



Impacts of bromine and iodine chemistry on tropospheric OH and HO₂: comparing observations with box and global model perspectives

Daniel Stone¹, Tomás Sherwen², Mathew J. Evans^{2,3}, Stewart Vaughan¹, Trevor Ingham^{1,4}, Lisa K. Whalley^{1,4}, Peter M. Edwards², Katie A. Read^{2,3}, James D. Lee^{2,3}, Sarah J. Moller^{2,3}, Lucy J. Carpenter^{2,3}, Alastair C. Lewis^{2,3}, and Dwayne E. Heard^{1,4}

¹School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, UK

³National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, York, UK

⁴National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Correspondence: Daniel Stone (d.stone@leeds.ac.uk)

Received: 27 September 2017 – Discussion started: 10 October 2017

Revised: 15 January 2018 – Accepted: 16 January 2018 – Published: 12 March 2018

Abstract. The chemistry of the halogen species bromine and iodine has a range of impacts on tropospheric composition, and can affect oxidising capacity in a number of ways. However, recent studies disagree on the overall sign of the impacts of halogens on the oxidising capacity of the troposphere. We present simulations of OH and HO₂ radicals for comparison with observations made in the remote tropical ocean boundary layer during the Seasonal Oxidant Study at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory in 2009. We use both a constrained box model, using detailed chemistry derived from the Master Chemical Mechanism (v3.2), and the three-dimensional global chemistry transport model GEOS-Chem. Both model approaches reproduce the diurnal trends in OH and HO₂. Absolute observed concentrations are well reproduced by the box model but are overpredicted by the global model, potentially owing to incomplete consideration of oceanic sourced radical sinks. The two models, however, differ in the impacts of halogen chemistry. In the box model, halogen chemistry acts to increase OH concentrations (by 9.8% at midday at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory), while the global model exhibits a small increase in OH at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (by 0.6% at midday) but overall shows a decrease in the global annual mass-weighted mean OH of 4.5%. These differences reflect the variety of timescales through which the halogens impact the chemical system. On short timescales, photolysis of HOBr and HOI, produced by reactions of HO₂ with BrO and

IO, respectively, increases the OH concentration. On longer timescales, halogen-catalysed ozone destruction cycles lead to lower primary production of OH radicals through ozone photolysis, and thus to lower OH concentrations. The global model includes more of the longer timescale responses than the constrained box model, and overall the global impact of the longer timescale response (reduced primary production due to lower O₃ concentrations) overwhelms the shorter timescale response (enhanced cycling from HO₂ to OH), and thus the global OH concentration decreases. The Earth system contains many such responses on a large range of timescales. This work highlights the care that needs to be taken to understand the full impact of any one process on the system as a whole.

1 Introduction

Halogen chemistry in the troposphere influences budgets of O₃, HO_x (OH and HO₂) and NO_x (NO and NO₂) (von Glasow et al., 2004; Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012; Simpson et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2016; Sherwen et al., 2016a, b), affects the oxidation state of atmospheric mercury (Holmes et al., 2006, 2010), and impacts aerosol formation (Hoffmann et al., 2001; O'Dowd and Hoffmann, 2005; Mc-

Figgans et al., 2004, 2010; Mahajan et al., 2011; Sherwen et al., 2016c).

The production of bromine and iodine atoms in the marine boundary layer (MBL) following emissions of organohalogen compounds and the inorganic compounds I₂ and HOI has been shown to result in considerable destruction of tropospheric ozone (Read et al., 2008), leading to the production of bromine monoxide (BrO) and iodine monoxide (IO) radicals. Observations of BrO and IO radicals within the MBL have demonstrated widespread impacts on atmospheric composition and chemistry (Alicke et al., 1999; Sander et al., 2003; Leser et al., 2003; Saiz-Lopez and Plane, 2004; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2004, 2006; Peters et al., 2005; Whalley et al., 2007; Mahajan et al., 2010a; Commane et al., 2011; Dix et al., 2013; Gomez Martin et al., 2013; Le Breton et al., 2017), including significant effects on HO_x concentrations and on the HO₂:OH ratio in coastal and marine locations (Bloss et al., 2005a, 2007, 2010; Sommariva et al., 2006; Kanaya et al., 2007; Whalley et al., 2010).

The role of halogens in HO_x chemistry was demonstrated during the NAMBLEX campaign in Mace Head, Ireland (Heard et al., 2006), following several studies which attributed box model overestimates of HO₂ observations in marine environments to unmeasured halogen monoxides (Carslaw et al., 1999, 2002; Kanaya et al., 2001, 2002, 2007). Simultaneous measurements of OH and HO₂ by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) (Bloss et al., 2005a; Smith et al., 2006) and halogen species by a combination of DOAS (for BrO or IO, OIO and I₂) (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2006) and broadband cavity ring-down spectroscopy (BCCRDS) (for OIO and I₂) (Bitter et al., 2005) during NAMBLEX enabled box model calculations to fully explore the impacts of halogens on the local composition. A box model without halogen chemistry was able to reproduce the NAMBLEX OH observations to within 25 %, but HO₂ observations were overestimated by up to a factor of 2 (Sommariva et al., 2006). The introduction of halogen chemistry, using differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) measurements of BrO and IO (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2006) to constrain the model, increased the modelled OH concentrations by up to 15 % and decreased HO₂ by up to 30 % owing to reactions of HO₂ with XO radicals to form HOX which subsequently photolysed to X + OH (Sommariva et al., 2006). Bloss et al. (2005a) indicated that up to 40 % of the instantaneous HO₂ loss could be attributed to HO₂ + IO, and that photolysis of HOI was responsible for 15 % of the noontime OH production.

The impacts of halogen chemistry on HO_x radicals at a site representative of the open ocean have been investigated at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO). Measurements of halogen monoxides (Mahajan et al., 2010a) at the site have been shown to have significant impacts on local ozone concentrations, notably in the magnitude of the daily cycle (Read et al., 2008) and have been used to constrain box model calculations used to explore observations of OH and HO₂ made during the RHAMBLE campaign in 2007 (Whal-

ley et al., 2010). The model calculations showed generally good comparisons with the observed OH and HO₂ concentrations, apart from a period characterised by unusually high concentrations of HCHO. Compared to a model run in which halogen chemistry was absent, bromine and iodine chemistry led to a 9 % increase in the modelled OH concentration (Whalley et al., 2010). Owing to the dominance of the tropics in global methane oxidation (Bloss et al., 2005b), such an impact of halogens on OH could have significant consequences for estimates of global methane lifetimes, as well as on our understanding of the impacts of halogen chemistry on climate change.

In general, observationally constrained box model simulations suggest that halogens in the troposphere will increase OH concentrations, primarily because of a change in the HO₂ to OH ratio occurring as a result of reactions of halogen oxides (XO) with HO₂ to produce a hypohalous acid (HOX) which photolyses to give an OH radical and a halogen atom (Kanaya et al., 2002, 2007; Bloss et al., 2005a; Sommariva et al., 2006, 2007; Whalley et al., 2010). Other impacts on the HO_x photochemical system are observed (impacts from changes to NO_x chemistry etc.), but these are minor and overall the general conclusion is that the halogen chemistry tends to increase the OH concentration and thus the oxidising capacity of the atmosphere.

However, the observationally constrained studies are typically concerned with processes occurring at the surface, and in a single location. The role of halogen chemistry in the troposphere as a whole is more uncertain, particularly in the free troposphere and on a global scale (Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012; Simpson et al., 2015). Inclusion of bromine chemistry in the three-dimensional (3-D) chemistry transport model (CTM) MATCH-HPIC resulted in decreases in tropospheric ozone concentrations of ~ 18 % over widespread areas, with regional decreases of up to 40 % (von Glasow et al., 2004). Increases of more than 20 % were found for OH in the free troposphere, but, globally, changes to OH were dominated by decreases in OH in the tropics owing to a reduction in primary production from O₃ photolysis, leading to a decrease of 1–2 % in the global mean OH concentration (von Glasow et al., 2004).

