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Abstract. The Brewer–Dobson circulation (BDC) is a stratospheric circulation characterized by upwelling of tropospheric air in the tropics, poleward flow in the stratosphere, and downwelling at mid and high latitudes, with important implications for chemical tracer distributions, stratospheric heat and momentum budgets, and mass exchange with the troposphere. As the photochemical losses of nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) are well known, model differences in its rate of change are due to transport processes that can be separated into the mean residual advection and the isentropic mixing terms in the transformed Eulerian mean (TEM) framework. Here, the climatological impact of the stratospheric BDC on the long-lived tracer N\textsubscript{2}O is evaluated through a comparison of its TEM budget in the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM), in a chemical reanalysis of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder version 2 (BRAM2) and in a chemistry transport model (CTM) driven by four modern reanalyses: the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al., 2011), the Japanese 55-year Reanalysis (JRA-55; Kobayashi et al., 2015), and the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications version 1 (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011) and version 2 (MERRA-2; Gelaro et al., 2017).

The effects of stratospheric transport on the N\textsubscript{2}O rate of change, as depicted in this study, have not been compared before across this variety of datasets and have never been investigated in a modern chemical reanalysis. We focus on the seasonal means and climatological annual cycles of the two main contributions to the N\textsubscript{2}O TEM budget: the vertical residual advection and the horizontal mixing terms.

The N\textsubscript{2}O mixing ratio in the CTM experiments has a spread of approximately \sim 20\% in the middle stratosphere, reflecting the large diversity in the mean age of air obtained with the same CTM experiments in a previous study. In all datasets, the TEM budget is closed well; the agreement between the vertical advection terms is qualitatively very good in the Northern Hemisphere, and it is good in the Southern Hemisphere except above the Antarctic region. The datasets do not agree as well with respect to the horizontal mixing term, especially in the Northern Hemisphere where horizontal mixing has a smaller contribution in WACCM than in the reanalyses. WACCM is investigated through three model reanalyses and a sensitivity test using the previous version of the gravity wave parameterization. The internal variability of the horizontal mixing in WACCM is large in the polar regions and is comparable to the differences between the dynamical reanalyses. The sensitivity test has a relatively small impact on the horizontal mixing term, but it significantly changes the vertical advection term and produces a less realistic N\textsubscript{2}O annual cycle above the Antarctic. In this region, all reanalyses show a large wintertime N\textsubscript{2}O decrease, which is mainly due to horizontal mixing. This is not seen with WACCM, where the horizontal mixing term barely contributes to the TEM budget. While we must use caution in the interpretation...
of the differences in this region (where the reanalyses show large residuals of the TEM budget), they could be due to the fact that the polar jet is stronger and is not tilted equatorward in WACCM compared with the reanalyses.

We also compare the interannual variability in the horizontal mixing and the vertical advection terms between the different datasets. As expected, the horizontal mixing term presents a large variability during austral fall and boreal winter in the polar regions. In the tropics, the interannual variability of the vertical advection term is much smaller in WACCM and JRA-55 than in the other experiments. The large residual in the reanalyses and the disagreement between WACCM and the reanalyses in the Antarctic region highlight the need for further investigations on the modeling of transport in this region of the stratosphere.

1 Introduction

The Brewer–Dobson circulation (BDC; Dobson et al., 1929; Brewer, 1949; Dobson, 1956) in the stratosphere is characterized by upwelling of tropospheric air to the stratosphere in the tropics, followed by poleward transport in the stratosphere and extra-tropical downwelling. For tracer-transport purposes the BDC is often divided into an advective component, the residual mean meridional circulation (hereafter residual circulation) and a quasi-horizontal two-way mixing, which causes net transport of tracers but not of mass (Butchart, 2014).

The BDC is driven by tropospheric waves breaking into the stratosphere (Charney and Drazin, 1961), which transfer angular momentum and force the stratosphere away from its radiative equilibrium. This is balanced by a poleward displacement of air masses, which implies tropical upwelling and extra-tropical downwelling (Holton, 2004). The residual circulation can be further separated into three branches: the transition branch, the shallow branch and the deep branch (Lin and Fu, 2013). The transition branch encompasses the upper part of the transition layer between the troposphere and the stratosphere (the tropical tropopause layer; Fueglistaler et al., 2009); the shallow branch is a year-round, lower stratospheric, two-cell system driven by breaking of synoptic-scale waves; and the deep branch is driven by Rossby and gravity waves breaking in the middle and high parts of the stratosphere during winter (Plumb, 2002; Birner and Bönisch, 2011). The contributions of different wave types to driving the BDC branches has been quantified using the downward control principle, which states that the poleward mass flux across an isentropic surface is controlled by the Rossby or gravity waves breaking above that level (Haynes et al., 1991; Rosenlof and Holton, 1993), and using eddy heat flux calculations as an estimate of the wave activity from the troposphere (e.g., Newman and Nash, 2000).

The quasi-horizontal two-way mixing is generated by two-way transport due to the adiabatic motion of Rossby waves. In the stratosphere this motion is ultimately combined with molecular diffusion which makes the total process irreversible (Shepherd, 2007). The two-way mixing is stronger in a specific latitudinal region of the winter stratosphere, the “surf zone” (McIntyre and Palmer, 1983), and in the subtropical lower stratosphere all year round (e.g., Fig.1 of Bönisch et al., 2011). The mixing process homogenizes the tracer concentration in the surf zone and creates sharp tracer and potential vorticity (PV) gradients on its edges (in the subtropics and at the polar vortex edge), indicating an inhibition of mixing. For this reason, the subtropics and the polar vortex edge are often called transport barriers (Shepherd, 2007).

The BDC plays a major role in controlling the spatial and temporal distributions of chemical tracers, such as ozone, water vapor, aerosols and greenhouse gases, as well as in coupling stratospheric processes with the climate system (Riese et al., 2012; Butchart, 2014; Tweedy et al., 2017). The natural variability of the atmosphere largely influences the BDC (Hardiman et al., 2017). All three branches of the BDC are affected by changes in sea surface temperatures and the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (Yang et al., 2014; Diallo et al., 2019) as well as the phase of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO, Diallo et al., 2018) and the Arctic oscillation (Salby and Callaghan, 2005).

Modeling studies have predicted an acceleration of the BDC over the last few decades and the 21st century due to the increase in well-mixed greenhouse gases (Butchart et al., 2010; Hardiman et al., 2014; Palmeiro et al., 2014) and ozone-depleting substances (Polvani et al., 2018), but these results cannot be evaluated easily because the BDC cannot be observed directly (Butchart, 2014). Observational studies over short periods (typically 2003–2012) show significant evidence of a changing BDC in the boreal lower stratosphere (Schoeberl et al., 2008; Stiller et al., 2012; Hegglín et al., 2014; Mahieu et al., 2014; Haenel et al., 2015), but balloon-borne observations of SF₆ and CO₂ in the northern midlatitudes show a nonsignificant trend of the deep branch of the BDC over the past few decades (Engel et al., 2009, 2017). This difficulty in deriving observational trends in the BDC can be partly attributed to the spatial and temporal sparseness of the observations as well as its large dynamical variability and the uncertainty of trends derived from nonlinearly increasing tracers (Garcia et al., 2011; Hardiman et al., 2017; Fritsch et al., 2020). Before investigating multi-decadal changes of the BDC, it is important to perform an accurate evaluation of its climatological state and interannual variability, which is the aim of this paper.

In this study, we use N₂O as a tracer to study the BDC. N₂O is continuously emitted in the troposphere (with larger abundances in the Northern Hemisphere, NH) and transported into the stratosphere where it is destroyed by photodissociation and, to a lesser extent, by reaction with O(¹D). The estimated lifetime of N₂O is approximately 120 years,
which makes it an excellent long-lived tracer for transport studies in the middle atmosphere (Brasseur and Solomon, 2006; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016).

