



Supplement of

Experimental budgets of OH, HO_2 , and RO_2 radicals and implications for ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta in China 2014

Zhaofeng Tan et al.

Correspondence to: Andreas Hofzumahaus (a.hofzumahaus@fz-juelich.de) and Yuanhang Zhang (yhzhang@pku.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Supplementary Text

Additional uncertainties in the budget analyses

Radical initiation by unmeasured VOCs

As pointed out in Section 4.1, unmeasured VOCs were most likely responsible for the observed missing OH reactivity. This not only considerably influences the radical chain propagation from OH to RO_2 (Fig. 3e, g), but can also affect the primary production of OH, HO₂, and RO₂ radicals. Unmeasured alkenes could form additional radicals through ozonolysis. Information about the abundance of alkenes in this campaign can be obtained from the $RO_2^{\#}$ budget analysis. $RO_2^{\#}$ is produced by OH reaction with alkenes, aromatics and large alkanes. The budget analysis (Fig. 3g, h) shows that the calculated production rate $P^{(1)}_{RO2\#}$ for $RO_2^{\#}$ from these compounds is balanced by the calculated $RO_2^{\#}$ loss rate. If an essential fraction of the unmeasured VOCs would consist of alkenes, it would increase the $RO_2^{\#}$ production rate correspondingly. Within experimental uncertainty, a doubling of the alkene contribution in the $RO_2^{\#}$ production would be acceptable without disturbing the balance in the $RO_2^{\#}$ budget. Doubling of the alkenes would explain 15% of the missing OH reactivity. In this case, the radical production from ozonolysis, which is less than 0.1 ppbv/h for OH and 0.05 ppbv/h for HO₂ at daytime, would increase by about a factor of 2. This increase would have a negligible impact on the radical budgets of OH and HO₂. Unmeasured OVOCs could form additional radicals (HO₂, RO₂) through photolysis. Such reactions would further increase the gap between the production and destruction rate for RO₂ and disturb the closed RO_x and HO₂ budgets.

Radical initiation by Cl atoms

Gaseous nitryl chloride (ClNO₂) can be formed at night by heterogeneous reaction of N_2O_5 with chloride in moist particles (e.g., Osthoff et al., 2008). In the morning, ClNO₂ photolyzes and forms Cl atoms which react very fast with VOCs and produce additional RO₂. This mechanism can play a role for 2 - 3 hours after sunrise until the ClNO₂ reservoir is depleted. ClNO₂ was not measured in Heshan, but was reported for other places in China. Measured concentrations shortly before sunrise are typically below 1 ppbv (e.g., Tham et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018), but can occasionally reach a few ppb, e.g., 2.1 ppbv in Wangdu (Tham et al., 2016) and 4.7 ppbv in Hong Kong (Wang et al., 2016). With photolytical lifetimes of 2 - 3 hours, Cl production rates rarely exceed 0.5 ppbv/h. RO₂ production with a similar rate will make only a minor contribution to the RO₂ budget (Fig. 3e), and make the balance in the ROx budget slightly worse (Fig. 2g).

Uncertainties related to the measurement and chemistry of RO2

Uncertainties in the radical budgets may be caused by the measurement and incomplete representation of the RO₂ chemistry. Due to the measurement principle of the applied ROxLIF technique, only those RO₂ species can be measured which are converted to HO₂ by reaction with NO. This measurement is exactly what is needed to quantify the HO₂ production rate (equation E5) in the atmospheric HO₂ budget. However, using the measured RO₂ data for the calculation of the RO₂ loss rate (equation E9) may cause a systematic bias. There exist RO₂ radical species which react with NO and produce a new RO₂ radical rather than HO₂. An example is the reaction (CH₃)₃C(O₂)+NO leading to CH₃O₂+acetone+NO₂ as products. The result is a low-biased measurement of atmospheric RO₂ radicals. Its use in equation E9 leads to an underestimation of D_{RO2} since the RO₂ loss leading to new RO₂ species is not included due to the measurement bias. On the other side, the production P_{RO2} in equation E8 is also underestimated by the same amount, because the production term for RO₂ species which are