Significant decreases in tropospheric ozone (up to 30 % at high-latitude spring) were also reported for the pTOM-CAT model on inclusion of bromine chemistry (Yang et al., 2005). The CAM-Chem global chemistry–climate model has shown an approximate 10 % decrease in global mean tropospheric ozone concentration on incorporation of lower bromine emissions (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012), while the GEOS-Chem CTM displays a global decrease of 6.5 % (Parrilla et al., 2012). The GEOS-Chem model indicated that bromine-catalysed loss of ozone is limited by the rate of production of HOBr, and that HO₂ + BrO is responsible for over 95 % of the global tropospheric HOBr production. While HOBr can act as a source of OH on photolysis, the changes to O₃ and NO_x resulting from the inclusion of bromine chem-

istry in GEOS-Chem led to a 4 % decrease overall in the global annual mean OH (Parrella et al., 2012).

Vertically resolved airborne measurements of IO radicals in the free troposphere over the Pacific Ocean have also demonstrated a role for iodine chemistry throughout the free troposphere, with IO observed at a mixing ratio of ~ 0.1 ppt in the free troposphere and found to be present in both recent deep convective outflow and aged free-tropospheric air (Dix et al., 2013). Model simulations to investigate iodine-driven ozone destruction throughout the troposphere indicated that only 34 % of the total iodine-driven ozone loss occurs within the marine boundary layer, with 40 % occurring in a transition layer and 26 % in the free troposphere (Dix et al., 2013).

The CAM-Chem and GEOS-Chem models have also been updated to encompass iodine chemistry, with results from CAM-Chem showing iodine chemistry to be responsible for 17–27 % of the ozone loss in the tropical MBL and 11–27 % of the ozone loss in the marine upper troposphere (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2014). The GEOS-Chem model also showed iodine chemistry to be responsible for significant ozone destruction throughout the troposphere (Sherwen et al., 2016a, b, 2017). The GEOS-Chem simulations, which incorporate chlorine, bromine and iodine chemistry, show a reduction in global tropospheric ozone concentration of 18.6 %, compared to simulations with no halogen chemistry, a reduction in the global mean OH of 8.2 % to a concentration of $1.28 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a resulting increase in global methane lifetime of 10.8 % to 8.28 years (Sherwen et al., 2016b).

There is thus a discrepancy between box and global models as to the impact of halogen chemistry on OH concentrations in the troposphere. Box models suggest that OH radical concentrations should increase and thus that halogens tend to increase the oxidising capacity, whereas the global models tend to suggest the opposite.

In this work, we use both a detailed chemical box model approach and a global chemistry-transport model to investigate the local and global impacts of halogen chemistry on HO_x radical concentrations. We focus on seasonal HO_x observations available from the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (Vaughan et al., 2012). We first provide a summary of the measurement site and the observations, followed by details of the two models used in this study. We then evaluate the impact of halogens on the concentrations of oxidants in the two modelling frameworks and consider the impact of halogen chemistry on global oxidising capacity.

2 The Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory

The Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory is situated on the north east coast of the island of São Vicente (16.848° N, 24.871° W), approximately 500 km off the west coast of Africa. The observatory is in a region of high marine biological production and, for 95 % of the time, receives the

prevailing northeasterly trade wind directly off the ocean (Read et al., 2008; Carpenter et al., 2010). Measurements at the observatory are considered to be representative of the open ocean, and CO, O₃, VOCs, NO_x and NO_y have been measured near-continuously at the observatory since October 2006 (Lee et al., 2009; Carpenter et al., 2010).

In 2007, the observatory was host to the RHAMBLE intensive field campaign, during which a number of additional measurements were made to complement the long-term measurements at the site (Lee et al., 2010), including LP-DOAS measurements of halogen species (Read et al., 2008; Mahajan et al., 2010a) and formaldehyde (Mahajan et al., 2010b), and LIF-FAGE measurements of OH and HO₂ (Whalley et al., 2010). The halogen monoxide radicals BrO and IO exhibited a “top-hat” diurnal cycle (Vogt et al., 1999, 1996; Read et al., 2008; Mahajan et al., 2010a) with essentially zero concentration in the hours of darkness and generally constant values of approximately 2.5 ppt BrO and 1.4 ppt IO during the day.

The RHAMBLE campaign was followed by the Seasonal Oxidants Study (SOS) in 2009, during which measurements of OH and HO₂ were conducted over three periods (February–March (SOS1), May–June (SOS2), and September (SOS3)). These measurements are discussed in detail by Vaughan et al. (2012). We present here the results from a modelling study of the HO_x measurements made during SOS1 and SOS2, when supporting measurements are available, using both box and global model approaches. SOS3 is not considered in this work owing to a lack of supporting measurements.

Measurements of OH and HO₂ during the Seasonal Oxidant Study were made by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy at low pressure using the fluorescence assay by gas expansion (FAGE) technique, and they are described in detail by Vaughan et al. (2012). Briefly, ambient air is drawn into a fluorescence cell situated on the roof of a shipping container and maintained at pressures of ~ 2 Torr. The fluorescence cell has two excitation axes, with excess NO added at the second axis to titrate HO₂ to OH, enabling simultaneous detection of OH and HO₂. OH radicals in both excitation axes are excited by laser light at $\lambda \sim 308$ nm, generated by frequency tripling the output of a solid-state Nd:YAG pumped Ti:Sapphire laser system (Bloss et al., 2003). Channel photomultiplier tubes coupled to gated photon counters are used to detect the $A^2\Sigma^+ - X^2\Pi_i$ OH fluorescence signal at $\lambda \sim 308$ nm.

Calibration of the instrument is achieved by measurement of the fluorescence signal from known concentrations of OH and HO₂, produced by the photolysis of water vapour, and was performed over a range of conditions before, during and after the campaign. For OH, the 1σ limit of detection (LOD) was in the range $(2\text{--}11) \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for a 5 min averaging period, while for HO₂ 1σ LOD was in the range $(6\text{--}13) \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for a 4 min averaging period. Uncertain-

ties (2σ) in the measurements of OH and HO₂ are $\sim 32\%$ (Vaughan et al., 2012).

Potential interferences in HO₂ measurements arising from conversion of alkene- and aromatic-derived peroxy radicals to OH within the LIF detection cell, as described by Fuchs et al. (2011), are expected to be small for this work owing to relatively low concentrations of alkenes and aromatics at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (Carpenter et al., 2010; Vaughan et al., 2012). Speciation of the peroxy radicals in the box model output (see Supplement) shows that 87.4% of the peroxy radicals are HO₂ and CH₃O₂, 6.5% CH₃C(O)O₂ and 1.1% C₂H₅O₂, all of which display no HO₂ interference in the laboratory (Whalley et al., 2013; Stone et al., 2014). Peroxy radicals derived from OH-initiated oxidation of ethene and propene (HOC₂H₄O₂ and HOC₃H₆O₂, respectively) were found to result in an interference signal for HO₂ in the laboratory ($\sim 40\%$ for the experimental configuration in this work), but each radical comprises only $\sim 0.6\%$ of the total RO₂ in this work. Thus, model calculations reported here do not include representation of potential HO₂ interferences, although such phenomena may be important in other environments (see for example, Whalley et al., 2013; Stone et al., 2014).

3 Model approaches

We interpret the observations using two different modelling frameworks. The first is an observationally constrained box model (DSMACC); the second is a global tropospheric chemistry transport model (GEOS-Chem).

3.1 Constrained box model

The Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DSMACC) is described in detail by Emmerson and Evans (2009) and Stone et al. (2010), and is a zero-dimensional model using the Kinetic Pre-Processor (KPP) (Sandu and Sander, 2006). In this work we use a chemistry scheme based on a subsection of the hydrocarbons (ethane, propane, *iso*-butane, *n*-butane, *iso*-pentane, *n*-pentane, hexane, ethene, propene, 1-butene, acetylene, isoprene, toluene, benzene, methanol, acetone, acetaldehyde and DMS) available from the Master Chemical Mechanism version 3.2 (MCM v3.2 <http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/home.htm>) (Jenkin et al., 2003; Saunders et al., 2003), with a halogen chemistry scheme described by Saiz-Lopez et al. (2006), Whalley et al. (2010) and Edwards et al. (2011). We also include the reaction between OH and CH₃O₂ (Bossolasco et al., 2014; Fittschen et al., 2014; Assaf et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2016), with a rate coefficient of $1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Assaf et al., 2016) and products HO₂ + CH₃O (Assaf et al., 2017), the impact of which on the HO₂ : OH ratio and CH₃O₂ budget is described in the Supplement. The total number of species in

the model is ~ 1200 , with ~ 5000 reactions. The full chemistry scheme used in the model is given in the Supplement.

