Contribution of local and remote anthropogenic aerosols to a record-breaking torrential rainfall event in Guangdong Province, China
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Abstract. A torrential rainfall case, which happened in Guangdong Province during 14–16 December 2013, broke the historical rainfall record in the province in terms of duration, affected area, and accumulative precipitation. The influence of anthropogenic aerosols on this extreme rainfall event is examined using a coupled meteorology–chemistry–aerosol model. Up to 33.7 mm precipitation enhancement in the estuary and near the coast is mainly attributed to aerosol–cloud interactions (ACI), whereas aerosol–radiation interaction partially offsets 14% of the precipitation increase. Our further analysis of changes in hydrometeors and latent heat sources suggests that the ACI effects on the intensification of precipitation can be divided into two stages: cold rain enhancement in the former stage followed by warm rain enhancement in the latter. Responses of precipitation to the changes in anthropogenic aerosol concentration from local (i.e., Guangdong Province) and remote (i.e., outside Guangdong Province) sources are also investigated through simulations with reduced aerosol emissions from either local or remote sources. Accumulated aerosol concentration from local sources aggregates mainly near the ground surface and dilutes quickly after the precipitation initiated. By contrast, the aerosols from remote emissions extend up to 8 km above ground and last much longer before decreasing until peak rainfall begins, because aerosols are continuously transported by the strong northerly winds. The patterns of precipitation response to remote and local aerosol concentrations resemble each other. However, compared with local aerosols through warm rain enhancement, remote aerosols contribute more than twice the precipitation increase by intensifying both cold and warm rain, occupying a predominant role. A 10-time emission sensitivity test shows about 10 times the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration compared with the control run. Cold (warm) rain is drastically enhanced (suppressed) in the 10$\times$ run. In response to 10$\times$ aerosol emissions, the pattern of precipitation and cloud property changes resembles the differences between CTL and CLEAN, but with a much greater magnitude. The precipitation average over Guangdong decreases by 1.0 mm in the 10$\times$ run but increases by 1.4 mm in the control run compared with the CLEAN run. We note that the precipitation increase is concentrated within a more narrowed downstream region of the aerosol source, whereas the precipitation decrease is more dispersed across the upstream region. This indicates that the excessive aerosols not
only suppress rainfall, but also change the spatial distribution of precipitation, increasing the rainfall range, thereby potentially exacerbating flood and drought elsewhere. This study highlights the importance of considering aerosols in meteorology to improve extreme weather forecasting. Furthermore, aerosols from remote emissions may outweigh those from local emissions in the convective invigoration effect.

1 Introduction

Synoptic weather is a key factor driving air pollution events through photochemical, turbulence, wet deposition, and transport processes (Ding et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2001; L. Liu et al., 2019; Madronich, 1987). Numerous studies have predicted air quality either numerically or statistically based on weather conditions (Dutot et al., 2007; Otte et al., 2005). In recent years, efforts have been increasingly made to identify the influence of air pollution (e.g., aerosols) on synoptic weather (Ding et al., 2013; Grell et al., 2011), particularly on different types of extreme weather, such as tropical cyclone (Wang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2018), hail storm (Ilotoviz et al., 2016), and extreme rainfall (Fan et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2015).

For decades, China has been affected by severe pollution induced by rapid urbanization and economic development (He et al., 2002). The Pearl River delta (PRD) region, situated on the southern coast of China, is one of the most developed and also most polluted regions. The aerosol optical depth retrieved from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer is typically higher than 0.6 in Guangzhou, a megacity in the PRD region (Wu et al., 2005).

In addition to reducing visibility and inducing respiratory diseases (Cohen et al., 2015; Gu and Yim, 2016; Chen et al., 2017), high aerosol concentration can also affect weather and climate through interactions with radiation and clouds (Bollasina et al., 2011; Lau and Kim, 2006; Z. Liu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2011). Aerosols absorb and scatter solar radiation and serve as cloud condensation nuclei and ice nuclei, which are referred to as aerosol–radiation interactions (ARI) and aerosol–cloud interactions (ACI), respectively (IPCC, 2013). Both ARI and ACI influence deep convection and hence precipitation (Fan et al., 2008, 2013, 2018; L. Liu et al., 2019). Effects of local and remote aerosol emissions were mostly invigorated by ACI, whereas small cloud cover fractions were suppressed by ARI. Different aerosol types can also be a critical factor in the radiative or microphysical properties of clouds, thus determining the invigoration or suppression effect of aerosols on deep convection (J. H. Jiang et al., 2018). Most of the studies have focused on the summer season, in which most extreme rainfall events occur over China (Fu et al., 2013).

