The promotion effect of nitrous acid on aerosol formation in wintertime in Beijing: the possible contribution of traffic-related emissions
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Abstract. Secondary aerosols are a major component of PM$_{2.5}$, yet their formation mechanisms in the ambient atmosphere are still unclear. Based on field measurements in downtown Beijing, we show that the photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) may promote the formation of organic and nitrate aerosols in winter in Beijing, which is supported by the fact that the mass concentrations of organic and nitrate aerosols linearly increase as a function of HONO consumed from early morning to noon. The increased nitrate content also leads to the formation of ammonium particulate matter through enhancing the neutralization of nitrate and sulfate by ammonia. We further illustrate that during pollution events in winter in Beijing, over 50 % of the ambient HONO may be related to traffic-related emissions, including direct emissions and formation via the reaction between OH and vehicle-emitted NO. Overall, our results indicate that traffic-related HONO may play an important role in the oxidative capacity and in turn contribute to haze formation in winter in Beijing. The mitigation of HONO and NO$_x$ emissions from vehicles may be an effective way to reduce the formation of secondary aerosols and severe haze events in winter in Beijing.

1 Introduction

China is one of several countries suffering from severe pollution of fine particulate matter with diameters of less than or equal to 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) (Lelieveld et al., 2015). Although the regional air quality has been continuously improving since the central government of China issued the Clean Air Act in 2013 (Vu et al., 2019), the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is still significantly higher than that in developed countries (Fu et al., 2014; An et al., 2019). A consensus has been reached that haze events are driven by local emissions (An et al., 2019), regional transport (G. J. Zheng et al., 2015), and secondary formation (Huang et al., 2014; He et al., 2018) of pollutants...
under unfavorable meteorological conditions (e.g., stagnant atmosphere and high relative humidity) (Zhu et al., 2018; T. Liu et al., 2017). The feedback loop between the meteorological parameters and haze formation also plays an important role in the evolution of haze events (X. Zhang et al., 2018).

Secondary aerosols can contribute ~70% of the aerosol mass concentration on polluted days (Huang et al., 2014). Several reaction pathways have been proposed, such as sulfate formation via the heterogeneous oxidation of SO2 promoted by H2O2 and/or NO2 on mineral dust (Huang et al., 2015; He et al., 2014), the aqueous oxidation of SO2 promoted by NO2 in the presence or absence of NH3 in the particle-bound water film (He et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016), the catalytic conversion of SO2 to sulfate by black carbon (Zhang et al., 2020), nitrate formation via the efficient hydrolysis of N2O5 on aerosol surfaces (Z. Wang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2019; Kulmala, 2018; Li et al., 2017), and haze formation initiated by new particle formation and growth (Guo et al., 2014, 2020). In recent years, the strict control of coal combustion has successfully reduced the SO2 concentration, resulting in a reduction of the sulfate (SO42-) component of the PM2.5. In stark contrast, the contributions from organic and nitrate have become increasingly more significant in China (Lang et al., 2017).

The formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) begins with the gas-phase oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which produces various low-volatility and semi-volatile products (Bianchi et al., 2019), followed by partitioning into the particle phase (Hallquist et al., 2009). Similarly, the formation of nitrate aerosols in the daytime is largely due to the partitioning of gaseous nitric acid, which is formed via the oxidation of NO2 by OH (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Wang et al., 2019). It is traditionally believed that atmospheric oxidation capacity is weaker in the winter due to the weaker solar radiation, which limits the formation of SOA and nitrate (Sun et al., 2013). However, it has very recently been shown that the peak OH concentration on polluted days in winter in Beijing varies from 2 × 10^6 to 6 × 10^6 molec. cm^−3, which is 6–10 times higher than the concentration predicted by the global model (Tan et al., 2018). This discrepancy is largely reduced when the other OH production processes in the model simulations are accounted for, which shows that the photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) dominates the initiation of the HOx (OH and HO2) and ROx (RO and RO2) radical chains in winter in Beijing (Tan et al., 2018) and in several other cities (Ren et al., 2006; Stutz et al., 2013).

More recently, modeling studies have suggested that HONO can enhance secondary aerosol formation in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) region (J. Wang et al., 2019) and the Pearl River Delta (PRD) regions of China (J. Zhang et al., 2019; Xing et al., 2019) and in Houston, United States (Czader et al., 2015). These results suggest that the role of HONO in haze chemistry may be crucial in winter in Beijing; however, direct evidence from observations has not yet been reported yet. The HONO budget has been investigated via modeling studies (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019; J. W. Zhang et al., 2019) and photo-stationary state calculations (J. Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; R.-J. Huang et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016; Oswald et al., 2015) at different locations. At present, the study of the HONO budget is still far from over and requires a significant effort involving both the accurate measurement of HONO and the determination of the kinetic parameters related to its production pathways (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019). For example, the photo-enhanced conversion of NO2 (Su et al., 2008) and the photolysis of particulate nitrate were found to be two major mechanisms with a large potential for HONO formation at midday, but the associated uncertainty may reduce their importance (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019). Other studies have proposed that heterogeneous reactions on ground and aerosol surfaces are important during nighttime (J. Wang et al., 2017; J. W. Zhang et al., 2019) and daytime in the BTH region (J. W. Zhang et al., 2019). However, this heterogeneous reaction was unimportant in Ji’nan compared with the unknown sources and the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH (Li et al., 2018). In addition, traffic emissions have been reported to be an important HONO source during nighttime in the BTH, but they are not a significant source during the daytime (J. W. Zhang et al., 2019). However, it was proposed that the direct emission of HONO from vehicles should contribute about 51.1% (Meng et al., 2020) or 52% (W. Zhang et al., 2018) of nighttime HONO in Beijing. These results reveal that more studies of the HONO budget are required. In particular, it is necessary to analyze the HONO budget during pollution events in order to understand the possible influence of HONO sources on secondary pollutants’ formation.

In this study, we carried out comprehensive measurements at a newly constructed observation station (Aerosol and Haze Laboratory, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, AHL/BUCT Station) located on the western campus of the Beijing University of Chemical Technology in downtown Beijing. We report observational evidence that HONO may have a prominent promotion effect on the formation of secondary aerosols in winter. Traffic-related emissions are a vital contributor to the ambient HONO concentration during pollution events in winter in Beijing.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Field measurements

The field measurements were performed at AHL/BUCT Station (lat. 39°56′31″ and long. 116°17′52″) from 1 February to 30 June 2018. The observation station was located on the roof of the main building, which is 550 m west of the 3rd Ring Road, 130 m south of Zizhuyuan Road and 565 m east of Nandianchang Road (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The sta-
tion is surrounded by both traffic and residential emissions, and thus, it is a typical urban observation site.

The ambient air was sampled from the roof of the five-story building (∼18 m above the ground). A PM$_{2.5}$ inlet (URG) was used to prevent particles with diameters of larger than 2.5 μm from entering, and the remaining particles entered a Nafion dryer (MD-700-24, Perma Pure). Then a time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor equipped with a PM$_{2.5}$ aerodynamic lens (ToF-ACSM, Aerodyne) and an aethalometer (AE33, Magee Scientific) was connected to the manifold of the aerosol sampling tube. The Reynolds number in the aerosol sampling tube was 800, with a total flow rate of 16.7 L min$^{-1}$ and a residence time of 6.5 s. The details of the ToF-ACSM measurements are described in the Supplement. The ambient air was drawn from the roof using a Teflon sampling tube (BMET-S, Beijing Saak-Mar Environmental Instrument Ltd.), with a residence time of <10 s for the gas-phase pollutant measurements. Trace gases, including NO$_x$, SO$_2$, CO, and O$_3$, were measured using the corresponding analyzer (Thermo Scientific, 42i, 43i, 48i, and 49i, respectively). The volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were measured using an online single photon ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (SPI-ToF-MS 3000R, Hexin Mass Spectrometry) with a unit mass resolution (UMR). The principle and the configuration of the instrument have been described in detail elsewhere (Gao et al., 2013) and are also in the Supplement. The HONO concentration was measured using a homemade long path absorption photometer (LOPAP) (Tong et al., 2016). The details are described in the Supplement. The particle sizes and number concentrations of the 1 nm to 10μm were measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3936, TSI), a particle size magnifier (PSM, Airmodus), and a neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NIAS, Airel Ltd.). The meteorological parameters, including temperature, pressure, relative humidity (RH), wind speed, and wind direction, were measured using a weather station (AWS310, Vaisala). The visibility and planetary boundary layer (PBL) height were measured using a visibility sensor (PWD22, Vaisala) and a ceilometer (CL51, Vaisala), respectively.