produced by RO₂+NO is missing. As a result, the balance term D_{RO2} - P_{RO2} remains correct as the production and destruction terms are smaller by the same unknown amount. Another group of RO₂ radicals which are not well captured by ROxLIF are nitrate peroxy radicals which are formed by the reaction of NO₃ radicals with alkenes. Some nitrate peroxy radical species (e.g., from propene and butenes) react with NO and produce besides HO₂ in a parallel reaction carbonyl compounds and NO₂ as products. The latter reaction constitutes a ROx sink. In the present work, NO₃ reactions with VOCs play a minor role (Section 4.2.3).

Other uncertainties in the RO₂ budget are caused by the rate constants that are given in Table 1 as effective values for the lumped RO₂ radicals. It is well known that the rate coefficients for the reactions of RO₂ with NO, HO_2 , and RO_2 depend on the chemical structure of the RO_2 species. According to Jenkin et al. (2019), experimentally known rate constants for RO_2 +NO can be broadly categorized into three classes: [1] CH₃O₂ (C1), [2] other hydrocarbon (\geq C2) and oxygenated peroxy radicals, and [3] acyl peroxy radicals. At room temperature, recommended rate constants for these categories are 7.7×10⁻¹² cm³ s⁻¹, 9.0×10⁻¹² cm³ s⁻¹, and 2.0×10^{-11} cm³ s⁻¹, respectively (Jenkin et al., 2019). The MCM value used in Table 1 for R8 + R14 (9.0×10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹) fits to the second class. The high rate constants for acyl peroxy radicals have no relevance for the budget analysis, because their reaction with NO produces another RO₂ radical. Thus, their reaction does not contribute to the HO_2 production and is neutral in the RO_2 budget as explained above. Published rate constants of the second category range between 8×10⁻¹² cm³ s⁻¹ and 1.1×10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹ (Jenkin et al., 2019). Here, the lower limit is almost equal to the rate coefficient of CH₃O₂ (first class). As a sensitivity test, Figs. S5 and S6 show the budgets of ROx, RO₂ and HO₂ for a rate constant of 1×10^{-11} cm³s⁻¹ (R8 + R14). The results are essentially the same as in Figs. 2 and 3 where a rate constant of 9×10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹ was applied. As the RO₂ budget indicates a missing RO₂ sink, a larger rate constant could help resolve the discrepancy. However, the 10% increase of the rate constant for R8 + R14 in Figs. S5 and S6 is far too small to explain the observed imbalance.

The reaction of RO₂ radicals with NO can form HO₂ (reaction R8) resulting in radical-chain propagation, or produce organic nitrates (reaction R14) resulting in chain termination. As the branching ratio can be different for each RO₂ species and as most of the organic reactivity was caused by unmeasured VOCs, the branching ratios of most RO₂ species are not known. Typical yields for organic nitrates lie in the range between 1% and 35% (Atkinson, 1982; Lightfoot et al., 1992). For the budget analysis (Figs. 2-4), an organic nitrate yield of 5% is assumed. Figs. S7 and S8 show cases where higher yields (10%, 20%) are assumed. Higher organic nitrate yields compensate the slightly negative bias of *D-P* in the RO_x budget (Fig. S7). An average yield of 10% would lead to a perfect balance between production and destruction rate of ROx during daytime, whereas a yield of 20% would result in a slightly positive bias of up to +1 ppbv/h in *D-P*. For the HO₂ production rate, these changes have little impact. Thus, in all cases (80%, 90%, 95% yield of HO₂), the HO₂ budget is balanced within the experimental uncertainties.