We select a torrential rainfall case in winter, which breaks the record of Guangdong Province since 1951 in terms of duration, affected area, and cumulative rainfall (Deng et al., 2015), to further understand the combined effects and relative importance of ARI and ACI for precipitation. Before this heavy rainfall, the PRD region is affected by a strong haze, with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reaching 174 µg m$^{-3}$. The significant transboundary nature of air pollution in China has been well recognized (e.g., Gu and Yim, 2016; Yim et al., 2019a, b). Effects of local and remote aerosol emissions on monsoon and associated precipitation have been examined in recent years (Bollasina et al., 2014; Cowan and Cai, 2011; L. Guo et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2016), which were comprehensively reviewed by Li et al. (2016). The effects of local and remote aerosol emissions on extreme rainfall events remain mostly unexplored. Given the strong monsoonal flow and severe air pollution over the northeast of China (Fig. 1b),...
Two nested grids which run simultaneously with one-way nesting cover most of China (87.47–131.67° E, 11.42–41.22° N) and Guangdong Province (109.59–117.32° E, 20.07–25.62° N) with horizontal resolutions of 20 and 4 km, respectively (Fig. S1a in the Supplement). The cumulus scheme is turned off in the inner domain. Both nested grids use 41 vertical levels extending from the surface to 100 hPa. The meteorological initial and boundary conditions (ICs and BCs) are derived from 6-hourly National Center for Environmental Prediction global final analysis data with a horizontal resolution of 1° × 1°. The 6-hourly chemical ICs and BCs are generated from the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracer version 4 (MOZART-4), which is an offline global chemical transport model suited for tropospheric studies at a horizontal resolution of 1.9° × 2.5° with 56 vertical levels (Emmons et al., 2010). Anthropogenic emissions are obtained from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution v2 inventory (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015) for the year 2010 with a horizontal resolution of 0.1° × 0.1° (http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/htap_v2/, last access: 20 February 2017). Biomass burning emission data are extracted from FINN 1.5 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). Dust and sea salt emission schemes are updated following Zhao et al. (2010, 2013), respectively. The results show marginal differences between simulations with and without dust and sea salt emissions (figure not shown) in our study case; possible reasons for this are discussed in Sect. 4.

Six sets of experiments are performed in total (Table 1). To isolate robust signals from the model’s natural variations, five ensemble members with perturbed ICs at 3 h intervals are conducted for each experiment. The simulations start from 08:00 to 20:00 Z on 13 December with 3 h intervals, and all end at 02:00 Z on 17 December. The simulation before 14 December is for model spinup, and the following analysis focuses on the results of 14–16 December. In the first experiment (CTL), current emissions are used in the simulation with both ARI and ACI effects included (Table 1). Following Fan et al. (2015), we scale the anthropogenic and fire emissions by a factor of 0.1 and perform the CLEAN simulation. We adjust the factor to 0.1 from 0.3 in Fan et al. (2015) to represent the background aerosol concentration as the emissions in 2010 are much higher than those in 2006 (Chang et al., 2018). It is used to mimic the situation in which the background of the aerosol concentration serves as cloud condensation nuclei before the economic development in China. The differences between CTL and CLEAN denote the total effects of aerosols, including both ARI and ACI effects. To examine the role and relative importance of ARI and ACI, the ARIoff run is conducted based on the CTL run by excluding the ARI effect. Thus, the differences between CTL and ARIoff represent ARI effects (Zhong et al., 2015). The ACI effects are approximated by looking at differences between CTL–CLEAN and CTL–ARIoff. To distinguish and isolate the effects induced by local (i.e., domain 2, Guang-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Anthropogenic and fire emissions, chemical ICs and BCs*</th>
<th>Aerosol–radiation interactions</th>
<th>Aerosol–cloud interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT $10\times$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIoff</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEAN</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEAN</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates that emissions, initial conditions (ICs), or boundary conditions (BCs) are scaled from the control run. Note that the offline chemical BCs here are extracted from global chemical transport models and are only used for domain 1.
Observational datasets

The model-simulated precipitation performance is evaluated with satellite-based precipitation products and in situ rainfall observations. Climate Prediction Center morphing technique (CMORPH) data are produced by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration covering the period from December 2002 to the present. In this technique, infrared geostationary satellites observe the motion vectors of precipitation patterns to generate half-hourly precipitation estimates by using passive microwave (PMW) sensors. Time-weighted linear interpolation is exploited to morph the shape and intensity of precipitation features when and where PMW data are unavailable. This provides data for global (60°S–60°N) precipitation analysis with a horizontal resolution of 0.07277° (approximately 8 km at the Equator) and a temporal resolution of 30 min. More details of CMORPH products are documented by Joyce et al. (2004).

The in situ hourly precipitation dataset is developed at the National Meteorological Information Center of the China Meteorological Administration (source: http://data.cma.cn, last access: 30 May 2016). A total of 115 stations are within domain 2. Their locations are represented as colored circles in Fig. 2a.

