Supplement of Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10557–10570, 2019
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10557-2019-supplement

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Open Access
EGU

Supplement of

NH_3-promoted hydrolysis of NO_2 induces explosive growth in HONO

Wanyun Xu et al.

Correspondence to: Ye Kuang (kuangye@jnu.edu.cn)

Table S1. AOD assumptions for the TUV model calculations

RH (%)	AOD
40-55	0.6
55-70	0.8
70-80	1
80-90	1.5
90-97	2
97-100	2.5

The above RH dependent AOD assumptions were made based on the change in dry state scattering coefficient (σ_{sp}), f(RH) and RH between 11:30 to 13:30 on the 14. Nov. and the observed MODIS AOD at 13:30.

The MODIS AOD, σ_{sp} and RH at 13:30 on the 14. Nov. was 0.6, 1200 Mm^{-1} and 43%, respectively. The σ_{sp} and RH at 11:30 was 800 Mm^{-1} and 85%. Hence, the AOD at 11:30 was estimated to be 1.44 (0.6×1200/800).

Table S2. The trace gas concentrations, liquid water content, mean diameter and temperature used to calculate the heterogeneous sulfate production

Date	Time (LT)	SO_2 (ppb)	H_2O_2 (ppb)	NO_2^* (ppb)	O_3 (ppb)	LWC (g m^{-3})	D_p (μm)	T (K)
4th Nov	10:00	0.18	0.28	39.40	1.55	0.30	7.00	278.10
	11:00	0.23	0.32	38.25	1.67	0.30	7.00	278.55
	12:00	0.47	0.37	39.25	2.39	0.30	7.00	278.90
5th Nov.	11:00	0.28	0.20	35.15	3.15	0.30	7.00	279.20
	12:00	0.79	0.26	35.10	4.56	0.30	7.00	280.45
	13:00	1.56	0.31	41.60	7.91	0.30	7.00	282.60
11th Nov.	8:00	0.42	0.62	26.40	1.68	$5.70e^{-4}$	1.22	271.75
	9:00	1.03	0.80	23.75	2.01	$2.32e^{-4}$	0.73	273.55
	10:00	3.51	1.09	25.35	2.95	$6.40e^{-5}$	0.65	275.75
14th Nov.	11:00	0.78	0.31	29.70	2.76	0.01	0.90	279.05



Figure S1 Comparison between $NH_{3,IGAC}$ and $NH_{3,LGR}$ using a) all measurement data and b) data associated with RH<80.



Figure S2 Time series of $NH_{3,IGAC}$ (solid) and $NH_{3,LGR}$ (dashed) during a) 4th Nov., b) 5th Nov., c) 11th Nov. 2016 and d) 14th Nov. 2016. Gray shaded areas represents periods of rapid increase of HONO.

a) 2016-11-04–2016-11-05



b) 2016-11-11



c) 2016-11-14



Figure S3. Fire spots maps (large red dots: fire spots closest to the station, bright and red dots: VIIRS, yellow and orange dots: MODIS Aqua, bright and dark green dots: MODIS Terra) produced by NASA's Web Fire Mapper (https://firms.modaps. eosdis. nasa.gov/firemap/), respectively for the 4th-5th, 11th and 14th Nov. 2016. The blue dot shows the location of the Gucheng site, while the pink solid and dashed line circles respectively cover areas within 10 and 20 km distance.



Figure S4. OH assumption for HONO budget analysis based on Whalley et al. (2015), replacing OH under fog conditions with $1x10^5$ cm^{-3}



Figure S5. The production rate of HONO under different conditions and with different values of reactive NO_2 uptake coefficients (γ_{NO_2}), the γ_{NO_2} range is from Li et al. (2018). The surface area density range of fog is calculated based on the fog droplet size distribution measured on the North China Plain (Shen et al., 2018).

65



Figure S6 Same as Figure 6 using a constant γ_a of 1×10^{-4}.



Figure S7. **(a)** Diurnal variations of lifetime of HONO under different aerosol optical depth (AOD) conditions. Gray solid marker represents the AOD position from MODIS Aqua on the 14th Nov. 2016 (about 13:30); **(b)** Diurnal variations of lifetime of HONO under different cloud optical depth (COD) conditions, with an AOD of 1.

Indirect oxidation of S(IV) by HONO:

$HONO + h\nu \rightarrow NO + OH$ (RS1)

$OH + HSO_3^- + O_2 \rightarrow HSO_4^- + HO_2$ (RS2)

$OH + RH + O_2 \rightarrow RO_x + HO_2$ (RS3)

$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + OH$ (RS4)

$HO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$ (RS5)

$HSO_3^- + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HSO_4^- + H_2O$ (RS6)

Reference

Li, L., Hoffmann, M. R., and Colussi, A. J.: Role of Nitrogen Dioxide in the Production of Sulfate during Chinese Haze-Aerosol Episodes, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 2686-2693, 10.1021/acs.est.7b05222, 2018.

Shen, C., Zhao, C., Ma, N., Tao, J., Zhao, G., Yu, Y., and Kuang, Y.: Method to Estimate Water Vapor Supersaturation in the Ambient Activation Process Using Aerosol and Droplet Measurement Data, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 10606-10619, 10.1029/2018JD028315, 2018.

Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., George, I. J., Mertes, S., van Pinxteren, D., Tilgner, A., Herrmann, H., Evans, M. J., and Heard, D. E.: The influence of clouds on radical concentrations: observations and modelling studies of HOx during the Hill Cap Cloud Thuringia (HCCT) campaign in 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3289-3301, 10.5194/acp-15-3289-2015, 2015.