We use the transformed Eulerian mean (TEM, Andrews et al., 1987) analysis to separate the local rates of change of N$_2$O due to transport and chemistry (Randel et al., 1994). The transport term can be further separated into the contribution of isentropic mixing and residual advection, as done previously for O$_3$ and CO (Abalos et al., 2013). The isentropic mixing and the residual advection can be additionally separated in their horizontal and vertical contributions. In the tropical lower stratosphere, the distinction between vertical and horizontal transport is important, as they impact the seasonality of N$_2$O differently in the northern and southern tropics (Twedy et al., 2017). We choose to focus our study on the horizontal mixing and vertical advection, because their magnitudes are larger than the vertical mixing and the meridional residual advection in most of the stratosphere.

Chemistry climate models (CCMs) include the full representation of dynamical, radiative and chemical processes in the atmosphere as well as their interactions. In particular, they combine the feedbacks of the chemical tracers on the heat budget and dynamics, which ultimately affects tracer transport. We use version 4 of the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM; Garcia et al., 2017) to simulate the N$_2$O TEM budget in the stratosphere for the 2005–2014 period. WACCM has been widely used for studies of tracers’ transport in the stratosphere and upper troposphere based on the TEM analysis (e.g., Abalos et al., 2017). WACCM simulations of the climatological N$_2$O over the 2005–2014 period have also been evaluated favorably with satellite observations in the stratosphere (Froidevaux et al., 2019).

In order to assess their representation of the atmospheric processes, CCMs are often compared to reanalyses (e.g., Gerber et al., 2010). Reanalysis products merge dynamical atmospheric observations (e.g., surface pressure, wind and temperature) with a global forecast model using an assimilation scheme to offer the best reproduction of the past climate. They provide a multivariate, consistent record of the global atmospheric state. Reanalyses are made using different assimilation methods and forecast models (Cameron et al., 2019), and they are often compared among each other and with CCMs (Rao et al., 2015). The SPARC (Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate) Reanalysis Intercomparison Project (S-RIP) coordinates the intercomparison of all major global atmospheric reanalyses and provides reports to document these results (Fujiiwara et al., 2017; Long et al., 2017).

Meteorological fields from reanalyses are often used to drive chemistry transport models (CTMs) in order to study the BDC through a common diagnostic, namely the age of air (AoA; Waugh and Hall, 2002), and simulate realistic distributions of chemical tracers (Monge-Sanz et al., 2012; Ménard et al., 2020). Thanks to their simplicity, CTMs are useful to compare different reanalyses within the same transport framework, thereby contributing to the study of the BDC in S-RIP (chapter 5 of the S-RIP report; see Fig. 1 in Fujiwara et al., 2017). CTMs may use either sigma-pressure levels with a kinematic transport scheme and vertical velocities simply derived from mass conservation or isentropic levels with a diabatic transport scheme (Chipperfield, 2006). Recent intercomparisons showed that the AoA depends to a large extent on the input reanalysis, both using the kinematic approach (Chabrillat et al., 2018) and the diabatic approach (Ploeger et al., 2019).

Here, we use the same CTM as for the kinematic AoA study, i.e., the Belgian Assimilation System of Chemical Observation (BASCOE) CTM. Observations of another long-lived stratospheric tracer, HCFC-22, were recently interpreted with WACCM and BASCOE CTM simulations, showing the interest of this model intercomparison (Prignon et al., 2019). In order to contribute further to the S-RIP BDC activity, four different dynamical reanalyses are used here to drive the BASCOE CTM simulations, compute the N$_2$O TEM budget and compare its components with the results derived from WACCM, namely the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al., 2011), the Japanese 55-year Reanalysis (JRA-55; Kobayashi et al., 2015), and the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications version 1 (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011) and version 2 (MERRA-2; Gelaro et al., 2017). While dynamical reanalyses do not assimilate observations of chemical compounds, chemical reanalyses achieve this step and can be used to evaluate CCMs or study differences between instruments using the reanalysis as a transfer tool (Errera et al., 2008; Lahoz and Errera, 2010; Davis et al., 2016). To our knowledge, chemical reanalyses driven by meteorological fields from modern dynamical reanalyses have not been used to study tracer transport in the stratosphere using the TEM framework. WACCM and the CTM experiments are compared with a chemical reanalysis of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) using the BASCOE data assimilation system (DAS) driven by the ERA-Interim analysis (BRAM2; Errera et al., 2019).

To summarize, in this study we analyze the representation of the BDC in WACCM through an analysis of the TEM budget of N$_2$O, and we evaluate the simulation of this budget through comparisons with the BASCOE CTM (driven by four dynamical reanalyses) and the BRAM2 chemical reanalysis. In Sect. 2, we describe the datasets used in the study and the TEM analysis of N$_2$O. In Sect. 3, we analyze the seasonal mean patterns of the TEM N$_2$O budget in each dataset and their differences. Sections 4 and 5 investigate the mean annual cycle and the variability of the N$_2$O TEM budget terms, respectively, with a focus on the differences between the datasets. Section 6 concludes the study with a summary of our findings and directions for possible future research.
Table 1. Overview of the datasets used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Dynamical reanalysis</th>
<th>Chemical reanalysis</th>
<th>Model grid</th>
<th>Top level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WACCM4</td>
<td>Marsh et al. (2013)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 1.9^\circ), L66</td>
<td>(5.1 \times 10^{-6}) hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACCM-CCMI</td>
<td>Garcia et al. (2017)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 1.9^\circ), L66</td>
<td>(5.1 \times 10^{-6}) hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAI</td>
<td>Chabrillat et al. (2018)</td>
<td>ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 2^\circ), L60</td>
<td>0.1 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRA-55</td>
<td>Chabrillat et al. (2018)</td>
<td>JRA-55 (Kobayashi et al., 2015)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 2^\circ), L60</td>
<td>0.1 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRA</td>
<td>Chabrillat et al. (2018)</td>
<td>MERRA (Rienecker et al., 2011)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 2^\circ), L72</td>
<td>0.01 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRA-2</td>
<td>Chabrillat et al. (2018)</td>
<td>MERRA-2 (Gelaro et al., 2017)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>(2.5^\circ \times 2^\circ), L72</td>
<td>0.01 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAM2</td>
<td>Errera et al. (2019)</td>
<td>ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011)</td>
<td>MLS (Livesey et al., 2015)</td>
<td>3.75° × 2.5°, L37</td>
<td>0.1 hPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Data and method

This work uses seven datasets that were generated by WACCM, the BASCOE CTM and the BASCOE DAS. Table 1 provides an overview of these datasets and their main differences, and the next three subsections provide details about the models and systems that generated them.

2.1 WACCM

WACCM (Garcia et al., 2017) is the atmospheric component of the Community Earth System Model version 1.2.2 (Hurrell et al., 2013), which has been developed by the U.S. National Center for Atmospheric Research. It is the extended (whole atmosphere) version of the Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4; Neale et al., 2013).

We ran one realization of the public version of WACCM (hereafter WACCM4; Marsh et al., 2013) with a similar setup (e.g., lower boundary conditions) to the CTM experiments; the source code of WACCM4 is available for download at https://svn-ccsm-models.cgd.ucar.edu/cesm1/release_tags/cesm1_2_2/, last access: 28 October 2020. In this study we also use three realizations of the REF-C1 simulation used in the SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI; Morgenstern et al., 2017). The CCMI experiments, hereafter WACCM-CCMI, differ from WACCM4 with respect to the modified gravity wave parameterization and the updated heterogeneous chemistry (Garcia et al., 2017). The inclusion of WACCM4 allows us to carry out a sensitivity test regarding the impact of the modified gravity wave parameterization on the simulation of the N\(_2\)O transport (see Sect. 4 for detailed analysis). We use 3-D daily mean output over the 2005–2014 period to allow a fair comparison with the BRAM2 dataset (see Sect. 2.3 for detailed analysis). WACCM has a longitude–latitude grid of \(2.5^\circ \times 1.9^\circ\) and 66 vertical levels ranging from the surface to an altitude of about 140 km. The vertical coordinate is hybrid-pressure, i.e., terrain-following below 100 hPa and purely isobaric above this level. The vertical resolution depends on the height: it is approximately 3.5 km above 65 km, 1.75 km around the stratopause (50 km), 1.1–1.4 km in the lower stratosphere (below 30 km) and 1.1 km in the troposphere. The time step for the physics in the model is 30 min.

