Atmospheric
Chemistry
and Physics

Effects of AIR pollution on cardiopuLmonary disEaSe in urban and peri-urban reSidents in Beijing: protocol for the AIRLESS study

Yiqun Han[1,2], Wu Chen[1], Lia Chatzidiakou[3], Anika Krause[3], Li Yan[2], Hanbin Zhang[2], Queenie Chan[2,4],
Ben Barratt[2], Rod Jones[3], Jing Liu[5], Yangfeng Wu[6,7], Meiping Zhao[8], Junfeng Zhang[9], Frank J. Kelly[2], Tong Zhu[1],
and the AIRLESS team[+]

[1]BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
[2]Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health,
Imperial College London, London, UK
[3]Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
[4]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC Centre for Environment and Health,
Imperial College London, London, UK
[5]Department of Epidemiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Institute of Heart,
Lung and Blood Vessel Diseases, Beijing, China
[6]Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China
[7]The George Institute for Global Health at Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China
[8]College of Chemistry, Peking University, Beijing, China
[9]Duke Global Health Institute, Duke Kunshan University, Nanjing, China
[+]A full list of authors appears at the end of the paper.

Correspondence: Tong Zhu (tzhu@pku.edu.cn) and Frank Kelly (f.kelly@imperial.ac.uk)

Abstract. Beijing, as a representative megacity in China, is experiencing some of the most severe air pollution episodes in the world, and its fast urbanization has led to substantial urban and peri-urban disparities in both health status and air quality. Uncertainties remain regarding the possible causal links between individual air pollutants and health outcomes, with spatial comparative investigations of these links lacking, particularly in developing megacities. In light of this challenge, Effects of AIR pollution on cardiopuLmonary disEaSe in urban and peri-urban reSidents in Beijing (AIRLESS) was initiated, with the aim of addressing the complex issue of relating multi-pollutant exposure to cardiopulmonary outcomes. This paper presents the novel methodological framework employed in the project, namely (1) the deployment of two panel studies from established cohorts in urban and peri-urban Beijing, with different exposure settings regarding pollution levels and diverse sources; (2) the collection of detailed measurements and biomarkers of participants from a nested case (hypertensive) and control (healthy) study setting; (3) the assessment of indoor and personal exposure to multiple gaseous pollutants and particulate matter at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution with validated novel sensor technologies; (4) the assessment of ambient air pollution levels in a large-scale field campaign, particularly the chemical composition of particulate matter. Preliminary results showed that there is a large difference between ambient and personal air pollution levels, and the differences varied between seasons and locations. These large differences were reflected on the different health responses between the two panels.

1 Background

Air pollution has been widely recognized as a major risk factor for human health, especially for cardiopulmonary morbidity and mortality. According to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study, exposure to ambient particulate matter of

aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5\,\mu m$ (PM$_{2.5}$) contributed to more than 4 million premature deaths worldwide annually, with China suffering the greatest health burden (1.08 million attributed deaths) (WHO, 2017). Chinese megacities, including Beijing, and their surrounding areas have high population densities and some of the highest air pollution concentrations in the world (Kelly and Zhu, 2016; Parrish and Zhu, 2009), with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations regularly exceeding World Health Organization air quality guidelines (Liu et al., 2016). However, the disease burden estimates in China are based almost entirely on epidemiological studies undertaken in Europe and North America, where concentrations and mixtures of air pollution in urban settings are likely to differ considerably between western and Chinese cities. It is unclear if health risks relating to poor air quality can simply be transcribed from one setting to the other (Burnett et al., 2014).

The rapid urbanization process, especially in some Chinese megacities, such as Beijing, has resulted in substantial urban and peri-urban disparities. This is reflected not only in health status due to differences in social economics and health services (Li et al., 2016), but also in the spatial contrast in air pollution in the greater Beijing area (Zhao et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2011). These contrasts in air pollution are partly driven by the variation in energy use (e.g. in winter, urban areas are dominated by centralized gas heating systems, while traditional biomass and coal stoves remain the key emission source for heating and cooking in peri-urban areas) and provide a unique opportunity to investigate their health impacts on local residents. Such comparative targeted investigations are however largely lacking to date, especially in rapidly developing countries such as China.

In light of these concerns, a consortium of UK and Chinese researchers developed Air Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese megacity Research Programme (APHH) (Shi et al., 2019). APHH includes four complementary research themes: (I) sources and emissions of urban atmospheric pollution, (II) processes affecting urban atmospheric pollution, (III) air pollution and health, and (IV) interventions and solutions. AIRLESS ("Effects of AIR pollution on cardiopuLmonary disEaSe in urban and peri-urban reSidents in Beijing") is nested within theme III of the APHH programme.

Based on two established cohorts in the urban and peri-urban area of greater Beijing, AIRLESS recruited two panels of non-smoking participants and completed four repeated follow-up clinical measurements during winter (2016) and summer (2017). Within the APHH programme, AIRLESS brings together a detailed integrated database of ambient, personal, and indoor measurements of a wide range of air pollutants and biomarkers, providing an unprecedented opportunity to test a variety of hypotheses on the adverse cardiopulmonary and metabolic effects of air pollution. Using these, the AIRLESS project is addressing several important research gaps that have been challenging to tackle due to methodological limitations of conventional air pollution epidemiology, as listed below.

a. Investigate the susceptibility of hypertensive individuals to the adverse effect of air pollution.

Hypertension and air pollution are the third and fourth leading risk factors of mortality in China (WHO, 2017). More specifically, age-specific hypertension prevalence in China is reported as being 13.0 %, 36.7 %, and 56.5 % among persons aged 20–44, 45–64, and ≥ 65 years, respectively (Gao et al., 2013). Air pollution, including household air pollution (HAP) from traditional biomass and coal stoves, is also acknowledged to be a strong determinant of blood pressure (Brook et al., 2010), supporting a hypothesis that air pollution directly and indirectly via hypertension has detrimental effects on human health. However, the interactive effects of hypertension and air pollution remain unclear (Sacks et al., 2011). AIRLESS aims to investigate this hypothesis using panel study design among participants with and without hypertension.

b. Establish reliable links between air pollution and health effects by reducing exposure misclassification.

Measurement of ambient air pollutants based on ground site observations and outputs from satellite and chemical transport models are often used as a proxy for personal exposure in epidemiological studies. However, accumulating evidence indicates that personal levels are poorly correlated with ambient levels due to variations of local sources, microenvironmental settings, and individual behavioural activities (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020). The difference between true exposure levels and ambient measurement is referred to as exposure misclassification, which might be larger in peri-urban areas where infrastructures are sparse and high levels of household air pollution (HAP) are common, thus biassing the estimation of exposure–response relationships (Steinle et al., 2013). AIRLESS takes advantage of the rapid advancement in low-cost sensors to provide highly resolved, validated personal exposure metrics (Chatzidiakou et al., 2019).

c. Differentiate source-related health effects of air pollution.