2.2 HONO budget calculation

Several sources of ambient HONO have been identified, such as emissions from soil ($E_{soil}$) (Oswald et al., 2015; Meusel et al., 2018) and vehicle exhaust ($E_{vehicle}$) (Trinh et al., 2017), production through the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH ($P_{NO-OH}$) in the atmosphere, the photolysis of nitrate ($P_{nitrate}$) (Bao et al., 2018), nitrous acid ($P_{HONO}$), and nitrophenol ($P_{nitrophenol}$) (Sangwan and Zhu, 2018), and the heterogeneous reaction of NO$_2$ on aerosol ($P_{aerosol}$) (Liu et al., 2015) and ground surfaces ($P_{ground}$) (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; J. Wang et al., 2017). However, the photolysis of HNO$_3$ and nitrophenol was excluded in this study because they were believed to be minor sources (Lee et al., 2016), and their concentrations were unavailable during our observations. The removal pathways of HONO including photolysis ($L_{photolysis}$), the homogeneous reaction with OH radicals ($L_{HONO-OH}$), and dry deposition ($L_{deposition}$) (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019) were considered.

The HONO budget was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{d[HONO]}{dt} = E_{HONO} + P_{HONO} - L_{HONO} + T_{vertical} + T_{horizontal},$$  

where $\frac{d[HONO]}{dt}$ is the observed rate of change of the HONO mixing ratio (ppbv h$^{-1}$); $E_{HONO}$ is the emission rate of HONO from the different sources (ppbv h$^{-1}$); $P_{HONO}$ is the in situ production rate of HONO in the troposphere (ppbv h$^{-1}$); $L_{HONO}$ is the loss rate of HONO (ppbv h$^{-1}$) (Li et al., 2018); and $T_{vertical}$ and $T_{horizontal}$ are the vertical and horizontal transport, respectively (Sörgel et al., 2011), which can mimic the source or sink terms depending on the HONO mixing ratios of the advected air relative to those of the measurement site and height (Sörgel et al., 2011).

The emission rate ($E_{HONO}$, ppbv h$^{-1}$) was calculated based on the emission flux ($F_{HONO} = E_{HONO}/A$, g m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and the PBL height ($H$, m) using the following equation:

$$E_{HONO} = \frac{\alpha \cdot F_{HONO}}{H},$$

where $E_{HONO}$ is the emission inventory of HONO (g s$^{-1}$); $A$ is the core urban area of Beijing (in m$^2$, with a 20 km diameter); $\alpha$ is the conversion factor ($\alpha = 1 \times 10^9 \times \frac{3600 \cdot K \cdot T}{M \cdot P} = 2.99 \times 10^{13} \cdot T$); $M$ is the molecular weight (g mol$^{-1}$); $T$ is the temperature (K); and $P$ is the atmospheric pressure (Pa).

The production rates of HONO ($P_{HONO}$, ppbv h$^{-1}$) in the troposphere were calculated using the following equation:

$$P_{HONO} = 3600 \cdot k_1 \cdot c_{\text{precursor}},$$

where $k_1$ is the quasi first-order reaction rate constant (s$^{-1}$); and $c_{\text{precursor}}$ is the concentration of the precursor (ppbv). For the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH,

$$k_1 = k_2 \cdot c_{OH},$$

where $k_2$ is the second-order reaction rate constant (7.2 × 10$^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) (Li et al., 2012); and $c_{OH}$ is the OH concentration (molec. cm$^{-3}$). For the heterogeneous reaction,

$$k_1 = \frac{\gamma \cdot A_s \cdot \omega}{4} \cdot Y_{HONO},$$

where $A_s$ is the surface area concentration of the reactive surface (m$^2$ m$^{-3}$); $\omega$ is the molecular mean speed (m s$^{-1}$); $\gamma$ is the uptake coefficient of the precursor; and $Y_{HONO}$ is the
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yield of HONO. At ground level, the surface area concentration is
\[ A_s = \frac{\delta}{H}, \]
where \( \delta \) is the surface roughness, which is calculated according to the mean project area, perimeter, and height of the buildings in Beijing.
\[ \delta = \frac{f_{\text{building}} \cdot (A_{\text{projected}} + h \cdot P_{\text{building}})}{A_{\text{projected}}} + f_{\text{blank}}, \]
where \( f_{\text{building}} \) (0.31) and \( f_{\text{blank}} \) (0.69) are the fractions of the projected area \( A_{\text{projected}} \) of the buildings and blank space, respectively; and \( P_{\text{building}} \) and \( h \) are the perimeter and the height of the building, respectively. \( f_{\text{building}} \) and \( P_{\text{building}} \) were calculated using measurements for \( \sim 1000 \) buildings that were randomly selected on a Google Map using the ImageJ software. The mean height (44.5 m) of the buildings in Beijing was linearly extrapolated from published lidar (light detection and ranging) data collected from 2004 to 2008 (Cheng et al., 2011). The \( \delta \) in Beijing was calculated to be 3.85, which is slightly higher than the value (2.2) used by Li et al. (2018).

For the photolysis reaction, the first-order reaction rate was
\[ k_1 = J, \]
where \( J \) is the photolysis rate of the production of HONO \((s^{-1})\).

The loss rates of HONO through photolysis \( (L_{\text{photolysis}}) \), the homogeneous reaction with OH radicals \( (L_{\text{HONO-OH}}) \), and dry deposition \( (L_{\text{deposition}}) \) (Y. H. Liu et al., 2019) were calculated using the following equations, respectively:
\[ L_{\text{photolysis}} = 3600 \cdot J_{\text{HONO}} \cdot c_{\text{HONO}} \]
\[ L_{\text{HONO-OH}} = 3600 \cdot k_{\text{HONO-OH}} \cdot c_{\text{OH}} \cdot c_{\text{HONO}} \]
\[ L_{\text{deposition}} = \frac{3600 \cdot v_d \cdot c_{\text{HONO}}}{H}, \]
where \( J_{\text{HONO}} \) is the photolysis rate of HONO \((s^{-1})\); \( k_{\text{HONO-OH}} \) is the second-order reaction rate constant between HONO and OH \((6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})\) (Atkinson et al., 2004); and \( v_d \) is the dry deposition rate of HONO \((0.001 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) (Han et al., 2017).