Published rate constants for the reaction RO_2 +HO₂ (R16) lie in the range between 0.5×10^{-11} cm³ s⁻¹ and 2.2×10^{-11} cm³ s⁻¹ at 298K (Jenkin et al., 2019). In MCM, a general value of 2.3×10^{-11} cm³ s⁻¹ (298K) is assumed and scaled by an RO₂ specific factor which is typically 0.5 - 0.7. In the budget analysis we have used the upper limit with a scaling factor of one. Thus, the possible bias of the calculated RO₂+HO₂ rate is in the order of a factor of 2. Under the polluted conditions of the campaign, the loss of RO₂ and HO₂ is largely dominated by NO. The reaction RO₂+HO₂ contributes only a few percent to the ROx loss during daytime and no more than 10% at sunset, when NO is small. Thus, the bias in the calculated ROx loss rate remains well below 5% at daytime. Similar considerations apply to the loss of RO₂ and HO₂, which is also dominated by NO during the day.

Rate coefficients for self and cross reactions of RO_2 are diverse and difficult to parameterize (Jenkin et al., 2019). The rate constants for the most abundant species are generally an order of magnitude smaller than for the reaction R16 (RO_2 +HO₂). Self reactions of oxygenated RO_2 and cross reactions of some RO_2 can be as fast as reaction R16 (Jenkin et al., 2019). Overall, RO_2 +RO₂ reactions play a smaller role than RO_2 +HO₂ reactions in the Heshan campaign. The uncertainty of the RO_2 radical budget due to the lumped rate coefficient for R15 is therefore negligible.

References:

Atkinson, R., Lloyd, A. C., and Winges, L.: An updated chemical mechanism for hydrocarbon/NOx/SO2 photooxidations suitable for inclusion in atmospheric simulation models, Atmos. Environ., 16, 1341-1355, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(82)90055-5, 1982.

Jenkin, M. E., Valorso, R., Aumont, B., and Rickard, A. R.: Estimation of rate coefficients and branching ratios for reactions of organic peroxy radicals for use in automated mechanism construction, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2019, 1-46, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-44, 2019.

Lightfoot, P. D., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Destriau, M., Hayman, G. D., Jenkin, M. E., Moortgat, G. K., and Zabel, F.: Organic peroxy radicals: Kinetics, spectroscopy and tropospheric chemistry, Atmos. Environ., 26, 1805-1961, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(92)90423-I, 1992.

Osthoff, H. D., Roberts, J. M., Ravishankara, A. R., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Sommariva, R., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D., Quinn, P. K., Dibb, J. E., Stark, H., Burkholder, J. B., Talukdar, R. K., Meagher, J., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Brown, S. S.: High levels of nitryl chloride in the polluted subtropical marine boundary layer, Nature Geoscience, 1, 324-328, 10.1038/ngeo177, 2008.

Tan, Z. F., Fuchs, H., Lu, K. D., Hofzumahaus, A., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H. B., Gomm, S., Haseler, R., He, L. Y., Holland, F., Li, X., Liu, Y., Lu, S. H., Rohrer, F., Shao, M., Wang, B. L., Wang, M., Wu, Y. S., Zeng, L. M., Zhang, Y. S., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y. H.: Radical chemistry at a rural site (Wangdu) in the North China Plain: observation and model calculations of OH, HO2 and RO2 radicals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 663-690, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-663-2017, 2017.

Tham, Y. J., Wang, Z., Li, Q., Yun, H., Wang, W., Wang, X., Xue, L., Lu, K., Ma, N., Bohn, B., Li, X., Kecorius, S., Größ, J., Shao, M., Wiedensohler, A., Zhang, Y., and Wang, T.: Significant concentrations of nitryl chloride sustained in the morning: investigations of the causes and impacts on ozone production in a polluted region of northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14959-14977, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14959-2016, 2016.