ERA-Interim version 2 is used to evaluate the model performance in simulating large-scale circulation. These data form a global atmospheric reanalysis making data publicly accessible since 1979, provided by the European Centre
Figure 2. Spatial distribution of accumulated precipitation (mm) from 00:00 Z on 14 December 2013 to 00:00 Z on 17 December 2013 (local standard time, LST) from (a) station observations (OBS), (b) the CMORPH satellite, and (c) the control simulation (CTL). Circles denote locations of in situ observations. (d) Time series of the station average of rain rate (mm h$^{-1}$) over the entire domain 2 for OBS (red), CMORPH (black), CTL (blue), ARIoff (green), and CLEAN (purple). (e) Taylor diagrams for 3 d accumulated precipitation in CTL (blue), ARIoff (green), CLEAN (purple), and CMORPH (black) compared with OBS. Triangles and circles in the top-left corner in (e) denote bias. Sizes of triangles indicate the magnitude of the bias. Inverted (upright) triangles represent a negative (positive) bias. The ARIoff run refers to simulation with aerosol–radiation interactions off.

for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (Dee et al., 2011). The data are available at a horizontal resolution of approximately 0.25°, which is comparable to the resolution of domain 1.

The in situ hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentration dataset is obtained from the website of the Ministry of Environmental Protection (source: http://106.37.208.233:20035, last access: 15 November 2015) (Zhang and Cao, 2015). In total, 58 stations are within domain 2. Their locations are denoted as colored circles in Fig. 1c.

3 Results

During 14–16 December 2013, there is a rare continuous rainstorm over most of Guangdong Province. The 3-d accumulated rainfall at most stations exceeds 100 mm (Fig. 2a), which may benefit winter and spring water usage, promote air cleaning, and reduce forest fire risk. The mid-tropospheric flow pattern, with a ridge to the northeast of the Tibet Plateau and a trough over the west of the Indo-China Peninsula, facilitates cold and dry air in moving southward and moist and warm air in moving northward (Fig. S2). At the surface, prevailing northeasterlies blow over East China (Fig. 1b), indicating a strong monsoonal flow (Chang et al., 2006). The passage of a cold front results in a sharp temperature gradient with a northwest–southeast tilt (Fig. S3). Deep stratiform and convective clouds form at the cold and warm sides, respectively, as shown in the natural-color satellite image captured by NASA’s Terra (Fig. 1a). The simulated cloud top temperature over Guangdong Province is lower than $-15 ^\circ$C, with the minimum reaching about $-35 ^\circ$C (Fig. S1b). Before the study case occurs, Guangdong Province is affected by severe pollution on 13 December. The hourly averaged PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeds 100 µg m$^{-3}$ over the delta region (Fig. 1c). The north of Guangdong, including Zhejiang, Jiangsu, and Anhui provinces, is blanketed in grey haze (Fig. 1a). The column-integrated PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reaches up to 2000 µg m$^{-2}$ during 14–16 December 2013 in the CTL run (Fig. 1b). The pattern configurations of circulation and pollutant are favorable for aerosol transport to the south of China. In the analysis, we firstly examine the total effects and relative importance of ARI and ACI for this extreme rainfall event in Sect. 3.2. The contribution of local and remote aerosol emissions to their total impact is disentangled in Sect. 3.3. In Sect. 3.4, the sensitivity of precipitation to aerosol emissions is explored.
3.1 Model evaluation compared with observational datasets

The model replicates the trough over the west of the Indo-China Peninsula and the sub-tropical high over the South China Sea and the northwestern Pacific (Fig. S2). The pattern correlation of 500 hPa geopotential height reaches 0.99 at the 99 % significance level. Modeled PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is evaluated by comparing with the 58 in situ station data in Guangdong Province. The spatial distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is generally reproduced with highs over megacities and lows over the surrounding areas (Fig. S4). The failure to capture the hotspot near the estuary may be related to the coarse grid resolution or uncertainty of emissions. In the time series, both the simulation and observation show a dramatically decreasing trend of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration after the rainfall initiated (Fig. S5). The model generally replicates the spatial distribution and time evolution of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration with some underestimation during the first 2 days. This bias may underestimate the aerosol impact on rainfall.

The precipitation from model output and satellite retrievals is interpolated to the locations of in situ observation through bilinear interpolation (Fig. 2a–c). The CMORPH satellite data, which are often used to evaluate model rainfall performance, underestimate the amount, particularly near the coast. Previous studies have reported that this product substantially underestimates heavy rainfall (Q. Jiang et al., 2018; Qin et al., 2014) and cold season rainfall (Xie et al., 2017). By contrast, the control simulation yields a higher pattern correlation of 0.50–0.55 and a lower bias of 5 %–20 % (Fig. 2f). The time series of the average rain rate over Guangdong Province reveals a remarkable lasting rain rate of 2.5 mm h$^{-1}$ on the second and third days when satellite data distinctly underestimate (Fig. 2d). The model produces a comparable magnitude to the observations with an earlier peak near 08:00 Z on 15 December. The initial time and physics schemes in different scenarios. Because the results on 16 December are put in Fig. S7. Distinct effects of aerosols appear on the second day when the rainfall peaks (Fig. 3d), although aerosol concentration peaks occur on the first day (Fig. 4a). This suggests that the aerosol impact is modulated by other factors (e.g., meteorological conditions). On 15 December, the domain-averaged precipitation increases by 1.4 mm. Interestingly, a dipole pattern is manifested by a reduction up to 19.4 mm over northern Guangdong Province and an increase up to 33.7 mm over southern Guangdong Province (particularly near the Pearl River estuary). This means different responses of precipitation in the warm and cold sectors (Fig. S3), indicating that the impact of aerosols on deep convective and stratiform clouds differs in this extreme rainfall case. To address this issue, two regions, R1 (22–24° N and 112–115° E) and R2 (24–25° N and 110–112° E), are selected for the following analysis and are denoted by red and green boxes, respectively (Fig. 3).