The vertical transport by advection \( (T_{\text{vertical}}) \), which is an important sink of HONO at night (Gall et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2020), was calculated using Eq. (12).
\[ T_{\text{vertical}} = -K_h(z,t) \frac{\partial c(z,t)}{\partial z} \frac{1}{h}, \]
where \( K_h(z,t) \) is the eddy diffusivity of heat \( (\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \) at height \( z \) (m) and time \( t \); and \( h \) is the height of the second layer (18 m) (Gall et al., 2016). At the same time, both the vertical and horizontal transport can be estimated using Eq. (13):
\[ T_{\text{vertical}} = k_{\text{dilution}} (c_{\text{HONO}} - c_{\text{HONO,background}}), \]
where \( k_{\text{dilution}} \) is the dilution rate \((0.23 \text{ h}^{-1}, \text{ including both vertical and horizontal transport})\) (Dillon et al., 2002); and \( c_{\text{HONO}} \) and \( c_{\text{HONO,background}} \) are the HONO concentration at the observation site and the background site, respectively (Dillon et al., 2002).

In addition, even though all of the current known sources have been considered in models, the modeled daytime HONO concentrations were still lower than the observed concentrations (Tang et al., 2015; Michoud et al., 2014). Therefore, the HONO concentration can be described by Eq. (14):
\[ \frac{dc_{\text{HONO}}}{dt} = E_{\text{soil}} + E_{\text{vehicle}} + P_{\text{NO-OH}} + P_{\text{nitrate}} + P_{\text{aerosol}} + P_{\text{ground}} + P_{\text{unknown}} - L_{\text{photolysis}} - L_{\text{HONO-OH}} - L_{\text{deposition}} - T_{\text{vertical}} + L_{\text{horizontal}}. \]

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Overview of the air pollution

The mass concentrations of the non-refractory PM$_{2.5}$ (NR-PM$_{2.5}$) and HONO and the metrological parameters are shown in Fig. 1. The time series of the other pollutants (SO$_2$, CO, O$_3$, benzene, toluene, and black carbon) are shown in Fig. S2.

Similar to previous measurements (Guo et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016), the air pollution events exhibited a periodic cycle of 3–5 d during the observations, as indicated by the concentrations of the NR-PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 1a) and the gaseous pollutants and by the visibility. During the observation period, 20%–60% of the hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were higher than 75 µg m$^{-3}$ (the criterion for pollution according to the national air quality standards) during each month (Fig. S3a).

Both the frequency of severe polluted episodes and the mean mass concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and NR-PM$_{2.5}$ were significantly higher in March than in the other months (Figs. 1 and S3). This can be explained by both the intense emissions during the heating season, which is supported by the high concentration of primary pollutants including CO, SO$_2$, and black carbon (BC) (Table S1 in the Supplement), and the stagnant meteorological conditions resulting from the low wind speed (< 2 m s$^{-1}$) and planetary boundary layer (PBL) height in March (Fig. S4a).

Organic aerosol (OA) and nitrate dominated the NR-PM$_{2.5}$, while their relative contributions varied significantly during the observations (Fig. 1b and Table S1). This is similar to previously reported NR-PM$_{1.0}$ compositions (Sun et al., 2015). The monthly mean fraction of OA varied from 45.9 ± 10.2% to 52.6 ± 18.7%, which was accompanied by a slight increase in sulfate from 16.0 ± 9.1% to 18.2 ± 8.0% (Fig. S4d). At the same time, the monthly mean fractions of nitrate and chloride decreased from...
Figure 1. An overview of the measurement of the non-refractory-PM$_{2.5}$ (NR-PM$_{2.5}$), HONO, NO$_x$, and PM$_{2.5}$ and meteorological parameters from 1 February to 1 July 2018. (a) The mass concentrations of the different components of PM$_{2.5}$; (b) the mass fraction of the individual components; (c) HONO and NO$_x$ concentrations; (d) temperature and RH; (e) wind speed and wind direction; (f) UVB and PBL heights; and (g) visibility and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration during the observations. We consider the period before 1 April to be winter. During the winter period, 12 cases were selected and numbered, including three clean cases (1, 3, and 5; in yellow) and nine pollution episodes (blue).

26.7 ± 8.8 % to 16.7 ± 12.8 % and from 7.7 ± 6.1 % to 0.3 ± 0.2 %, respectively. The peak ammonium concentration (14.2 ± 2.8 %) occurred in March, and then it decreased slightly to 12.2 ± 5.2 %. The intense emission of chloride from coal combustion during the heating season (Cho et al., 2008) and firework use (Zhang et al., 2017), which was transported from Tangshan during the Chinese New Year (Fig. S5a and b), led to a high chloride fraction in February and March. The decreases in nitrate and ammonium fractions from February to June are most likely related to the increase in temperature (Fig. S2), which favors NH$_4$NO$_3$ decomposition (Wang et al., 2015). Furthermore, the decrease in the contributions of the other components and the increase in the secondary formation due to the increased amount of UV light (Fig. S4c) may also increase the OA fraction (Huang et al., 2014). This means that the chemical transformation in March should still be vigorous even though the UV light intensity in March is lower than in summer (Fig. S4c). It also implies that the other factors may compensate for the weaker UV light intensity in March.

HONO, which has been recognized as an important precursor of primary OH radicals (Ren et al., 2006; Alicke et al., 2003), ranged from 0.05 to 10.32 ppbv from 1 February to 30 June 2018 (Fig. 1c), with a mean value of 1.26 ± 1.06 ppbv. In winter (February and March), the HONO concentration was 1.15 ± 1.10 ppbv, which is comparable to previous (1.05 ± 0.89 ppbv) measurements collected in the winter in Beijing (J. Wang et al., 2017; Hou et al., 2016) but is slightly lower than the values measured from April to June (1.35 ± 1.11 ppbv) in this study and those previously measured in the summer in Shanghai (2.31 ppbv, in May) (Cui et al., 2018) and Guangzhou (2.8 ppbv, in July) (Qin et al., 2009). The mean HONO concentration in March (1.53 ± 1.25 ppbv) was higher than that measured in February and April (Fig. S3d), but it was slightly higher or close to that measured in May and June. Chamber studies have found that HONO is responsible for the initiation of photosmog reactions (Rohrer et al., 2005). It is reasonable to postulate that HONO plays an important role in the secondary chemistry responsible for particle formation in March.

3.2 Possible promotion effect of HONO photolysis on aerosol formation in winter

The oxidation of precursors by OH radicals is the main mechanism of secondary aerosol formation in the troposphere. After partially ruling out the possible influence of PBL variations by normalizing the concentrations of all of the pollutants to CO (Cheng et al., 2016) and BC (Liggio et al., 2016), we found all of the secondary species, including sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium, exhibit obvious daytime peaks from 07:00 to 18:00 (UTC + 8:00) (Fig. S5c) (Cheng et al., 2016). Similar trends were observed after the concentrations of the pollutants were normalized to BC (not shown). This suggests that they may be related to the photochemistry.

Photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$, HCHO, O$_3$, and HONO and the reaction between NO and HO$_2$ are known sources of OH radicals in the atmosphere (Alicke et al., 2003; Volkamer et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2015). In this study, the concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, HCHO, and HO$_2$ were unavailable. Thus, their contributions to OH production are not discussed here. However, it is commonly believed that the photolysis of HONO is the dominant source of OH in the dawn and dusk periods (Holland et al., 2003),
contributing up to 60% of the daytime OH source in winter (Spataro et al., 2013; Rohrer et al., 2005). In addition, it has been confirmed that HONO is the dominant OH source at various locations (Tan et al., 2018; Y. H. Liu et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2017; Aumont et al., 2003). Therefore, it is meaningful to discuss the possible contribution of HONO to secondary aerosol formation through OH production. We simply compared the OH production via photolysis of HONO ($P_{\text{OH-HONO}} = J_{\text{HONO}} \times c_{\text{HONO}}$) with the O$^1$D production rate from O$_3$ ($P_{\text{O^1D}} = J_{\text{O^1D}} \times c_{\text{O}_3}$) (Fig. 2) when the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was larger than 50 µg m$^{-3}$ and the RH was less than 90% to understand the chemistry occurring during pollution events. Under these conditions, the local chemistry should be more important since 75% of the time, the wind speed was less than 1.0 m s$^{-1}$ (Fig. S6). The details of the $J_{\text{HONO}}$ and $J_{\text{O^1D}}$ calculations are described in the Supplement, and their time series are shown in Fig. S7. On polluted days in winter, the daytime $P_{\text{OH-HONO}}$ was always significantly higher than the $P_{\text{OH-O}_3}$ in winter, and the maximum $P_{\text{OH-HONO}}$ and $P_{\text{O^1D}}$ were 1.73 ± 0.86 × 10$^7$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (2.43 ± 1.21 ppb h$^{-1}$) and 1.03 ± 1.60 × 10$^7$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (1.45 ± 1.49 ppb h$^{-1}$), respectively (Fig. 2a). Due to the high HONO concentration accumulated throughout the night, the maximum $P_{\text{OH-HONO}}$ in winter was about 2–6 times that observed in the winter in Colorado, United States (∼0.59 ppb h$^{-1}$) (Kim et al., 2014), New York, United States (∼0.40 ppb h$^{-1}$) (Kanaya et al., 2007), and Nanjing, China (0.90 ± 0.27 ppb h$^{-1}$) (Y. Liu et al., 2019). In the period from April to June, the daily maxima of $P_{\text{OH-HONO}}$ and $P_{\text{O^1D}}$ were 2.48 ± 1.42 × 10$^7$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (3.48 ± 1.99 ppb h$^{-1}$) and 6.51 ± 4.17 × 10$^7$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (9.15 ± 5.86 ppb h$^{-1}$), respectively. These results imply that the relative importance of the photolysis of HONO compared with that of O$_3$ for initiating the daytime HO$_3$ and RO$_x$ chemistry on polluted days is more important in winter than from April to June because the production of OH from the photolysis of O$_3$ should be directly proportional to $P_{\text{O^1D}}$. This is consistent with the previous findings that HONO photolysis dominates the primary OH source in winter in the BTH (Xing et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2018), Colorado, and New York City (Ren et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2014), while the photolysis of O$_3$ and HCHO-related reactions usually dominates OH production in summer (Alicke et al., 2003).

The oxidation of trace gas pollutants, in particular VOCs, by OH is their main removal pathway in the troposphere (Atkinson and Arey, 2003), subsequently contributing to secondary aerosol formation (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). A very recent study has found that the oxidation of VOCs from local traffic emissions is still efficient, even under pollution conditions (Guo et al., 2020). This means that high HONO concentrations may promote SOA formation after sunrise because HONO is an important primary OH source in the early morning. To confirm this assumption, 12 episodes in winter were further analyzed (Fig. 1). The dataset for stagnant meteorological conditions, which is characterized by low wind speeds (< 1.0 m s$^{-1}$, Fig. S5d), was analyzed to decrease the contribution of transport to the observed HONO and OA. The 1st, 3rd, and 5th episodes were clean days, and the other nine episodes were typical haze events with durations of more than 2 d. The features of these episodes are summarized in Table S2. Figure 2c shows the CO-normalized daytime profiles of OA and HONO in the 7th and 12th episodes as two examples. In all of the selected cases, HONO exhibited a quick reduction due to photolysis after sunrise, and, simultaneously, the OA concentration began to increase. This is similar to the evolution of the concentration of pollutants in a typical smog chamber experiment. Figure 2d shows the formation of OA ($\Delta_{\text{OA}}/\text{CO}$) as a function of the consumed HONO (−$\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$). Except for the fourth episode, which was highly affected by the firework emissions during the Spring Festival, $\Delta_{\text{OA}}/\text{CO}$ exhibits a linear dependence on −$\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$ in the winter ($R = 0.75$). This type of correlation was not observed for the pollution events from April to June because the relative importance of HONO photolysis to the primary OH production decreased (Fig. 2d). It should be noted that the oxidation of biogenic alkenes by O$_3$ may also contribute to OA formation. However, anthropogenic VOCs rather than biogenic VOCs dominated the wintertime VOCs in Beijing (C. Liu et al., 2017). Although vehicles can emit isoprene (Zou et al., 2019), the contribution of isoprene to the observed increase in the OA concentration should be unimportant due to the low concentration of isoprene in winter (Zou et al., 2019). Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the increase in the OA concentration in the daytime may be primarily resultant of the oxidation of VOCs by OH.

Similar to OA, in winter, $\Delta_{\text{nitrate}}/\text{CO}$ also exhibited a good linear correlation with −$\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$ ($R = 0.67$, Fig. S5e), suggesting that the increase in the particle-phase nitrate in the daytime was also promoted by the OH radicals. Interestingly, $\Delta_{\text{ammonium}}/\text{CO}$ also exhibited a good correlation with −$\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$ ($R = 0.61$, Fig. S5e), although particle-phase ammonium should not be directly related to the oxidation of NH$_3$ by OH. We attribute the increase in ammonium to the enhanced neutralization of sulfate and nitrate by NH$_3$ (Wang et al., 2018; Wen et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018) because the amount of NH$_3$ was adequate to neutralize both the sulfate and nitrate (Fig. S8). This is consistent with the results of a recent study, which reported that the role of photochemical reactions is important in daytime nitrate formation, while the hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ mainly contributes to nighttime nitrate (Tian et al., 2019). Although a recent study found that the daytime hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ on hygroscopic aerosols is also an important source of daytime nitrate in the winter in Beijing (H. Wang et al., 2017), the linear correlation between $\Delta_{\text{nitrate}}/\text{CO}$ and $\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$ implies that at least the promotion effect of HONO on nitrate formation cannot be excluded. However, the correlation between $\Delta_{\text{sulfate}}/\text{CO}$ and −$\Delta_{\text{HONO}}/\text{CO}$ was much lower.
weaker ($R = 0.26$), suggesting a weak connection between particle-phase sulfate and gas-phase $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. This is also consistent with the previous understanding that heterogeneous reactions of $\text{SO}_2$ are the dominant pathway for sulfate formation (B. Zheng et al., 2015; He et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). Overall, the results of this study qualitatively support the recent modeling results that show that HONO may promote aerosol production in winter (J. Zhang et al., 2019; J. W. Zhang et al., 2019; Xing et al., 2019; An et al., 2013) based on observations.

### 3.3 HONO budget during pollution events

To understand the possible sources of HONO during pollution events in winter, the HONO budget was calculated for the events for which the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were greater than 50$\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and the RH values were less than 90% according to the method described in Sect. 2.2.