Humans are exposed to a complex mixture of gaseous and particulate pollutants emitted from a range of sources and/or arising from different chemical reactions. Although epidemiological studies worldwide have reported associations between reduced cardiorespiratory health and increased concentrations of air pollutants, such as particulate matter (PM), ozone (O$_3$), and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002; Shah et al., 2013), it is impossible to differentiate the health impacts of species highly correlated in the outdoor environment due to similar sources (i.e. NO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ both primarily emitted from traffic). Additionally, PM (or other source-related mixtures) pro-

duced by different sources may have different chemical compositions that would affect their toxicity (Kelly and Fussell, 2012). Research to date is yet to clearly define the differential toxicity of particle compositions, owing to the limited high-quality measurements of pollutant species applied in most epidemiological studies and often the high correlation between multiple pollutants (Han and Zhu, 2015). A further advantage of using detailed personal exposure measurements is that we can break the correlation between traffic-related pollutants (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020) to create reliable multi-pollutant health models that can identify causal links between sole pollutants and specific health responses.

d. Investigate the underlying mechanisms relating air pollution and health.

Although existing epidemiological evidence strongly supports a causal relationship between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and cardiopulmonary disease, gaps and uncertainties exist in our understanding of why and how this happens and what the implications may be for air pollution control (Brook et al., 2010). A number of pathways, such as oxidative stress (Lin et al., 2015; Gong et al., 2014), respiratory and systemic inflammation (Han et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2011), autonomic imbalance, and vascular dysfunction (Huang et al., 2012) have been suggested as mediators of the cardiopulmonary effects of air pollution. AIRLESS is one of the few human studies that has undertaken thorough examinations of the wide range of biomarkers to investigate the underlying mechanisms (Rajagopalan and Brook, 2012; Brook et al., 2010; Brook and Rajagopalan, 2009).

2 Methods and design

2.1 Aims and objectives

The overall aim of the AIRLESS project is to investigate the associations between exposure to multiple air pollutants and changes in health outcomes, with a focus on cardiopulmonary biomarkers in urban and peri-urban residents in Beijing. The specific objectives are as follows:

- to recruit two panels, each comprising of 120 participants from existing cohorts in urban and peri-urban Beijing;

- to establish infrastructure at urban and peri-urban sites to measure meteorological parameters and gaseous and particulate pollutant concentrations, including detailed chemical composition and size-fractional particles using state-of-the-art instrumentation;

- to use novel personal air quality monitors (PAMs) and portable instruments to assess personal and residential exposure to key ambient and household air pollutants;


Figure 1. Design scheme of AIRLESS.

- to develop a time–activity–location model using parameters collected with the PAMs as inputs to investigate the frequency, duration, and magnitude of each participant's personal exposure to identify high-risk daily-life activities

- to assess cardiopulmonary health in the two panels;

- to quantitatively compare the air-pollution-associated health responses between urban and peri-urban areas and between hypertensive and healthy participants, across winter and summer seasons.

2.2 Design and study population

The AIRLESS study design is shown in Fig. 1. The project is designed as a panel study with repeated clinical measurements in both winter and summer seasons. Two panels of urban and peri-urban participants were recruited from two existing cohorts. Intensive ambient air pollution monitoring campaigns were launched simultaneously close to the participants' residences at two urban locations and one peri-urban location in Beijing during winter (7 November–21 December 2016) and summer field campaigns (22 May–21 June 2017). Detailed descriptions of the ambient air pollution monitoring campaign and clinic examinations are described in Sect. 2.3 to 2.7.

The two existing cohorts approached to construct the AIRLESS panels are the Chinese Multi-provincial Cohort Study (CMCS) (Liu et al., 2004) from urban Beijing and the International Population Study on Macronutrients and BP (INTERMAP; Yan et al., 2020) in peri-urban Beijing.

CMCS was initiated in 1992 with the inclusion of 30 121 Chinese adults aged 35 to 64 years from 11 provinces in China. It was established with the aim to explore risk factors that contribute to chronic diseases' occurrence and progress, mainly focusing on cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases. One of the CMCS population samples resides

within the communities scattered around Peking University (PKU) Hospital, which is located north-west of the 4th Ring Road of Beijing.

The INTERMAP study is an epidemiological investigation aiming to clarify the role of multiple dietary factors in the aetiology of high blood pressure levels prevailing among mostly middle-aged and older individuals. The cohort comprised 4680 men and women aged 40–59 years from 17 diverse population samples in China, Japan, UK, and United States, with one sample from Pinggu District, a peri-urban area to the eastern end of Beijing with agriculture as the main sector of the local economy. All Pinggu participants reside in a number of local villages. INTERMAP is one of a few cohorts in peri-urban China with historical records on the pattern of energy use (Carter et al., 2020). The breadth and depth of high-quality data in both cohorts provide an excellent complement to the new data and bio-samples collected in AIRLESS.

To re-enrol 120 participants from CMCS and 120 participants from INTERMAP, new infrastructure was established for the clinical examination of participants at the Peking University Hospital (urban site) and at Xibaidian Village, Pinggu (peri-urban site), which are about 70 km apart (Fig. 2).

Based on the latest follow-up records for both cohorts, the available sample size of participants during AIRLESS recruitment period was 1252 (CMCS) and 177 (INTERMAP). Screening criteria for participant recruitment included personal factors, such as age, smoking status, health condition, and residential address (Table 1). After screening, the number of potential participants who met recruitment criteria were 1252 and 88 at the urban and peri-urban sites, respectively. Because the number of eligible participants of INTERMAP was insufficient due to the high prevalence of smoking in the existing cohort, we recruited a further 90 non-smoking participants from the surrounding villages that fit the same criteria. In total, the sample size of eligible participants from the peri-urban site was then 178. Potential participants were randomly contacted through telephone calls or face-to-face meetings to discuss the project and to make appointments for clinical examinations during the two intensive campaign periods. Final recruitment figures were 123 and 128 participants at the urban and peri-urban clinics respectively. A subgroup of 39 urban and 33 peri-urban participants were further selected for a pilot residential exposure monitoring deployment as described in Sect. 2.4. The detailed screening steps are shown in Fig. 3.

Upon recruitment, written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study commencement. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Peking University Health Science Centre, China (IRB00001052-16028), and the College Research Ethics Committee of King's College London, UK (HR-16/17-3901).

The following information was collected through a baseline questionnaire after enrolment:

- demographic information (e.g. gender, age, education, income)

- current and past domestic energy use patterns (e.g. types of fuels and stoves, frequency of cooking and heating stove use)

- building characteristics

- active and second-hand smoking history

- dietary habits (e.g. consumption of alcohol, coffee/tea, sugar beverage drinking, fried food, vegetables)

- sleep quality

- daily activity patterns (transportation, exercise, and potential exposure sources)

- major health conditions, events, and diagnoses of non-cardiovascular outcomes since the original enrolment

- regular medication or supplement usage.

2.3   Ambient exposure

A comprehensive dataset of ambient pollution metrics was collected in both seasons as part of Theme I, II, and III (AIRLESS) of the APHH research programme (Shi et al., 2019). Urban measurements were performed at two existing air quality monitoring stations with historical air pollution data, and peri-urban measurements were obtained from a newly established monitoring site adjacent to the clinic in Pinggu District. The urban and peri-urban clinics were both less than 500 m away from the nearby monitoring station, and most participants' residential addresses were in close proximity to the sites. The details of the three fixed stations are as follows:

- *Urban site PKU*. One of the urban monitoring sites is on the roof of a six-floor building on the PKU campus, namely the Peking University Urban Atmosphere Environment Monitoring Station (PKUERS) (Wang et al., 2018a), which is located 500 m north of the 4th Ring Road (GPS coordinates: 39.990, 116.313).