The average precipitation increases by 16.7 % (+7.8 mm) over R1, while it decreases by 10.2 % (−4.4 mm) in R2. The contribution from ARI and ACI over R1 (R2) is −1.3 mm (−0.7 mm) and +9.3 mm (−3.7 mm), respectively. Positive (negative) indicates an increase (a decrease). It is evident that the net aerosol effects are dominated by ACI for both convective and stratiform cloud regimes. The subsequent analysis of this study is focused on precipitation enhancement in the warm sector, which covers most advanced city clusters, including Hong Kong, Shenzhen, and Guangzhou. The responses of stratiform clouds to increased aerosols in the cold sector are discussed in Sect. 4. Compared with the CTL and ARIOff runs, the CLEAN run yields an analogous time evolution, with less rainfall during the peak time from 06:00 Z on 15 December to 10:00 Z on 16 December (Fig. S8). The next question that arose is how ACI can increase the rainfall amount in the warm side.

Figure 4a shows the time–height cross section of cloud fraction (shading) and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (contour) in the CTL run. The cloud fraction is calculated as the sum of cloud water, cloud ice, and snow following Hong et al. (1998). Most cloud fraction concentrates below 8 km on the first day, associated with a small amount of rainfall. Deep convection, with a cloud base at approximately 500 m and cloud top extending to 16 km, appears during 15–16 December, when peak rainfall occurs. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in Fig. 4a portrays a sharp contrast before and after the rainfall peak. After the rainfall peaks at near 07:00 Z in Fig. S8, aerosols are washed out dramatically. However, before the peak, PM$_{2.5}$ concentration decreases gradually from 40 µg m$^{-3}$ near the surface to 5 µg m$^{-3}$ near 7 km above the ground. With aerosols acting as cloud condensation nuclei, more cloud droplets are formed with a smaller radius (Fig. 5a). Smaller cloud droplets evaporate, associated with a reduction of cloud water (Fig. 6a), resulting in a cooling effect and weaker updraft (Fig. 5g). Thus, the cloud fraction decreases before the peak, particularly below 2 km. By
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contrast, a prominent cloud fraction band appears near 4 km throughout the peak period (Fig. 4b). The increase in cloud fraction extends to the upper troposphere, near 14 km, corresponding to the increase in ice cloud shown in Fig. 5d. As a result, the deep convection is enhanced, associated with more rainfall during peak time. The similarity of cloud fraction changes between Fig. 4b and c suggests that ACI dominates the total aerosol effect in this event, which is consistent with the previous discussion.

Figure 5a–c present the aerosol effects on cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC; shading) and cloud effective radius (contour). With aerosols, CDNC increases by 5.5 times accompanied by reduced cloud effective radius near 2 km from 00:00 Z on 14 December to 00:00 Z on 15 December, which reduces the efficiency of collision–coalescence between cloud droplets into raindrops (Rosenfeld, 2000; Twomey, 1977). This is characterized by less rain water formed in Fig. 6c, indicating suppression of the warm rain. Figure 6a shows more cloud water formed at 2–6 km due to higher supersaturation. The consumption of moisture and energy limits the formation of low cloud below. When droplets nucleate due to activation of enormous aerosols, there is abundant latent heat release by enhanced condensation below the 0 °C isotherm line. This is also reported in Fan et al. (2018), in which the mechanism responsible for latent heat release is from cloud water formation with ultrafine aerosols. This is called “warm-phase invigoration” in their study, which is different from “cold-phase invigoration” via suppression of the warm rain. Unlike their work, the warm rain is suppressed before 15:00 Z on 15 December (Fig. 6c) even though with strong latent heat release through cloud water formation. This is because the conver-
Figure 5. Differences with time (abscissa; from 00:00 Z on 14 December to 02:00 Z on 17 December) and height (ordinate) in (a) cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC, shading; $10^7$ kg$^{-1}$) and cloud effective radius (contour; µm), (d) cloud ice number concentration (CINC, shading; $10^5$ kg$^{-1}$) and ice cloud effective radius (contour; µm), and (g) vertical velocity (shading; cm s$^{-1}$) and latent heating (contour; K d$^{-1}$) averaged over R1 between CTL and CLEAN. (b, e, h) Same as (a, d, g) but for differences between CTL and ARIoff. (c, f, i) Same as (a, d, g) but for differences between ARIoff and CLEAN. For CINC and ice cloud effective radius, only cloud ice is considered. Zero-value contour lines are omitted and negative values are dashed.