The physics of WACCM is the same as CAM4, and the dynamical core is a finite volume with a horizontal discretization based on a conservative flux-form semi-Lagrangian (FFSL) scheme (Lin, 2004). The gravity wave parameterization accounts for momentum and heat deposition separating orographic and non-orographic sources. The orographic waves are modified according to Garcia et al. (2017), whereas non-orographic waves are parameterized depending on the convection and the frontogenesis occurrence in the model (Richter et al., 2010).

In this study, the WACCM versions considered are not able to internally generate the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO; see, e.g., Baldwin et al., 2001). Thus, the QBO is nudged by a relaxation of stratospheric winds to observations in the tropics (Matthes et al., 2010). The solar forcing uses the Lean et al. (2005) approach.

WACCM includes a detailed coupled chemistry module for the middle atmosphere based on the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers, version 3 (MOZART-3; Kinneison et al., 2007; Marsh et al., 2013). The species included within this mechanism are contained within the O\(_3\), NO\(_x\), HO\(_x\), ClO\(_x\) and BrO\(_x\) chemical families, along with CH\(_4\) and its degradation products. In addition, 20 primary non-methane hydrocarbons and related oxygenated organic compounds are represented along with their surface emission. There are a total of 183 species and 472 chemical reactions; this includes 17 heterogeneous reactions on multiple aerosol types, i.e., sulfate, nitric acid trihydrate and ice. In WACCM-CCMI the heterogeneous chemistry is updated by Solomon et al. (2015).

2.2 BASCOE CTM

The BASCOE data assimilation system (Errera et al., 2019) is built on a chemistry transport model, which consists in a kinematic transport module with the FFSL advection scheme (Lin and Rood, 1996) and an explicit solver for stratospheric chemistry, comprising 65 species and 243 reactions (Prignon et al., 2019). Chabrillat et al. (2018) explain in detail the preprocessing procedure that allows the BASCOE CTM to be driven by arbitrary reanalysis datasets as well as the setup of model transport. As usual for kinematic transport modules, the FFSL scheme only needs the surface pressure and
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horizontal wind fields from reanalyses as input, because it is set on a coarser grid than the input reanalyses, and relies on mass continuity to derive vertical mass fluxes corresponding to its own grid. Similar to Chabrillat et al. (2018), the model is driven by four different reanalysis datasets on a common, low-resolution, latitude–longitude grid (2.5° × 2°), but it retains their native vertical grids. In this way, we avoid any vertical regridding, and the intercomparison explicitly accounts for the different vertical resolutions.

The four input reanalyses, the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim, hereafter ERAI; Dee et al., 2011), the Japanese 55-year Reanalysis (JRA-55; Kobayashi et al., 2015), and the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011) and its version 2 (MERRA-2; Gelaro et al., 2017), are part of the SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project (S-RIP), which is a coordinated intercomparison of all major global atmospheric reanalyses, and they are described in Fujiwara et al. (2017). ERAI and JRA-55 have 60 levels up to 0.1 hPa while MERRA and MERRA-2 have 72 levels up to 0.01 hPa. The CTM time step is set to 30 min. As for the WACCIM experiment, we used the daily mean outputs from the BASCOE CTM over the 2005–2014 period.

2.3 BASCOE reanalysis

BRAM2 is the BASCOE reanalysis of Aura MLS, version 2, which covers the period from August 2004 to August 2019 (Errera et al., 2019). For BRAM2, BASCOE is driven by dynamical fields from ERA-Interim, with a 3.75° × 2.5° (latitude × longitude) horizontal resolution. The vertical grid is represented by 37 hybrid-pressure levels which are a subset of the ERA-Interim 60 levels.

In BRAM2, N₂O profiles from the MLS version 4 standard product have been assimilated within the 0.46–68 hPa pressure ranges (Livesey et al., 2015). This dataset is retrieved from the MLS 190 GHz radiometer instead of the 640 GHz radiometer in an earlier MLS version. The 640 GHz radiometer, which provided a slightly better quality retrieval down to 100 hPa, ceased to be delivered after August 2013 because of instrumental degradation in the band used for that retrieval. To avoid any artificial discontinuity due to switching from one product to the other in August 2013, BRAM2 has assimilated the 190 GHz N₂O during the whole reanalysis period.

BRAM2 N₂O has been validated between 3 and 68 hPa against several instruments with a general agreement within 15 % depending on the instrument and the atmospheric region (the middle stratosphere or the polar vortex; see Errera et al., 2019). It is not recommended to use the BRAM2 N₂O reanalysis outside of this pressure range. BRAM2 N₂O is also affected by a small drift of around −4 % between 2005 and 2015 (see also Froidevaux et al., 2019).

2.4 TEM diagnostics

For atmospheric tracers, the TEM analysis (Andrews et al., 1987) allows for the separation of the local change in a tracer with the volume mixing ratio χ in terms of transport and chemistry (Eq. 1).

\[
\chi_t = -\nabla^\perp \chi_y - \nabla^\perp \chi_z + e_{z/H}^y \nabla \cdot M + S,
\]

where χ is the volume mixing ratio of N₂O, and M is the eddy flux vector, which is defined as

\[
M^{(y)} = e^{-z/H} \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} \cos \phi \right),
\]

\[
M^{(c)} = e^{-z/H} \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} \cos \phi \right) + \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta}.
\]

Here, \( \nabla, \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\theta} \) are the Eulerian zonal-mean meridional and vertical velocities and the potential temperature, respectively; \( \phi \) is the latitude; and \( S \) is the net rate of change due to chemistry, i.e., \( S = \bar{P} - \bar{L} \), where \( \bar{P} \) and \( \bar{L} \) are the respective zonal-mean chemical production and loss rates. Overbar quantities represent zonal-mean fields, primed quantities represent the departures from the zonal mean and subscripts denote derivatives. Meridional derivatives are evaluated in spherical coordinates and vertical derivatives with respect to log-pressure altitude \( z = -H \log (p/p_a) \), with \( p_a = 10^5 \) Pa and \( H = 7 \) km.

Hence, transport is separated into advection due to the residual circulation (first two terms on the right-hand side, RHS, of Eq. 1) and irreversible quasi-horizontal isotropic eddy mixing, \( e_{z/H}^y \nabla \cdot M \).

In order to better understand the role of each term in the tracer balance, it is useful to separate the components of the vector \( M \) and rearrange the terms of Eq. (1) as follows:

\[
\chi_t = A_y + M_y + A_z + M_z + \left( \bar{P} - \bar{L} \right) + \bar{c},
\]

where

\[
A_y = -\bar{\nabla}^\perp \chi_y,
\]

\[
M_y = e^{z/H} \cos \phi^{-1} \left( M^{(y)} \cos \phi \right),
\]

\[
A_z = -\bar{\nabla}^\perp \chi_z,
\]

\[
M_z = e^{z/H} \left( M^{(c)} \right).
\]

Here, \( A_y \) represents the meridional residual advection, \( M_y \) represents the horizontal transport due to eddy mixing, \( A_z \) represents the horizontal transport due to eddy mixing, \( A_z \).
represents the vertical residual advection and \( M_z \) represents the vertical eddy mixing (all expressed in ppbv d\(^{-1}\)). It is important to note that the total mixing term (\( M_y + M_z \)) includes not only the effects of irreversible mixing but also some effects of the advective transport that are not resolved by the residual advection (Andrews et al., 1987; Holton, 2004).

