Emission of biogenic volatile organic compounds from warm and oligotrophic seawater in the Eastern Mediterranean
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Abstract. Biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) from terrestrial vegetation and marine organisms contribute to photochemical pollution and affect the radiation budget, cloud properties and precipitation via secondary organic aerosol formation. Their emission from both marine and terrestrial ecosystems is substantially affected by climate change in ways that are currently not well characterized. The Eastern Mediterranean Sea was identified as a climate change “hot spot”, making it a natural laboratory for investigating the impact of climate change on BVOC emissions from both terrestrial and marine vegetation. We quantified the mixing ratios of a suite of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including isoprene, dimethyl sulfide (DMS), acetone, acetaldehyde and monoterpenes, at a mixed vegetation site ∼4 km from the southeastern tip of the Levantine Basin, where the sea surface temperature (SST) maximizes and ultra-oligotrophic conditions prevail. The measurements were performed between July and October 2015 using a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS). The analyses were supported by the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN v2.1). For isoprene and DMS mixing ratios, we identified a dominant contribution from the seawater. Our analyses further suggest a major contribution, at least for monoterpenes, from the seawater. Our results indicate that the Levantine Basin greatly contributes to isoprene emissions, corresponding with mixing ratios of up to ∼9 ppbv several kilometers inland from the sea shore. This highlights the need to update air quality and climate models to account for the impact of SST on marine isoprene emission. The DMS mixing ratios were 1 to 2 orders of magnitude lower than those measured in 1995 in the same area, suggesting a dramatic decrease in emissions due to changes in the species composition induced by the rise in SST.

1 Introduction

Biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) emitted from terrestrial vegetation and marine organisms significantly affect air pollution and health via increasing regional photochemical O3 pollution (Curci et al., 2009), enhancing local O3 removal via chemical reaction (Calfapietra et al., 2013) and serving as precursors for secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation (Griffin et al., 1999; Lang-Yona et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2017). Considering the large global emission rate of BVOCs mostly from terrestrial vegetation (700–1000 TgC yr⁻¹; Laothawornkitkul et al., 2009), biogenic SOA formation further impacts the radiation budget, precipitation and climate (Chiemchaisri et al., 2001; Wuebbles et al., 1989). BVOC oxidation likewise increases CO2 levels, as a direct product, and methane concentrations, by reducing the oxidation capacity (Penuelas et al., 2010).

Only a relatively minor fraction of all BVOCs (>10000) are known to have sufficient reactivity and emissions to play an important role in climate and photochemistry (Guenther, 2002). Here, we focus on some of the important emitted re-
active BVOCs, including 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene (isoprene), dimethyl sulfide (DMS) and some oxygenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The emission of isoprene from vegetation has received a lot of attention in recent years because this compound has the highest global emission rates among all reactive BVOCs from vegetative sources (Guenther, 2002) and due to its high photochemical reactivity and contribution to SOA amounts, estimated to be at least 27%–48% of total global SOA formation (Carlton et al., 2009; Meskhidze and Nenes, 2007). It is also well recognized that isoprene is also emitted from seawater (Bonsang et al., 1992; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007; Kameyama et al., 2014; Liakakou et al., 2007; Matsunaga et al., 2002), by marine organisms, including phytoplankton, seaweeds and microorganisms (Alvarez et al., 2009; Broadgate et al., 2004; Kameyama et al., 2014; Kuzma et al., 1995). Although the emission rates of isoprene into the marine boundary layer (MBL) are estimated to be substantially lower than terrestrial emission rates of isoprene into the marine boundary layer, 0.1–1.9 TgC yr$^{-1}$ (MBL) are estimated to be substantially lower than terrestrial emission rates of isoprene into the marine boundary layer.

Isoprene emissions in the marine environment are from Finokalia, Crete (Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos, 2002; Liakakou et al., 2007). To the best of our knowledge, only a few measurements of BVOCs have been performed in the Levantine Basin, including BVOC emissions in Cyprus (e.g., Debevec et al., 2017; Derstroff et al., 2017) and DMS measurements in Israel (Ganor et al., 2000). This study includes the first measurements of a suite of BVOCs near the Levantine Basin coast. The measurements were performed in a mixed Mediterranean vegetation shrubbery with the main objective being the study of the contribution of both seawater and local vegetation to the concentrations of key BVOCs, including isoprene, DMS, acetone, acetaldehyde and monoterpenes (MTs). A special focus was given to the effect of meteorological conditions on the contribution of each source to the measured concentrations.

DMS is another important source for SOA formation and for atmospheric sulfur. The DMS emission rate is much higher from seawater than from terrestrial vegetation because the marine environment contains different types of phytoplankton, algae and microbial activity (Gage et al., 1997; Stefels et al., 2007; Vogt and Liss, 2009). DMS emission in the MBL is estimated at 15–34.4 Tg yr$^{-1}$ (Kettle and Andreae, 2000; Lana et al., 2011), the largest natural source of sulfur in the atmosphere (Andreae, 1990; Simo, 2001), accounting for nearly half the total sulfur emissions in the atmosphere (Dani and Loreto, 2017).