All measurements are merged onto a 10 min timebase for input to the model and the model is run with constraints applied as discussed in our previous work (Stone et al., 2010, 2011, 2014). Concentrations of CH₄ and H₂ are kept constant at values of 1770 ppb (NOAA CMDL flask analysis, <ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg/CT-CH4/>) and 550 ppb (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2009; Novelli et al., 1999), respectively. Formaldehyde measurements were not available during the SOS and we thus use HCHO concentrations generated by the chemistry in the model, with the modelled HCHO concentrations in broad agreement with previous measurements at the observatory (Mahajan et al., 2010b). Table 1 shows a summary of the input parameters to the model.

Deposition processes, including dry deposition and wet deposition, and diffusion are represented in the model by a first-order loss process, with the first-order rate coefficient equivalent to a lifetime of approximately 24 h. As discussed by Stone et al. (2010), variation of the deposition lifetime between 1 h and 5 days results in limited changes to the modelled concentrations of OH and HO₂. Loss of reactive species to aerosol surfaces is represented in the model by parameterisation of a first-order loss process to the aerosol surface (Schwarz, 1986), as discussed by Stone et al. (2014).

A range of aerosol uptake coefficients for HO₂ have been reported in the literature, with recent measurements indicating values of γ_{HO_2} between 0.003 and 0.02 on aqueous aerosols (George et al., 2013), while others have reported values of $\gamma_{\text{HO}_2} \sim 0.1$ (Taketani et al., 2008) and increased uptake coefficients in the presence of Cu and Fe ions (Thornton et al., 2008; Mao et al., 2013). In this work we use a value of $\gamma_{\text{HO}_2} = 0.1$ in order to maintain consistency with previous modelling studies at the site (Whalley et al., 2010) and to account for potential impacts of ions of copper and iron in aerosol particles influenced by mineral dust (Carpenter et al., 2010; Müller et al., 2010; Fomba et al., 2014; Matthews et al., 2014; Lakey et al., 2015).

The aerosol surface area in the model is constrained to previous measurements of dry aerosol surface area at the observatory, corrected for differences in sampling height between the aerosol and HO_x measurements and for aerosol growth under humid conditions (Allan et al., 2009; Müller et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2010).

Halogen species are constrained to “top-hat” profiles for BrO and IO (Vogt et al., 1999, 1996; Read et al., 2008), as observed during the RHAMBLE campaign in 2007 (Read et al., 2008; Mahajan et al., 2010a). The observations indicate that while there is day to day variation in BrO and IO concentrations, there is little seasonal variation (Mahajan et al., 2010a). BrO and IO are thus constrained to the mean observed mixing ratios of 2.5 and 1.4 ppt, respectively, for time points between 09:30 and 18:30 GMT and zero for all other times. Sensitivity to these mixing ratios is discussed in the Supplement. In a similar way to NO_x (see Stone et al., 2010,

Table 1. Summary of inputs to the model. Zero values indicate measurements below the limit of detection. Further details can be found in Vaughan et al. (2012) and Carpenter et al. (2010).

Species	Mean	Median	Range
O ₃ /ppb	33.8 ± 8.6	30.7	19.6–49.7
CO/ppb	102.3 ± 10.3	99.3	87.8–127.3
H ₂ O/ppm	20 542.3 ± 2753.8	21 290.0	16 778.5–24 909.2
NO/ppt	11.2 ± 10.6	9.0	0.06–96.2
Ethane/ppt	961.3 ± 289.4	864.0	625.4–1799.2
Propane/ppt	136.1 ± 87.05	111.8	20.2–521.5
<i>iso</i> -Butane/ppt	13.4 ± 9.8	11.1	0–62.7
<i>n</i> -Butane/ppt	21.9 ± 17.6	17.8	0–112.9
Acetylene/ppt	79.0 ± 27.8	70.4	45.0–180.5
Isoprene/ppt	0.1 ± 0.4	0	0–2.6
<i>iso</i> -Pentane/ppt	3.9 ± 3.2	3.3	0–22.9
<i>n</i> -Pentane/ppt	4.3 ± 3.0	3.9	0–21.7
<i>n</i> -Hexane/ppt	1.0 ± 0.7	0.9	0–4.4
Ethene/ppt	43.6 ± 15.2	46.3	6.4–73.2
Propene/ppt	13.5 ± 3.6	13.0	6.2–24.1
But-1-ene/ppt	6.5 ± 1.4	6.3	3.5–10.6
Benzene/ppt	13.0 ± 17.0	8.3	0–64.4
Toluene/ppt	77.9 ± 388.8	0	0–2013.9
Acetaldehyde/ppt	511.8 ± 526.0	599.3	0–2622.6
Methanol/ppt	247.6 ± 336.2	173.3	0–3337.4
DMS/ppt	8.3 ± 38.3	0	0–291.8

2011), concentrations of all bromine or iodine species, including BrO and IO, are permitted to vary according to the photochemistry as the model runs forwards. At the end of each 24 h period in the model, the calculated concentrations of BrO and IO are compared to the constrained value, and the concentrations of all bromine (Br, Br₂, BrO, HBr, HOBr, BrONO₂, BrNO₂, BrNO) and iodine (I, I₂, IO, HI, HOI, IONO, IONO₂, OIO, I₂O₂, I₂O₃, I₂O₄, HOIO₂) species are fractionally increased or decreased such that the calculated and constrained concentrations of BrO and IO are the same. The model is run forwards in time with diurnally varying photolysis rates until a diurnal steady state is reached, typically requiring between 5 and 10 days.

3.2 Global model

We use the 3-D global chemistry transport model GEOS-Chem (v10-01, www.geos-chem.org). The model has been extensively evaluated against observations (Bey et al., 2001; Evans and Jacob, 2005; Nassar et al., 2009; Mao et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Parrella et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2017). The model is driven by assimilated winds calculated by the Goddard Earth Observing System at a horizontal resolution of 4° × 5°, with 47 vertical levels from the surface to 50 hPa. Anthropogenic emissions of CO, NO_x and SO₂ are described by the EDGAR 3.2 monthly global inventory (Olivier et al., 2005). Emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are described by the RETRO monthly global inventory (van het Bolscher, 2008) for anthropogenic sources, with ethane emis-

sions described by Xiao et al. (2008), and the MEGAN v2.1 inventory (Guenther et al., 2006; Barkley et al., 2011) for biogenic sources.

The HO_x–NO_x–VOC–O₃ chemistry scheme in the model is described in detail by Bey et al. (2001) and Mao et al. (2013), with the isoprene oxidation mechanism described by Paulot et al. (2009). Photolysis rates use the FAST-JX scheme (Bian and Prather, 2002; Mao et al., 2010), with acetone photolysis rates updated by Fischer et al. (2012). Stratospheric chemistry is based on LINOZ McLinden et al. (2000) for O₃ and a linearised mechanism for other species as described by Murray et al. (2012).

The model framework includes gas–aerosol partitioning of semi-volatile organic compounds (Liao et al., 2007; Henze et al., 2007, 2009; Fu et al., 2008; Heald et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), and heterogeneous chemistry (Jacob, 2000). Coupling between gas-phase chemistry and sulfate–ammonium–nitrate aerosol is described by Park et al. (2004) and Pye et al. (2009). A description of dust aerosol in the model is given by Fairlie et al. (2007). Treatment of sea-salt aerosol is described by Jaegle et al. (2011). The uptake coefficient for N₂O₅ uses the parameterisation by Evans and Jacob (2005), while that for HO₂ uses the parameterisation of Thornton et al. (2008). A full description of the organic aerosol chemistry in the model is given by Heald et al. (2011).

The model includes recent updates to the chemistry scheme to include bromine chemistry (Parrella et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2016) and iodine chemistry (Sherwen et al.,




Figure 1. Observed and modelled concentrations of (a) OH during SOS1 (February–March 2009, days 58–68); (b) OH during SOS2 (May–June 2009, days 157–168); (c) HO₂ during SOS1; (d) HO₂ during SOS2. Observed data are shown in black; box model concentrations with halogen chemistry are shown by filled red circles; box model concentrations without halogen chemistry are shown by open yellow triangles; global model concentrations with halogen chemistry are shown by solid dark blue lines; global model concentrations without halogen chemistry are shown by broken blue lines.