Before any TEM calculation, all of the input fields are interpolated to constant pressure levels from the hybrid-sigma coefficients, which retain the same vertical resolution as the original vertical grid of each dataset (Table 1). Each derivative is computed using a centered differences method.

In addition to the physical TEM terms (Eq. 1), it is necessary to include an additional term on the RHS of Eq. (4): the residual term \( \epsilon \). It is the difference between the actual rate of change of \( \chi \) (LHS of Eq. 4) and the sum of all of the transport and chemical terms of the TEM budget. This nonzero residual has several causes (Abalos et al., 2017). The TEM calculations for WACCM rely on the diagnostic variable \( w \), which is not used to advect the tracers, because the model is based on a finite volume dynamical core (Lin, 2004). Furthermore, an implicit numerical diffusion is added to the transport scheme in WACCM in order to balance the small-scale noise without altering the large-scale features. This numerical diffusion is not included in the TEM budget and is larger in regions with large small-scale features, i.e., regions where gradients are stronger (Conley et al., 2012). All TEM calculations are done using daily mean data, even though WACCM and BASCOE both run with a much smaller time step of 30 min. The daily mean fields are interpolated from their native hybrid-sigma levels to constant pressure levels prior to the TEM analysis, leading to numerical errors in the lower stratosphere. The BASCOE datasets have a coarser horizontal resolution than their input reanalyses (especially BRAM2; see Table 1). This affects the accuracy of the vertical and horizontal derivatives, with possible implications for the residual. The possible causes of the residual in the five reanalysis datasets are discussed in more detail in Sect. 3. For WACCM-CCMI, the TEM budget is computed for each realization, allowing for the examination of both the ensemble mean (e.g., for seasonal means) or the model envelope (e.g., for line plots). In order to validate our N\(_2\)O TEM budget, we repro-
Figure 1. Latitudinal profiles of the N$_2$O TEM budget terms at 15 hPa averaged in DJF (2005–2014) with WACCM-CCMI (a), JRA-55 (b), MERRA-2 (c), MERRA (d), ERAI (e) and BRAM2 (f). The color code is shown in the legend. Units are in parts per billion by volume per day (ppbv d$^{-1}$).

Figure 2. Same as for Fig. 1 but for JJA.
destruction by photodissociation and O\(^{(1)}D\) oxidation contributes to the budget in the tropics and also in the summer-time hemisphere. All budget terms are weaker in the summer hemisphere than in the winter hemisphere. Over the southern polar winter latitudes, the reanalyses deliver negative M\(_y\) that are balanced by large positive residuals, which implies a less robust TEM balance (Fig. 2). This is not the case with WACC, where M\(_y\) tends to increase the N\(_2\)O abundance in the polar vortex. Such differences between the datasets are highlighted and discussed in the next sections.

3 Latitude–pressure cross sections

Figures 3 and 4 show the DJF and JJA means of three contributions to the N\(_2\)O TEM budget, respectively, namely the horizontal mixing M\(_y\), the vertical advection A\(_v\), and the residual terms \(e\), for WACC-CCMI, JRA-55, MERRA-2 and BRAM2. For those datasets, the remaining terms of the TEM budget (A\(_v\), M\(_x\) and \(P - L\)) for DJF and JJA are shown in Supplement Figs. S1 and S2, respectively. The full N\(_2\)O TEM budgets obtained with MERRA and ERAI for DJF and JJA are shown in Figs. S3 and S4, respectively. In the case of WACC-CCMI, the seasonal means were computed separately for each realization, and we verified that the ensemble means show the same features as the individual realizations. Large differences arise in the dynamical terms of the TEM budget between summer and winter for both hemispheres in the extra-tropics. The strong seasonality of the deep branch of the BDC (Birner and Bönisch, 2011). During boreal winter, these features are very similar across all datasets (Fig. 3), but noticeable differences appear during the austral winter (Fig. 4): the tropical upwelling has a larger secondary maximum in the southern tropics with JRA-55 and MERRA-2 than with the other datasets, and the extra-tropical downwelling extends to the South Pole in WACC-CCMi and JRA-55 whereas it is mostly confined to the midlatitudinal surf zone in the other reanalyses. In the lower stratosphere, A\(_v\) shows the contribution of the residual advection by the shallow branch of the BDC to the N\(_2\)O abundances in the winter and summer hemispheres. The two-cell structure, consisting of upwelling of N\(_2\)O in the subtropics and downwelling in the extra-tropics, consistently agrees across all datasets. The meridional residual advection term A\(_x\) contributes to the poleward transport of air masses in the middle stratosphere, mostly during the winter, and its contribution to the N\(_2\)O TEM budget is weaker than A\(_v\). A\(_x\) agrees well among the datasets in boreal winter (Figs. S1, S3), while during austral winter WACC-CCMI overestimates it by around 30°S compared with the reanalyses (Figs. S2, S4).

We move now to the mixing contributions to the N\(_2\)O budget. The horizontal mixing is the predominant contribution to the poleward tracer transport in the middle and lower stratosphere (Abalos et al., 2013), as it flattens the tracer gradients generated by the residual advection. In the N\(_2\)O TEM budget during boreal winter, M\(_x\) mostly balances the extra-tropical downwelling and part of the tropical upwelling (Figs. S3, S4). The surf zone is characterized by strong horizontal mixing, depicted here as large positive M\(_y\) contributions, and delimited by transport barriers which appear as intense gradients of M\(_y\) in the winter hemispheres (panels b, e, h and k in Figs. 3 and 4). In the wintertime NH, the patterns of M\(_y\) are similar in all datasets (Fig. 3), but the effect of horizontal eddy mixing on N\(_2\)O is stronger in the reanalyses than in WACC-CCMI. In Sect. 4, we quantitatively analyze the differences in the mid-stratospheric M\(_y\) between datasets. The residual terms in the reanalyses (Fig. 3c, f, i, l) are largest in the middle stratosphere at the latitudes of the transport barriers, and their signs are opposite to M\(_y\).

In the austral winter, over the Antarctic polar cap and below 30 hPa, M\(_y\) agrees remarkably well in all datasets (Fig. 4). Closer to the vortex edge and above 30 hPa, the wintertime decrease in N\(_2\)O is mainly due to downwelling in WACC-CCMI, whereas the reanalyses, especially BRAM2, show that the horizontal mixing plays a major role (Fig. 4). The impact of horizontal mixing on N\(_2\)O inside the wintertime polar vortex is not negligible (e.g., de la Cámara et al., 2013; Abalos et al., 2016a), as Rossby wave breaking occurs there as well as in the surf zone. In contrast to the reanalyses, in WACC-CCMI the M\(_y\) contribution is close to zero in the Antarctic vortex and maximum along the vortex edge (Fig. 4). This disagreement can be related to differences in the zonal wind: it is overestimated in WACC above 30 km at subpolar latitudes compared with MERRA (Garcia et al., 2017), and the polar jet is not tilted equatorward as in the reanalyses (see black thin lines in Figs. 4 and 3 of Roscoe et al., 2012). However, the differences in M\(_y\) and A\(_v\) above the Antarctic in winter should be put into perspective with the relatively large residual terms that point to incomplete TEM budgets in the reanalyses (Figs. 4 and S4 right columns). Near the Antarctic polar vortex, the assumptions of the TEM analysis (such as small amplitude waves)
Figure 3. Climatological (2005–2014) latitude–pressure cross sections of three N₂O TEM budget terms averaged in DJF (ppbv d⁻¹) for the horizontal mixing term (a, d, g, j), the vertical residual advection term (b, e, h, k) and the residual term (c, f, i, l). The datasets are, from top to bottom, W ACCM-CCMI, JRA-55, MERRA-2 and BRAM2. The residual term for W ACCM-CCMI is from a single realization of the model. The thin black lines show the zonal-mean zonal wind (from 0 to 40 m s⁻¹ every 10 m s⁻¹), the black thick line represents the dynamical tropopause for the considered season and the green thin lines show the climatological mixing ratio of N₂O (from 20 to 300 ppbv with 40 ppbv spacing).

are less valid, leading to larger errors in the evaluation of the mean transport and eddy fluxes (Miyazaki and Iwasaki, 2005).