- *Urban site IAP*. The second urban site is located at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP) (Sun et al., 2012), 11 km south-east of the PKU site (GPS coordinates: 39.976, 116.378). A 325 m tall meteorological tower provided the opportunity for vertical measurements of air pollution.

- *Peri-urban site*. At peri-urban Beijing, new infrastructure was established for the intensive monitoring campaign at Xibaidian Village, Pinggu District, which is about 75 km north-east of the PKU site (GPS coordinates: 40.167, 117.047). Instruments were deployed on the roof of a one-storey building in the far north end of the village.

Figure 2. Locations of the two cohorts and three monitoring sites in urban and peri-urban Beijing. The figure is based on Google Maps © Google Maps.

Table 1. Recruitment criteria.

Inclusion
– $50 \leq$ age ≤ 75 years
– Non-smokers or those who have quit smoking longer than 3 years ago
– Hypertensive participants (clinical diagnosis*)
– Healthy participants (clinical diagnosis*)
Exclusion
– Diagnosed with disease history of any cardiovascular or metabolic disease, including hyperlipidemia, malignant tumour, coronary heart disease, cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, stroke, hepatitis A/B, leukaemia, biliary calculus, thyroid nodule, sick sinus syndrome or use of cardiac pacemaker, hyperthyroidism, hypothyroidism, multiple myeloma, rheumatoid arthritis, pancreatitis, reflux esophagitis, thyroidectomy

* Clinical diagnosis: systolic blood pressure (SBP) $> = 140$ mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $> = 90$ mm Hg occurred in two repeated measurements.


Figure 3. Screening steps for recruitment in AIRLESS. N refers to the sample size of CMCS cohort, and M refers to that of IN-TERMAP cohort; the number after letters N and M refers to the screening layer.

The same core instruments were deployed to all three sites (Table 2) with slight differences for certain pollutants between the sites. The collected measurements resulted in a comprehensive dataset of meteorological parameters, gaseous pollutants (CO, NO_x, SO_2, and O_3), and physical and chemical properties of PM. Daily samples of $PM_{2.5}$ (from 08:00 to 07:30 the next day) were collected on Teflon and quartz filters by medium- and high-volume samplers during the monitoring campaign periods and were analysed for elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC), SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^-, NH_4^+, Na^+, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, F^-, Cl^-, water-soluble organic compounds, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the laboratory. Details of the instrumentation were elaborated in the APHH programme overview (Shi et al., 2019).

Table 2. The matrix of exposure parameters in the AIRLESS study.

Exposure index	Parameters	Method/instrument	Resolution
Ambient exposure			
Particulate pollutants	$PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration	BAM(Pinggu)/TEOM 1400a (PKU)	Hourly
	BC mass concentration	MAAP (Pinggu)/AE33 (PKU)	Hourly
	Size distribution	SMPS	Hourly
	Online EC/OC	Sunset	Hourly
	Metal element	Xact	Hourly
	NR chemical composition	ACSM/ToF-ACSM	Hourly
	Water-soluble ions Water-soluble organic acid	Dionex ICS-2500/2000 Liquid chromatogram	Daily
	Metal element	Thermo X series ICP-MS	Daily
	PAHs	Agilent GC/MS	Daily
Gaseous pollutants	CO	NDIR/Thermo Model 48i	Minute
	NO_x	Chemiluminescence/ Thermo Model 42i	Minute
	SO_2	Fluorescence/Thermo Model 43c	Minute
	O_3	UV absorption/Thermo Model 49i	Minute
Meteorological parameters	Temperature, relative humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, wind direction	Met One	Minute
Personal exposure			
Particulate pollutants	PM_1, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} mass concentration	Optical particle counter (OPC)	20 s
Gaseous pollutants	CO, NO, NO_2, O_3	Electrochemical sensors	20 s
Meteorological parameters	Temperature	Thermocouple	1 min
	Relative humidity (RH) (%)	Electrical resistive sensor	1 min
Activity	Spatial coordinates	Global Positioning System (GPS)	1 min
	Background noise	Microphone	100 Hz
	Physical activity	Tri-axial accelerometer	100 Hz

2.4 Residential exposure

Residential exposure of subgroups from AIRLESS panels were measured during both winter and summer campaigns. At the urban site, measurements were conducted in the homes of participants ($N = 39$) who live within 100 m of the nearest main road. At the peri-urban site, a subgroup of participants ($N = 33$) were selected to be representative of the AIRLESS peri-urban panel regarding cooking and heating methods during winter. Residential exposure was measured only with residents' permission for home access and monitoring.

Two commercial portable real-time monitors, namely, a MA300/350 multi-wavelength aethalometer (Aethlabs,

USA) and MicroPEM v3.2 (RTI International, USA) were deployed for residential exposure measurements of black carbon and $PM_{2.5}$. Instruments were co-located with reference monitors in Beijing before and after the fieldwork. Operation of the instruments followed a strict QA/QC method to ensure data quality. Monitoring instruments were installed in the room where the participant spent most of their time, with a consideration for noise tolerance of the residents. The monitoring period for each participant's home was between 3 and 4 d prior to one clinical measurement in each season. Home visits took place only on the day of clinical visits so that the disturbance to participants' normal lives could be minimized.

2.5 Personal exposure

A key methodological strength of the AIRLESS project is the assessment of personal exposure to air pollution at a high spatial and temporal resolution. Taking advantage of recent advancements in sensor technology and computational techniques, a novel highly portable monitor (~ 400 g) was developed at the University of Cambridge (Fig. S1) and has been successfully applied by a panel of participants in the UK for the adverse effects of personal exposure on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations (Moore et al., 2016). The PAM operates autonomously and continuously and is almost completely silent. It incorporates multiple low-cost sensors of physical and chemical parameters, as listed in Table 2. The PAM has a battery life of 24 h and can be charged on a designated base station. Measurements are recorded at 1 min time resolution and stored internally on a secure digital card. The data are then transmitted to a secure server when the PAM is returned to the base station for daily charging (Chatzidiakou et al., 2019).

The characterization of the performance of the air quality sensors integrated in the PAM is presented in a previous publication (Chatzidiakou et al., 2019). Briefly, all PAMs were calibrated in two outdoor co-location deployments at the urban PKU site next to reference instrumentation for 1 month after the winter and summer deployments to participants. The performance of the NO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$ sensors was additionally characterized in an indoor microenvironment next to commercial instruments. Overall, the air pollution sensors showed high reproducibility (mean $R^2 = 0.93$, min–max: 0.80–1.00) and excellent agreement with standard instrumentation ($R^2 > 0.84$ for all sensors in winter, while $R^2 > 0.71$ in summer). Further work (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020) showed that the error of the PAM was negligible compared with the error introduced when deriving exposure metrics from fixed ambient monitoring stations close to the participants' residential addresses. Hence, novel sensing technologies such as the ones used here are suitable for collecting highly resolved personal exposure measurements in large-scale health studies.

In total 60 devices were deployed at the urban and peri-urban clinic sites, which enabled the recruitment of 30 participants from each site each week (Fig. S1). The PAM was deployed in an easy-to-use carry case for protection, and each participant was instructed to carry the PAM for 1 week of their normal daily life. No other interference was required by the participants than to place it in the base station each night for charging and data transmission. Participants were informed that the monitors utilize GPS technology and were reassured that this information would not be accessed in real time but only used at the end of the study to analyse overall spatial and temporal relationships of fully anonymized data.