Oxygenated VOCs, including aldehydes, alcohols, ketones and carboxylic acids, can induce tropospheric O$_3$ formation via alkylperoxy formation (Monks et al., 2015; Müller and Brasseur, 1999; Singh, 2004) and act as OH precursors, particularly in the upper troposphere (Lary and Shallcross, 2000; Singh et al., 1995; Wennberg et al., 1998). Similar to isoprene and DMS, oxygenated VOCs serve as precursors to SOA formation (Blando andTurpin, 2000).

The emission of BVOCs from both terrestrial and marine sources is fundamentally influenced by climate changes. For instance, most BVOC emissions from terrestrial vegetation tend to increase exponentially with temperature (Goldstein et al., 2004; Guenther et al., 1995; Monson et al., 1992; Niinemets et al., 2004; Tingey et al., 1990), while drought can negate the effect of temperature on the emission rate from vegetation (Holopainen and Gershenzon, 2010; Llusia et al., 2015; Peñuelas and Staudt, 2010; Schade et al., 1999). Increases in seawater acidification and sea surface temperature (SST) significantly affect BVOCs in various ways, including by altering the biodiversity, spatial and temporal distribution, and physiological activity of marine organisms, influences that are currently not well characterized (Beauchamp et al., 2008, 2010; Bijma et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013; Dani and Loreto, 2017). Accordingly, the effect of climate change on BVOC emissions into the MBL is largely unknown (Boyce, 2010; Dani and Loreto, 2017).

The Eastern Mediterranean Basin region has been recognized as being highly responsive to climate change and has been aptly named a primary “climate change hotspot” (Giorgi, 2006; IPCC, 2007; Lelieveld et al., 2012). This makes it an attractive site to study the impact of anthropogenic stress and climate change on marine BVOC emissions. In addition, being oligotrophic, there is a predominance of unicellular and small plankton such as cyanobacteria (Krom et al., 2010) that can more efficiently perform CO$_2$ fixation and utilize nutrients under such conditions, respectively (Fogg, 1986; Mazard et al., 2004). Moreover, the high SST tends to further shift the planktonic community toward an increase in unicellular and small plankton (Mazard et al., 2004; Rasconi et al., 2015).

At the southeastern tip of the Mediterranean Basin is the Levantine Basin, which is ultra-oligotrophic and the warmest region in the Mediterranean Sea (Shaltout and Omstedt, 2014; Azov, 1986; Krom et al., 2010; Psarra et al., 2000; Sisma-Ventura et al., 2017; Yacobi et al., 1995), particularly in its northern section (Efrati et al., 2013; Koçak et al., 2010). This region has experienced a significant increase in SST during the last decade (+0.12 ± 0.07 °C yr$^{-1}$; Ozer et al., 2016) with temperatures exceeding 30°C 2 km from the coastline in 2015 (IOLR, 2015).

Most of the surface BVOC measurements of the Eastern Mediterranean are from Finokalia, Crete (Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos, 2002; Liakakou et al., 2007). To the best of our knowledge, only a few measurements of BVOCs have been performed in the Levantine Basin, including BVOC emissions in Cyprus (e.g., Debevec et al., 2017; Derstroff et al., 2017) and DMS measurements in Israel (Ganor et al., 2000).

This study includes the first measurements of a suite of BVOCs near the Levantine Basin coast. The measurements were performed in a mixed Mediterranean vegetation shrubbery with the main objective being the study of the contribution of both seawater and local vegetation to the concentrations of key BVOCs, including isoprene, DMS, acetone, acetaldehyde and monoterpenes (MTs). A special focus was given to the effect of meteorological conditions on the contribution of each source to the measured concentrations.
2 Methods

2.1 Measurement site

Field measurements were performed in Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park (33°33′19.87″N, 32°56′50.25″E). The measurement site is situated at the edge of the park’s memorial garden. This site is located about 3.6 km from the Mediterranean shore and is 120 m above sea level. The characteristics of the park have been detailed by Li et al. (2018) and are shown in Fig. 1. The nature park consists of mixed natural Mediterranean vegetation: Quercus calliprinos (~25%), Pistacia lentiscus (~20%), the sclerophyll Phillyrea latifolia (broad-leaved phillyrea) (~7.5%), invasive species (~10%), Cupressus (5%), Sarcopoterium spinosum (~2%), Rhum- nus lycioides (~2%) and Calicotome villosa (~1%). The park’s western part features a few scattered Pinus halepensis (<5%) combined with planted pine (Pinus halepensis and Pinus brutia) and cypress (Massada et al., 2012). During the measurements, the average canopy height was ~4.5 m, the leaf area index was ~1.3, and the vegetation cover fraction was ~0.5. The site is exposed to various anthropogenic contributions: two highways are located 1.5 and 2.5 km west of the measurement site, a power plant (Hadera) is at a distance of 11 km south of the site, and a major industrial zone (Haifa) is 30 km to the north. Aquaculture farms totaling ~6 km in length, located 3.2 km to the west of the site, could potentially also contribute to BVOCs at the site.