As the relative importance of the residual is considerable above the Antarctic in the reanalyses (Fig. 4), it is necessary to better understand its physical meaning. Dietmüller et al. (2017) applied the TEM continuity equation to the age of air in CCM simulations. Computing the “resolved aging by mixing” (i.e., the AoA counterpart of \( M_x + M_z \)) as the time integral of the local mixing tendency along the residual circulation trajectories and the “total aging by mixing” as the difference between the mean AoA (mAoA) and the residual circulation transit time, they defined the “aging by mixing on unresolved scales” (i.e., by diffusion) as the difference between the latter and the former. This “aging by diffusion”, which can be related by construction to our residual term, arises around 60° S from the gradients due to the polar vortex edge. Even though we use a real tracer (N₂O), we find a qualitative agreement with this analysis based on AoA: our residual term is larger in regions characterized by strong gradients.
Figure 4. Same as Fig. 3 but for JJA and with a different color scale. The thin black contours show the zonal-mean zonal wind (from 0 to 100 m s$^{-1}$ every 20 m s$^{-1}$).

such as the Antarctic vortex edge and larger with dynamics constrained to a reanalysis than with a free-running CCM (see EMAC results in Fig. 1d by Dietmüller et al., 2017). Thus, we interpret the residual as the sum of mixing at unresolved scales and numerical errors (Abalos et al., 2017).

In the summertime lower stratosphere, we note a stronger contribution of $M_y$ to the N$_2$O abundances above the subtropical jets in both hemispheres and for all datasets compared with higher levels in summer (panels b, e, h and k in Figs. 3 and 4). This behavior is consistent with calculations of the effective diffusivity and age spectra (Haynes and Shuckburgh, 2000; Ploeger and Birner, 2016). It is due to transient Rossby waves that cannot travel further up into the stratosphere owing to the presence of critical lines, i.e., where the phase velocity of the wave matches the background wind velocity, generally leading to wave breaking (Abalos et al., 2016b). In particular, above the northern tropics during the boreal summer (Figs. 4, S2, S4), horizontal mixing is primarily associated with the Asian monsoon anticyclone, and it causes a decrease in N$_2$O (Konopka et al., 2010; Tweedy et al., 2017). In the lower stratosphere, the contributions from $M_y$ combine with that from $A_z$ in the total impact of the shallow branch of the BDC on N$_2$O all year round (Diallo et al., 2012).

The vertical mixing contribution $M_z$ is very small during boreal winter, except in the middle and lower stratosphere poleward of 60$^\circ$ N, where it tends to balance the $M_y$ contribution (Figs. S1, S3). In austral winter, there is a strong
disagreement between WACCM-CCMI and the reanalyses around 60° S between 5 and 15 hPa (Fig. S2). WACCM-CCMI simulates a strong \( M_z \) contribution at the polar jet core that decreases the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) abundances and tends to balance \( M_y \), whereas \( M_y \) is weaker and increases \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the higher stratosphere in the reanalyses.

In the next section, we focus on a single level in the middle stratosphere to quantitatively study the disagreement between WACCM-CCMI and the reanalyses.

4 Climatological seasonal cycles

After investigating the seasonal means of \( A_z \) and \( M_y \), it is interesting to examine their climatological mean annual cycles in order to study the month-to-month variations over the year and their dependence on the latitude in the middle stratosphere. The cycles are shown for three latitude bands in each hemisphere corresponding to the tropics (0–20°), the surf zones (40–60°) and the polar regions (60–80°).

For WACCM-CCMI, we examine the envelope (the yellow shaded areas in Figs. 5–12) of the three model realizations in order to evaluate the role of the internal variability and its relative importance for each month and latitude band. In the following, we will consider BRAM2 as the reference when comparing \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratios between datasets, because its dynamics and chemistry are both constrained by observational datasets.

4.1 Polar regions

The EPFD is often used to quantify the forcing of the wave drag due to resolved (planetary) waves (e.g., Gerber, 2012; Konopka et al., 2015). We first show the monthly climatological annual cycles of EPFD averaged between 3 and 50 hPa and the residual vertical velocity \( \pi^+ \) at 15 hPa for the polar regions (60–80° S and N, Fig. 5). We arbitrarily average the EPFD between 3 and 50 hPa in order to identify the wave forcing for the deep branch of the BDC (Plumb, 2002; Konopka et al., 2015). However, the qualitative results do not depend on the choice of the lower boundary level. We also show one realization of the earlier version WACCM4 that suffered from a larger cold bias above the Antarctic (see Sect. 2.1). In WACCM-CCMI, the parameterization of gravity waves was adjusted in order to reduce this issue while not significantly changing the dynamics in the NH, which results in an enhanced polar downwelling above the southern polar region (Garcia et al., 2017). Above the Antarctic, the forcing from resolved waves peaks in October in the reanalyses as a result of the vortex breakup that allows enhanced wave activity compared with austral winter (Randel and Newman, 1998). The WACCM simulations miss this strong springtime peak, and they are in good agreement with the reanalyses during the rest of the year (Fig. 5a). The residual vertical velocity \( \pi^+ \) above the Antarctic is shown in Fig. 5c. This comparison between the WACCM versions was already shown in Garcia et al. (2017, Fig. 10), but we repeat it here adding the dynamical reanalyses. In November–December the weaker downwelling in WACCM-CCMI agrees well with the reanalyses. Throughout the rest of the year WACCM-CCMI simulates a stronger downwelling than all reanalyses (also at lower levels, not shown). This difference raises the question of whether the residual vertical velocity is correctly represented in WACCM-CCMI or in the dynamical reanalyses. Above the Arctic, the WACCM simulations underestimate the EPFD contribution during boreal winter compared with the reanalyses (Fig. 5b), and the downwelling velocities simulated by WACCM are weaker than the reanalyses during that period, with no significant differences between the WACCM versions (Fig. 5d). The differences between WACCM and the reanalyses in EPFD and \( \pi^+ \) in the polar regions will help with the interpretation of the differences in \( A_z \) and \( M_y \).

Figure 6 shows the monthly mean climatological annual cycle of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio, \( A_z \) and \( M_y \) for the polar regions (60–80° S and N) at 15 hPa for all of the datasets. First, we investigate the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio in the Antarctic region (Fig. 6a). During winter, the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) abundances are smaller than the rest of the year due to the suppressed trans-
wards earlier vortex breakup dates in the austral spring comparison of gravity waves also results in a shift to the spring and early summer is smaller in all of the simulations.

Figure 6. Annual cycles over the 2005–2014 period at 15 hPa for (a, b) the N₂O volume mixing ratio (ppbv), (c, d) M_y (ppbv d⁻¹) and (e, f) A_z (ppbv d⁻¹) in the (a, c, e) Antarctic region (60°–80° S) and the (b, d, f) Arctic region (60°–80° N). Note that the vertical scale differs for M_y and A_z. The olive envelope shows the three realizations of the WACCAM-CCMI simulation. The color codes for the four CTM simulations follow the conventions of S-RIP (Fujiwara et al., 2017). BRAM2 is depicted using a black line and symbols, as usually done for observations, because it is constrained by both dynamical and chemical observations. As the N₂O mixing ratio in BRAM2 has been evaluated with a 15% uncertainty (1σ standard deviation) at 15 hPa (Errera et al., 2019), this is highlighted by a dark gray region in panels (a) and (b). The light gray shading around the BRAM2 cycles represents the uncertainty arising from the residual term in the TEM budget, i.e., it is entirely interpreted first as an uncertainty on A_z and then as an uncertainty on M_y in order to remain cautious.