#### 3.3.1 Vehicle emissions

The $E_{\text{vehicle}}$ was calculated using Eq. (2), the relative emission rate of HONO to NO$_x$, and the emission inventory of NO$_x$ from vehicles. First, the HONO/NO$_x$ ratio was calculated according to the method reported by Xu et al. (2015) and Li et al. (2018) for the fresh nighttime plumes, which strictly satisfy the following criteria: (1) NO$_x$ > 45 ppb (highest 25% of NO$_x$ data); (2) $\Delta$NO/$\Delta$NO$_x$ > 0.8, with a good correlation between NO and NO$_x$ ($R > 0.9$, $P < 0.05$); (3) a good correlation between HONO and NO$_x$ ($R^2 > 0.65$, $P < 0.05$); and (4) dataset from 05:00 to 08:00. The mean emission ratio of HONO to NO$_x$ was 1.8$\pm$0.5% based on five fresh vehicle exhaust plumes during our observations (Table S3). This value is higher than values in Hong Kong (1.2$\pm$0.4%) (Xu et al., 2015), Beijing (1.3%) (W. Zhang et al., 2018), and Ji’an (0.53$\pm$0.20%) (Li et al., 2018) obtained using the same method, while it is comparable with the results of tunnel experiments (2.1%) carried out in Beijing (Yang et al., 2014). Second, the low HONO concentration should be accompanied by a high NO$_x$ concentration and a high $\Delta$NO/$\Delta$NO$_x$ ratio if direct emissions from vehicles are the major source of HONO and the source of the secondary formation was negligible in the urban atmosphere. Therefore, we further estimated the HONO/NO$_x$ ratio using the lower limit correlation method (Li et al., 2012). In the 2-D space of HONO vs. NO$_x$ (Fig. S8), the data below the 2nd percentile of HONO/NO$_x$ and with $\Delta$NO/$\Delta$NO$_x$ values of greater than 0.8 were chosen for the linear correlation. The ratio of $\Delta$HONO/$\Delta$NO$_x$ was 1.17$\pm$0.05%. It should be noted that an interference from the sampling inlet caused a 6.7% overestimation of the HONO concentration based on control experiments with 100 ppb of NO$_2$ at 50% RH. Thus, the ratio of $\Delta$HONO/$\Delta$NO$_x$ should be 1.09$\pm$0.05% when the interference from the sampling inlet is taken into consideration. This value is lower than that estimated using the empirical method discussed above, but it is very close to the values measured in Hong Kong (1.2$\pm$0.4%) (Xu et al., 2015) and (1.23$\pm$0.35%) (Liang et al., 2017), Guangzhou (1.0%) (Li et al., 2012), and Beijing (1.3% and 1.41%) (W. Zhang et al., 2018; Meng et al., 2020). Finally, several studies have measured the direct emission of HONO from vehicle exhaust. The HONO/NO$_x$ was determined to be 0.18% for gasoline cars based on chassis dynamometer tests in China (Y. Liu et al., 2017), while it was measured to be 0%–0.95% for gasoline vehicles and 0.16%–1.0% for diesel vehicles measured during real-world driving test cycles in Japan (Trinh et al., 2017). Thus, three levels of the vehicle emissions fac-
tor were considered. The middle value was 1.09 ± 0.05 %, which is very close to the mean (1.21) of the emission ratios reported in China (Li et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2014; Y. Liu et al., 2017; Gall et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2020), while 0.18 % (Y. Liu et al., 2017) and 1.8 % were the lower limit and the upper limit, respectively.

The $E_{\text{vehicle}}$ was calculated using the hourly NOx emission inventory from vehicles in Beijing (Yang et al., 2019) after converting the data to the emission flux of HONO ($F_{\text{HONO}} = F_{\text{NOx}} \times \text{HONO/NOx}$) and the PBL height as described in Sect. 2.2. Thus, the calculated emission rate reflected the diurnal variations in both the emission inventory and the PBL height. The calculated hourly middle value of $E_{\text{vehicle}}$ obtained using an HONO/NOx ratio of 1.09 % was from 0.079 ± 0.038 to 0.32 ± 0.15 ppbv h$^{-1}$, which was slightly higher than the daytime emission rate of HONO in Xi’an (R.-J. Huang et al., 2017). This is reasonable when the vehicle population in Beijing is taken into consideration. The lower limit of $E_{\text{vehicle}}$ was 0.013 ± 0.006–0.053 ± 0.023 ppbv h$^{-1}$, which was close to the estimated emission rate of HONO in Ji’nan (Li et al., 2018). The upper limit was 0.13 ± 0.06–0.53 ± 0.23 ppbv h$^{-1}$.

### 3.3.3 Soil emissions

The emission flux of HONO from soils depends on the water content, nitrogen nutrient content, and temperature of the soil (Oswald et al., 2013). Oswald et al. (2013) measured the emission flux of HONO from 17 soil samples, including eucalyptus forest, tropical rain forest, coniferous forest, pasture, woody savannah, grassland, stony desert, maize field, wheat field, jujube field, and cotton field. Tropical rain forest, coniferous forest and grassland are the typical plants in downtown Beijing (H. Huang et al., 2017), and their emission fluxes of HONO are comparable (Oswald et al., 2013). Thus, we used the emission flux from grassland to calculate the emission rate of HONO from the soil in Beijing because the temperature-dependent and water-holding-content-dependent emission flux of HONO from grassland soil was available. Three levels of water content including 25 %–35 %, 35 %–45 %, and 45 %–55 % were considered. The temperature dependence of $F_{\text{HONO}}$ was calculated using the mean value of the $F_{\text{HONO}}$ for the different water contents, and the lower limit and upper limit of $F_{\text{HONO}}$ were calculated using the emission flux for water contents of 45 %–55 % and 25 %–35 %, respectively. The lower limit, the middle value, and the upper limit of the $E_{\text{soil}}$ calculated using Eq. (2) are 0.0032 ± 0.0027–0.013 ± 0.014, 0.0046 ± 0.0039–0.020 ± 0.20, and 0.0057 ± 0.0047–0.025 ± 0.024 ppbv h$^{-1}$, respectively.

### 3.3.3 Homogeneous reaction between NO and OH

Direct measurements of the OH concentration were unavailable in this study, so several methods were used to estimate the ambient OH concentration. In winter in Beijing, it has been found that the OH concentration is linearly correlated with $J_{\text{O}1D}$; that is, $c_{\text{OH}} = J_{\text{O}1D} \times 2 \times 10^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ (Tan et al., 2019). However, Tan et al. (2018) reported a larger conversion factor (4.33 × 10$^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$). Li et al. (2018) estimated the OH radical concentration considering both the photolysis rate and NO$_2$ concentration; i.e.,

$$c_{\text{OH}} = \frac{4.1 \times 10^9 \times (J_{\text{O}1D})^{0.83} \times (J_{\text{NO}_2})^{0.19}}{0.41 c_{\text{NO}_2} + 1.7 c_{\text{NO}_2} + 1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Overall, the OH concentrations estimated using Eq. (15) were comparable with those estimated by Tan et al. (2019) (Fig. S10c). The method of calculating the photolysis rates is described in the Supplement, and the time series of the photolysis rates are shown in Fig. S7. On polluted days, the high concentrations of NO$_2$ resulted in the OH concentrations estimated using Eq. (15) being lower. Therefore, the corresponding $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ was taken as the lower limit for the homogeneous reaction between NO and HONO because pollution events are discussed in this study, while the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ calculated using the OH concentration ($J_{\text{O}1D} \times 4.33 \times 10^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$) (Tan et al., 2018) was taken as the upper limit, and the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ calculated using the OH concentration ($J_{\text{O}1D} \times 2 \times 10^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$) (Tan et al., 2019) was the middle value. At night, the OH concentration generally varied from 1.71 ppbv h$^{-1}$ at 0.079, and 0.0039–0.32 ± 0.15 ppbv h$^{-1}$. It should be noted that the OH concentration was estimated for the homogeneous reaction between NO and HONO because pollution events are discussed in this study, while the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ calculated using the OH concentration ($J_{\text{O}1D} \times 4.33 \times 10^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$) (Tan et al., 2018) was taken as the upper limit, and the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ calculated using the OH concentration ($J_{\text{O}1D} \times 2 \times 10^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$) (Tan et al., 2019) was the middle value. At night, the OH concentration generally varied from 1.0 × 10$^5$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ (Li et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2018) in winter to 5 × 10$^5$ molec. cm$^{-3}$ in summer (Tan et al., 2017). The nighttime OH concentration was estimated to be linearly correlated with the product of the nighttime O$_3$ concentration and the alkene’s concentration; i.e.,

$$c_{\text{OH,night}} = 1 \times 10^5 + 4 \times 10^5 \times \frac{c_{\text{O}_3} \times c_{\text{alkenes}}}{c_{\text{O}_3} \times c_{\text{alkenes,night}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The time series of the OH concentration calculated using the different methods are shown in Fig. S11. Thus, the lower limit, the middle value, and the upper limit of $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ calculated using Eqs. (3) and (4) are 0.007 ± 0.019–0.43 ± 0.26, 0.026 ± 0.053–0.99 ± 0.79, and 0.028 ± 0.053–2.14 ± 1.71 ppbv h$^{-1}$, respectively. The calculated middle value of $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ (mean daytime value of 0.49 ± 0.35 ppbv h$^{-1}$) is comparable with the values estimated by Li et al. (2018) (0.4 ppbv h$^{-1}$) and R.-J. Huang et al. (2017) (0.28 ppbv h$^{-1}$). It should be noted that the measured NO concentration was used to calculate the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$. In addition to vehicle emissions, power plants and industries also contribute to the NO emissions. A total of 40 % of the NOx was from vehicle emissions according to the NOx emission inventory for Beijing (He et al., 2002).