Figure 4. Scheme of clinical examination of AIRLESS.

2.6 The time–activity–location model

The collection of auxiliary parameters, such as timestamped geo-coordinated measurements, background noise, and accelerometer readings, enables the classification of time–activity–location events with an automated algorithm. The algorithm is a progressive composite model that employs spatio-temporal clustering, rule-based models, and machine learning techniques. This enables the investigation of duration, frequency, and magnitude of personal exposure in different microenvironments in daily life and the estimation of activity-weighted exposure at the individual level, often used as a proxy for "dose" (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020). The classifications include core location categories ("home", "work", "other indoor static", "other outdoor static", "travel"), as well as activities ("cooking", "sleeping") and modes of transport ("walk", "cycle", "motorbike", "car/bus", "train/tube").

2.7 Clinical examination

Each participant was asked to complete a 7 d follow-up session in the winter and summer when the intensive air pollution measurement campaigns launched simultaneously (Fig. 4). Details of the clinical procedures and measurements are described below and listed in Table 3.

2.7.1 At Day 0

– Each participant was provided with a PAM and instructed to carry it with them during their daily activities and to keep it in the bedroom during night-time to obtain 1-week personal exposure measurements.

– Basic anthropometry measurements, such as weight, height, and hip and waist circumference, were obtained.

2.7.2 At Day 3 and 7 (90 min in clinic)

– Participants were asked to complete a follow-up questionnaire on their domestic fuel use, exposure, activities,

Table 3. Measurement plans for health outcomes in AIRLESS study.

Biological pathways	Sample/device	Health endpoints
Blood pressure and heart rate	Omron HEM 907	Systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, heart rate
Endothelial function	Pulse wave analyser	AP/AIx/ED/SEVR
Respiratory inflammation	Peak flow meter	PEF
	Exhaled breath	FE_{NO}
	EBC	pH
		Cytokines, e.g. IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, IFN-γ
Cardiovascular inflammation	Serum	CRP
		Cytokines, e.g. IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, IFN-γ
	Plasma	WBCs, neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes
Metabolic	Serum	TG, HDL, LDL, cholesterol
		Glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR
	Serum, urine	Untargeted/targeted metabolomic signatures
Oxidative stress	Urine	MDA, creatinine
	Plasma	DNA repair enzymes
Genetic-related pathways	Blood	Genetic and epigenomic profiles

AP: augmentation pressure. AIx: augmentation index. EBC: exhaled breath condensate. PEF: peak expiratory flow. FE_{NO}: fractional exhaled NO. IL: interleukin. IFN-γ: interferon gamma. TNFα: tumour necrosis factor alpha. WBCs: white blood cells. CRP: C-reactive protein. TG: triglyceride. HDL: high-density lipoprotein. LDL: low-density lipoprotein. HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance. MDA: malondialdehyde. ED: ejection duration. SEVR: subendocardial viability ratio.

medication use, and any sleep disturbance over the past 3 d.

- Three consecutive measurements of brachial artery blood pressure were taken using a digital automatic blood pressure gauge for each participant in a sitting position after resting for 5 min.

- Three consecutive measurements of vascular function were taken, including central (aortic) blood pressure, arterial stiffness parameters (augmentation pressure (AP), augmentation index (AI), ejection duration (ED), and the subendocardial viability ratio (SEVR)) for each participant in a supine position using a pulse wave analysis system developed by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Zhang et al., 2012).

- Each participant was provided with a peak flow meter (Williams Medical, UK) and was instructed to perform three consecutive peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurements every morning during the participation week together with self-reported respiratory symptoms in a diary card.

- 4 L of breath was collected in an aluminium air-sampling bag. Exhaled NO (FE_{NO}) was measured with a chemiluminescence nitrogen oxide analyser (model 42i; Thermo Scientific) at a constant flow rate of 150 mL/s.

- 1 mL of exhaled breath condensate (EBC) was collected using a Jaeger EcoScreen collector (Erich Jaeger, Friedberg, Germany) and was used for analysis of pH values and inflammatory cytokines.

- Each participant was provided with a 15 mL polypropylene tube and was instructed to collect the midstream of their first morning urine sample.

- Before the blood sample, collection participants were asked to fast overnight (> 12 h). All blood samples (2 mL plasma in EDTA-coated tube and 4 mL serum in uncoated glass tube) were collected by a nurse before 09:30 during the clinical visits.

Urine samples were stored at $-20\,^{\circ}$C and blood samples at $-80\,^{\circ}$C immediately after the collection or pre-treatment (such as centrifuge and sub-packing done in 2 h with samples placed on ice).

Counts of white blood cells (WBCs), neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes, red blood cells, and haemoglobin and platelets were measured immediately in the local clinic after blood collection. Levels of glucose-related parameters (fasting glucose, insulin, and homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)), lipid-related parameters (triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and total cholesterol (Chol)),

and C-reactive protein (CRP) were measured 1 month after the end of each campaign in the Anzhen Hospital in central Beijing. Further biochemical analyses included (1) multiple cytokines in EBC and the remaining blood samples, including interleukin 1 alpha (IL-1α), IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), and interferon gamma (IFN-γ); (2) concentrations of creatinine, malondialdehyde (MDA), and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) in urinary samples; (3) DNA repair enzymes in plasma samples; (4) high-throughput metabolomic analysis of both plasma and urine samples via gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC/MS); and (5) genome-wide association studies, also planned for the second stage analysis, whereby genetic profiles and epigenomic data will be measured based on whole blood samples.

2.8 Sample size and power calculations

One of the main analyses in this study is the associations between air pollutants and the changes in multiple cardiopulmonary biomarkers. Based on a sample size of 240 participants, we examine the minimum detectable effect of PM$_{2.5}$ on the four key health outcomes, namely systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), FE$_{NO}$, and WBCs, given the means and standard deviations (SDs) from previous studies (Dubowsky et al., 2006; Han et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2014). Figure 5 and Table S1 show the minimum detectable effects in cross-sectional and longitudinal settings, with varying within-participant correlation coefficients. The results suggest the assumed sample size ($n = 240$) will provide adequate power to detect the changes in these four key cardiopulmonary outcomes that are in line with the findings in previous studies (Dvonch et al., 2009; Han et al., 2016; Dubowsky et al., 2006). For example, assuming a within-participant SD of 7.0 mm Hg in SBP, for a SD increase in the level of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$, a two-sided F test at a significance level of 0.05 with a 80 % statistical power will be able to detect an increase of 1.25 unit in SBP in a cross-sectional setting and an increase of 0.53 and 0.34 unit in SBP in a longitudinal setting, with a within-participant correlation of 0.5 and 0.8 respectively.

2.9 Statistical analysis

In the AIRLESS project we aim to (1) examine the associations between multiple air pollutants and a wide range of cardiopulmonary changes; (2) compare the difference of biological changes in urban and peri-urban settings across seasons; (3) determine if these associations differ in potential susceptible participants, e.g. those with hypertension or other underlying cardiopulmonary disease.