Wang, H., Lu, K., Guo, S., Wu, Z., Shang, D., Tan, Z., Wang, Y., Le Breton, M., Lou, S., Tang, M., Wu, Y., Zhu, W., Zheng, J., Zeng, L., Hallquist, M., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y.: Efficient N2O5 uptake and NO3 oxidation in the outflow of urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9705-9721, 10.5194/acp-18-9705-2018, 2018.

Wang, T., Tham, Y. J., Xue, L., Li, Q., Zha, Q., Wang, Z., Poon, S. C. N., Dubé, W. P., Blake, D. R., Louie, P. K. K., Luk, C. W. Y., Tsui, W., and Brown, S. S.: Observations of nitryl chloride and modeling its source and effect on ozone in the planetary boundary layer of southern China, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 2476-2489, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024556, 2016.

Measured quantity	Measurement technique	Time	Detection	Accuracy
		resolution	limit ^a	(1σ)
ОН	LIF ^b	300 s	$3.9 \times 10^{5} \text{cm}^{-3}$	±13 %
HO ₂	LIF ^{b, c}	300 s	$1.2 \times 10^{7} \text{cm}^{-3}$	±20 %
RO ₂	LIF ^{b, c}	300 s	$0.6 \times 10^{7} \text{cm}^{-3}$	±26 %
$RO_2^{\#d}$	LIF ^{b, c}	300 s	$1.7 \times 10^{7} \text{cm}^{-3}$	±32 %
k _{OH}	LP-LIF ^e	180 s	0.3 s ⁻¹	±10 %, ±0.7 s ⁻¹
Photolysis	Actinic flux	20 s	f	±10 %
frequencies	spectroradiometry			
O ₃	UV photometry	60 s	0.5 ppbv	±5 %
NO	Chemiluminescence	60 s	60 pptv	±20 %
NO ₂	Chemiluminescence ^g	60 s	300 pptv	±20 %
HONO	LOPAP ^h	30 s	7 pptv	±20 %
CO,CH ₄ ,CO ₂ ,H ₂ O	Cavity ringdown	60 s	i	j
	spectroscopy			
SO_2	Pulsed UV fluorescence	60 s	0.1 ppbv	±5 %
НСНО	Hantzsch fluorimetry	60 s	25 pptv	±5 %
NMHCs ^k	GC-FID/MS ¹	1 h	20 - 300 pptv	±(15-20) %

Table S1 Measured quantities used to evaluate the radical budgets.

^a Signal to noise ratio = 1; ^b Laser-induced fluorescence; ^c Chemical conversion via NO reaction before detection; ^d $RO_2^{\#}$ are organic peroxy radicals from large alkanes (> C4), alkenes (including isoprene) and aromatics; ^e Laser photolysis – laser-induced fluorescence; ^f Five orders of magnitude lower than maximum at noon; ^g Photolytic conversion to NO before detection, home built converter; ^h Long-path absorption photometry; ⁱ CO: 1 ppbv; CH₄:1 ppbv; CO₂: 25 ppbv; H₂O: 0.1 % (absolute water vapor content).; ^j CO: ±1 ppbv; CH₄: ±1 ppbv; CO₂: ±25 ppbv; H₂O: ±5 %; ^k NMCHs include C₂-C₁₁ alkanes, C₂-C₆ alkenes, C₆-C₁₀ aromatics; ¹ Gas chromatography equipped with mass spectrometer and a flame ionization detector.

Table S2 Measured volatile organic compounds.