2016a, b). Sources of tropospheric bromine in the model include emissions of CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂ and CH₃Br, and transport of reactive bromine from the stratosphere. Debromination of sea-salt aerosol is not included in the model following the work of Schmidt et al. (2016), which showed better agreement with observations of BrO made by the GOME-2 satellite (Theys et al., 2011) and in the free troposphere and the tropical eastern Pacific MBL (Gomez Martin et al., 2013; Volkamer et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Emission rates and bromine chemistry included in the model are described in detail by Parella et al. (2012), with the bromine chemistry scheme described by 19 bimolecular reactions, 2 three-body reactions and 2 heterogeneous reactions using rate coefficients, heterogeneous reaction coefficients and photolysis cross sections recommended by Sander et al. (2011).

Iodine sources include emissions of CH₃I, CH₂I₂, CH₂ICl, CH₂IBr, I₂ and HOI. Emissions for CH₃I follow Bell et al. (2002), while those of other organic iodine species use parameterisations based on chlorophyll *a* in the tropics and constant oceanic and coastal fluxes in extratropical regions (Ordonez et al., 2012). Emissions of inorganic iodine species (HOI and I₂) use the results of Carpenter et al. (2013), with oceanic iodide concentrations parameterised by MacDonald et al. (2014). The iodine chemistry scheme includes 26 unimolecular and bimolecular reactions, 3 three-body reactions, 21 photolysis reactions and 7 heterogeneous reactions, using recommendations by Atkinson et al. (2007) and Sander et al. (2011) where available. Full details are given by Sherwen et al. (2016a, b).

Photolysis rates of bromine and iodine compounds are calculated using the FAST-J radiative transfer model (Wild

et al., 2000; Bian and Prather, 2002; Mao et al., 2010). Wet and dry deposition are determined as for the standard GEOS-Chem model (Liu et al., 2001; Wesely, 1989; Wang et al., 1998; Amos et al., 2012).

The tropospheric chemistry scheme is integrated using the SMVGEAR solver (Jacobson and Turco, 1994; Bey et al., 2001). The model provides hourly output at the site of the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. Model simulations have been performed in the absence of halogens, with bromine chemistry, with iodine chemistry and with bromine and iodine chemistry combined. Each model simulation is run for 2 years, with the analysis performed on the second year (2009) and the first year discarded as model spin-up to enable evolution of long-lived species.

4 Model results

We now investigate the impact of halogen chemistry on tropospheric oxidation at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory within our two modelling approaches.

4.1 Constrained box model

Figure 1 shows the observed and modelled time series for OH and HO₂ during SOS1 (February, March 2009) and SOS2 (May, June 2009). Observed concentrations of OH and HO₂ were typically higher in SOS2 than SOS1, reaching maximum values in SOS2 of $\sim 9 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ OH and $4 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ HO₂ (Vaughan et al., 2012). Similar concentrations were observed in May and June 2007 during the RHAMBLE campaign (Whalley et al., 2010), with a *t* test indicating no statistically significant difference between the OH concentrations measured in May–June 2009 to those measured in May–June 2007 at the 95 % confidence level (Vaughan et al., 2012). Concentrations of HO₂ measured in May–June 2009 were significantly higher than those measured in May–June 2007 at the 95 % confidence level, but were within the 1σ day-to-day variability (Vaughan et al., 2012). Temperatures during SOS2 were typically higher than those during SOS1, with higher relative humidity during SOS2 (Vaughan et al., 2012). Air masses during SOS1 had strong contributions from Atlantic marine air and African coastal region, with polluted marine air and Saharan dust contributing ~ 20 and 10 %, respectively, for the first half of the measurement period. Conditions during SOS2 were typically cleaner, with Atlantic marine air representing the major source, although coastal African air contributed ~ 40 % on some days. There was little influence from polluted air, dust or continental air (Vaughan et al., 2012). Analysis of the variance of OH and HO₂ during SOS indicated that ~ 70 % of the total variance could be explained by diurnal behaviour, with the remaining ~ 30 % related to changes in air mass.

Figure 1 shows the observed and modelled time series for OH and HO₂, for model simulations with and without halo-

gen chemistry. For SOS1, the box model overpredicts the OH and HO₂ concentrations at the start of the campaign (Julian days 59 and 61), but it performs better for day 63 and captures both the observed diurnal profile and the observed concentrations. For SOS2, the box model tends to agree better with the observations for both OH and HO₂. A day-by-day comparison between the models and the observations is shown in the Supplement for days for which box model calculations were possible, which were limited by the availability of supporting data.

Figure 2 shows the point-by-point model performance for OH and HO₂ for all data points combined, and for SOS1 and SOS2 separately, for the full box model run including halogen chemistry. There is a tendency for overprediction of OH and HO₂ during SOS1 (slopes of modelled vs. observed concentrations are (1.86 ± 0.26) for OH and (1.66 ± 0.21) for HO₂), which is dominated by the model overpredictions on days 59 and 61, with better agreement observed during SOS2 (slopes of modelled vs. observed concentrations are (1.11 ± 0.15) for OH and (1.21 ± 0.12) for HO₂).

The measured and modelled average diurnal profiles of OH, HO₂ and the HO₂ to OH ratios are shown in Fig. 3. At midday (11:00–13:00 local time), the full model including halogen chemistry overpredicts OH by a median factor of 1.52 and HO₂ by a median factor of 1.21. A model run containing bromine chemistry but no iodine chemistry gave median midday overpredictions of 1.40 and 1.30 for OH and HO₂, respectively, while a run containing iodine but not bromine gave equivalent median overpredictions of 1.50 and 1.26, respectively. With no halogen chemistry included in the model, the modelled OH decreases, giving a median overprediction at midday by a factor of 1.37, while the modelled HO₂ increases, resulting in a median overprediction by a factor of 1.37 at midday.

Thus the inclusion of halogens (bromine and iodine) in the box model changes the mean noontime OH and HO₂ concentrations by +9.8 and –9.9 %, respectively. This impact of halogen chemistry is consistent in sign and magnitude with previous studies (Kanaya et al., 2002, 2007; Bloss et al., 2005a; Sommariva et al., 2006, 2007; Whalley et al., 2010).

Figure 4 shows the mean midday total RO_x budget (given the fast processing time between HO₂ and HOBr / HOI we identify the RO_x family as OH, HO₂, HOBr, HOI, RO and RO₂) for the two measurement periods during SOS for model runs with and without halogens. These budgets are similar both between time periods (i.e. SOS1 vs. SOS2) and for box model calculations with and without halogen chemistry. Radical production dominated by photolysis of ozone (~ 83 %), with photolysis of HCHO (~ 10 %), CH₃CHO (~ 2 %) and H₂O₂ (~ 2 %) playing a significantly smaller role. Radical termination reactions were dominated by HO₂ + CH₃O₂ (~ 23 %), aerosol uptake of HO₂ (~ 21 %), HO₂ + HO₂ (~ 19 %), CH₃C(O)O₂ + HO₂ (~ 8 %), and OH + HO₂ (~ 5 %). The inclusion of the re-




Figure 2. Comparison of modelled and observed concentrations of (a) OH during SOS1 (February–March 2009) and SOS2 (May–June 2009); (b) OH during SOS1; (c) OH during SOS2; (d) HO₂ during SOS1 and SOS2; (e) HO₂ during SOS1; (f) HO₂ during SOS2. In each plot, the solid red line indicates the 1 : 1 line, with 50 % limits given by the broken red lines. The best fit lines are shown in blue and are described by (a) $[\text{OH}]_{\text{mod}} = (1.09 \pm 0.11) \times [\text{OH}]_{\text{obs}} + (0.13 \pm 0.38) \times 10^6$ ($r^2 = 0.49$); (b) $[\text{OH}]_{\text{mod}} = (1.82 \pm 0.26) \times [\text{OH}]_{\text{obs}} - (0.01 \pm 0.51) \times 10^6$ ($r^2 = 0.56$); (c) $[\text{OH}]_{\text{mod}} = (1.11 \pm 0.15) \times [\text{OH}]_{\text{obs}} - (0.95 \pm 0.66) \times 10^6$ ($r^2 = 0.64$); (d) $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{mod}} = (1.26 \pm 0.10) \times [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{obs}} - (0.08 \pm 0.22) \times 10^8$ ($r^2 = 0.77$); (e) $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{mod}} = (1.66 \pm 0.21) \times [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{obs}} - (0.17 \pm 0.34) \times 10^8$ ($r^2 = 0.78$); (f) $[\text{HO}_2]_{\text{mod}} = (1.21 \pm 0.12) \times [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{obs}} - (0.32 \pm 0.30) \times 10^8$ ($r^2 = 0.91$).

action between OH and CH₃O₂ reduces the importance of radical termination via HO₂ + CH₃O₂ (from ~26 % of the total to ~23 % of the total), but otherwise has little impact on the total radical removal owing to the expected production of HO₂ + CH₃O (Assaf et al., 2017). Further details regarding the impact of the reaction between OH and CH₃O₂ on the HO₂ / OH ratio and CH₃O₂ budget are given in the Supplement.