A master database was built to link the data obtained from ambient, residential, and personal exposure to air pollutants, health outcomes, and baseline and follow-up questionnaires. Mixed linear effect models with distributed lag structures will be applied to examine the associations between air pollutants and health outcomes. The model will include a single random intercept for participant and assumed equicorrelation between all observations assigned to each participant. Multiple variables will be controlled in the model, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, medication usage, history of diseases, and day of week. Temperature and relative humidity (RH) will also be adjusted with a non-linear function integrating specific parameters determined by the minimum of Akaike information criterion (AIC). We will estimate the changes in biomarker concentration associated with each interquartile range increase in pollutant concentrations in the 24 h before the clinic visit, as well as the previous 1–7 d. To examine the effect of air pollutant on multiple biomarkers (e.g. metabolome and transcriptome), the false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p value will be applied to detect the statistical significance. Pathway enrichment analyses based on the changes in multiple biomarkers will be used to investigate potential mechanisms. To examine the difference of biological responses to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ between urban and peri-urban residents and between potentially susceptible participants and healthy controls, the stratified effect will be estimated by adding an interactive term of exposure and categorical variables of the tested group in the model. All statistical analysis will be performed using R Statistical Software (https://www.r-project.org/, last access: 30 November 2020).

3 Preliminary results

3.1 Demographics characteristics of urban and peri-urban participants

We recruited 251 participants (urban $= 123$, peri-urban $= 128$), and 218 of these participants (urban $= 102$, peri-urban $= 116$) completed all four visits at the end of the summer campaign. In total, 938 person-times clinical visits were collected, and the response rate was 83 % (102/123) and 91 % (116/128) for re-enrolment in the summer campaign at urban and peri-urban sites respectively (Table 4). The number of hypertensive and healthy participants was 104 and 147 respectively, with a comparable ratio between the two sites (χ^2 test, $p = 0.16$). The mean (SD) age of urban and peri-urban participants was 65.7 (4.4) years and 60.7 (5.5) years, respectively. The gender ratio was relatively balanced, with more females participating at both sites. Compared with peri-urban participants, urban residents had a lower BMI and a higher educational and income level at the baseline ($p < 0.05$). Most participants were non-smokers, with 24 (19.5 %) urban and 29 (22.7 %) peri-urban participants with a smoking history but who had quit at least 3 years ago. Peri-urban participants are

Figure 5. Statistical power as functions of the detectable effect and covariance structure for cardiopulmonary outcomes. Power curves are calculated by using a sample size of 240, within-participant correlation coefficients (as denoted by rho) of 0.5 and 0.8, and estimated SDs of 7.0, 5.2, 6.9, and 1.69 for outcomes SBP, DBP, FE_{NO}, and WBCs respectively. Changes in outcomes are reported with SD increase in exposure factor. SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FE_{NO}: fractional exhaled NO; WBCs: white blood cells.

Figure 6. Seasonal and spatial trend of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentration during AIRLESS campaigns.

Table 4. Statistic summary of demographic characteristics of urban and peri-urban participants.

	Unit	Urban	Peri-urban	P value*
Participant (winter)	N	123	128	
Participant (summer)	N	102	116	
Visit person-times				
All	N	450	488	
Winter	N	246	256	
Summer	N	204	232	
Participants statistics Continuous variables	Mean (standard deviation, SD)			
Age	Years	65.7 (4.4)	60.7 (5.5)	< 0.01
BMI	kg/m^2	24.8 (3.2)	26.4 (3.2)	< 0.01
WHR	NA	0.87 (0.05)	0.89 (0.04)	< 0.01
Participants statistics Categorical variables	N (percentage of total participants)			
Gender				
Male	No. (%)	58 (47.2)	51 (39.8)	0.26
Female	No. (%)	65 (52.8)	77 (60.2)	
Education				
High school and below	No. (%)	27 (22.0)	128 (100.0)	< 0.01
College and above	No. (%)	96 (78.0)	0 (0.0)	
Annual income				
< 20 000 RMB	No. (%)	8 (6.5)	67 (52.3)	< 0.01
≥ 20 000 RMB	No. (%)	111 (90.2)	53 (41.4)	
NA	No. (%)	4 (3.3)	8 (6.2)	
Smoking status				
Non-smoker	No. (%)	99 (80.5)	99 (77.3)	0.63
Past-smoker	No. (%)	24 (19.5)	29 (22.7)	
Second-hand smoking*				
Never	No. (%)	73 (59.3 %)	65 (50.8 %)	< 0.05
Past	No. (%)	30 (24.4 %)	26 (20.3 %)	
Now	No. (%)	19 (15.4 %)	37 (28.9 %)	
NA	No. (%)	1 (0.8 %)	0 (0 %)	
Cooking time				
< 1 h/day	No. (%)	64 (52.0 %)	48 (37.5 %)	< 0.05
> = 1 h/day	No. (%)	57 (46.3 %)	79 (61.7 %)	
NA	No. (%)	2 (1.6 %)	1 (0.8 %)	
Hypertension				
No	No. (%)	66 (53.7 %)	81 (63.3 %)	0.16
Yes	No. (%)	57 (46.3 %)	47 (36.7 %)	
Hypertension medication				
No	No. (%)	73 (59.3 %)	80 (62.5 %)	0.53
Yes	No. (%)	48 (39.0 %)	43 (33.6 %)	
NA	No. (%)	2 (1.6 %)	5 (3.9 %)	

* The significance of difference between the urban and peri-urban participants and the p value is determined based on Student's t test and a chi-squared test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. NA: not available.

Figure 7. Participant compliance with the study protocol for personal exposure measurements during the winter season. Panels **(a)** and **(b)** show participant recruitment during the winter season at the urban and peri-urban sites. Each line represents start and end dates of an individual participant. Each week about 30 participants participated at each site. Panels **(c)** and **(d)** show personal data capture rate after appropriate data cleaning. At both sites we collected $> 86\%$ of theoretic observations. Panels **(e)** and **(f)** show GPS data of 251 participants carrying 60 PAMs plotted on urban and peri-urban maps (blue). Home locations (red) mined with the time–activity model. The figure is based on Google Maps © Google Maps.

more likely exposed to second-hand smoking and cooking scenarios than urban residents.

3.2 Ambient concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ during study periods

Figure 6 shows the ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentration during AIR-LESS campaigns in winter and summer with a comparison between sites. A clear seasonal trend with a large variation of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was observed. Specifically, during winter, the mean (SD) daily concentrations were 132.3 (104.8) $\mu g\,m^{-3}$ and 87.4 (79.0) $\mu g\,m^{-3}$ at peri-urban and urban sites respectively, which were significantly higher than the corresponding concentrations in summer of 35.2 (15.0) and 45.1 (20.8) $\mu g\,m^{-3}$. The degraded ambient air quality and several high $PM_{2.5}$ pollution events in winter were due to the greater stagnation and weak southerly circulation suggested by synoptic-scale meteorological analysis (Shi et al., 2019). The number of days with concentrations exceeding the Chinese standard of 75 $\mu g\,m^{-3}$ was 29 and 19 during winter at peri-urban and urban sites respectively. The $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in the urban area was constantly lower than the peri-urban site during winter, but the trend was opposite in summer.

3.3 Completion of personal exposure during study periods

Regarding personal exposure, participants completed 3548 personal days' measurements (~ 3.5 million observations in 1 min time resolution). The participants showed high compliance with the protocol, with a mean capture rate of personal data of $> 86\%$ (Fig. 7c and d). The time–activity model showed that the peri-urban participants spent on average 90 % of their time indoors at home and less than 2 % of their time travelling (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020). The urban participants spent less time at home (84 %) and more time travelling (5 %) and covered a larger spatial area (Fig. 7e, f).