Groups	VOC compounds
Alkanes	CYCLOHEXANE, CYCLOPENTANE, ETHANE, I-BUTANE, I-PENTANE,
	METHYLCYCLOHEXANE, METHYLCYCLOPENTANE, N-BUTANE, N-DECANE,
	N-DODECANE, N-HEPTANE, N-HEXANE, N-NONANE, N-OCTANE, N-PENTANE,
	N-UNDECANE, PROPANE, 2,2,4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE, 2,2-DIMETHYLBUTANE,
	2,3,4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE, 2,3-DIMETHYLBUTANE, 2,3-DIMETHYLPENTANE,
	2,4-DIMETHYLPENTANE, 2-METHYLHEPTANE, 2-METHYLHEXANE,
	2-METHYLPENTANE, 3-METHYLHEPTANE, 3-METHYLHEXANE,
	3-METHYLPENTANE
Alkenes	CIS-2-PENTENE, CIS-BUTENE, ETHENE, I-BUTENE, PROPENE, TRANS-2-BUTENE,
	TRANS-2-PENTENE, 1-BUTENE, 1-HEXENE, 1-PENTENE, STYRENE ^a
Aromatics	BENZENE, ETHYLBENZENE, I-PROPYLBENZENE, M-DIETHYLBENZENE,
	M-ETHYLTOLUENE, M,P-XYLENE, N-PROPYLBENZENE, O-ETHYLTOLUENE, O-
	XYLENE, P-DIETHYLBENZENE, P-ETHYLTOLUENE, TOLUENE, 1,2,3-
	TRIMETHYLBENZENE, 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE, 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE
Alkynes	ETHYNE
Biogenics	ISOPRENE
OVOCs	FORMALDEYHYDE

^a Styrene is treated as alkene because its major functional group is the C=C double bond with respect to OH reaction.



Figure S1. Time series of measured photolysis frequencies, O_3 , O_x (O_3 +N O_2), NO, NO₂, HONO, CO, isoprene, styrene, HCHO, and H₂O volume mixing ratios, PM_{2.5} mass concentrations and surface area of particulate matter. The vertical dashed lines represent midnight and grey areas represent nighttime.



Figure S2. Time series of measured OH, HO₂, RO₂ and RO₂[#] concentrations. The lowest panel shows the measured total OH reactivity (k_{OH}) and the calculated OH reactivity ($k_{OH}^{calc}_{OH}$) derived from measured concentrations of CO, NO_x, CH₄, NMHCs and HCHO. The vertical dashed lines represent midnight and grey areas represent nighttime.



Figure S3. Results from the chemical modulation tests performed on 31 October 2014 between 12:50 and 13:50. The measured OH signal without scavenger (S_{N2}) can be explained within experimental errors by the sum of the signal from ambient OH (S_{OH}) and the known interference from O₃ (S_{O3}). Error bars denote 1 σ statistical errors. S_{OH} is calculated by the expression ($S_{N2} - S_{propane}$)/ ϵ , where $S_{propane}$ is the signal with scavenger (propane) and ϵ is the efficiency of scavenging (for details, see Tan et al., 2017). A fluorescence signal of 60 cts/s is equivalent to an OH concentration of 1×10^7 cm⁻³.



Figure S4. Same as Fig. 3, but with additional RO₂ conversion to OH assuming a first-order rate coefficient of 0.08 s⁻¹. This scenario can also be seen as an application of the X mechanism which recycles OH by the hypothetical sequence $RO_2 + X \rightarrow HO_2$, $HO_2 + X \rightarrow HO_2$ with X equivalent to 0.4 ppbv NO.



Figure S5. Same as Fig. 2, but assuming a rate constant of 1×10^{-11} cm⁻³ s⁻¹ for the reaction of RO₂ with NO (R8 + R14).



Figure S6. Same as Fig 3c, d, e, f, but assuming a rate constant of 1×10^{-11} cm⁻³ s⁻¹ for the reaction of RO₂ with NO (R8 + R14).



Figure S7. Same as Fig. 2, but assuming a different branching ratio between reaction R8 and R14. Left: HO₂ yield is 0.8, organic nitrate yield is 0.2. Right: HO₂ yield is 0.9, organic nitrate yield is 0.1.



Figure S8. Same as Fig. 3c, d, but assuming a different branching ratio between reaction R8 and R14. Left: HO₂ yield is 0.8, organic nitrate yield is 0.2. Right: HO₂ yield is 0.9, organic nitrate yield is 0.1.