2.2 Field measurements

The field measurements were taken at the Ramat Hanadiv site from the summer until the late autumn of 2015 (6 July–12 October 2015). The set of instruments included a platform for eddy covariance measurements of BVOCs, O3, carbon dioxide (CO2) and water vapor (H2O), trace-gas mixing ratios including O3, NOx, SO2 and CO, and basic meteorological conditions using an air-conditioned mobile laboratory and two towers (Fig. S2). Note that due to technical problems, VOC fluxes were not evaluated. The sampling routine and schematic of the setup were described in Li et al. (2018) and are summarized in Fig. S2.

The measurement and analysis of VOC concentrations include the following. VOC measurements were conducted using a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS; PTR-ToF-MS 8000, Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria). A detailed description of the instrument can be found in Graus et al. (2010) and Jordan et al. (2009).

The PTR-ToF-MS was placed inside an air-conditioned mobile laboratory, and ambient air was pulled at a rate of about 35 L min⁻¹ through an external PFA Teflon tube (3/8″ outer diameter, OD, 5/16″ inner dimension, ID) and sub-sampled by the PTR-ToF-MS at a rate of 0.51 min⁻¹ via a 1/16″ OD (1 mm ID) polyetheretherketone (PEEK) tube. The instrument inlet and drift tube were heated to 80 °C, the drift pressure was set to 2.3 mbar, and the voltage was set to 600 V; all settings were maintained at constant levels throughout the measurements, corresponding to an E/N ratio of 140 Td. Measured data were recorded by a computer at 10 Hz.

The PTR-ToF-MS was calibrated every 1–2 d for background (zero) and weekly for sensitivity (span), subject to technical limitations (see Table S1 in the Supplement). The background (zero) calibration was conducted by sampling ambient air which was passed through a catalytic converter heated to 350 °C. The sensitivity calibration was performed using gas standards (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Austria) containing methanol (0.99 ± 8 ppmv), acetonitrile (0.99 ± 6 ppmv), acetaldehyde (0.95 ± 5 ppmv), ethanol (1.00 ± 5 ppmv), acrolein (1.01 ± 5 ppmv), acetone (0.98 ± 5 ppmv), isoprene (0.95 ± 5 ppmv), crotonaldehyde (1.01 ± 5 ppmv), 2-butanone (0.99 ± 5 ppmv), benzene (0.99 ± 5 ppmv), toluene (0.99 ± 5 ppmv), o-xylene (1.02 ± 6 ppmv), chlorobenzene (1.01 ± 5 ppmv), α-pinene (1.01 ± 5 ppmv) and 1,2-dichlorobenzene (1.02 ± 5 ppmv) to obtain gas mixtures ranging from 1 to 10 ppbv. Mixing ratios of compounds for which no gas standard was available were calculated using default reaction rate constants (see Sect. S1). The PTR-ToF-MS raw hdf5 (h5) files were preprocessed by a set of routines included in the PTrwid processing suite within an Interactive Data Language (IDL) environment and described in detail in Holzinger (2015). Further data processing was performed by customized multistep MATLAB (Mathworks Inc.) post-processing routines, which included the processing of calibration, ambient measurements, chemical formula assignment, and comprehensive quality control similar to Tang et al. (2016). The list of compounds inferred from chemical formulas and further analysis (e.g., correlation matrix, diel variability and fragmentation patterns) is shown in Table S2. The uncertainties are listed according to whether a compound was explicitly calibrated and an accurate proton reaction rate constant was used (Sect. S1; Cappellin et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2017) or a default reaction rate constant (2.5 × 10⁻⁹ cm s⁻¹) for unidentified ions was employed (not reported here).

Measurements of other trace gases and micrometeorology include the following. Complementary measurements included the quantification of mixing ratios of carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx ≡ NO + NO2) and ozone (O3) using models 48i, 43s, 42i and 49i, respectively (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), with manufacturer-reported limits of detection of 4.0 ppm and 0.1, 0.4 and 1.0 ppbv, respectively. These monitors were periodically calibrated to avoid drift in their accuracy. Trace-gas mixing ratios were recorded by a CR1000 data logger (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) at a frequency of 1 min. Wind speed and wind direction were measured using an R.M. Young wind monitor 05103 (R.M. Young, Traverse City, MI, USA), the air temperature and rel-
ative humidity with a CS500 probe (Campbell Scientific), and the global radiation with a Kipp and Zonen CM3 pyranometer (Kipp and Zonen, Delft, Netherlands). These measured data were recorded with a CR10X data logger (Campbell Scientific) at a 10 min frequency. Overall, the measurements resulted in 20 d of high-quality, complete data which were divided into six different periods due to instrument downtime (see Sect. S1).