3.4 An illustrative example of exposure misclassification

A representative participant (U123) was selected to illustrate the concept of exposure misclassification in Fig. 8. Personal exposure measurements of participant U123 during the winter campaign are compared with data from the closest monitoring station to the participant's home location ($< 5\,km$). The time–activity model (Sect. 2.6) determined when the participant was located at home. The personal CO, NO, NO_2, and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations regularly exceeded the outdoor levels, indicating that strong indoor emission sources (such

Figure 8. Time series of the air pollution exposure of participant U123 in the AIRLESS project (heating season). Personal exposure measurements (blue) are compared to data from the closest monitoring station to the participant's home (red). Grey and white areas indicate the participant is outdoors or at home respectively (based on time–activity model).

as a gas stove) operated at regular times. The sources caused personal exposure up to 10 times higher than the ambient pollution levels. When no emission sources were active, the indoor CO and NO concentrations approached the outdoor concentrations, whereas the NO_2, O_3, and $PM_{2.5}$ were much lower than the outdoor concentrations, indicating the presence of indoor chemical sinks. In the case of ozone particularly, personal indoor exposure was up to 25 times lower than the ambient concentrations, due to the high indoor reactivity of the pollutant.

3.5 Seasonal and spatial pattern of the difference between personal and ambient exposure

The personal measurements show that there is a substantial exposure misclassification that could be introduced when using outdoor measurements as exposure metrics, particularly during the winter season. Overall, there were two distinctive profiles consistent between seasons: personal CO and NO levels were consistently higher than outdoor levels and showed a strong seasonal variation, with higher levels measured during the winter season. Conversely, NO_2, O_3, and $PM_{2.5}$ were significantly lower than outdoor levels in both

seasons and showed little ($PM_{2.5}$ and O_3) or no (NO_2) seasonal variation (Chatzidiakou et al., 2020). Figure 9 shows that the difference between personal and outdoor CO concentrations was much higher during winter, particularly in the peri-urban panel, indicating stronger sources within close proximity to these participants. In contrast, personal $PM_{2.5}$ was on average consistently lower than the ambient level at both sites, except for comparable levels at the rural site during the summer, possibly due to increased ventilation rates of the residents.

4 Discussion

China has undergone rapid transitions with regard to both air quality and public health in the last 3 decades. Driven by fast urbanization, metropolitan cities such as Beijing manifest a unique difference between urban and peri-urban areas regarding both health status (Li et al., 2016) and varying air pollution concentrations with diverse chemical composition (Zhao et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2011), which may, in part, be responsible for the different health responses of local residents. The burden of public health in China has also seen a marked decline in child mortality and infectious diseases, while cardiovascular disease (CVD) has emerged as the leading cause of death (Yang et al., 2013). Hypertension, as the leading risk factor of CVD in China, was reported with a prevalence of 23.4 % and 23.1 % in urban and rural residents in Chinese adults respectively (Wang et al., 2018b). Many studies investigated the association between short-term PM exposure and CVD-related outcomes in stratified analysis; however, it remains unclear whether hypertension is a significant modification factor (Sacks et al., 2011).

Given the severe air pollution and nationwide hypertension epidemic in China, AIRLESS sets to (a) investigate the interactive effects of air pollution and hypertension, (b) establish more reliable links between air pollution and health effects by reducing exposure misclassification, (c) differentiate source-related health effects of air pollution, and (d) investigate the underlying mechanisms relating air pollution and health. Several novel methodological elements strengthen the design of the AIRLESS study.

Firstly, the study deployed a state-of-the-art and validated PAM to improve the personal exposure assessment to multiple pollutants. The high compliance rate of the participants with the study protocol highlighted the feasibility of collecting personal exposure data at high spatio-temporal resolution matched with detailed health assessments. The preliminary results highlight a clear difference between personal and ambient exposure driven by individual activity patterns, meteorological factors, and the built environment. In line with previous literature, we show the large biases arising from the use of ambient measurements to represent personal exposure in most epidemiological studies and the potential of novel sensor technologies to revolutionize future human-based studies.

Figure 9. Box plots of ambient and personal air pollution levels in urban and peri-urban Beijing during the winter and summer campaigns. The white whisker box plots illustrate outdoor air pollution levels measured at the reference monitoring stations during the winter and summer campaigns. The blue box plots show the levels measured with 60 PAMs (dark and light blue for winter and summer respectively) deployed to 251 participants during the same periods. IQR: interquartile range; perc: percentile.

Secondly, time–activity–location patterns of individuals are important determinants of personal exposure, but due to the relative difficulty of collecting such information, they have rarely been taken into account in air pollution epidemiology. For the relatively sedentary participants of this panel study, the home environment was the major contributor to overall exposure and an important modifier of personal concentrations for all investigated air pollutant species. Exposure differences between the two panels were attributed partly to the variation in domestic energy use. For instance, in winter the urban building stock in China relies on centralized gas heating systems, while traditional biomass and coal stoves remain the key emission source for heating and cooking in peri-urban areas. However, the exposure variability between participants was larger than the variability between the two groups, stressing the need to go beyond current methodologies to estimate population exposure.

Lastly, panel studies might be the most suitable way to link intensive air monitoring campaigns for a wide range of pollutant species and personal exposure in different microenvironments, together with epidemiological studies of detailed biological changes in humans. Taking advantage of the simultaneously launched air monitoring campaigns, we successfully collected a rich set of data regarding both exposure and health outcomes. This provides a rare opportunity to investigate the effect of different pollutant species and the underlying biological pathways.

Altogether, the forthcoming outcomes of the AIRLESS project will enhance our understanding of the impact of environmental exposure on human health in a megacity and reinforce evidence-based policies at the appropriate scale that

in turn may greatly improve the health and quality of life of China's ageing population.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Peking University Health Science Centre, China, and College Research Ethics Committee of King's College London, UK. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study commencement.

Appendix A: Abbreviations

8-OHdG	8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine
AIC	Akaike information criterion
AP	Augmentation pressure
AIx	Augmentation index
APHH	Air Pollution and Human Health programme
BC	Black carbon
BMI	Body mass index
Chol	Total cholesterol
CO	Carbon monoxide
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CMCS	Chinese Multi-provincial Cohort Study
CRP	C-reactive protein
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
DOW	Day of week
EBC	Exhaled breath condensate
EC	Elemental carbon
ED	Ejection duration
FE_{NO}	Fractional exhaled NO
GBD	Global Burden of Disease
GC/MS	Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry
HAP	Household air pollution
HOMA-IR	Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance
HDL	High-density lipoprotein
IFN-γ	Interferon gamma
IL	Interleukin
IAP	Institute of Atmospheric Physics
INTERMAP	International Population Study on Macronutrients and BP
LC/MS	Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry
LDL	Low-density lipoprotein
MDA	Malondialdehyde
NO	Nitrogen oxide
NO_2	Nitrogen dioxide
O_3	Ozone
OC	Organic carbon
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PAM	Personal air monitors
PEF	Peak expiratory flow
PKU	Peking University
PM_1	Particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter $\leq 1\,\mu m$
$PM_{2.5}$	Particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5\,\mu m$
PM_{10}	Particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10\,\mu m$
RH	Relative humidity
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SEVR	Subendocardial viability ratio
TG	Triglyceride
TNFα	Tumour necrosis factor alpha
WBCs	White blood cells