It should be noted that the OH concentration was estimated based on $J_{\text{O}1D}$ (Tan et al., 2019, 2018) or $J_{\text{O}1D}$ and $J_{\text{NO}_2}$ (Li et al., 2018). As was discussed in Sect. 3.2, HONO was an important primary OH source in the daytime. Unfortunately,
it could not be parameterized to calculate the OH concentration because the measured and modeled OH concentrations were unavailable in this study. This may lead to an underestimation of the early daytime OH concentration and, subsequently, to the underestimation of the contribution of the homogeneous reaction of NO with OH to the HONO source. This needs to be further investigated in the future.

3.3.4 Photolysis of nitrate

A recent study reported the photolysis rate of nitrate \( (J_{\text{nitrate}}) \) in ambient PM\(_{2.5}\) at a solar zenith angle of 0° (Bao et al., 2018). The \( J_{\text{nitrate}} \) varied from \( 1.22 \times 10^{-5} \) to \( 4.84 \times 10^{-4} \) s\(^{-1}\), with a mean value of \( 8.24 \times 10^{-5} \) s\(^{-1}\). These values were further normalized according to the zenith angle and UV light at our observation station to calculate the lower limit, the upper limit, and the middle \( J_{\text{nitrate}} \). The time series of the measured nitrate concentration and the middle value of \( J_{\text{nitrate}} \) are shown in Figs. 1 and S7, respectively. Therefore, the corresponding daytime lower limit, middle value, and upper limit of HONO from the photolysis of nitrate were calculated to be \( 0.0011 \pm 0.0021\)–0.092, \( 0.0072 \pm 0.0021\)–0.66 \( \pm 0.092\), and \( 0.042 \pm 0.082\)–3.86 \( \pm 0.008 \) ppbv h\(^{-1}\), respectively, using Eqs. (3) and (8).

3.3.5 Heterogeneous reactions of NO\(_2\) on aerosol and ground surfaces

The production of HONO from heterogeneous reactions of NO\(_2\) on aerosol surfaces was calculated using Eqs. (3) and (5). The aerosol surface concentration was measured using an SMPS. The NO\(_2\) uptake coefficient \( (\gamma) \) on the different particles varies from \( 5 \times 10^{-9} \) to \( 9.6 \times 10^{-6} \) (Ndour et al., 2009; Underwood et al., 2001, 1999), but the recommended value is \( 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \) (Crowley et al., 2010), which was used to calculate the \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) as the base case. It has been found that the \( \gamma \) value highly depends on the relative humidity (RH). The lower limit bound of \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) was calculated based on the RH-dependent NO\(_2\) uptake coefficient on kaolinite \( (\gamma_{\text{NO}_2} = 4.47 \times 10^{39}/(1.75 \times 10^{46} + 1.93 \times 10^{45} \text{RH}) \) ), while the upper limit of \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) was calculated according to the RH-dependent \( \gamma \) on hematite \( (\gamma_{\text{NO}_2} = 4.46 \times 10^{39}/(6.73 \times 10^{44} + 3.48 \times 10^{44} \text{RH}) \) (Liu et al., 2015). The heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on BC was also considered at night. The surface area concentration of BC was calculated based on its specific area (87 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) (Su et al., 2018) and the measured mass concentration. The \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2} \) on BC is \( 1.17 \times 10^{-5} \) and the HONO yield is 0.8 (Han et al., 2013). The light-enhanced \( \gamma \) of NO\(_2\) (1.9 \( \times 10^{-6} \)) on mineral dust was further parameterized (Ndour et al., 2008) after normalizing it to the solar radiation intensity in Beijing.

The contribution of the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on ground surfaces was calculated in a way similar to that used for mineral dust. The same kinetics as those for the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on aerosol surfaces were used to calculate the nighttime contribution of the reactions on the ground surface (Zhang et al., 2016; Aumont et al., 2003). A recent study observed that UV light causes a significant enhancement of NO\(_2\) and HONO formation on urban grime (J. Liu et al., 2019). Thus, the RH-dependent kinetic data measured on urban grime \( (\gamma_{\text{NO}_2} = 7.4 \times 10^{-7} + 5.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{RH}) \) were used to calculate the daytime upper limit for the heterogeneous uptake of NO\(_2\) on the ground surfaces. The \( A_s \) of aerosols varied from \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 4.8 \times 10^{-3} \) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean value of \( 1.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m\(^{-1}\), during pollution events. This value is comparable with that used in modeling studies (Zhang et al., 2016; Aumont et al., 2003). The \( A_s \) of the ground surfaces, which was calculated using Eqs. (6) and (7), varied from \( 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \) to \( 3.85 \times 10^{-2} \) m\(^{-1}\), with a mean value of \( 1.3 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-2} \) m\(^{-1}\), during pollution events. The surface roughness was calculated to be 3.85 using Eq. (7). The \( Y_{\text{HONO}} \) was set to 0.5 because of the hydrolysis reaction of NO\(_2\) (Liu et al., 2015), while it was set to 0.8 for the light-enhanced reaction (J. Liu et al., 2019; Ndour et al., 2008) and that on BC (Han et al., 2013).

The lower limit, the middle value, and the upper limit of \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) were 0.00012 \pm 0.00009–0.0025 \pm 0.0021, 0.00043 \pm 0.00020–0.0028 \pm 0.0038, and 0.0022 \pm 0.0012–0.0050 \pm 0.0038 ppbv h\(^{-1}\), respectively. The corresponding \( P_{\text{ground}} \) values were 0.00027 \pm 0.00017–0.0020 \pm 0.0012, 0.0014 \pm 0.00095–0.0089 \pm 0.006, and 0.0025 \pm 0.0023–0.060 \pm 0.032 ppbv h\(^{-1}\), respectively. The \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) calculated in this study was much lower than that estimated by R.-J. Huang et al. (2017) because different calculation methods were used. In their study, the production rate of HONO was estimated based on the conversion rate (R.-J. Huang et al., 2017), while in this study, it was calculated based on the measured aerosol surface area concentration and NO\(_2\) uptake coefficient on different particles. In addition, the calculated \( P_{\text{aerosol}} \) was 2–4 orders of magnitude lower than that of other sources due to the very small \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2} \) value on particle surfaces.