The budget analyses for SOS are consistent with those determined for the RHAMBLE campaign (Whalley et al., 2010; Fittschen et al., 2014; Assaf et al., 2017), reflecting similarities in observed concentrations of long-lived species and the method of the model constraint with observed O₃ concentrations and photolysis rates. The primary source of radicals therefore remains fixed in all simulations, with the primary sinks for these species occurring through radical–radical reactions. Thus, the total radical concentration and budget is little impacted by the presence of halogens.

However, the partitioning of the radicals is impacted by the halogens. Without halogens the average midday (11:00–13:00 LT) HO₂ to OH ratio is (83.4 ± 15.4) (median = 82.7), with the halogens this changes to (68.3 ± 13.6) (median = 66.9) (Table 2). This change in partitioning is mainly due to the reaction of HO₂ with BrO and IO followed by the photolysis of HOBr and HOI to give OH. In this way the halogens tend to reduce the concentration of HO₂ and increase the concentration of OH.

Table 2. Mean (±1σ) midday (11:00–13:00 LT) ratios of HO₂ to OH (SOS1 and SOS2 combined). Median values are given in parentheses.

	HO ₂ / OH ratio
Observed	79.1 ± 34.1 (70.7)
Box model, no halogens	83.4 ± 15.4 (82.7)
Box model with Br chemistry	78.9 ± 15.6 (77.8)
Box model with I chemistry	71.5 ± 13.0 (70.4)
Box model with Br and I chemistry	68.3 ± 13.6 (66.9)
Global model, no halogens	80.8 ± 18.1 (78.9)
Global model with Br chemistry	81.9 ± 19.0 (79.7)
Global model with I chemistry	70.4 ± 12.5 (70.5)
Global model with Br and I chemistry	71.3 ± 13.2 (71.3)

In the box model without halogen chemistry, production of OH is dominated by ozone photolysis (76 %), HO₂ + NO (12 %) and HO₂ + O₃ (9 %), with OH loss controlled by OH + CO (37 %), OH + CH₄ (16 %) and OH + CH₃CHO (15 %), as shown in Fig. 5. Production of HO₂ in the model excluding halogens is controlled by OH + CO (45 %), CH₃O + O₂ (19 %) and photolysis of HCHO (10 %), with loss governed by aerosol uptake (26 %), HO₂ + HO₂ (26 %), HO₂ + NO (15 %), HO₂ + CH₃O₂ (12 %) and HO₂ + O₃ (10 %). In the presence of halogens, the instantaneous budgets for OH and HO₂ are impacted by BrO and IO, as shown




Figure 3. Average diurnal profiles during the Seasonal Oxidant Study (SOS) at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory for (a) OH during both measurement periods; (b) HO₂ during both measurement periods; (c) HO₂ : OH ratio during both measurement periods; (d) OH during SOS1 (February–March 2009); (e) HO₂ during SOS1; (f) HO₂ : OH during SOS1; (g) OH during SOS2 (May–June); (h) HO₂ during SOS2; (i) HO₂ : OH ratio during SOS2. Observed data are shown in black, with grey shading indicating the variability in the observations; box model output with halogen chemistry is shown by solid red lines; box model output without halogen chemistry is shown by broken orange lines; global model output with halogen chemistry is shown by solid dark blue lines; global model output without halogen chemistry is shown by broken blue lines.

in Figs. 5 and 6. For the model run including halogens, OH production is still dominated by ozone photolysis (68 %), but there are significant contributions from photolysis of HOI (10 %) and HOBr (3 %). Loss of HO₂ is also affected by the presence of the halogen species, with the dominant loss processes including aerosol uptake (20 %), HO₂ + HO₂ (19 %), HO₂ + IO (14 %), HO₂ + NO (12 %), HO₂ + CH₃O₂ (11 %), HO₂ + O₃ (8 %) and HO₂ + BrO (6 %). The change in the relative importance of HO₂ + NO on inclusion of halogens in the model results from both the increase in the total HO₂ sink, owing to the additional losses through HO₂ + BrO and HO₂ + IO, and the shift in NO_x partitioning owing to the reactions Br + NO → Br + NO₂ and IO + NO → I + NO₂. The reactions of BrO and IO with NO result in a change in the NO₂ : NO ratio of approximately 10 %, on average, which reduces the impact of HO₂ + NO as both a sink for HO₂ and a source for OH. As shown in Figs. 4–6 there is little difference in the radical budgets between SOS1 and SOS2.

This box modelling study is consistent with previous studies (Kanaya et al., 2002, 2007; Bloss et al., 2005a; Som-

mariva et al., 2007; Whalley et al., 2010; Mahajan et al., 2010a; Stone et al., 2012) in that it implies that halogen chemistry is likely to increase the OH concentration of the marine boundary layer (and potentially other regions of the troposphere) as it enhances the HO₂ to OH conversion through the production of HOBr and HOI. We now look at the impact of halogen chemistry on the concentrations of OH and HO₂ at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory within the framework of a global atmospheric chemistry model.

4.2 Global model

Figure 1 shows the time series for OH and HO₂ calculated by the global model GEOS-Chem, with the average diurnal profiles shown in Fig. 3. The global model displays a significant overprediction for OH and HO₂ during SOS1, but it exhibits reasonable skill at reproducing the observed concentrations during SOS2 and captures the HO₂ : OH ratio for both measurement periods. The overpredictions of OH and HO₂ in the global model likely result from a combination of




Figure 4. Processes controlling the (a) instantaneous RO_x radical production (with RO_x defined here as OH + HO₂ + HOBr + HOI + RO + RO₂ owing to the rapid processing between HO₂ and HOBr/HOI) around noon (11:00–13:00 LT) for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (b) the instantaneous RO_x radical production around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry; (c) the instantaneous RO_x radical loss around noon for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (d) the instantaneous RO_x radical loss around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry. The main charts show the average results for SOS1 + SOS2, with results for SOS1 and SOS2 shown separately in the smaller charts.

missing OH sinks, particularly oxygenated volatile organic compounds (oVOCs), which are currently underestimated in the global model (Millet et al., 2015), and potential overprediction of the primary radical production rate owing to reductions in photolysis rates resulting from cloud cover that are not captured by the global model.

At midday (11:00–13:00 LT), the modelled to observed ratios for OH and HO₂ for the global model excluding halogen chemistry are (1.52 ± 1.02) and (1.72 ± 0.80) , respectively, with a mean modelled HO₂ to OH ratio of (80.8 ± 18.1) (compared to the observed HO₂ to OH ratio of (79.1 ± 34.1)). For the global model run including bromine chemistry, but not iodine, the mean midday modelled to observed ratios for OH and HO₂ are (1.48 ± 1.05) and (1.69 ± 0.81) , respectively, with a mean midday modelled HO₂ to OH ratio of (81.9 ± 19.0) . Bromine chemistry thus acts to decrease the concentrations of both OH and HO₂, in contrast to the box model results which show increased concentrations of OH and decreased concentrations of HO₂. For the model run including iodine, but not bromine, the midday modelled to observed ratios for OH and HO₂ are

(1.57 ± 1.00) and (1.59 ± 0.81) , respectively, with a mean midday modelled HO₂ to OH ratio of (70.4 ± 12.5) . Iodine chemistry thus results in increased OH and decreased HO₂ for both the global and box model simulations at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. Inclusion of bromine and iodine chemistry combined leads to midday modelled to observed ratios of (1.53 ± 1.01) for OH and (1.57 ± 0.82) for HO₂, and a mean midday modelled HO₂ to OH ratio of (71.3 ± 13.2) . These results are shown in Table 2, alongside those for the box model.

