



Supplement of

Significant reduction of $PM_{2.5}$ in eastern China due to regional-scale emission control: evidence from SORPES in 2011–2018

Aijun Ding et al.

Correspondence to: Aijun Ding (dingaj@nju.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure S1. Cluster analysis for back-trajectories at SORPES station calculated using the HYSPLIT model for NDJ (November, December January) from November 2013 to January 2018. Note: 36-hr backward trajectories are used here. Total 7 clusters are identified and the percentage of each cluster is shown in the averaged trajectories. All trajectories were calculated for the altitude of 100 m above the SORPES station and GDAS data was used to drive the model.



Figure S2. Scatter plot of scaled LPDM simulated $PM_{2.5}$ versus observations at the SORPES station for the period of November-January during 2013-2018. Note: Here the "scaled" means for each month the simulation result was adjusted to have the medium value matched with observation.

Parameter	Instruments	Resolution	Period	Data coverage
PM _{2.5}	Thermo Fisher Scientific SHARP 5030	5 min	August 2011-July 2018	97.8%
SO_2	Thermo Fisher Scientific TEI- 43i	5 min	August 2011-July 2018	98.3%
NO ₂ , NO _x	Thermo Fisher Scientific TEI- 42i	5min	August 2011-July 2018	93.9%
BC	Magee Scientific AE31	5min	May 2013-July 2018	91.9%
SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , NH_4^+ , K^+ etc.	Metrohm, MARGA	1 hr	May-June 2012 May 2013-July 2018	83.7%

Table S1. Instrumentation, observational period and data coverage for main parameters measured at the SORPES station.