It should be pointed out that the HONO production from heterogeneous reactions of NO\(_2\) on both aerosol and ground surfaces greatly depends on the \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2,BET} \) and \( A_s \) values. The \( A_s \) of aerosols is comparable with the modeling input. However, the nighttime \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2,BET} \) \( (10^{-8}–10^{-7}) \) values used in this study are smaller than the \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2,BET} \) \( (1 \times 10^{-6}) \) values used in the modeling studies (Zhang et al., 2016; Aumont et al., 2003; Gall et al., 2016). This leads to a lower production rate of HONO from the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on aerosols. As for the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on ground surfaces, in addition to the small \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2,BET} \) values used in this study, the \( A_s \) of the ground surfaces \( (0.0015 \text{ to } 0.0385 \text{ m}^{-1}) \), which was calculated using the surface roughness and the PBL height, was also significantly lower than the fixed value of 0.3 m\(^{-1}\) used in the modeling studies, which may have led to the overestimation of the contribution of the HONO production of the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on the ground surfaces. It should be noted that the initial uptake coefficient \( (Y_{ini}) \) was parameterized in this study. This led to
the overestimation of the contribution of the heterogeneous reaction of NO₂ to the HONO source because the steady-state uptake coefficient is usually 1 order of magnitude lower than γ_ni (Han et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). These results reveal that the heterogeneous reaction should be unimportant for the HONO source in Beijing.

3.3.6 Sinks of HONO

The loss rates of HONO by photolysis (L_photolysis), the homogeneous reaction with OH radicals (L_HONO-OH), and dry deposition were calculated using Eqs. (9)–(11). The daytime J_HONO varied from $1.71 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1.13 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ on polluted days in winter, and it ranged from $5.89 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ from April to June. These values are comparable to the modeling results ($3.9 \times 10^{-5}$–$1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹) (Gall et al., 2016). The daytime L_photolysis values were $0.03$–$5.23$ and $0.25$–$7.10$ ppbv h⁻¹ in the winter and in the other months, respectively. It was the primary HONO sink in the daytime. It was determined that the L_HONO-OH value varied from 0.0049 to 0.069 ppbv h⁻¹ in winter and using a $k_{HONO-OH}$ value of $6 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson et al., 2004) and the middle value of the OH concentrations. It varied from 0.0050 to 0.085 ppbv h⁻¹ from April to June. The L_deposition value calculated using Eq. (11) was 0.004–0.056 ppbv h⁻¹ in winter and 0.004–0.030 ppbv h⁻¹ from April to June.

As was noted in Sect. 2.2, the vertical transport by advection is an important nocturnal sink of HONO (Gall et al., 2016). In this study, the vertical distribution of HONO concentration was unavailable. Recently, Meng et al. (2020) measured the vertical distribution of HONO in Beijing in December 2016. The concentration of HONO exhibited a nearly flat profile from ground level to 240 m during pollution events after sunset, while negative profiles of HONO were observed during pollution events at night (Meng et al., 2020). The nighttime concentration gradient derived from the nighttime dataset was 0.0047 ± 0.0025 ppb m⁻¹ (Meng et al., 2020). Because the daytime vertical gradient of the HONO concentration is unavailable in Beijing, we did not calculate the daytime vertical transport. However, the eddy diffusivity of the heat in an urban environment was measured in New Delhi, India (Yadav et al., 2003). Using their dataset for wind speeds of less than 2.0 m s⁻¹, we derived the relationship between $K_v$ and the wind speed (WS) ($K_v = 0.9389 \times WS − 0.3374$ m² s⁻¹). According to Eq. (12), the nighttime $T_{vertical}$ ranged from 0.15 to 0.37 ppbv h⁻¹ in winter, while it ranged from 0.12 to 0.68 ppbv h⁻¹ from April to June. Because the wind speed was usually lower than 1.0 m s⁻¹ during pollution events (Fig. S6), the contribution of the horizontal transport to the daytime HONO sources and sinks decreased because of the short lifetime of HONO. At night, in the winter, 79 % of the surface wind speeds were lower than 1.0 m s⁻¹ on pollution days when the PM₂.₅ concentration was greater than 50 µg m⁻² and the RH was less than 90 %; thus the air masses from suburban areas should influence the sources and sinks of HONO in Beijing. If the background HONO concentration is zero, the vertical and horizontal transport rate of HONO calculated using Eq. (13) varied from 0.17 to 0.61 ppbv h⁻¹ on haze days in winter and from 0.15 to 0.74 ppbv h⁻¹ during pollution events from April to June. These values are higher than those calculated using Eq. (12). Because the background HONO concentration was unavailable, in the following section, we only considered the nighttime transport calculated using Eq. (12).

3.3.7 Comparison among the different HONO sources

Figure 3 summarizes the diurnal patterns of each source with different parameterizations during the pollution events from February to March. The black dots and lines indicate the middle values, while the shaded area indicates the corresponding lower bound and upper bound. In the nighttime, vehicle and soil emissions and the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH were the important sources of HONO. In the daytime, however, the photolysis of nitrate and the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH were the predominant sources of HONO. Heterogeneous reactions of NO₂ on the aerosol and ground surfaces were unimportant compared with the other sources because of the very low uptake coefficient compared with those used in the modeling studies (Zhang et al., 2016; Aumont et al., 2003).

Figure 4a–f show the HONO budget estimated using the middle values of the parameters during the pollution events. The mean production rate of HONO of these identified sources was 0.16–1.76 ppbv h⁻¹, while...
the corresponding loss rate was from 0.21–2.34 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) during the pollution events in winter. The main loss of HONO was through photolysis during the daytime (1.74 ± 0.44 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)), while it was through vertical transport in the nighttime (0.28 ± 0.08 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)). Direct emissions from vehicle exhaust were the largest nighttime source of HONO (0.22 ± 0.06 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)), followed by the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH (0.04 ± 0.01 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)), emissions from soils (0.014 ± 0.005 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)), the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on the ground surfaces (0.006 ± 0.002 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)), and the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on the aerosol surfaces (0.0005 ± 0.0001 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)). \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) and \(P\)\(_{\text{unknown}}\) dominated the daytime HONO production, with daytime mean values of 0.49 ± 0.35 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) and 0.34 ± 0.23 ppbv h\(^{-1}\), respectively. As is shown in Fig. 4, these six sources still underestimate the daytime sources of HONO. The \(P\)\(_{\text{unknown}}\) was 0.25 ± 0.24 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) in February and March, while it was 0.50 ± 0.38 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) from April to June.

The \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) contributed 57.3 ± 17.9 % and 33.9 ± 15.2 % to the nighttime HONO sources from February to March and during the other months, respectively, even when the \(P\)\(_{\text{unknown}}\) value was taken into consideration. The relative contribution of the daytime \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) decreased to 12.6 ± 14.3 % in winter and was 9.3 ± 8.4 % from April to June. Thus, the daily mean \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) fractions were 40.5 ± 27.5 % and 24.3 ± 17.7 % from February to March and from April to June, respectively. This means that \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) dominated the nighttime HONO source during the pollution events in Beijing, which is consistent with the results of previous studies, i.e., that vehicle emissions were the major nighttime HONO source (51.1 %–52 %) in Beijing (J. W. Zhang et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2020). As was pointed out in Sect. 3.3, \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) was calculated based on the NO\(_x\) inventory from the vehicle sector. However, NO is prone to be quickly converted to NO\(_2\) and NO\(_3\) in the atmosphere (e.g., HONO, NO\(_3\), N\(_2\)O\(_5\), peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), and organonitrate) by O\(_3\), HO\(_2\), RO\(_2\), and OH. It is reasonable to assume that local traffic emissions dominate the ambient NO source in an urban environment. Thus, the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH in the atmosphere could also be related to vehicle exhaust. As is shown in Fig. 3, the diurnal curve of \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) coincides well with that of the OH concentration (Fig. S10). This means that the \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) value should be primarily determined by the OH concentration. However, the \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) value should still reflect the indirect contribution of traffic-related emissions to the HONO source because the ambient NO concentration was used to calculate the \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) value. Traffic-related HONO sources (\(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) + \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\)) may contribute 59.3 ± 20.7 % and 36.2 ± 14.4 % to the daily HONO source in winter and in the other months, respectively. Even if 40 % of the NO\(_x\) was from vehicle exhaust in Beijing (He et al., 2002), traffic-related sources (\(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) + 0.4\(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\)) may still contribute 48.1 ± 24.3 % in winter and 29.6 ± 16.2 % from April to June due to the corresponding daily HONO source. The contribution of the traffic-related sources was still an important daytime source of HONO (42.3 ± 10.3 % for \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) + \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) and 24.4 ± 11.3 % for \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) + 0.4\(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\) on the polluted days in winter.