The results from the global model at Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory thus differ from those of the box model. For the box model, inclusion of bromine and iodine chemistry, whether separately or combined, leads to increased OH and decreased HO₂ through increased conversion of HO₂ to OH through the production and subsequent photolysis of HOBr and HOI. In the global model a more complex pattern emerges. In a similar way to the box model, the HO₂ concentrations in the global model are decreased on inclusion of bromine and/or iodine owing to the additional loss reactions HO₂ + BrO and HO₂ + IO. When bromine chemistry is con-




Figure 5. Processes controlling the (a) instantaneous OH radical production around noon (11:00–13:00 LT) for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (b) the instantaneous OH radical production around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry; (c) the instantaneous OH radical loss around noon for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (d) the instantaneous OH radical loss around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry. The main charts show the average results for SOS1+SOS2, with results for SOS1 and SOS2 shown separately in the smaller charts.

sidered in the global model in isolation from iodine chemistry, the OH concentration decreases, despite the production and photolysis of HOBr. This decrease occurs as a result of a reduction in the O₃ concentration in the model on inclusion of bromine chemistry owing to the reaction of Br with O₃, which leads to a decrease in the rate of primary radical production from O₃ photolysis and thus lower OH concentrations. The impact of the decreased radical production rate is greater than that leading to increased OH production through HOBr photolysis, and the net OH concentration is reduced in the global model. This effect is not observed in the box model calculations as the model runs are constrained to long-lived species – including O₃. The change in O₃ concentration on the inclusion of halogen chemistry is thus not considered in the box model simulations, and the subsequent impacts of halogens consider only those changes occurring on a more rapid timescale, which lead to increases in the OH concentration.

However, the inclusion of iodine chemistry in the global model does lead to increased OH concentrations at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. Direct emissions of HOI in the global model, in addition to chemical production through

HO₂ + IO, result in increased OH production through HOI photolysis as well as the repartitioning of HO₂ and OH through HOI production in a similar manner to that for HOBr. However, the more rapid cycling of HO₂ to OH through the more rapid production and photolysis of HOI compared to HOBr reduces the impact of iodine chemistry on the HO₂ : OH ratio compared to that for bromine chemistry. Iodine chemistry thus can reduce the OH concentration similarly to bromine chemistry, through the destruction of O₃ and subsequent reduction in primary production rate, but the impact is less than that for bromine, and can be offset by the direct emissions of HOI, which increases the production rate of OH through photolysis.

The impacts of iodine chemistry in the global model are thus more complex than those for bromine chemistry. When bromine and iodine chemistry are combined in the global model there is a competition between the effects of the reduction in primary production of OH, through depletion of O₃, and the production of OH from photolysis of HOBr and HOI and, for the model simulations at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, the impacts of direct HOI emissions dominate. The OH concentration is thus marginally increased




Figure 6. Processes controlling the (a) instantaneous HO₂ radical production around noon (11:00–13:00LT) for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (b) the instantaneous HO₂ radical production around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry; (c) the instantaneous HO₂ radical loss around noon for box model simulations with halogen chemistry; (d) the instantaneous HO₂ radical loss around noon for box model simulations without halogen chemistry. The main charts show the average results for SOS1+SOS2, with results for SOS1 and SOS2 shown separately in the smaller charts.




Figure 7. Normalised probability distribution functions showing the fractional changes in OH (a, c, e) and HO₂ (b, d, f) in GEOS-Chem for all grid boxes on inclusion of bromine chemistry (a, b), iodine chemistry (c, d) and bromine and iodine chemistry combined (e, f).

compared to simulations containing no halogens, although the HO₂ concentrations are significantly decreased.

The impacts of halogens on OH radical concentrations in the global model thus display a complexity that is somewhat obscured in the box model simulations. Overall, the inclusion




Figure 8. Percentage changes to annual surface layer concentrations of OH (a, c, e) and HO₂ (b, d, f) in GEOS-Chem on inclusion of bromine chemistry (a, b), iodine chemistry (c, d) and bromine and iodine chemistry combined (e, f).

of halogens in the global model leads to a slight increase in OH at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, but, owing to the opposing effects of bromine and iodine, this result is subject to the modelled concentrations of bromine and iodine species. Observations at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory made between November 2006 and June 2007 indicate “top-hat” profiles for BrO and IO, with average daytime mixing ratios of 2.5 and 1.4 ppt, respectively, and little variability over the entire campaign (Read et al., 2008; Ma-

hajan et al., 2010a). The global model simulations reported here predict average mixing ratios of ~ 0.5 ppt for BrO and ~ 1 ppt for IO during SOS and thus underpredict BrO but perform well for IO. The underprediction of BrO at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory results from recent model updates which exclude emissions of bromine species from sea-salt debromination (Schmidt et al., 2016) in order to provide improved agreement with observations of BrO made by the GOME-2 satellite (Theys et al., 2011) and in the free tro-




Figure 9. Annual surface layer mixing ratios (ppt) of BrO (a, c) and IO (b, d) radicals in GEOS-Chem for model runs with just bromine chemistry (a), just iodine chemistry (b) and bromine and iodine chemistry combined (c, d).

posphere and the tropical eastern Pacific MBL (Gomez Martin et al., 2013; Volkamer et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). If sea-salt debromination were included, daytime mixing ratios of BrO at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory would be approximately 2 ppt, as shown by Parella et al. (2012) and Schmidt et al. (2016), and thus in closer agreement to the observations. Increased modelled concentrations of BrO at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory resulting from inclusion of sea-salt debromination would have a greater effect on OH and HO₂, leading to more significant decreases in OH and HO₂ when bromine chemistry is included without iodine chemistry, with the larger decrease in OH potentially off-setting the increase in OH observed when bromine and iodine chemistry are combined. However, the current model simulations do not consider the coupling between bromine and sulfur chemistry, which may represent a significant sink for reactive bromine species in the troposphere and balance sources from sea-salt debromination (Chen et al., 2017). These results thus demonstrate the need for further investigation and constraint of sources and emission rates of bromine species, and of the coupling between sulfur chemistry and

reactive bromine species. We now discuss the global impacts of halogen chemistry.

5 Global impacts of halogen chemistry on OH and HO₂

On the global scale, concentrations of OH and HO₂ are reduced on inclusion of bromine and iodine chemistry, both individually and combined. The global mass-weighted annual mean OH concentration decreases by 3.8 % on inclusion of bromine chemistry, but only 0.02 % on inclusion of iodine chemistry alone. When the chemistry of bromine and iodine is combined in the model, the global mass-weighted annual mean OH concentration decreases by 4.5 %. For HO₂, the global mass-weighted annual mean is decreased by 4.2 % by bromine, 5.6 % by iodine and 9.7 % by bromine and iodine combined. Figure 7 shows the probability distribution functions for the changes to OH and HO₂ concentrations for the monthly mean values for all grid boxes within the troposphere for the year. For the majority of grid boxes, concentrations of OH and HO₂ are reduced on inclusion of bromine chemistry, with iodine also generally reducing HO₂ concen-

trations but leading to a wider spread of changes to the OH concentration, and similar numbers of grid boxes showing increased and decreased concentrations. When bromine and iodine chemistry are combined in the model, HO₂ shows a more significant decrease than for either halogen individually, and OH, although exhibiting increased concentrations in a significant number of grid boxes, displays a greater tendency for decreased concentrations.

Figure 8 shows the changes to the annual modelled surface layer OH and HO₂ concentrations on inclusion of halogen chemistry, with annual surface layer mixing ratios of BrO and IO shown in Fig. 9. The most significant changes to OH and HO₂ occur over marine regions, particularly over the southern Pacific. Smaller changes are observed over land, and any increased concentrations, including those for OH over the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, can be seen to occur in coastal regions where the impacts of direct HOI emissions dominate and concentrations of IO concentrations are typically elevated.

Thus, overall, halogens act to reduce the oxidising capacity of the troposphere through reductions to O₃ and subsequent reductions in the primary production rates of OH and HO₂, despite the slight increase in OH concentration predicted by the global model at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. Consideration of the full extent of the impacts of halogens on the global oxidising capacity is hindered by uncertainties in the concentrations and distributions of halogen species, and model representations of halogen processes, particularly those relating to sea-salt debromination, ocean iodide emissions, parameterisations of iodine recycling in aerosols and photolysis of higher iodine oxides (Sherwen et al., 2016a).

6 Conclusions

Measurements of OH and HO₂ made by LIF-FAGE at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory during the Seasonal Oxidants Study in 2009 have been simulated by a constrained box model and a three-dimensional global chemistry transport model. The observations are generally reproduced well by the box model but are overpredicted by the global model.