Figure 6. Annual cycles over the 2005–2014 period at 15 hPa for (a, b) the N₂O volume mixing ratio (ppbv), (c, d) M_y (ppbv d⁻¹) and (e, f) A_z (ppbv d⁻¹) in the (a, c, e) Antarctic region (60°–80° S) and the (b, d, f) Arctic region (60°–80° N). Note that the vertical scale differs for M_y and A_z. The olive envelope shows the three realizations of the WACCAM-CCMI simulation. The color codes for the four CTM simulations follow the conventions of S-RIP (Fujiwara et al., 2017). BRAM2 is depicted using a black line and symbols, as usually done for observations, because it is constrained by both dynamical and chemical observations. As the N₂O mixing ratio in BRAM2 has been evaluated with a 15% uncertainty (1σ standard deviation) at 15 hPa (Errera et al., 2019), this is highlighted by a dark gray region in panels (a) and (b). The light gray shading around the BRAM2 cycles represents the uncertainty arising from the residual term in the TEM budget, i.e., it is entirely interpreted first as an uncertainty on A_z and then as an uncertainty on M_y in order to remain cautious.

In the Antarctic region, the downwelling decreases N₂O during most of the year (A_z term in Fig. 6e). Here, JRA-55 and WACCAM-CCMI are outliers: both present stronger A_z contributions in fall and winter, especially WACCAM-CCMI, which reaches values that are 3 times stronger than BRAM2 in early winter, as a result of the stronger downwelling velocity simulated by WACCAM-CCMI in that region. While this strong disagreement is questioned by the large residuals, we note that all of the reanalyses confirm it except JRA-55. During fall and summer, A_z is stronger in WACCAM-CCMI than in WACCAM4 as a consequence of the stronger downwelling in WACCAM-CCMI that results from the modification of the gravity wave parameterization.

We now turn to the contribution from M_y. In the Antarctic region, M_y is very different among the datasets during winter: in BRAM2 it contributes to the N₂O decrease during fall and winter, with the strongest contribution in July; however, this contribution is 2 times weaker with the CTM simulations, and the horizontal mixing has almost no effect on N₂O in WACCAM-CCMI (Fig. 6e). As already mentioned, the TEM analysis suffers from large residuals in the wintertime Antarctic region. Nevertheless, we note that the disagreement between WACCAM-CCMI and BRAM2 is significant, because the envelope of WACCAM-CCMI realizations falls completely outside of the possible BRAM2 values in fall and winter when accounting for the residual. During the austral spring, the vortex breakup leads to an increased wave activity reaching the Antarctic, and M_y is in better agreement among all datasets compared with austral winter. Note that WACCAM-CCMI exhibits large internal variability in this season (Fig. 6e).

It is interesting to highlight the differences between the wintertime Arctic and Antarctic regions, because the hemispheric differences in wave activity (Scaife and James, 2000; Kidston et al., 2015) play a crucial role in the N₂O abundances and TEM budget. Above the Arctic, the N₂O abundances simulated by WACCAM agree with the BRAM2 reanalysis, except in December and January, and the CTM experiments driven by MERRA and MERRA-2 deliver smaller N₂O mixing ratios compared with BRAM2 (Fig. 6b). A_z is also in good agreement between the datasets above the Arctic, with the exception of ERAI and JRA-55 which provide stronger contributions (Fig. 6d). The Arctic is characterized by a very variable polar vortex with a shorter life span than the Antarctic vortex (Randel and Newman, 1998; Waugh and Randel, 1999), resulting in an enhanced contribution of the horizontal mixing to the N₂O budget during winter compared with the Antarctic (Fig. 6f). In contrast to the dynamical reanalyses and BRAM2, WACCAM shows a 2-
fold underestimation of the N\textsubscript{2}O changes in the Arctic due to horizontal mixing during winter. Note that the Arctic extended winter presents the largest internal variability compared with the other regions, as shown by the spread in the WACCM realizations. The weaker contribution from M\textsubscript{y} in WACCM is meaningful because the relative importance of the residual term is small in the NH. The horizontal mixing is predominately influenced by the forcing from the breaking of resolved (planetary) waves (Plumb, 2002; Dietmüller et al., 2018). In the Arctic region, WACCM underestimates the forcing from resolved waves compared with the dynamical reanalyses in the middle stratosphere (see Fig. 5d). This discrepancy in the resolved wave driving could contribute to the large differences in the wintertime M\textsubscript{y} between the CCM simulations and the CTM experiments above the Arctic. On the other hand, the role of different waves in driving mixing processes is an ongoing research topic, and additional data and sensitivity tests are needed in order to establish a clear separation of the waves’ contributions (e.g., gravity wave parameterization, spatial resolution, etc.; Dietmüller et al., 2018). It should also be emphasized that WACCM is among the CCM models with the lowest contribution of aging by mixing to the age of air (Fig. 2 in Dietmüller et al., 2018).

4.2 Middle latitudes

Figure 7 shows the monthly mean climatological annual cycle of w\textsuperscript{*} at 15 hPa and the EPFD averaged between 3 and 50 hPa over the surf zones (40–60\textdegree S and N), and Fig. 8 shows the monthly mean climatological annual cycle of the N\textsubscript{2}O mixing ratio, A\textsubscript{z} and M\textsubscript{y} at 15 hPa averaged over the same latitudes. The subtropical barriers are not shown because M\textsubscript{y} and A\textsubscript{z} change sign in these regions and averaging across the regions would hinder the interpretation of their means.

In the southern midlatitudes, the EPFD peaks in austral spring in the reanalyses, due to the enhanced wave activity in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) during austral spring compared with winter (Konopka et al., 2015), whereas the WACCM simulations deliver an earlier and weaker peak during austral winter (Fig. 7a). The downwelling velocity w\textsuperscript{*} shows a similar pattern to the EPFD (Fig. 7c), as it is also driven by the breaking of resolved waves (Abalos et al., 2015). In the northern midlatitudes, the EPFD peaks in win-
ter in all of the datasets, reflecting the stronger wave forcing in the surf zone in this season, and WACCM simulates lower EPFD values compared with the reanalyses (Fig. 7b) that leads to a weaker downwelling velocity in the WACCM simulations (Fig. 7d). As for the polar regions, the differences in EPFD and $w^*$ between the WACCM simulations and the reanalyses will help with the interpretation of the differences in $A_z$ and $M_y$.

With regard to the N$_2$O mixing ratio in both hemispheres, the CTMs driven by JRA-55 and ERAI are in good agreement with BRAM2, whereas MERRA-2 and MERRA underestimate it (Fig. 8a, b). The WACCM-CCMI simulations agree well with the BRAM2 chemical reanalysis, confirming the results obtained through the direct comparison with MLS observations (Froidevaux et al., 2019).