2.3 Model simulations of BVOC emission

The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN v2.1; Guenther et al., 2012) was applied to estimate the emission flux of BVOCs from the nature park according to the vegetation type, as well as the on-site measured solar radiation, temperature, soil moisture, vegetation-cover fraction and leaf area index, using the following general formula to estimate the emission flux of species $i$ ($F_i$):

$$F_i = \gamma_i \sum \varepsilon_{i,j} \chi_j,$$

where $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ is the emission factor (representing the emission under standard conditions) of vegetation type $j$, $\gamma_i$ is the emission activity factor which reflects the impact of environmental factors and phenology, and $\chi_j$ represents the vegetation effective fractional coverage area. The landscape average emission factor was estimated using the observed plant species composition at the field site (see Sect. 2.1). The major driving variables of the model are solar radiation, calculated leaf temperature, leaf age, soil moisture and leaf area index. The actual measured parameters at Ramat Hanadiv were used as input for the model, including vegetation and soil type, vegetation coverage fraction and leaf area index, soil water content, and meteorological data measured in situ. Note that only the nature park was simulated by MEGAN v2.1, while potential emissions from a nearby, relatively small memorial garden were not taken into account.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Seasonal and diel trends in measured BVOCs

Figure 2 presents the daytime average mixing ratios for selected VOCs measured in the field along with the corresponding daytime average temperatures. The presented data are not continuous due to instrument unavailability and were, therefore, separated into seven different measurement periods during the year 2015, as shown in Table 1.

Figure 2 presents both VOCs dominated by biogenic sources (BVOCs) and VOCs dominated by anthropogenic emission sources, although no compound can be regarded as exclusively biogenic or anthropogenic. The former include MTs ($m/z = 137.133, m/z = 95.086, m/z = 81.070$), isoprene + 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (MBO) ($m/z = 69.071; m69$), DMS ($m/z = 63.062$), acetone ($m/z = 59.049$), acetaldehyde ($m/z = 45.033$) and the sum of methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein (MVK + MACR; $m/z = 71.048$) (Janson and de Serves, 2001; Kanda et al., 1995; Karl et al., 2003; Park et al., 2013b). The latter include 1,3-butadiene ($m/z = 55.055$) (Knighton et al., 2009) and hydrogen sulfide ($H_2S; m/z = 34.995$) (Li et al., 2014). It is interesting to note that both MVK and MACR can have an anthropogenic source and be an oxidation product of isoprene (Fares et al., 2015; Jardine et al., 2013). Furthermore, this signal may receive contributions from dihydrofurans.

The dominating source behavior for BVOCs is reflected in their diurnal cycle, which was characterized by an increase in their mixing ratios from morning to around noon.
time or afternoon, followed by a gradual decrease until sunset (see Figs. S3–S9). We found similar day-to-day trends in the mixing ratios of all BVOCs, particularly of acetone, acetaldehyde and the MTs. This strongly reinforces the predominantly biogenic origin for these four species, considering that MTs are expected to be primarily emitted from bio-
processes (see Sect. S6). We, therefore, did not use these measurements for further analyses.

The diurnal profile of isoprene + MBO suggests a predominantly biogenic source due to a clear daytime increase and a correlation with temperature for most of the periods (Figs. 4 and S3–S9). However, its day-to-day mixing ratios showed higher variability (Fig. 2), which was quite different from both DMS and the other BVOCs. The origin of the BVOCs is explored in the next section.

### 3.2 Origin of the BVOCs

To explore the potential sources of the BVOCs, we calculated for each wind sector the percentage of time corresponding to several mixing ratio ranges individually for each species (Fig. 3). Our findings indicate elevated mixing ratios for westerly and southeasterly wind components. The relatively elevated mixing ratios from the southeast can be attributed to emissions from the memorial garden, where frequent thinning of the vegetation can contribute to the generally elevated mixing ratios of plant-wounding BVOCs which may include acetaldehyde, MVK, MACR, acetone, MT (e.g., Brilli et al., 2011, 2012; Goldstein et al., 2004; Ormeño et al., 2011; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015) and possibly isoprene (e.g., Kanagendran et al., 2018). While methanol, hexanal and hexenal measurements also indicated elevated mixing ratios from the southeast, our analysis did not clearly indicate a higher excess of these green-leaved species from the southeast compared to the other wounding BVOCs (Sect. S7). The elevated mixing ratios from the west may point to an additional contribution of marine origin, such as the Mediterranean Sea and/or the aquaculture farms, considering that the measurement site is surrounded by nearly homogeneous vegetation in all directions except for the memorial garden (Fig. 1). We found a smaller relative contribution of DMS from the southeast compared to the other BVOCs. The MEGAN v2.1 simulations indicated that the known plant species in the nature park should not be a significant source of isoprene. It is possible that other local plants, such as invasive species, contributed to the observed isoprene concen-

Table 1. Measurement periods and corresponding daytime mean of meteorological parameters used for the analyses* including temperature (T), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), relative humidity (RH), wind direction (WDD) and wind speed (WDS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of year (calendric day)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>PAR (W m⁻²)</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>WDD (°)</th>
<th>WDS (m s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>187–188 (6–7 July)</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>522.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>283.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197–199 (16–18 July)</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>477.1</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>251.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205–207 (24–26 July)</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>533.4</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>319.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225–226, 228 (13, 14, 16 August)</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>481.6</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>288.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257–260 (14–17 September)**</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>395.4</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>320.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268–269 (25–26 September)</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>461.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>324.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282–285 (9–12 October)</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>397.7</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>329.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Table S1 for data availability and exclusion. ** Discussed only in relation to Fig. 2 considering irregular meteorological conditions (see Sect. S6).
The relatively strong contribution of isoprene + MBO from the southeast can be attributed to MBO emissions from conifer trees (Gray et al., 2003) in the memorial garden. Similar trends in the day-to-day variation in MVK + MACR, isoprene oxidation products and isoprene + MBO (Fig. 2) could imply the contribution of the memorial garden to isoprene emissions. However, kinetic analysis indicated that the isoprene emissions from the memorial garden are much too small to account for the observed MVK + MACR associated with transported air masses from the memorial garden (see Sect. S4). The elevated mixing ratios of isoprene + MBO from the west may be primarily attributed to the emission of isoprene from marine organisms, as discussed in Sect. 3.2.1. The origin of DMS is further addressed in Sect. 3.2.2.