The oxidising capacity of the two models, as manifested by the OH concentration, shows opposing sensitivity to halogens. The constrained box model shows an increase in OH concentrations with the inclusion of halogens, whereas the global transport model shows a decrease in OH concentrations globally, despite a marginal decrease in the OH concentration at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory. This difference between models reflects differing representation of chemical timescales by the models. The box model is constrained to concentrations of long-lived compounds, including O₃, and considers only impacts on short timescales, whereas the global model includes impacts occurring on longer timescales. Within this context, the box model in-

cludes the short timescale impact of halogens on the repartitioning of HO₂ to OH, thus increasing OH and decreasing HO₂, but does not consider the longer timescale impact of halogen-mediated ozone destruction which impacts primary radical production. This highlights a general problem with understanding the complex interactions within atmospheric chemistry and the Earth system in general. Evaluating the impact of a small part of the system on the system as a whole can be difficult and the most significant processes may occur on timescales significantly longer than those of the perturbation.

Data availability. Data deposited at the Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, CEDA: <http://archive.ceda.ac.uk/>.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3541-2018-supplement>.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC, NE/E011403/1), with support of the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory by the National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS) and the SOLAS project. Daniel Stone would also like to thank NERC for the award of an Independent Research Fellowship (NE/L010798/1). Computational resources were provided by the NERC BACCHUS project (NE/L01291X/1).

Edited by: Rolf Sander

Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References

- Alicke, B., Hebestriest, H., Stutz, J., and Platt, U.: Iodine oxide in the marine boundary layer, *Nature*, 397, 572–573, 1999.
- Allan, J. D., Topping, D. O., Good, N., Irwin, M., Flynn, M., Williams, P. I., Coe, H., Baker, A. R., Martino, M., Niedermeier, N., Wiedensohler, A., Lehmann, S., Müller, K., Herrmann, H., and McFiggans, G.: Composition and properties of atmospheric particles in the eastern Atlantic and impacts on gas phase uptake rates, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 9299–9314, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9299-2009>, 2009.
- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarneau, E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. St., Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg(II) and its effect on global mercury deposition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 591–603, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-591-2012>, 2012.

- Assaf, E., Song, B., Tomas, A., Schoemaker, C., and Fittschen, C.: Rate constant of the reaction between CH₃O₂ and OH radicals revisited, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 120, 8923–8932, 2016.
- Assaf, E., Sheps, L., Whalley, L., Heard, D., Tomas, A., Schoemaeker, C., and Fittschen, C.: The reaction between CH₃O₂ and OH radicals: product yields and atmospheric implications, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 4, 2170–2177, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06265>, 2017.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume III – gas phase reactions of inorganic halogens, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 981–1191, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-981-2007>, 2007.
- Barkley, M. P., Palmer, P. I., Ganzeveld, L., Arneth, A., Hagberg, D., Karl, T., Guenther, A., Paulot, F., Wennberg, P. O., Mao, J. Q., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., Muller, J.-F., De Smedt, I., van Roozendaal, M., Chen, D., Wang, Y. X., and Yantosca, R. M.: Can a “state of the art” chemistry transport model simulate Amazonian tropospheric chemistry?, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 116, D16302, 1–28, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015893>, 2011.
- Bell, N., Hsu, L., Jacob, D. J., Schultz, M. G., Blake, D. R., Butler, J. H., King, D. B., Lobert, J. M., and Maier-Reimer, E.: Methyl iodide: atmospheric budget and use as a tracer of marine convection in global models, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 107, ACH 8-1–ACH 8-12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd001151>, 2002.
- Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modelling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: model description and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 23073–23095, 2001.
- Bian, H. S. and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J2: accurate simulation of stratospheric photolysis in global chemistry models, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 41, 281–296, 2002.
- Bitter, M., Ball, S. M., Povey, I. M., and Jones, R. L.: A broadband cavity ringdown spectrometer for in-situ measurements of atmospheric trace gases, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2547–2560, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2547-2005>, 2005.
- Bloss, W. J., Gravestock, T. J., Heard, D. E., Ingham, T., Johnson, G. P., and Lee, J. D.: Application of a compact all solid-state laser system to the in situ detection of atmospheric OH, HO₂, NO and IO by laser-induced fluorescence, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 5, 21–28, 2003.
- Bloss, W. J., Lee, J. D., Johnson, G. P., Sommariva, R., Heard, D. E., Saiz-Lopez, A., Plane, J. M. C., McFiggans, G., Coe, H., Flynn, M., Williams, P., Rickard, A. R., and Fleming, Z.: Impact of halogen monoxide chemistry upon boundary layer OH and HO₂ concentrations at a coastal site, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L06814, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL022084>, 2005a.
- Bloss, W. J., Evans, M. J., Lee, J. D., Sommariva, R., Heard, D. E., and Pilling, M. J.: The oxidative capacity of the troposphere: coupling of field measurements of OH and a global chemistry transport model, *Faraday Discuss.*, 130, 425–436, 2005b.
- Bloss, W. J., Lee, J. D., Heard, D. E., Salmon, R. A., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Roscoe, H. K., and Jones, A. E.: Observations of OH and HO₂ radicals in coastal Antarctica, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4171–4185, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4171-2007>, 2007.
- Bloss, W. J., Camredon, M., Lee, J. D., Heard, D. E., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Salmon, R. A., and Jones, A. E.: Coupling of HO_x, NO_x and halogen chemistry in the antarctic boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10187–10209, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-10187-2010>, 2010.
- Bossolasco, A., Farago, E. P., Schoemaker, C., and Fittschen, C.: Rate constant of the reaction between CH₃O₂ and OH radicals, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 593, 7–13, 2014.
- Carpenter, L. J., Fleming, Z. L., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Hopkins, J., Purvis, R., Lewis, A. C., Müller, K., Heinold, B., Herrmann, H., Wadinga Fomba, K., van Pinxteren, D., Müller, C., Tegen, I., Wiedensohler, A., Müller, T., Niedermeier, N., Achterberg, E. P., Patey, M. D., Kozlova, E. A., Heimann, M., Heard, D. E., Plane, J. M. C., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Ingham, T., Stone, D., Whalley, L., Evans, M., Pilling, M. J., Leigh, R. J., Monks, P. S., Karunaharan, A., Vaughan, S., Tschrutter, J., Pöhler, D., Frieß, U., Holla, R., Mendes, L., Lopez, H., Faria, B., Manning, A. J., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Seasonal characteristics of tropical marine boundary layer air measured at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 67, 87–140, 2010.
- Carpenter, L. J., MacDonald, S. M., Shaw, M. D., Kumar, R., Saunders, R. W., Parthipan, R., Wilson, J., and Plane, J. M. C.: Atmospheric iodine levels influenced by sea surface emissions of inorganic iodine, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 108–111, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1687>, 2013.
- Carlsaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Pilling, M. J., Monks, P. S., Bandy, B. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Modeling OH, HO₂, and RO₂ radicals in the marine boundary layer – 1. Model construction and comparison with field measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 30 241–30 255, 1999.
- Carlsaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Jacobs, P. J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Pilling, M. J., Bauguitte, S., Penkett, S. A., Monks, P. S., and Salisbury, G.: Eastern Atlantic Spring Experiment 1997 (EASE97) – 2. Comparisons of model concentrations of OH, HO₂, and RO₂ with measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 107, 4190, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001568>, 2002.
- Chen, Q., Schmidt, J. A., Shah, V., Jaegle, L., Sherwen, T., and Alexander, B.: Sulfate production by reactive bromine: implications for the global sulfur and reactive bromine budgets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 7069–7078, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073812>, 2017.
- Commane, R., Seitz, K., Bale, C. S. E., Bloss, W. J., Buxmann, J., Ingham, T., Platt, U., Pöhler, D., and Heard, D. E.: Iodine monoxide at a clean marine coastal site: observations of high frequency variations and inhomogeneous distributions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6721–6733, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6721-2011>, 2011.
- Dix, B., Baidar, S., Bresch, J. F., Hall, S. R., Schmidt, S., Wang, S., and Volkamer, R.: Detection of iodine monoxide in the tropical free troposphere, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 2035–2040, 2013.
- Edwards, P., Evans, M. J., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Stone, D., Mahajan, A. S., Oetjen, H., Dorsey, J. R., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Leigh, R., Plane, J. M. C., Carpenter, L. J., and Heard, D. E.: Hydrogen oxide photochemistry in the northern Canadian spring time boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D22306, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016390>, 2011.