Team list. Yiqun Han (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China; Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Wu Chen (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Lia Chatzidiakou (Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK), Li Yan (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Hanbin Zhang (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Yanwen Wang (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Yutong Cai (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Anika Krause (Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK), Wuxiang Xie (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China), Yunfei Fan (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Teng Wang (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Xi Chen (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Tao Xue (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Gaoqiang Xie (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China), Yingruo Li (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Pengfei Liang (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Aoming Jin (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China), Yidan Zhu (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China), Yan Luo (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China), Xueyu Han (Department of Epidemiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Institute of Heart, Lung and Blood Vessel Diseases, Beijing, China), Xinghua Qiu (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China), Queenie Chan (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London; Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Ben Barratt (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Majid Ezzati (Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Paul Elliott (Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Rod Jones (Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK), Jing Liu (Department of Epidemiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Institute of Heart, Lung and Blood Vessel Diseases, Beijing, China), Yangfeng Wu (Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Beijing, China; The George Institute for Global Health at Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China), Meiping Zhao (College of Chemistry, Peking University, Beijing, China), Junfeng Zhang (Duke Kunshan University, Nanjing, China), Frank J. Kelly (Environmental Research Group, MRC Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK), Tong Zhu (BIC-ESAT and SKL-ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China).

References

Brook, R. D. and Rajagopalan, S.: Particulate matter, air pollution, and blood pressure, J. Am. Soc. Hypertens, 3, 332–350, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jash.2009.08.005, 2009.

Brook, R. D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C. A.,, Brook, J. R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux, A. V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R. V., Mittleman, M. A., Peters, A., Siscovick, D., Smith, S. C., Whitsel, L., and Kaufman, J. D.: Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association, Circulation, 121, 2331–2378, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181dbece1, 2010.

Brunekreef, B. and Holgate, S. T.: Air pollution and health, Lancet, 360, 1233–1242, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8, 2002.

Burnett, R. T., Pope, C. A., Ezzati, M., Olives, C., Lim, S. S., Mehta, S., Shin, H. H., Singh, G., Hubbell, B., Brauer, M., Anderson, H. R., Smith, K. R., Balmes, J. R., Bruce, N. G., Kan, H. D., Laden, F., Pruss-Ustun, A., Michelle, C. T., Gapstur, S. M., Diver, W. R., and Cohen, A.: An Integrated Risk Function for Estimating the Global Burden of Disease Attributable to Ambient Fine Particulate Matter Exposure, Environ. Health Persp., 122, 397–403, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307049, 2014.

Carter, E., Yan, L., Fu, Y., Robinson, B., Kelly, F., Elliott, P., Wu, Y., Zhao, L., Ezzati, M., Yang, X., Chan, Q., and Baumgartner, J.: Household transitions to clean energy in a multi-provincial cohort study in China, Nature Sustainability, 3, 42–50, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0432-x, 2020.

Liu, M., Lin, J., Boersma, K. F., Pinardi, G., Wang, Y., Chimot, J., Wagner, T., Xie, P., Eskes, H., Van Roozendael, M., Hendrick, F., Wang, P., Wang, T., Yan, Y., Chen, L., and Ni, R.: Improved aerosol correction for OMI tropospheric NO_2 retrieval over East Asia: constraint from CALIOP aerosol vertical profile, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-1-2019, 2019.

Chatzidiakou, L., Krause, A., Han, Y., Chen, W., Yan, L., Popoola, O. A. M., Kellaway, M., Wu, Y., Liu, J., Hu, M., team, A., Barratt, B., Kelly, F. J., Zhu, T., and Jones, R. L.: Using low-cost sensor technologies and advanced computational methods to improve dose estimations in health panel studies: results of the AIRLESS project, J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol., 30, 981–989, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-020-0259-6, 2020.

Dubowsky, S. D., Suh, H., Schwartz, J., Coull, B. A., and Gold, D. R.: Diabetes, obesity, and hypertension may enhance associations between air pollution and markers of systemic inflammation, Environ. Health Perspect., 114, 992–998, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8469, 2006.

Dvonch, J. T., Kannan, S., Schulz, A. J., Keeler, G. J., Mentz, G., House, J., Benjamin, A., Max, P., Bard, R. L., and Brook, R. D.: Acute effects of ambient particulate matter on blood pressure: differential effects across urban communities, Hypertension, 53, 853–859, https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.108.123877, 2009.

Gao, Y., Chen, G., Tian, H., Lin, L., Lu, J., Weng, J., Jia, W., Ji, L., Xiao, J., Zhou, Z., Ran, X., Ren, Y., Chen, T., Yang, W., China National, D., and Metabolic Disorders Study, G.: Prevalence of hypertension in china: a cross-sectional study, PLoS One, 8, e65938, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065938, 2013.

Gong, J. C., Zhu, T., Kipen, H., Wang, G. F., Hu, M., Guo, Q. F., Ohman-Strickland, P., Lu, S. E., Wang, Y. D., Zhu, P., Rich, D. Q., Huang, W., and Zhang, J. F.: Comparisons of Ultrafine and Fine Particles in Their Associations with Biomarkers Reflecting Physiological Pathways, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 5264–5273, 2014.

Han, Y. Q. and Zhu, T.: Health effects of fine particles ($PM_{2.5}$) in ambient air, Sci. China Life Sci., 58, 624–626, 2015.

Han, Y. Q., Zhu, T., Guan, T. J., Zhu, Y., Liu, J., Ji, Y. F., Gao, S. N., Wang, F., Lu, H. M., and Huang, W.: Association between size-segregated particles in ambient air and acute respiratory inflammation, Sci. Total Environ., 565, 412–419, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.196, 2016.

Huang, W., Zhu, T., Pan, X. C., Hu, M., Lu, S. E., Lin, Y., Wang, T., Zhang, Y. H., and Tang, X. Y.: Air Pollution and Autonomic and Vascular Dysfunction in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease: Interactions of Systemic Inflammation, Overweight, and Gender, American Journal of Epidemiology, 176, 117–126, 2012.

Jiang, H., Yan, W. H., Li, C. J., Wang, A. P., Dou, J. T., and Mu, Y. M.: Elevated White Blood Cell Count Is Associated with Higher Risk of Glucose Metabolism Disorders in Middle-Aged and Elderly Chinese People, Int. J. Env. Res. Pub. He., 11, 5497–5509, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110505497, 2014.

Kelly, F. J. and Fussell, J. C.: Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of toxicity attributable to ambient particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 60, 504–526, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.039, 2012.

Kelly, F. J. and Zhu, T.: Transport solutions for cleaner air, Science, 352, 934–936, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3420, 2016.

Li, X. H., Song, J. C., Lin, T., Dixon, J., Zhang, G. Q., and Ye, H.: Urbanization and health in China, thinking at the national, local and individual levels, Environ. Health-Glob., 15, S32, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-0104-5, 2016.

Lin, W. W., Huang, W., Zhu, T., Hu, M., Brunekreef, B., Zhang, Y. H., Liu, X. G., Cheng, H., Gehring, U., Li, C. C., and Tang, X. Y.: Acute Respiratory Inflammation in Children and Black Carbon in Ambient Air before and during the 2008 Beijing Olympics, Environ. Health Persp., 119, 1507–1512, 2011.