3.2.1 Origin apportionment of measured isoprene + MBO

For potential anthropogenic emission sources of isoprene + MBO, the indication from the MEGAN v2.1 simulations that the known plant species in the nature park are not a significant source of isoprene may suggest a significant contribution of the measured isoprene from anthropogenic sources. Moreover, as demonstrated in Sect. 3.1, the isoprene + MBO day-to-day variations differed from those of most of the other BVOCs with remarkably high variations in its mixing ratios ranging from 0.03 ppbv to nearly 9 ppbv (Fig. 2; Table S3). These day-to-day variations apparently masked the seasonal correlation of isoprene with temperature (see Fig. S10). Two highways to the west (Fig. 1) are the major potential anthropogenic isoprene emission sources at the site. The low correlation between the diurnal profile of isoprene and those of acetonitrile, benzene, toluene and carbon monoxide (see Figs. S12–S17 and S19) strongly supports the theory that there is no significant contribution to isoprene mixing ratios from traffic on the two highways, considering that benzene, toluene and carbon monoxide can be used as indicators for emissions from transportation. The dominant contribution of biogenic over anthropogenic sources to isoprene is further discussed in the following.

For potential biogenic emission sources of isoprene + MBO, Fig. 4 presents a scatter plot of isoprene + MBO mixing ratios vs. temperature $T$ for the six measurement periods. For the two periods with high and low isoprene + MBO mixing ratios, there was a clear typical biogenic diurnal trend with a maximum around noontime. This finding reinforces the notion that isoprene + MBO originates predominantly from biogenic sources. We did not, however, observe a positive correlation between isoprene + MBO mixing ratios and air $T$ in all six periods (Table 1). Furthermore, in most cases, we found no exponential increase in isoprene + MBO with air $T$, as is expected in the case of a nearby local biogenic source (e.g., Bouvier-Brown et
Figure 3. BVOC mixing ratios as a function of the contribution from each wind sector during the daytime. The radial dimension represents the fraction of time for each wind sector during which the mixing ratios were within a certain range, as specified in the color key.
al., 2009; Fares et al., 2009, 2010, 2012; Goldstein et al., 2004; Guenther et al., 1993; Kurpius and Goldstein, 2003; Richards et al., 2013). This might be related to the fact that the m69 signal is affected by the mixing ratios of both isoprene and MBO emitted locally and further away, while the local air temperature did not reflect changes of more distant leaf temperatures or SSTs. Therefore, we partitioned the isoprene + MBO signal.

For the partitioning of isoprene + MBO signal, we used the fact that MBO can also be detected at \( m/z = 87.0805 \) (m87), which typically accounts for 13%–25% of the total MBO signal (Kaser et al., 2013; Park et al., 2012, 2013a, 2013b), to learn about the ratio between the isoprene and MBO mixing ratios. Note that other species in addition to MBO, including methyl propyl ketone, pentanal and other \( \text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O} \) compounds, may contribute to the m87 signal. Hence, we refer in the following to m87 as MBO* to reflect this fact. Figure 5a presents the mixing ratios for m69 vs. m87 / m69. Periods with high mixing ratios for m69 were associated with a very low m87 / m69 ratio (less than 2%), which suggests that the emissions are predominantly of isoprene. Figure 5a also indicates that m87 / m69 > 25% was mostly measured during the nighttime, twilight and early morning. For low m69, the ratio matches the typical MBO ratio, m87 / m69, which ranges between 13% and 25% or higher (Fig. 5a).

For the isoprene origin, Fig. 6 further presents the diurnal profile for m87 / m69 < 13%, as well as the corresponding mixing ratios vs. temperature, separately for each measurement day. Interestingly, some of the measurement days presented in Fig. 4 were associated with no m87 / m69 < 13%, which is why there are fewer measurement days in Fig. 6 than in Fig. 4. The diurnal profiles in Fig. 6 support a biogenic origin for isoprene, although they were more scattered for 25–27 July. Figure 6 also demonstrates the positive correlation between the isoprene mixing ratio and temperature during all measurement days, while on several days, a sharp increase in isoprene with temperature occurred for \( T > \sim 26–28 \)°C (e.g., 6, 7 July and 16 August). In general, a higher correlation with temperature was obtained for m87 / m69 < 13% (Fig. 6) than for all m69 signals (i.e., Fig. 6 vs. Fig. 4), reinforcing the biogenic origin of isoprene with a relatively strong dependency on temperature. Furthermore, regression of m87 / m69 > 13% with temperature does not indicate a clear dependency of mixing ratios on temperature, suggesting different emission controls for MBO* and isoprene (see Fig. S21). While the MBO* mixing ratios tended to be controlled by both temperature and solar radiation, isoprene was predominantly governed by the former, which is in agreement with a previous study (see Kaser et al., 2013).