We now investigate the contribution from $A_z$ and $M_y$. In the southern midlatitudes, $A_z$ is negative in all seasons except during summer and there is again a good agreement among the datasets except for WACCM-CCMI and JRA-55 (Fig. 8c). These two datasets appear to have a purely annual cycle in this region, whereas the other four show a semi-annual component. The peak in the $A_z$ contribution in the reanalyses in September results from the increased forcing from the resolved waves (see Fig. 7a) and from the stronger contribution from gravity waves to the mass flux during spring (Sato and Hirano, 2019, Fig. 11). In the same region, $M_y$ increases throughout the winter, reflecting the mixing associated with the surf zone, and also peaks in early spring in the reanalyses (Fig. 8e). During summer and early fall, $M_y$ does not contribute significantly to the TEM budget, and $M_y$ reaches negative values in November which are comparable to the residual term. Both $A_z$ and $M_y$ peak in mid-winter in the WACCM-CCMI simulations, whereas these maxima are reached 3 months later in the reanalyses. This difference is related to the earlier minimum in the downward velocity $\bar{w}$ simulated by WACCM-CCMI (see Fig. 7c), which directly affects $A_z$ (Fig. 8c) and, due to compensation, $M_y$ (Fig. 8e). Among the reanalyses, the compensating contributions of $A_z$ and $M_y$ are stronger for JRA-55 than for the other reanalyses (up to 2 times larger in September, see Fig. 8c, e). This reflects the strong BDC in JRA-55 that resulted in the youngest mean AoA in the whole stratosphere (Chabrillat et al., 2018).

In the northern middle latitudes, $A_z$ shows the effect of the wintertime downwelling to lower levels on N$_2$O, with the WACCM experiments simulating a slightly weaker contribution than the reanalyses (Fig. 8d). Such disagreement mostly originates from the weaker downwelling velocity in the CCM compared with the reanalyses shown in Fig. 7b. In the northern midlatitudes, the strong $M_y$ contribution tends to increase the N$_2$O abundances in the surf zone during winter (Fig. 8f). The reanalyses show a large spread, with values reaching $\sim 1.5$ in BRAM2 and $\sim 0.9$ ppbv d$^{-1}$ in the MERRA runs, and WACCM-CCMI presents a large underestimation with respect to the reanalyses. While the spread across the reanalyses cannot be explained by the forcing from the resolved waves, the weaker $M_y$ contribution simulated by WACCM could be partly attributed to the weaker EPFD in the CCM compared with the reanalyses (see Fig. 7d).

4.3 Tropics

Figure 9 shows the climatological annual cycle for the N$_2$O mixing ratio, $A_z$ and $M_y$ for the southern and northern tropics ($0^\circ$–$20^\circ$ S and N) at 15 hPa across all of the datasets. The same latitude bands for the cycles of $w^*$ and EPFD are shown in the Supplement (Fig. S7). In the tropical regions, the N$_2$O mixing ratio in WACCM-CCMI agrees well with the reanalysis of the Aura MLS, whereas the CTM results show large differences in the N$_2$O abundances depending on the input reanalysis (Fig. 9a, b). In regions where the AoA is less than 4.5 years and N$_2$O is greater than 150 ppb, i.e., in the tropical and lower stratospheric middle latitudes (Strahan et al., 2011), the N$_2$O mixing ratio is inversely proportional to the mAoA, because faster upwelling (younger air) implies

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure9.png}
\caption{As for Fig. 6 but for the tropics: (a, c, e) southern tropics ($0^\circ$–$20^\circ$ S); (b, d, f) northern tropics ($0^\circ$–$20^\circ$ N).}
\end{figure}
more \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) transported from lower levels, decreasing its residence time and resulting in a limited chemical destruction (Hall et al., 1999; Galytska et al., 2019). The dynamical reanalyses also produce large differences in mAoA at 15 hPa: MERRA delivers the oldest mAoA, and MERRA-2, ERAI and JRA-55 progressively show a younger mAoA (Fig. 4b in Chabrillat et al., 2018). Hence, the large discrepancies in the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio can be explained by the large differences in the mAoA, while \( M_y \) and \( A_z \) contribute to rates of change of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \).

We continue by investigating the contribution from \( A_z \). In both of the tropical regions, the upwelling term \( A_z \) is positive all year round, showing the effect of tropical upwelling, and agrees very well in the reanalyses (Fig. 9c, d), as a result of the good agreement in the tropical upwelling velocity at 15 hPa (Fig. S7 bottom row), and also as depicted by mAoA diagnostics (Fig. 4d in Chabrillat et al., 2018). Large interhemispheric differences arise in the seasonality of \( A_z \) between the tropical regions. The largest values of \( A_z \) in the southern tropics are in the boreal late-fall and winter (Fig. 9c), whereas no large seasonal variations can be detected in the annual cycle of the \( A_z \) in the northern tropics (Fig. 9d). This is the result of the more pronounced seasonality of the upwelling velocity in the southern tropics compared with the northern tropics (Fig. S7 bottom row).

We now turn to the contribution from \( M_y \). In the southern tropics, \( M_y \) causes a decrease in the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) abundances from May to October (when \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) is transported to the middle latitudes), and it has a near-zero contribution over the rest of the year, which is generally common in all of the datasets considered (Fig. 9e). The BRAM2 uncertainty is smaller than for the polar region and middle latitudes, indicating better performance of the TEM analysis outside of the high latitudes. In the northern tropics, \( M_y \) is negative from November to April and presents a marked seasonality in the reanalyses that is much weaker in WACC-CM (Fig. 9f). With respect to interhemispheric differences, WACC-CM disagrees with the reanalyses: according to WACC, \( M_y \) has a larger impact in the southern tropics than in the northern tropics, but \( M_y \) has a much larger impact in the northern tropics according to the reanalyses (Fig. 9e, f). These interhemispheric differences in the \( M_y \) contributions can be partly attributed to different forcings from the resolved waves between northern and southern tropics. The EPFD presents a stronger seasonality in the northern tropics than in the southern tropics in all of the datasets (Fig. S7 top row), which could partly explain the differences in the seasonality of \( M_y \) in the reanalyses, but it does not impact the \( M_y \) simulated by WACC-CM.

5 Interannual variability of the seasonal cycles

To analyze the interannual variability of the annual cycle, we compute for each month the 1σ standard deviations of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio, \( M_y \) and \( A_z \) across the 10 simulated years.

Figure 10. Monthly standard deviation over the 2005–2014 period at 15 hPa for (a, b) the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) volume mixing ratio (ppb), (c, d) the horizontal mixing term (ppb d\(^{-1}\)) and (e, f) the vertical residual advection term (ppb d\(^{-1}\)) in (a, c, e) the Antarctic region (60–80° S) and (b, d, f) the Arctic region (60–80° N). The color code is shown in the legend. The yellow envelope shows the three realizations of the WACC-CM simulation.

Figure 10 shows the annual cycles of these standard deviations for each dataset in the polar regions (60–80° S and N) at 15 hPa for both hemispheres. First, we consider the variabilities of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio. In the Antarctic, during austral winter and early spring the year-to-year change in the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) abundances is very small (Fig. 10a) because the duration, extension and strength of the polar vortex are very stable in a climatological sense, isolating air masses in the vortex from the highly variable midlatitudes (Waugh and Randel, 1999). The variability of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio increases in October, i.e., during the breaking vortex period that is highly variable in time (Strahan et al., 2015). Furthermore, the midlatitude air masses, which have more variable composition, become free to reach the higher latitudes during this period. In the Arctic region, the interannual variability of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing
ratio is also largest during springtime but this is spread over a longer period, i.e., from February to June (Fig. 10b), reflecting the large interannual variability in the duration, extension and zonal asymmetry of the Arctic polar vortex (Waugh and Randel, 1999). In both polar regions, WACCM-CCMI simulates an earlier peak in winter, as do their mean values, and WACCM simulates smaller variabilities compared with the reanalyses (Fig. 11d, f).