Lin, W. W., Zhu, T., Xue, T., Peng, W., Brunekreef, B., Gehring, U., Huang, W., Hu, M., Zhang, Y. H., and Tang, X. Y.: Association Between Changes in Exposure to Air Pollution and Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress in Children Before and During the Beijing Olympics, American Journal of Epidemiology, 181, 575–583, 2015.

Liu, J., Hong, Y., D'Agostino, R. B., Sr., Wu, Z., Wang, W., Sun, J., Wilson, P. W., Kannel, W. B., and Zhao, D.: Predictive value for the Chinese population of the Framingham CHD risk assessment tool compared with the Chinese Multi-Provincial Cohort Study, JAMA, 291, 2591–2599, https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.21.2591, 2004.

Liu, J., Han, Y. Q., Tang, X., Zhu, J., and Zhu, T.: Estimating adult mortality attributable to $PM_{2.5}$ exposure in China with assimilated PM2.5 concentrations based on a ground monitoring network, Sci. Total Environ., 568, 1253–1262, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.165, 2016.

Moore, E., Chatzidiakou, L., Jones, R. L., Smeeth, L., Beevers, S., Kelly, F. J., Quint, J. K., and Barratt, B.: Linking e-health records, patient-reported symptoms and environmental exposure data to characterise and model COPD exacerbations: protocol for the COPE study, BMJ Open, 6, e011330, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011330, 2016.

Parrish, D. D. and Zhu, T.: Climate change. Clean air for megacities, Science, 326, 674–675, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176064, 2009.

Rajagopalan, S. and Brook, R. D.: Air Pollution and Type 2 Diabetes Mechanistic Insights, Diabetes, 61, 3037–3045, https://doi.org/10.2337/db12-0190, 2012.

Sacks, J. D., Stanek, L. W., Luben, T. J., Johns, D. O., Buckley, B. J., Brown, J. S., and Ross, M.: Particulate matter-induced health effects: who is susceptible?, Environ. Health Perspect., 119, 446–454, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002255, 2011.

Shah, A. S. V., Langrish, J. P., Nair, H., McAllister, D. A., Hunter, A. L., Donaldson, K., Newby, D. E., and Mills, N. L.: Global association of air pollution and heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Lancet, 382, 1039–1048, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60898-3, 2013.

Shi, Z., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Harrison, R. M., Grimmond, S., Yue, S., Zhu, T., Lee, J., Han, Y., Demuzere, M., Dunmore, R. E., Ren, L., Liu, D., Wang, Y., Wild, O., Allan, J., Acton, W. J., Barlow, J., Barratt, B., Beddows, D., Bloss, W. J., Calzolai, G., Carruthers, D., Carslaw, D. C., Chan, Q., Chatzidiakou, L., Chen, Y., Crilley, L., Coe, H., Dai, T., Doherty, R., Duan, F., Fu, P., Ge, B., Ge, M., Guan, D., Hamilton, J. F., He, K., Heal, M., Heard, D., Hewitt, C. N., Hollaway, M., Hu, M., Ji, D., Jiang, X., Jones, R., Kalberer, M., Kelly, F. J., Kramer, L., Langford, B., Lin, C., Lewis, A. C., Li, J., Li, W., Liu, H., Liu, J., Loh, M., Lu, K., Lucarelli, F., Mann, G., McFiggans, G., Miller, M. R., Mills, G., Monk, P., Nemitz, E., O'Connor, F., Ouyang, B., Palmer, P. I., Percival, C., Popoola, O., Reeves, C., Rickard, A. R., Shao, L., Shi, G., Spracklen, D., Stevenson, D., Sun, Y., Sun, Z., Tao, S., Tong, S., Wang, Q., Wang, W., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, Z., Wei, L., Whalley, L., Wu, X., Wu, Z., Xie, P., Yang, F., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., and Zheng, M.: Introduction to the special issue "In-depth study of air pollution sources and processes within Beijing and its surrounding region (APHH-Beijing)", Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7519–7546, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7519-2019, 2019.

Steinle, S., Reis, S., and Sabel, C. E.: Quantifying human exposure to air pollution-Moving from static monitoring to spatio-temporally resolved personal exposure assessment, Sci. Total Environ., 443, 184–193, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.098, 2013.

Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Dong, H. B., Yang, T., Li, J., Pan, X. L., Chen, P., and Jayne, J. T.: Characterization of summer organic and inorganic aerosols in Beijing, China with an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor, Atmos. Environ., 51, 250–259, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.01.013, 2012.

Wang, Y. W., Han, Y. Q., Zhu, T., Li, W. J., and Zhang, H. Y.: A prospective study (SCOPE) comparing the cardiometabolic and respiratory effects of air pollution exposure on healthy and pre-diabetic individuals, Sci. China Life Sci., 61, 46–56, 2018a.

Wang, Z. W., Chen, Z., Zhang, L. F., Wang, X., Hao, G., Zhang, Z. G., Shao, L., Tian, Y., Dong, Y., Zheng, C. Y., Wang, J. L., Zhu, M. L., Weintraub, W. S., Gao, R. L., and Investig, C. H. S.: Status of Hypertension in China Results From the China Hypertension Survey, 2012–2015, Circulation, 137, 2344–2356, https://doi.org/10.1161/Circulationaha.117.032380, 2018b.

WHO: Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016, Lancet, 390, 1345–1422, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32366-8, 2017.

Wu, F., Xie, P., Li, A., Mou, F., Chen, H., Zhu, Y., Zhu, T., Liu, J., and Liu, W.: Investigations of temporal and spatial distribution of precursors SO_2 and NO_2 vertical columns in the North China Plain using mobile DOAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 1535–1554, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1535-2018, 2018.

Xu, J., Zhang, X. L., Xu, X. F., Zhao, X. J., Meng, W., and Pu, W. W.: Measurement of surface ozone and its precursors in urban and rural sites in Beijing, Proced Earth Plan. Sc., 2, 255–261, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeps.2011.09.041, 2011.

Yan, L., Carter, E., Fu, Y., Guo, D., Huang, P., Xie, G., Xie, W., Zhu, Y., Kelly, F., Elliott, P., Zhao, L., Yang, X., Ezzati, M., Wu, Y., Baumgartner, J., and Chan, Q.: Study protocol: The INTERMAP China Prospective (ICP) study version 2; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations, Wellcome Open Research, 4, https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15470.2, 2020.

Yang, G. H., Wang, Y., Zeng, Y. X., Gao, G. F., Liang, X. F., Zhou, M. G., Wan, X., Yu, S. C., Jiang, Y. H., Naghavi, M., Vos, T., Wang, H. D., Lopez, A. D., and Murray, C. J. L.: Rapid health transition in China, 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, Lancet, 381, 1987–2015, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61097-1, 2013.

Zhang, Y. L., Ma, Z. C., Lung, C. W., Sun, Y. N., and Li, X. H.: A New Approach for Assessment of Pulse Wave Velocity at Radial Artery in Young and Middle-Aged Healthy Humans, J. Mech. Med. Biol., 12, 1250028, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219519412500285, 2012.

Zhao, X. J., Zhang, X. L., Xu, X. F., Xu, J., Meng, W., and Pu, W. W.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in urban and rural environments in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2893–2900, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.009, 2009.