To study the origin of isoprene, we analyzed the fraction of time for which m87 / m69 < 13% vs. wind direction (Fig. 5b). We found that m87 / m69 < 13% predominantly corresponds to a western origin. These results suggest a significant contribution of isoprene from the sea or the aquaculture farm located to the west of the measurement site (Fig. 1), considering that the measurement site is nearly homogeneously surrounded by mixed Mediterranean vegetation except for the memorial garden to the southeast. Furthermore, MEGAN v2.1 simulations predicted a negligible emission rate for isoprene from the nature park. In addition, the relatively high day-to-day variation in isoprene mixing ratios (Fig. 6) further support emissions induced by marine organisms.

In some cases (~4% of the time), elevated m87 / m69 < 13% was also recorded from the southwest and northwest, which according to simulations by the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model can be entirely attributed to transport from either the sea or the aquaculture farms (see Fig. S20). The relatively small fraction of time during which m87 / m69 < 13% was from the southeast can be attributed to the emission of isoprene, while most of the elevated isoprene + MBO from this direction (Fig. 3) can be attributed to MBO from conifers.

Two facts support isoprene’s predominant sea origin rather than the aquaculture farms. First, back trajectories using HYSPLIT show no lower mixing ratios for m87 / m69 < 2% and also in cases when the air masses were transported from the sea but not over the aquaculture farms compared to transport of air masses over the aquaculture (e.g., Figs. 4 and S20). Second, marine organisms have relatively short life cycles, typically a few days (Tyrrell, 2001), and would likely have a variable source strength from the aquaculture farms, which would not coincide with the similar measured m87 / m69 < 2% mixing ratios for different wind directions during a specific day. Our measurements indicated no dependence of high m87 / m69 < 2% mixing ratios on wind direction during the day, reinforcing the sea’s dominant role in isoprene emission rather than the aquaculture farms. Yet, while it is likely that the Mediterranean Sea is the dominant isoprene source rather than the aquaculture farms or the nature park, additional measurements on the coastline are required to quantify the contribution of other isoprene sources.

Interestingly, the isoprene mixing ratios during the nighttime remained relatively high (~5–6 ppb) (Fig. 5a) possibly due to relatively small oxidative sink strength during the night. The daytime and nighttime isoprene lifetime can be estimated based on its reaction with OH, NO3 and O3. We estimated the average daytime OH and nighttime NO3 concentrations based on the Mediterranean Intensive Oxidant Study (MINOS) campaign in Finokalia, Crete (Berresheim et al., 2003; Vrekoussis et al., 2004), at \( 4.5 \times 10^6 \text{molec cm}^{-3} \) (Berresheim et al., 2003) and \( 1.1 \times 10^8 \text{molec cm}^{-5} \) (Vrekoussis et al., 2004), respectively. Using these concentrations, the reported rate constants for isoprene with OH and NO3 of \( 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Stevens et al., 1999) and \( 5.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Winer et al., 1984), respectively, and measured O3 levels, we obtained daytime and nighttime isoprene lifetimes of ~37 min and
Figure 4. Isoprene + MBO (m69) diurnal average mixing ratios and time series. (a–f) Regression scatter of measured MBO + isoprene (ISP + MBO) vs. temperature (a, b, c) and the time series of isoprene + MBO (d, e, f) for the six measurement periods: DOY 187–188 (a), DOY 197–199 (b), DOY 205–207 (c), DOY 225–226 (d), DOY 268–269 (e), DOY 282–285 (f). The scatter of the measured MBO + isoprene vs. temperature (a, b, c) excludes measurements associated with wind direction from the memorial garden (90–150°).

∼ 3.8 h, respectively. Considering the relatively moderate decrease in the measured isoprene during the night (Figs. S12–S17), this result indicates stronger isoprene emissions during the daytime but does not rule out nighttime isoprene emissions.

A rough estimation of the isoprene production rate can be calculated by subtracting the isoprene loss rate, evaluated from its calculated lifetime, from its measured mixing ratios. These simplified calculations indicate a daytime and nighttime isoprene production rate ranging between ∼ 4.9 × 10⁻⁵ and 1.7 × 10⁻² ppbv s⁻¹ (average 5.2 × 10⁻³ ± 5.6 × 10⁻³ ppbv s⁻¹) and between −1.3 × 10⁻³ and 1.3 × 10⁻³ ppbv s⁻¹ (average −1.6 × 10⁻⁶ ± 1.4 × 10⁻⁵ ppbv s⁻¹).
Figure 5. Isoprene and MBO* origins. (a) Scatter plot of m69 mixing ratios as a function of the m87 / m69 ratio. Low and high ratios indicate a predominant contribution of MBO* (see definition in Sect. 3.2.1) and isoprene, respectively. The orange dots were measured during the daytime and the dark blue dots during the night. (b) Fraction of time for each wind sector for which m87 / m69 was less than 13%.

supporting a much smaller isoprene production rate during the night vs. daytime.