sion of cloud droplets into raindrops is inversely proportional to cloud droplet numbers with a two-moment bulk scheme using autoconversion parameterization (Khairoutdinov and Kogan, 2000). Thus, the precipitation increase is because of enhancement of cold rain. Both cloud ice number concentration and its effective radius increase significantly between 06:00 and 15:00 Z on 15 December. Moreover, the mass and number of ice particles including cloud ice, snow, and graupel increase drastically during this period. A distinct latent heat release center appears above the 0°C isotherm line, which is even stronger than the condensational heat below. These two peaks in aerosol-induced diabatic heating are also discussed in Wang et al. (2014) for oceanic deep convection. However, the peaks are much higher at 3 and 7 km because the convection occurs over the land. The latent heat from these two peaks will thus intensify convective strength. These findings suggest that the cloud-cold process plays a dominant role in the precipitation increase before 15:00 Z on 15 December. The latent heat released for each process, which is calculated as the product of mass conversion between different phases and its associated latent heat release rate in the model, is further analyzed for both cold and warm clouds (Fig. S9). The salient latent heat changes mentioned above in Fig. 5g are caused by deposition in cold clouds (Fig. S9e). Figure S10 shows the time–height distribution of mass and number concentration for different hydrometers in the control run. It should be noted that the magnitude of snow and graupel mass is 10 times that of rain water. There are affluent snow and graupel before 15:00 Z on 15 December located where the distinct changes in depositional heat appear. With aerosols, the snow and graupel grow at the expense of ice crystals and rainwater via aggregation and riming, respectively (Fig. 6c–e). The former refers to the collision and coalescence of ice crystals to form snow, while the latter represents the accretion of cloud drops and raindrops by snow and graupel to form larger graupels. These are the main processes of converting liquid mass to solid phase, contributing to additional precipitating particles. However, the latent heat due to riming is relatively small (Fig. S9f) because the latent heat release per unit for freezing ($334$ kJ kg$^{-1}$) is only $1/8$ of that for deposition ($2256$ kJ kg$^{-1}$). The latent heat release due to deposition in cold cloud is stronger than that due to conden-
sation in warm cloud even though the latter is also important (Fig. S9a and e). In deep convection, the strong updraft usually makes the atmospheric condition saturated for water which is supersaturated with respect to ice. With the presence of snow and graupel (Fig. S10), the formation of ice particles is enhanced, accompanied by additional latent heat release due to deposition (Figs. 6 and S9). After 15:00 Z on 15 December, most of the snow and graupel sedimentate. Compared with depositional heating, the condensational heating plays a dominant role in intensifying convective strength. The rain water increases through accretion of added cloud droplets, leading to precipitation increases. These findings highlight two different processes and mechanisms in the precipitation increase before and after 15:00 Z on 15 December. The dominant sources of latent heat release are depositional heating in the former case (cold rain enhancement) and condensational heating in the latter (warm rain enhancement). Due to latent heat release with aerosols, the vertical motion is boosted (Fig. 5g), which further enhances the supersaturation and latent heat release. Via microphysics–dynamics feedback, the convection is intensified and precipitation increases. This feedback has been widely discussed in ACI effects on deep convection (Fan et al., 2018; Koren et al., 2015; Tao et al., 2012).

To further delineate the mechanism of this microphysics–dynamics feedback, the moisture budget tool is implemented based on the hourly model output. The atmospheric moisture balance is expressed as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = E - P + \text{MFC}, \]  

where \( Q \) is the column-integrated water vapor in the atmosphere, \( t \) is time, \( E \) is evaporation, \( P \) is precipitation, and MFC is the vertically integrated moisture flux convergence.

Evaporation is small in areas of intense precipitation and saturation (Banacos and Schultz, 2005). The column-integrated water vapor changes are small (figure not shown); thus, precipitation is balanced by MFC as follows:

\[ P \approx \text{MFC}. \]  

MFC can be further divided into two terms as

\[ -\frac{1}{g} \int_{0}^{p_s} \nabla \cdot (qV_h) \, dp = -\frac{1}{g} \int_{0}^{p_s} q \nabla \cdot V_h \, dp - \frac{1}{g} \int_{0}^{p_s} V_h \cdot \nabla q \, dp, \]  

where the first term on the right-hand side is the horizontal moisture convergence (hereafter CON); the second term is the horizontal advection of water vapor (hereafter ADV). Thus, the precipitation is balanced by the sum of CON and ADV as

\[ P \approx \text{MFC} = \text{CON} + \text{ADV}. \]

The spatial distributions of column-integrated MFC (shading) and moisture flux (vector) between CTL and CLEAN on 15 December are displayed in Fig. 7a. The MFC pattern is in good agreement with precipitation differences in Fig. 3d, suggesting the validity of the derivation of Eq. (2). The MFC change averaged over R1 is +8.1 mm, which is comparable to +7.8 mm in precipitation difference. The moisture flux is enhanced over R1 driven by strong convergence. These flows converged in the estuary and near the coast with a magnitude of approximately 25 kg m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). The overall pattern of CON is broadly consistent with that of MFC, which indicates that the MFC changes are mainly driven by CON changes (Fig. S11a). The ADV changes contribute about 35 % of MFC changes over the analysis region, but are much more scattered than CON changes (Fig. S11c).