Figure 12 shows the annual cycles of the standard deviations of the N$_2$O mixing ratio, $M_y$ and $A_z$ for each dataset in the tropical regions ($0–20^\circ$ S and N) at 15 hPa for both hemispheres. The interannual variability of the N$_2$O mixing ratio in both the southern and northern tropics depends considerably on the dataset (Fig. 12a, b). WACCM-CCMI and the BASCOE reanalysis of the Aura MLS show very similar variabilities, especially in the southern tropics. As the QBO is the major source of variability in the tropical stratosphere (Baldwin et al., 2001), this confirms an earlier comparison that showed a good agreement between the WACCM model and MLS observations in the middle stratosphere in terms of the interannual variability of N$_2$O due to the QBO (Park et al., 2017). Among the CTM simulations, ERAI succeeds at delivering $\sigma(X)$ values that are as large as BRAM2 and...
WACC-MCCMI in the southern tropics, although this is not the case in the northern tropics.

The interannual variability of $A_z$ in both hemispheres can be related to the impact of the QBO on the tropical upwelling (Flury et al., 2013). Among MERRA, ERAI and JRA-55, the fraction of variance in deseasonalized tropical upwelling $\bar{w}^*$ that is associated with the QBO is the largest with ERAI (Abalos et al., 2015). Our findings support this conclusion, as the largest $\sigma(A_z)$ among the reanalyses is again found with ERAI (Fig. 12c, d). However, a detailed analysis of the impact of the QBO on the BDC as illustrated here goes beyond the scope of this study. The variability of $M_y$ in the tropical regions is small compared with the extra-tropical regions (Fig. 12e, f), which is in agreement with calculations of effective diffusivity that show small variabilities within the tropical pipe (Abalos et al., 2016a). The reanalyses deliver a larger interannual variability in the northern tropics during boreal winter, whereas the variability of $M_y$ presents a much weaker annual cycle in the southern tropics. WACC-MCCMI does not reproduce this hemispheric asymmetry, with a rather flat profile in both hemispheres and a clear underestimation in the northern tropics, as is also shown for its mean values. In the tropical regions, both the variabilities of $M_y$ and $A_z$ fail to explain the good agreement in the variability of N2O between WACC-M and BRAM2, as well as their disagreement with the dynamical reanalyses, because $M_y$ and $A_z$ directly contribute to the N2O tendency rather than its mixing ratio.

6 Summary and conclusions

We have evaluated the climatological (2005–2014) N2O transport processes in the stratosphere using the tracer continuity equation in the TEM formalism. In particular, we emphasized the horizontal mixing and the vertical advection terms ($M_y$ and $A_z$, respectively). The upwelling term $A_z$ reduces the N2O concentrations in the tropics and increases them in the extra-tropics, whereas $M_y$ tends to reduce the meridional gradients of N2O and presents large hemispheric differences. As $M_y$ or $A_z$ contribute to the local rates of change of N2O, this analysis is complementary to time-integrated diagnostics such as mAoA. The comparison investigates a variety of datasets, from a free-running chemistry climate model to a reanalysis where dynamics and chemistry are both constrained. The former comprises three realizations of the CCMI REF-C1 experiment by WACC-M, and the latter is the chemical reanalysis of Aura MLS driven by ERA-Interim: BRAM2. The intercomparison also includes the BASCOE CTM driven by four dynamical reanalyses – ERAI, JRA-55, MERRA and MERRA-2 – in order to contribute to the S-RIP.

Considering the N2O mixing ratio in the middle stratosphere, all of the datasets agree with respect to the annual cycle, with the large spread in the N2O abundances of the CTM experiments (~20%) reflecting the diversity of mAoA obtained with the same model (Chabrillat et al., 2018). The upwelling term $A_z$ also agrees among the datasets, especially in the NH where WACC closely follows the reanalyses. The horizontal mixing term $M_y$ in the NH is weaker in WACC-M compared with the reanalyses. In the extra-tropics, this could be attributed to the weaker forcing from the planetary waves in WACC-M compared with the reanalyses. In the extra-tropics, this could be attributed to the weaker forcing from the planetary waves in WACC-M compared with the reanalyses. The differences in $M_y$ become striking in the wintertime Antarctic, where the polar vortex plays a major role. According to the reanalyses, horizontal mixing plays an important role in that region, but that is not found by WACC-M. However, this large wintertime $M_y$ in the reanalyses is challenged by an almost as large residual term. It should be noted that the residual term also includes effects from mixing by diffusion. An additional WACC-M run with different gravity waves in the SH is used as a sensitivity test. Over the Antarctic, this test has small impact on $M_y$, but it significantly modifies $A_z$ in the austral fall and winter due to the enhanced downwelling, and the...
N$_2$O mixing ratio during spring as a consequence of a more realistic timing of the vortex breakup.

The interannual variability of the mid-stratospheric horizontal mixing term $M_y$ is largest in the polar regions. It is related to the variability in the vortex breakup dates during spring in the Antarctic, whereas it is related to the highly variable polar vortex in winter in the Arctic. The interannual variability of $A_z$ is characterized by a large spread in the mid-stratospheric tropical regions where WACCM-CCMI and JRA-55 deliver a smaller contribution than the other reanalyses. This variability reflects the impact of the QBO on the tropical upwelling (Abalos et al., 2015).

The application of the TEM framework to tracer transport with reanalyses suffers from a poor closure of the budget in the polar regions. We chose to analyze these regions nonetheless, because the differences in $M_y$ between WACCM and the reanalyses are larger than the residual term, but it remains important to better understand the causes of these large uncertainties. To this end, detailed studies of transport in the polar stratosphere are needed, e.g., comparing the residual circulations with indirect estimates derived from momentum and thermodynamic balances as well as evaluating the effective diffusivity in each dataset (Abalos et al., 2015, 2016a).

The next step of this research consists of the analysis of the interannual variations of the BDC, including the impact of the QBO and the El Niño–Southern Oscillation. Further extensions of this work would include the addition of new reanalysis products such as ERA5 and an intercomparison of several CCMs as already done for the residual circulation itself (Chrysanthou et al., 2019).

Data availability. The nine monthly climatologies of the N$_2$O mixing ratios and TEM budget terms are freely available at the BIRA-IASB repository (http://repository.aeronomie.be, https://doi.org/10.18758/71021057, Minganti, 2020).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12609-2020-supplement.

Author contributions. DM, SC and EM designed the study. YC provided support with installing and running the models. QE provided the BRAM2 chemical reanalysis and helped with its interpretation. MP ran the CTM experiments. DK provided the WACCM-CCMI realizations and helped with the interpretation of the WACCM datasets. DM wrote and ran the software tools to compute the TEM budgets and realized all of the figures. DM, MA and SC analyzed the TEM budgets. DM and SC wrote the text. All co-authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and the reviews of the drafts of this paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue “The SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project (S-RIP) (ACP/ESSD inter-journal SI)”. It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. Emmanuel Mahieu is a research associate with the F.R.S. – FNRS. Marta Abalos acknowledges funding from the Atracción de Talento Comunidad de Madrid (grant no 2016-T2/AMB-1405) and the Spanish National STEADY project (grant no. CGL2017-83198-R). We thank the reanalysis centers (ECMWF, NASA GSFC and JMA) for providing their support and data products. WACCM is a component of NCAR’s CESM, which is supported by the NSF and the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy. The authors wish to acknowledge the contribution of Rolando Garcia in the discussion of the paper, specifically regarding the WACCM model results.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the F.R.S. – FNRS (Brussels) (grant no. PDR.T.0040.16).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Peter Haynes and reviewed by Mohamadou Diallo and one anonymous referee.

References


Birner, T. and Bönisch, H.: Residual circulation trajectories and transit times into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere, At-


D. Minganti et al.: Impact of Brewer–Dobson circulation on N$_2$O

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12609-2020

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 12609–12631, 2020


Tao, M., Konopka, P., Ploeger, F., Yan, X., Wright, J. S., Diallo, M., Fueglistaler, S., and Riese, M.: Multitimescale variations in modeled stratospheric water vapor derived from three mod-