3.2.2 Origin and characterization of DMS emission

The discussion in Sect. 3.1 suggests that DMS is primarily emitted from the west, pointing to a dominant marine emission source with the less elevated mixing ratios probably associated with emissions from vegetation. According to the MEGAN v2.1 simulation, the nature park's vegetation is a potent source of DMS (average flux equals 0.477 mg m⁻² h⁻¹, which is slightly higher than the flux measured from insolated branches; Jardine et al., 2015; Yonemura et al., 2005), while our analysis points to stronger emissions from the memorial garden (see Fig. 3). As with isoprene, the insensitivity of DMS mixing ratios to wind direction for westerly winds rules out a significant contribution of the aquaculture farms to the measured DMS. This suggests that the sea is a major source for DMS with an apparently strong dependency on temperature (Figs. 2, 3). DMS showed much less day-to-day variations in its mixing ratios compared to isoprene and other BVOCs. This corresponded to a clear day-to-day correlation of DMS mixing ratios with air temperature (Fig. 2). Figure 7 demonstrates a clear increase in the mixing ratios with air temperature throughout the measurement period. Note that no significant dependency of DMS on global solar radiation was observed.

The DMS mixing ratios peaked at ~0.18 ppbv. This figure is about an order of magnitude lower than at the ocean surface (Tanimoto et al., 2014), about an order of magnitude lower than in the Southern Ocean (Koga et al., 2014), slightly lower than the maximum concentrations in the southern Indian Ocean (Boucher et al., 2003) and similar to the maximum concentrations on the coasts of Tasmania (Boucher et al., 2003). Interestingly, the mixing ratios measured in this study are lower by about 1–2 orders of magnitude than those measured in the same region during August 1995 (Ganor et al., 2000). This could be attributed to a change in the marine biota as a consequence of seawater warming considering that reported SST during mid-August 2015 (IOLR, 2015) was higher than the SST reported by Ganor et al. (2000) by up to 1.5–2.1 °C.

3.2.3 Origin and characterization of other BVOCs

Our findings in Fig. 2 strongly suggest a common source for other BVOCs with isoprene. We could not, however, use a wind-direction-based analysis to indicate BVOCs' origin from the sea since both sea and vegetation are located to the west of the measurement point (see Fig. 1), and in contrast to isoprene, the other BVOCs were indicated by MEGAN v2.1 to be locally emitted. Furthermore, those BVOCs were less variable with wind direction than isoprene was. We used MT summer measurements from two other sites in Israel to assess whether MTs are likely to be transported to the measurement site.

We used the ratio between MT flux and mixing ratio at three sites as a basis to address this inquiry. Note that according to the MEGAN v2.1 simulations (see Sect. 2.3), the MT emissions in Ramat Hanadiv were driven by Quercus calliprinos (48.1%), Pistacia lentiscus (19.8%), Phillyrea latifolia (7.12%) and Cupressus spp. (6.17%), as well as other species (see Sect. S5), in contrast to the two Pinus halepensis plantations, Birya and Yatir. While the fact that MT is not emitted by the same vegetation species should not significantly affect our analysis, we recognize that there
may be differences in the MT composition and atmospheric oxidation capacity at the three sites which would influence MT lifetimes and lead to some differences in the flux-to-concentration ratios. According to MEGAN v2.1, the average and maximal daytime MT fluxes were 59 and 152 µg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively. While this predicted average flux is lower than the mean MT measurements in the Birya and Yatir forests (~200 and 800 µg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively; Seco et al., 2017), the corresponding measured mixing ratios in our study are generally higher than those measured in those two sites, where the MT mixing ratios reached above 0.5 ppbv for Birya in only a few cases and the maximum was 0.2 ppbv in Yatir. Note that the higher mixing ratios in our study, as compared to these two sites, were associated with wind direction either from the memorial garden or from the western sector (Fig. 3). This supports a relatively small local contribution of MTs in our study compared to seawater.

### 3.3 Concentrations of isoprene and DMS originating from the Levantine Basin

Previous studies demonstrated the trade-off between DMS and isoprene in the marine boundary layer due to species distribution and climate, suggesting that most regions are a source of either isoprene or DMS but not both. While isoprene is emitted from species that are more abundant in warmer regions and low-to-middle latitudes, DMS is predominantly emitted in colder regions and higher latitudes (Dani and Loreto, 2017). This is in agreement with the relatively high isoprene / DMS mixing ratios in our study. The SST in the Levantine Basin is relatively high, exceeding 30°C in August 2015 at a distance of 2 km from the coastline (IOLR, 2015). Further, SST plays a significant role in determining which phytoplankton will dominate, and, for a given marine organisms population, higher temperature and solar radiation tend to enhance their BVOC emissions, including DMS and isoprene (Dani and Loreto, 2017).
The relatively warm and oligotrophic sea enables cyanobacteria to become a large fraction of marine primary production and phytoplankton (Krom et al., 2010; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Pedrotti et al., 2017; Sarma, 2013) in the Levantine Basin, which favors, in turn, the emission of isoprene over other BVOCs, including DMS. Previous measurements have indicated the presence of cyanobacteria in the Levantine Basin during the summer of 2015 (Herut et al., 2016), with the cyanobacteria Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus being the most abundant phytoplankton along the coasts of Israel during August 2015. A laboratory experiment demonstrated the emission of isoprene from the latter (Shaw et al., 2003). Other micro-organisms in the Levantine Basin (mostly dinoflagellates and diatoms) are generally less abundant. Thalassiosira pseudonana diatoms are also abundant along the coasts of Israel, which raises the possibility that the emission of isoprene from the sea is also influenced by this species. A laboratory experiment using proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry indicated the emission of isoprene, as well as methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde from Thalassiosira pseudonana diatoms, but isoprene is the only one among these that is not consumed by bacterioplankton within the water column (Halsey et al., 2017).