These findings reveal the prominent effects of aerosols on rainfall amount over the estuary and near the coast in this extreme rainfall event. The pattern of precipitation and associated cloud-related variables in total effects bears a resemblance to that in ACI effects, which allows us to ascertain that ACI dominates. By applying the moisture budget tool, we confirm the microphysical–dynamic feedback of ACI effects on invigorating convection.

### 3.3 Local versus remote aerosol emission effects

We disentangle the roles and relative importance of local (i.e., domain 2, which denotes Guangdong Province) and remote (i.e., domain 1, which denotes outside Guangdong Province) aerosols in the precipitation increase in the estuary during this extreme rainfall event. Figure 8a and b show the differences in the time–height cross section of cloud fraction (shading) and PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration (contour) induced by the effects of local and remote emissions, respectively. With local emissions, the aerosol concentration mainly increases within the PBL below 2 km before 12:00 Z on 15 December (Fig. 8b). The accumulated aerosols are washed out quickly after the rainfall initiated. By contrast, with remote emissions, higher aerosol concentration extends to approximately 8 km after 03:00 Z on 14 December (Fig. 8a). Two peaks near 0.5 and 5 km above ground are centered near 10:00 and 18:00 Z on 14 December, respectively, indicating a strong transportation of aerosols. The earlier peak, near 5 km, is caused by stronger wind speed in the free atmosphere compared with that within the PBL. Moreover, the aerosol concentration lasts for longer before decreasing dramatically until the peak rainfall starts at 07:00 Z on 15 December, because aerosols are transported continuously from the north. The cloud fraction reduction is coherent with aerosol concentration peaks, indicating that increased aerosols lead small cloud droplets to evaporate. Moreover, more deep cloud formation consumes moisture and energy. The similar cloud fraction changes between Fig. 8a and 4b indicate the dominant effects of aerosols from remote areas. The CDNC (shading) increases in both D1 and D2 runs compared with the
Figure 6. Differences with time (abscissa) and height (ordinate) in (a) cloud water (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg$^{-1}$) and CDNC (contour; $10^7$ kg$^{-1}$), (b) cloud ice (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg$^{-1}$) and CINC (contour; $10^4$ kg$^{-1}$), (c) rain (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg$^{-1}$) and rain number concentration (contour; $10^5$ kg$^{-1}$), (d) snow (shading; $10^{-4}$ kg kg$^{-1}$) and snow number concentration (contour; $10^3$ kg$^{-1}$), and (e) graupel (shading; $10^{-4}$ kg kg$^{-1}$) and graupel number concentration (contour; $10^3$ kg$^{-1}$) between CTL and CLEAN averaged over R1.

Figure 7. Differences in column-integrated flux convergence (MFC; shading; mm) and moisture flux (vector; kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) between (a) CTL and CLEAN, (b) ARIoff and CLEAN, and (c) CTL and ARIoff on 15 December. Numbers in the top-left corner of each panel represent values averaged over R1.
CLEAN run before the rainfall peak (Fig. S12a and b). However, the discernible cloud effective radius (contours) decrease appears only in the D1 run and is attributed to a stronger CDNC increase. Correspondingly, the CINC and ice cloud effective radius show more remarkable increases in the D1 run during the rainfall peak time (Fig. S12c and d). The associated latent heat and vertical velocity are much stronger in the D1 run compared with that in the D2 run (Fig. S12e and f). Interestingly, most of the latent heat release with local emissions occurs below the 0 °C isotherm line. Figure 9 shows the changes in mass and number of different hydrometeors with remote aerosol emissions. There are plenty of snow and graupel formations at the expense of rain water when precipitation increases before 15:00 Z on 15 December, indicating an intensified cold rain process. The corresponding latent heat release is dominated by deposition in cold cloud (Fig. S13). By contrast, after 15:00 Z on 15 December, rain water increases significantly during precipitation enhancement, representing stronger warm rain processes. The associated latent heat release is due to condensational heating in warm cloud concentrated below the 0 °C isotherm line. The patterns of changes in hydrometeors and latent heat in D1 assembles that in the CTL run, further confirming the driving factor of remote aerosol emissions. The distribution of time–height changes in hydrometeors and latent heat between D2 and CLEAN runs are shown in Figs. S14 and S15, respectively. As aerosols from local emissions are concentrated near the surface and are washed out dramatically once the rain initiated, much less cloud water formed than that in the D1 run. More rain water is formed by accretion of cloud droplets, which indicates that intensified warm rain is the only reason for the precipitation increase with local aerosol emissions. As a result, the average precipitation increase over R1 on 15 December is 7.3 mm with remote aerosol emissions, much greater than that with local aerosol emissions (3.1 mm, Fig. 10c and d). These findings suggest that both the effects of local and, to a much greater extent, remote aerosol emissions contribute to precipitation increases.

