix B: AOD comparisons

B1 4STAR–GOCI AOD comparison

Figure B1. Comparison of AOD at 500 nm from GOCI retrievals compared to 4STAR measurements below 500 m. For each cloud-cleared GOCI pixel, the nearby 4STAR samples (within 30 min of GOCI retrievals) are averaged together for this comparison, shown in the upper pair of graphs (a for AOD and b for AE). The linear fit used here is the bivariate fit described by York et al. (2004), reinforced for use by Cantrell (2008). The differences between the matched 4STAR to GOCI values are presented in the bottom pair of histograms (c for AOD and d for AE).
Figure B2. Average 4STAR (a) fine- and (b) coarse-mode AOD within the different GOCI pixels matched at the same observation times and locations for all measurements under 500 m. The uncertainty in GOCI is calculated using those characterized by Choi et al. (2018). 4STAR fine- and coarse-mode AOD is the standard deviation within the matched GOCI pixels. The linear relationship is quantified using a bivariate fit described by York et al. (2004). The differences between the observations by 4STAR and GOCI are shown for fine-mode AOD in (c) and for coarse-mode AOD in (d). This comparison is a subset between AOD measured over land (in orange) and over the ocean (in blue). The separation between land and ocean was achieved using the 1 km resolution GLOBE data (Hastings and Dunbar, 1999) ported for use in Python (Karin, 2020).
Figure B3. Comparison of the (a) AOD and (b) AE analysis from MERRA-2 compared to 4STAR measurements below 500 m. For each pixel from MERRA-2 (at 3-hourly time steps), the nearby 4STAR samples (within 90 min of the MERRA-2 run) are averaged together for this comparison, with the standard deviation of measured 4STAR AOD within one MERRA-2 pixel represented by the error bars, shown in the top pair of graphs (a, b). The linear fit used here is the bivariate fit described by York et al. (2004). The differences between AOD and AE from 4STAR and MERRA-2 are shown by the bottom pair of histograms (c, d).
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