



Supplement of

Impacts of future land use and land cover change on mid-21st-century surface ozone air quality: distinguishing between the biogeophysical and biogeochemical effects

Lang Wang et al.

Correspondence to: Amos P. K. Tai (amostai@cuhk.edu.hk), and C.-Y. Tam (francis.tam@cuhk.edu.hk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.





28

Figure S1. Relative changes of surface ozone from Off-line runs under RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right)



- 31 Regions with dots indicate changes that are significant at the 90% confidence level. These are results from Off-
- 32 line runs with prescribed meteorology; i.e., meteorological variables do not respond to LULCC.).
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36



37

38 Figure S2. Simulated pattern correlation between changes of surface ozone and surface air temperature under

39 two scenarios: RCP4.5 (a) and RCP8.5 (d), isoprene emission and surface air temperature (b), dry deposition

- 40 velocity and soil moisture at the top 10 cm layer (c) in RCP4.5. Analysis is from On-line runs during the boreal
- 41 summer averaged from the 10-year analysis. Regions with dots indicate changes that are significant at the 95%
- 42 confidence level.
- 43

Present-day conditions





56 Figure S4. Standard deviations of 2-m air temperature in On-line_CTL run (a), compared with time-sliced

57 changes induced by LULCC from On-line runs under two future scenarios: RCP4.5 (b) and RCP8.5 (c) during 58

59

the boreal summer.



RCP8.5 projected 2050 TIMESLC LULCC

61 Figure S5. Changes in simulated surface net solar radiation, sensible and latent heat fluxes, surface wind,

62 *vertically integrated moisture transport divergence (vector, kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹, shading, 10⁻⁵ kg m⁻² s⁻¹), and soil

63 moisture at 10 cm layer during the boreal summer over due to RCP8.5 projected LULCC. Regions with dots

64 indicate changes that are significant at the 95% confidence level.

65

60





Fig. S6. Differences between the time-sliced vs. transient simulated results, as shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 of the
main text. Statistically significant differences (>95% confidence) are indicated with dots.

69