3.4 Ten-fold anthropogenic emissions and chemical ICs and BCs

The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (contours) in the 10-fold aerosol emission simulation (10×) increases significantly to approximately 10 times that in CTL, indicating a linear relationship from emissions to aerosol concentration (Fig. S16). The pattern changes in cloud fraction and aerosol concentration in Fig. S16 are similar to that in Fig. 4b, but with a much greater magnitude. The CDNC (shading) increase and cloud effective radius (contour) reduction in Fig. S17a are also more pronounced than those in Fig. 5a. CDNC noticeably decreases below 1.5 km but increases substantially from 1.5 to 4 km before 04:00 Z on 14 December, associating with a smaller radius. On the one hand, smaller cloud droplets below 1.5 km tend to evaporate. On the other hand, more cloud droplets are activated due to aerosol-induced higher supersaturation above. The consumption of water and energy above leads to a further reduction in low cloud (Fig. S18a). The involved latent heat and vertical velocity during the rainfall peak time (from 08:00 Z on 15 December to 10:00 Z on 16 December) in Fig. S17c exhibit a stronger increase associated with a higher altitude above the freezing level than those in Fig. 5c. Besides, a distinct weaker latent heat release associated with a negative vertical velocity anomaly appears below freezing level between 10:00 and 22:00 Z on 15 December. Figure S18 shows the changes in mass and number concentration of different hydrometeors in 10× simulation. The increases in snow and graupel between 10× and CLEAN are much larger than those between CTL and CLEAN, particularly before 15:00 Z on 15 December, indicating a more drastic cold rain in 10×. However, rain water shows a decrease during all the time instead of an increase after 15:00 Z in the CTL run when compared with that in the CLEAN run. This means the warm rain is suppressed much more strongly in the 10× simulation. With 10 times aerosol emissions, the aerosols lower the supersaturation much more strongly by activation to form much smaller cloud droplets. The rain water evaporates rather than increases by accretion of additional cloud droplets, associating with strong condensational cooling in warm cloud (Fig. S19a).
Figure 9. Differences with time (abscissa) and height (ordinate) in (a) cloud water (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg\(^{-1}\)) and CDNC (contour; $10^7$ kg\(^{-1}\)), (b) cloud ice (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg\(^{-1}\)) and CINC (contour; $10^4$ kg\(^{-1}\)), (c) rain (shading; $10^{-4}$ kg kg\(^{-1}\)) and rain number concentration (contour; $10^5$ kg\(^{-1}\)), and (d) snow (shading; $10^{-5}$ kg kg\(^{-1}\)) and snow number concentration (contour; $10^3$ kg\(^{-1}\)), and (e) graupel (shading; $10^{-4}$ kg kg\(^{-1}\)) and graupel number concentration (contour; $10^3$ kg\(^{-1}\)) between DI and CLEAN averaged over R1.

59.7 mm over the downstream region near the coastal region (Fig. 11b). The delay of early rain in the upstream area results in more rainfall with a stronger intensity within a more narrowed region in the downstream area. The average precipitation over Guangdong Province on 15 December decreases by 1.0 mm in 10× but increases by 1.4 mm in CTL compared with that in CLEAN. Ten-fold aerosol emissions produce a more polluted environment, with a PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration of approximately 300 µg m\(^{-3}\). Although abundant moisture is transported from the South China Sea (Fig. 1b), the aerosol loading may still surpass the optimal value for convective invigoration and thus suppress precipitation over Guangdong Province. Moreover, aside from suppressing the rainfall amount, excessive aerosols also have the potential to redistribute precipitation and increase its range in spatial distribution.

4 Summary and discussion

This study finds that aerosols significantly affect local extreme weather (i.e., torrential rainfall), invigorating deep convection, via ACI effects. This invigoration effect by aerosols has been discussed in both observation (Andreae et al., 2004; Koren et al., 2004) and model simulations (Khain et al., 2005; Storer and van den Heever, 2013). Most of these studies focused on mixed and cold processes, which are referred to as cold-phase invigoration. Fan et al. (2018) found that additional nucleation of cloud droplets can release abundant condensational heat below freezing level. More cloud water will form via condensation on the additional cloud droplets. This process will increase both warm rain and supercooled cloud water. Furthermore, the ice-related processes are enhanced, resulting in intensified convection. In response to increased aerosols, the precipitation is enhanced in the warm side between 03:00 Z on 15 December...
and 10:00 Z on 16 December. CDNC increases remarkably, reducing the size of cloud droplets. Additional cloud water forms with intensified condensational heating, leading to enhanced convection and increased precipitation. However, rain water decreases substantially before 15:00 Z on 15 December, indicating warm rain is suppressed, which is different to Fan et al. (2018). The source of enhanced latent heat release is dominated by deposition in cold cloud associated with an increase in snow and graupel, representing cold rain enhancement. Most snow and graupel fall as precipitation when the peak rainfall occurs after 15:00 Z. By contrast, the warm rain is enhanced, characterized by an increase in rain water associated with condensational heating in warm cloud via accretion of cloud droplets, which is consistent with Fan et al. (2018). The enhanced latent heat boosts the vertical motion, leading to higher supersaturation accompanied by stronger latent heat release. This feedback between micro-physical and dynamic processes results in more rainfall (Tao et al., 2007), up to 33.7 mm in our simulation. On average, ACI enhances precipitation over R1, while ARI reduces precipitation, offsetting the precipitation increase through ACI by 14%. The analysis of the moisture budget suggests that the precipitation increase is caused by strengthening MFC via increased moisture convergence. It is critical to explain why the precipitation increases appear near the Pearl River estuary and along the coast. Khain et al. (2008) found that aerosols generally suppress (invigorate) convection in relatively dry (moist) conditions. Fan et al. (2009) suggested that increased aerosols suppress (invigorate) convection under strong (weak) wind shear. These findings highlight the crucial roles of humidity and wind shear in modulating the convective invigoration effects in response to aerosols. The wind shear is estimated as the difference between the maximum and minimum total wind speeds at 0–10 km. We choose 10 km because the latent heat release, a key factor determining convection intensity and partly depending on wind shear, extends up to approximately 10 km (Fig. 5g). Figure S20 shows the spatial distribution of wind shear and column-integrated water vapor. The wind shear increases with the southeast–northwest tilt ranging from 35 to 80 m s$^{-1}$. The aerosol-induced convective invigoration effect appears over the region with relatively weak wind shear and high humidity. This invigoration effect under weak wind shear for cloud systems is described in Li et al. (2011).