DMS can be also emitted by diatoms but at lower rates under warmer conditions (Dani and Loreto, 2017; Levasseur et al., 1994). In addition, DMS is a common microbial VOC formed in various marine environments by the bacterial decomposition of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) (Bourne et al., 2013; Howard et al., 2008). DMS in the marine boundary layer is mostly emitted by dinoflagellates and haptophyte coccolithophores. Dinoflagellates, as well as Thalassiosira pseudonana diatoms, were constantly observed along the coast in estuary zones several kilometers from the measurement site (Herut et al., 2016). This might explain the relatively minor day-to-day variations in the mixing ratios of DMS (Fig. 2) which in turn resulted in a relatively high correlation of the mixing ratios with $T$ throughout the measurement periods. Cyanobacteria blooms and collapses depend on the nutrient supply and have no seasonality (Paerl and Otten, 2013), which can be an additional reason for the fluctuations in isoprene.

Our findings indicate that high isoprene emissions from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea contribute up to $\sim 9$ ppb several kilometers inland from the sea shore. The apparently strong emission of isoprene can be attributed primarily to the relatively high SST of the Levantine Basin, considering the well-known correlation of isoprene emissions with SST growth conditions (Dani and Loreto, 2017; Exton et al., 2013). Furthermore, isoprene mixing ratios tended to strongly increase with diurnal increases in air temperature, but there was no correlation with solar radiation. Our analysis points to cyanobacteria as a dominant source for the isoprene emissions, as well as other possible marine micro-biomes, supporting previous findings (Arnold et al., 2009; Bonsang et al., 2010; Dani and Loreto, 2017; Hackenberg et al., 2017; Shaw et al., 2003). Measured DMS mixing ratios were lower by 1–2 orders of magnitude than those measured in 1995 (Ganor et al., 2000) in the same area during the same season, suggesting a strong impact of SST on the decadal change in DMS emissions via changes in species composition. Considering that, according to the IPCC, ocean SST is expected to rise by 5 $^\circ$C by the year 2100 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014), efforts are required to adequately represent the complex dependency of marine BVOC emissions, such as isoprene and DMS, on SST, to improve the predictability of both air quality and climate models. Our study results indicate that this increase in SST can significantly increase the emission of isoprene into the MBL. This can greatly affect air quality, considering its high photochemical reactivity, with particularly negative implications in urbanized coastal areas where onshore wind typically occurs during the daytime and is controlled by the sea to land breeze. Furthermore, elevated isoprene emissions are expected from coastal areas where coastal upwelling can significantly affect biological activity, which was shown to correlate with BVOC emissions (Gantt et al., 2010).

A comprehensive evaluation of the impact of marine organism emissions on both the atmospheric chemistry and ra-

![Figure 7. Daytime DMS mixing ratios from the western sector (marine source) as a function of the temperature along the measurement campaign. An exponential fit between the two is included.](image)
diative budget should rely on a suite of gases. Along with the high isoprene levels, relatively low DMS mixing ratios were observed under the studied conditions, which supports previous studies that have indicated a general contrasting spatial distribution partially controlled by SST and latitude (Yokouchi et al., 1999) and lower DMS emission under relatively low temperature (Dani and Loreto, 2017). While DMS and isoprene emissions are influenced in a contrasting manner by changes in SST, both tend to rise in response to a SST increase for a given phytoplankton population (Dani and Loreto, 2017), as supported by this study.

A significant contribution of oceanic emissions of other BVOCs, such as acetone, acetaldehyde and monoterpenes, has also been reported by previous studies. We found supporting indications for dominant emissions of MT from the Levantine Basin, further suggesting significant emissions of other BVOCs from this source. The analyses also indicate that estuaries play a potentially important role in facilitating the emission of DMS, and probably additional BVOCs, by maintaining a suitable environment for phytoplankton growth. In agreement with a previous study (Goldstein et al., 2004), our analyses suggest that thinning may play an important role in facilitating BVOC emissions, a mechanism which should be taken into consideration especially in urban areas with cultivated parks and gardens.

This study demonstrates that most of the VOCs studied here are controlled by both anthropogenic and marine and terrestrial biogenic emission sources, highlighting the need for the strict identification of the origin and representative models for both emission source types. Our study further highlights the Levantine Basin’s capability to serve as a natural laboratory for studying both anthropogenic stress and climate change on marine BVOC emissions. More comprehensive research is required to directly address the impact of oligotrophication and increased SST on marine BVOC emissions.
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