



Supplement of

Quantitative assessment of changes in surface particulate matter concentrations and precursor emissions over China during the COVID-19 pandemic and their implications for Chinese economic activity

Hyun Cheol Kim et al.

Correspondence to: Hyuncheol Kim (hyun.kim@noaa.gov) and Soontae Kim (soontaekim@ajou.ac.kr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Table S1 Responses of surface PM2.5 concentration for emission reduction sensitivity tests. Baseline model was simulated using CMAQ with CREATE emission inventory for 2016. Changes of surface PM2.5 concentrations (and percentages) from the baseline simulation are shown for 1) all emission 50% reduction, 2) SOx and NOx emission 35% reduction, 3) NOx emission 35% reduction, 4) SOx emission 35% reduction, and 5) NH₃ emission 35% reduction. BTH denotes for Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, and YRD&SD denotes

25 Yangtze River Delta and Shandong region.

	Base Model	All Emission -50%	SOx&NOx -35%	NOx -35%	SOx -35%	NH3 -35%
China	36.9 µg/m ³	-18.9 µg/m ³	-4.1 µg/m ³	-2.9 µg/m ³	-1.2 µg/m ³	-3.3 µg/m ³
		(-51 %)	(-11 %)	(-8 %)	(-3 %)	(-9 %)
BTH	53.4 µg/m ³	-26.8 µg/m ³	-3.7 µg/m ³	-2.2 µg/m ³	-1.5 µg/m ³	-4.1 µg/m ³
		(-50 %)	(-7 %)	(-4 %)	(-3 %)	(-8 %)
YRD&SD	41.3 µg/m ³	-21.2 µg/m ³	-4.4 µg/m ³	-3.1 µg/m ³	-1.3 µg/m ³	-4.2 µg/m ³
		(-51 %)	(-11 %)	(-8 %)	(-3 %)	(-10 %)



30 Figure S1 Spatial distribution of the change in TROPOMI NO₂ vertical column densities from the base period (Figure 1) during the maximum impact period (January 25 – February 14, 2020) and the recovery period (February 24 – March 15, 2020). Hubei province is marked in red. Areas with NO₂ column density less than 1x1015 mole/cm2 in the base period were marked in gray.



Figure S2 Time series comparisons of model and surface observations for PM2.5, NO2, and SO2.



Figure S2. Continued



Figure S2. Continued.



Figure S3 Time series of estimated emissions changes for NO₂, SO₂, CO, O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ using surface monitors across China. The gray lines indicate 2017–2019 variations with their average in the thick gray line, whereas the red line indicates the 2020 variation. BASE is used as the pre-LNY period, and time series was calculated as relative changes from the average of the base period.



50 Figure S4 Comparison of the original time series by removing meteorological, weekly, yearly and the LNY signals (left) and the one without seven-day moving average (right). The seven-day moving average filtering is required to avoid unfair comparisons between different weekdays after the LNY alignment.

The seven-day moving average process was applied to remove unfair comparisons by comparing different days of the 55 week. Since we applied an alignment to center the LNY, daily time series comparisons were performed for different weekdays in different years because LNY days were assigned to different weekdays. While the time series without seven-day moving average processing is a little noisier due to the unfair comparison between different weekdays, we do not see significant differences between the two plots. Therefore, our conclusions based on the results of this timeseries analysis are valid irrespective of the use of seven-day moving-average processing.



65 Figure S5 Spatial distribution of SO₂ emission adjustment factors for 1-step adjustment (left), and β values (middle), and 2-step adjustment factors (right).

NO2 RATIO RTS_RT72-AJ_M27_01 Jan 15 2020 NO2 RATIO RTSA_M_GQ01-AJ_M27_01 Jan 15 2020

NO2 RATIO 2 STEP Jan 15 2020



Figure S6 Spatial distribution of NO₂ emission adjustment factors for 1-step adjustment (left), and β values (middle), and 2-step adjustment factors (right).





Figure S7 Time series of surface concentrations of NO₂, SO₂ and PM_{2.5} for the baseline simulation (blue) and adjusted-emission simulations (red). Monthly emission adjustment factors were used with a fixed emission-to-concentration conversion factor (i.e. β =1).

SO2 INITIAL & ADJUSTED [Feb-Mar 2020]



80 Figure S8 Performance evaluation of models run with initial emissions inventory (A,C, E) and adjusted emissions (B, D, F) for SO₂, Feb-Mar 2020. Shown are spatial distributions of simulated SO₂ concentrations (top: A, B) and biases (middle: C, D), as well as scatter plot comparisons for initial (E) and adjusted (F) emissions for February to March 2020. Adjusted simulation is based on the 2-step method outlined in Section 3.2 of the main body of the paper.

NO2 INITIAL & ADJUSTED [Feb-Mar 2020]





Figure S9 Performance evaluation of models run with initial emissions inventory (A,C, E) and adjusted emissions (B, D, F) for NO₂, Feb-Mar 2020. Shown are spatial distributions of simulated NO₂ concentrations (top: A, B) and biases (middle: C, D), as well as scatter plot comparisons for initial (E) and adjusted (F) emissions for February to March 2020. The adjusted simulation is based on the 2-step method outlined in Section 3.2 of the main body of the paper.

PM_{2.5} INITIAL & ADJUSTED [Feb-Mar 2020]



Figure S10 Performance evaluation of models run with initial emissions inventory (A,C, E) and adjusted emissions (B, D, F) for PM_{2.5}, Feb-Mar 2020. Also shown are spatial distributions of simulated PM_{2.5} concentrations (top: A, B) and biases (middle: C, D), as well as scatter plot comparisons for initial (E) and adjusted (F) emissions for February to March 2020. The adjusted simulation is based on the 2-step method outlined in Section 3.2 of the main body of the paper.



Figure S11 Time series and scatter plots of observed and modeled surface concentrations of SO₂, NO₂, and PM_{2.5} from the Kuang, Handan monitoring site (lon=114.504, lat=36.5776, id=1049A). A further 1,570 plots are available at an external link (https://www.dropbox.com/s/e8czqza66jpcxz1/out-ts-all.tgz?dl=0).



Figure S12 Spatial distributions RMSE for the base (left) and the adj2 run (right). RMSEs were calculated from daily mean concentrations during February and March 2020 for each monitor.



Figure S13 Calculation of the concentration-to-emissions sensitivities (β) for the emissions adjustment experiment of SO₂ and NO₂. The β values are obtained as the ratio of the emissions change (i.e. *Emis_adj/Emis_base*) to the change in concentrations (i.e. 110 *Conc_adj1/Conc_base*), which is also consistent with the slope in the scatterplot (upper). The occurrences of the calculated β values for each domain pixel and daily timescale are summarized as the histogram plots (lower).