Aerosol emissions are separated into those from Guangdong Province and those from elsewhere, named experiments D2 and D1, respectively, to represent the effects of aerosol concentration from local and remote emissions on this extreme rainfall event. The surface aerosol concentration from local emissions dilutes quickly with strong northerlies. Instead, aerosols from remote areas are imported persistently, extending to higher altitudes up to 8 km. The aerosol concentration is thus maintained at a relatively high level in the D1, invigorating convection. The resemblance of changes in different hydrometeors and latent heat between D1 and CTL further suggests the dominant role of remote aerosols. Interestingly, with local emissions, the precipitation enhancement is through intensified warm rain only. This is because far fewer aerosols stay in the atmosphere, with only local aerosol emissions once the rainfall is initiated. The effect of nucleated cloud droplets on reducing supersaturation and size of droplets is much weaker. Thus, the rain water is increased by accretion of cloud droplets, enhancing the warm rain. The precipitation averaged over R1 on 15 December increases by 7.3 mm from the effects of remote aerosol emissions but only 3.1 mm from local aerosol emissions. These results imply the potential influence of remote aerosol emissions on extreme synoptic weather events. However, this crucial issue remains insufficiently explored.

A 10-fold emission experiment shows a similar pattern with CTL but with a much stronger signal. Our further analy-
sis of hydrometeors and latent heat reveals that the main reason for the precipitation increase is the intensified cold rain. The warm rain is suppressed almost all the time. Excessive aerosols lead to more precipitation increases, up to 59.7 mm, which are much larger than the 33.7 mm from CTL. However, the precipitation increase is limited to a more narrowed region along the coast in the downwind area. As discussed above, the average precipitation over Guangdong Province shows a decrease in CTL but an increase in CTL when compared with that in CLEAN. These opposite changes indicate that aerosol concentration in $10^x$ exceeds the optimal aerosol loading for convective invigoration and thus suppresses the rainfall amount instead.

The effect of ACI on clouds is strongly regime based (Gryspeerdt and Stier, 2012). The mechanism of the precipitation reduction over R2 (cold sector) is also discussed. Figure S21 shows the distribution of time–height mass and number concentration of different hydrometeors from the CTL run. There are lots of ice particles extending up to 16 km, indicating deep stratiform clouds, which is consistent with low cloud top temperature in Fig. S1b. The cloud base is higher than that over R1, characterized by smaller low-level cloud water on 15 December when strong aerosol impact occurs. This can also be suggested from low convective available potential energy (not shown) and surface temperature (Fig. S3). With aerosols, more cloud droplets nucleate on which water can condensate. Additional cloud water is subsequently formed near to 4 km (Fig. S22a), accompanied by reduced supersaturation. The reduction in rain water and graupel suggests that both the warm rain and cold rain are suppressed, associated with less condensational and depositional heat release, respectively (Fig. S23). The typical response of deep stratiform clouds to aerosols is via collision processes (Fan et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2015), so that the ACI effect is derived by subtracting ARI from total aerosol effects. To check the nonlinearity between ARI and ACI effects is difficult by turning off the ACI effect. The problem is how to set the background concentration of the cloud droplet number while keeping the ARI the same as in the control run. This means that we could only prescribe the CDNC rather than adjust the emission or aerosol concentration. However, the ACI effect is very sensitive to the number we set (Gustafson et al., 2007). Our findings are limited to a case study; nevertheless, this case is representative of the remarkable aerosol effect on an extreme rainfall event through ACI (both convective and stratiform clouds). This finding provides more evidence of the importance of considering aerosols in extreme weather forecasting (i.e., torrential rainfall). More importantly, aerosols from remote emission sources exhibit the potential to modify extreme weather through transboundary air pollution. It pinpoints that we need to be careful about the spatial scale when looking at the effect of aerosols on extreme weather events. Aerosols substantially redistribute the rainfall amount, with crucial implications for the availability and usability of water resources in different regions of the world (Li et al., 2011). High aerosol concentration may there-
fore intensify both flood and drought by invigorating convection.
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