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1. Information about samples and the Asian dust storm event

Table S1. Detailed information about sampling dates, times, meteorological conditions, and PM concentrations for samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sampling Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Sampling duration (Second)</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>RH %</th>
<th>Pressure hPa</th>
<th>Wind Direction °</th>
<th>Wind speed m/s</th>
<th>PM$<em>{10}$/PM$</em>{2.5}$ μg/m$^3$</th>
<th>Particle No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jinan (T1)</td>
<td>dust-1</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>02:27</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dust-2</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>02:28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>526/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dust-3</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>02:40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingdao (T2)</td>
<td>dust-1</td>
<td>3-17</td>
<td>23:54</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>1013.3</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>490/140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dust-2</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>1013.6</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>153/55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amakusa (T3)</td>
<td>dust-1</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>15:16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>63/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dust-2</td>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>22:36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>1012.6</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>54/47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dust total concentration in Figure S1 clearly shows that at 00:00 on 2014/03/18 (UTC), the dust storm has already passed T1 and T2, heading eastwards (wind arrows) to reach T3. The mean sea level pressure and wind vectors in Figure S2 could also confirm the movement of this high pressure system (yellow) on 03/17, 03/18, and 03/19.

The air behind a cold front is colder and drier than the air in front. When the cold front passes through, the RH and temperature can drop, and the pressure can increase. Based on this and Figure S3-S5, we can define the time of the cold front passage. The cold front arrived T1 around 06:00 on 03/17, T2 at 09:00 on 03/17, and T3 at 02:00 on 03/18 (UTC). This is consistent with the arrival time derived from the PM concentration in Figure 1b.

Figure S1. Distributions of dust in East Asian region at 2014/03/18. Data is provided by the National Institute for Environment Studies and Kyushu University (http://www-cfors.nies.go.jp/~cfors/).
Figure S2. The mean sea level pressure (hPa) and wind vectors (knots) at 03/17, 03/18, and 03/19 at the three sampling sites. Data source: NOAA Air Resources Laboratory's (ARL) Real-time Environmental Applications and Display System (READY) (Rolph et al., 2017).

Figure S3. Time series of surface weather conditions: relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), and pressure (P) at Jinan (T1). The start time of the cold front passage is marked by the solid line. Collection time of dust samples is marked by the dash lines. Data source: the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Figure S4. Time series of surface weather conditions: relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), and pressure (P) at Qingdao (T2). Data source: the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
Figure S5. Time series of surface weather conditions: relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), and pressure (P) at Amakusa (T3).
2. The correlation between EVD and ECD obtained by AFM

![Graph showing correlation between Equivalent Volume Diameter (EVD) and Equivalent Circle Diameter (ECD) at three sampling sites obtained by AFM.]

The correlation of equivalent circle diameter (ECD) and equivalent volume diameter (EVD) at three sampling sites obtained by AFM.

\[
A = \pi r^2 = \pi \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \quad \rightarrow \quad d = \sqrt{\frac{4A}{\pi}}
\]

\[
V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 = \frac{4}{3} \times \frac{\pi D^3}{8} \quad \rightarrow \quad D = \sqrt[3]{\frac{6V}{\pi}}
\]

where d is the equivalent circle diameter (ECD) and D the equivalent volume diameter (EVD) (Chi et al., 2015).
Figure S7. Frequency of soot fragment number in single soot-bearing particles.

Figure S8. Fractal dimension of partially and fully embedded soot particles at T3 site. It is different to provide fractal dimension of different types of soot at T1 and T2 because of the small number of soot particles at these two sites. The parameter n in parentheses represents the total number of soot particles analyzed for each site to calculate $D_f$ and $k_f$. 
Figure S9. Size distribution of soot core (exclude coating) at T1, T2, and T3.
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