As is shown in Fig. 3, uncertainties existed when calculating each HONO source. To further understand the role of traffic emissions, we also estimated the lower limit of the traffic-related contribution as follows. (1) The lower limit of \(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) was obtained by using the lowest reported HONO/NO\(_x\) emission ratio from vehicles (0.18 %) (Y. Liu et al., 2017) rather than the value of 1.09 %, which was the empirical value calculated based on the field measurements (Fig. S7). (2) The lower limit for the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH radical was calculated using the method of Li et al. (2018). (3) The upper limit of the emission rate from the soil was estimated using the emission flux of HONO at a low water content (Oswald et al., 2013). (4) The upper limit of the HONO production rate of the heterogeneous reaction of NO\(_2\) on the aerosol surfaces was calculated using the large RH-dependent NO\(_2\) uptake coefficient on hematite (Liu et al., 2015) rather than the value recommended by Crowley et al. (2010). (5) The upper limit for the heterogeneous reaction on the ground surfaces was calculated using the RH-dependent kinetic data measured on urban grime (J. Liu et al., 2019). As is shown in Fig. 5, the traffic-related source (\(E\)\(_{\text{vehicle}}\) + \(P\)\(_{\text{NO-OH}}\)) contributed 38.0 ± 15.6 % to the daily HONO sources in winter when all of the NO was assumed to be dominated by local traffic emissions, whereas it contributed 31.5 ± 17.5 % when only 40 % of the NO was considered to be from local traffic emissions (He et al., 2002). Under these circumstances, the daytime \(P\)\(_{\text{unknown}}\) of the HONO winter increased to 1.06 ± 0.36 ppbv h\(^{-1}\), which corresponded to 63.2 ± 10.1 % of the HONO source. This means that these assumptions may underestimate the contribution of the HONO sources. In addition, the \(P\)\(_{\text{unknown}}\) values could also be partially related to NO\(_x\) traffic emissions (Lee et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2017). These results indicate that the contribution of traffic-related emissions may be larger than that estimated in this study. Therefore, our results at least suggest that traffic-related emissions should be a very important HONO source in winter in Beijing, but comprehensive modeling studies are required to attain more precise results.

4 Conclusions and atmospheric implications

In this study, we found a good correlation between the increase in the OA and nitrate mass concentrations and the consumed HONO from early morning to noon during the polluted days in winter. This suggests that HONO may promote aerosol formation during pollution events in Beijing. This promotion effect on aerosol formation could be related to OH production by the photolysis of HONO followed by the oxidation of the corresponding precursors. Our observa-
Figure 4. The HONO budget. (a, b) Diurnal production rates of HONO; (c, d) loss rates of HONO; (e, f) relative contributions of each source on polluted days with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of greater than 50 µg m$^{-3}$ and RH values of less than 90%. Panels (a), (c), and (e) show the data from 1 February to 31 March, and panels (b), (d), and (f) show the data from 1 April to 30 June.

Figure 5. (a–b) Diurnal production rates and (c–d) diurnal loss rates of HONO; (e–f) relative contributions of the HONO sources on polluted days with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of greater than 50 µg m$^{-3}$ and RH values of less than 90%. The $E_{\text{vehicle}}$ value was calculated using the lower limit of the HONO/NO$_x$ from vehicles (0.18%; Liu et al., 2017d), and the $P_{\text{NO-OH}}$ was calculated using the lower limit of the OH concentration, while the upper limits of the $E_{\text{soil}}$, $P_{\text{aerosol}}$, and $P_{\text{ground}}$ were used as described in the text.

tions support the results of recent modeling studies that have shown that HONO may significantly promote secondary aerosol mass formation (J. Zhang et al., 2019; J. W. Zhang et al., 2019; Xing et al., 2019; An et al., 2013). Based on budget analysis calculations, traffic-related sources (direct emissions and conversion of NO from vehicle emissions) were an important contributor to the HONO sources during the polluted days in winter in Beijing. This means that HONO from the traffic-related sources may play an important role in aerosol mass formation in the atmosphere.

The number of vehicles in China is increasing very quickly (F. Liu et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2011). Thus, the negative influences of vehicle emissions on air quality will become more serious, especially in populous metropolitan areas (Yang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2020), such as Beijing and Shanghai, if targeted pollution control technologies are not applied. It has been estimated that vehicles emissions account for over 40% of the total urban NO$_x$ emissions in Beijing (He et al., 2002). In the atmosphere, NO$_x$ undergoes a very complicated reaction network, which finally leads to aerosol mass formation and the production of ozone in VOC-
limited environments. Moreover, reactions with NO\textsubscript{3} also lead to the production of several reactive NO\textsubscript{x} species (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). In particular, HONO is an important precursor of OH, which governs the conversion of primary pollutants into secondary pollutants in the atmosphere. In addition to the indirect production of HONO from NO, vehicles also directly emit HONO. Even when the lower limit of the emission factor is used to calculate the HONO source from vehicles, the traffic-related emissions are still an important source of HONO in winter in Beijing. Therefore, this study indicates that the mitigation of HONO and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from vehicles may be an effective way of reducing secondary aerosol mass formation and can have a positive effect on severe haze events in winter in Beijing.

It should be noted that we only considered O\textsubscript{3} and HONO when discussing the sources of OH. Other sources such as the reaction between HO\textsubscript{2} (and RO\textsubscript{2}) and NO, the ozonolysis of alkenes, and the photolysis of oxygenated VOCs may also contribute to the amount of OH radicals in the atmosphere (Tan et al., 2018). In the future it will be vital to comprehensively analyze the OH sources and to quantify the role of HONO in secondary aerosol mass formation, although the photolysis of HONO is the primary OH source in winter. However, as was discussed in Sect. 3.3, the uncertainties in the HONO budget may originate from the emission factors, OH concentration, and reaction kinetics. The source of HONO from vehicles was calculated based on the emission inventories, which likely have a significant bias (Squires et al., 2020). For example, the emission flux of NO\textsubscript{3} calculated using the emission inventory of Yang et al. (2019) is 2.4 ± 0.5 greater than the emission flux reported by Squires et al. (2020). In addition, the exact height of the vertical mixing of HONO was assumed to be the same as the PBL height; this may lead to an underestimation of the contributions of vehicle and soil emissions and the heterogeneous reaction on the ground surfaces. To take the next step, the emission factors from vehicle exhaust under real road conditions must be measured. When calculating the OH concentration, the correlation between the OH concentration and \(J_{\text{OH}}\xspace\xspace\) may vary with location and season due to their different NO\textsubscript{x}/VOCs ratios (Holland et al., 2003). Direct measurements of the OH concentration would decrease the uncertainties in both the OH sources and the HONO budget analysis. The importance of vehicle emissions to the HONO sources also needs to be further confirmed during special periods, such as the Chinese New Year, when vehicle emissions are significantly reduced in the future. Finally, in the future, it is necessary to quantify the contribution of traffic-related sources of HONO to OH production and secondary aerosol formation based on modeling studies.

Data availability. The experimental data are available upon request to the corresponding authors.
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