- Ehhalt, D. H. and Rohrer, F.: The tropospheric cycle of H₂: a critical review, *Tellus B*, 61, 500–535, 2009.
- Emmerson, K. M. and Evans, M. J.: Comparison of tropospheric gas-phase chemistry schemes for use within global models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 1831–1845, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1831-2009>, 2009.
- Evans, M. J. and Jacob, D. J.: Impact of new laboratory studies of N₂O₅ hydrolysis on global model budgets of tropospheric nitrogen oxides, ozone, and OH, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, 1–4, 2005.
- Fairlie, T. D., Jacob, D. J., and Park, R. J.: The impact of transpacific transport of mineral dust in the United States, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 6, 1251–1266, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.048>, 2007.
- Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Millet, D. B., Yantosca, R. M., and Mao, J.: The role of the ocean in the global atmospheric budget of acetone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L01807, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl050086>, 2012.
- Fittschen, C., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: The reaction of CH₃O₂ radicals with OH radicals: a neglected sink for CH₃O₂ in the remote atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 118, 7700–7701, 2014.
- Fomba, K. W., Müller, K., van Pinxteren, D., Poulain, L., van Pinxteren, M., and Herrmann, H.: Long-term chemical characterization of tropical and marine aerosols at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO) from 2007 to 2011, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 8883–8904, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8883-2014>, 2014.
- Fu, T.-M., Jacob, D. J., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Vrekoussis, M., and Henze, D. K.: Global budgets of atmospheric glyoxal and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D15303, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jd009505>, 2008.
- Fuchs, H., Bohn, B., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Lu, K. D., Nehr, S., Rohrer, F., and Wahner, A.: Detection of HO₂ by laser-induced fluorescence: calibration and interferences from RO₂ radicals, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1209–1225, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1209-2011>, 2011.
- George, I. J., Matthews, P. S. J., Whalley, L. K., Brooks, B., Goddard, A., Baeza-Romero, M. T., and Heard, D. E.: Measurements of uptake coefficients for heterogeneous loss of HO₂ onto sub-micron inorganic salt aerosols, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 12829–12845, 2013.
- Gomez Martin, J. C., Mahajan, A. S., Hay, T. D., Prados-Roman, C., Ordonez, C., MacDonald, S. M., Plane, J. M. C., Sorribas, M., Gil, M., Francisco Paredes Mora, J., Agama Reyes, M. V., Oram, D. E., Leedham, E., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Iodine chemistry in the eastern Pacific marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 887–904, 2013.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3181–3210, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006>, 2006.
- Heald, C. L., Coe, H., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Russell, L. M., Jolleys, M., Fu, T.-M., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Capes, G., Crosier, J., Morgan, W. T., Robinson, N. H., Williams, P. I., Cubison, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., and Dunlea, E. J.: Exploring the vertical profile of atmospheric organic aerosol: comparing 17 aircraft field campaigns with a global model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12673–12696, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12673-2011>, 2011.
- Heard, D. E., Read, K. A., Methven, J., Al-Haider, S., Bloss, W. J., Johnson, G. P., Pilling, M. J., Seakins, P. W., Smith, S. C., Sommariva, R., Stanton, J. C., Still, T. J., Ingham, T., Brooks, B., De Leeuw, G., Jackson, A. V., McQuaid, J. B., Morgan, R., Smith, M. H., Carpenter, L. J., Carslaw, N., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Purvis, R. M., Wevill, D. J., Brough, N., Green, T., Mills, G., Penkett, S. A., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Worton, D., Monks, P. S., Fleming, Z., Rickard, A. R., Alfarra, M. R., Allan, J. D., Bower, K., Coe, H., Cubison, M., Flynn, M., McFiggans, G., Gallagher, M., Norton, E. G., O'Dowd, C. D., Shillito, J., Topping, D., Vaughan, G., Williams, P., Bitter, M., Ball, S. M., Jones, R. L., Povey, I. M., O'Doherty, S., Simmonds, P. G., Allen, A., Kinnery, R. P., Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R. M., Donovan, R. J., Heal, M. R., Jennings, S. G., Noone, C., and Spain, G.: The North Atlantic Marine Boundary Layer Experiment (NAMBLEX). Overview of the campaign held at Mace Head, Ireland, in summer 2002, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2241–2272, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2241-2006>, 2006.
- Henze, D. K., Hakami, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Development of the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2413–2433, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-2413-2007>, 2007.
- Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., and Shindell, D. T.: Inverse modeling and mapping US air quality influences of inorganic PM_{2.5} precursor emissions using the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5877–5903, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5877-2009>, 2009.
- Hoffmann, T., O'Dowd, C. D., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Iodine oxide homogeneous nucleation: an explanation for coastal new particle production, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1949–1952, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012399>, 2001.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., and Yang, X.: Global lifetime of elemental mercury against oxidation by atomic bromine in the free troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L20808, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl027176>, 2006.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global atmospheric model for mercury oxidation by bromine atoms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 12037–12057, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010>, 2010.
- Hu, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Kim, P. S., Sulprizio, M. P., and Yantosca, R. M.: Global budget of tropospheric ozone: evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 167, 323–334, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.036>, 2017.
- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2131–2159, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00462-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8), 2000.
- Jacobson, M. Z. and Turco, R. P.: SMVGear: a sparse-matrix, vectorized gear code for atmospheric models, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 273–284, 1994.
- Jaeglé, L., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Alexander, B., and Lin, J.-T.: Global distribution of sea salt aerosols: new constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 3137–3157, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3137-2011>, 2011.

- Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Wagner, V., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): tropospheric degradation of aromatic volatile organic compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 181–193, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-181-2003>, 2003.
- Kanaya, Y., Matsumoto, J., Kato, S., and Akimoto, H.: Behavior of OH and HO₂ radicals during the Observations at a Remote Island of Okinawa (ORION99) field campaign. 2. Comparison between observations and calculations, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 24209–24223, 2001.
- Kanaya, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Matsumoto, J., Nakamura, K., Kato, S., Tanimoto, H., Furutani, H., Toyota, K., and Akimoto, H.: Implications of iodine chemistry for daytime HO₂ levels at Rishiri Island, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 1212, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014061>, 2002.
- Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Kato, S., Miyakawa, Y., Kajii, Y., Tanimoto, H., Yokouchi, Y., Mochida, M., Kawamura, K., and Akimoto, H.: Chemistry of OH and HO₂ radicals observed at Rishiri Island, Japan, in September 2003: missing daytime sink of HO₂ and positive nighttime correlations with monoterpenes, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D11308, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007987>, 2007.
- Lakey, P. S. J., George, I. J., Whalley, L. K., Baeza-Romero, M. T., and Heard, D. E.: Measurements of HO₂ uptake coefficients onto single component organic aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 4878–4885, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00948>, 2015.
- Le Breton, M., Bannan, T. J., Shallcross, D. E., Khan, A. M., Evans, M. J., Lee, J., Lidster, R., Andrews, S., Carpenter, L. J., Schmidt, J., Jacob, D., Harris, N. R. P., Bauguutte, S., Gallagher, M., Bacak, A., Leather, K. E., and Percival, C. J.: Enhanced ozone loss by active inorganic bromine chemistry in the tropical troposphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 155, 21–28, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.003>, 2017.
- Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Read, K. A., Lewis, A. C., Mendes, L., and Carpenter, L. J.: Year-round measurements of nitrogen oxides and ozone in the tropical North Atlantic marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D21302, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011878>, 2009.
- Lee, J. D., McFiggans, G., Allan, J. D., Baker, A. R., Ball, S. M., Benton, A. K., Carpenter, L. J., Commane, R., Finley, B. D., Evans, M., Fuentes, E., Furneaux, K., Goddard, A., Good, N., Hamilton, J. F., Heard, D. E., Herrmann, H., Hollingsworth, A., Hopkins, J. R., Ingham, T., Irwin, M., Jones, C. E., Jones, R. L., Keene, W. C., Lawler, M. J., Lehmann, S., Lewis, A. C., Long, M. S., Mahajan, A., Methven, J., Moller, S. J., Müller, K., Müller, T., Niedermeier, N., O'Doherty, S., Oetjen, H., Plane, J. M. C., Pszenny, A. A. P., Read, K. A., Saiz-Lopez, A., Saltzman, E. S., Sander, R., von Glasow, R., Whalley, L., Wiedensohler, A., and Young, D.: Reactive Halogens in the Marine Boundary Layer (RHAMBLe): the tropical North Atlantic experiments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1031–1055, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1031-2010>, 2010.
- Leser, H., Honninger, G., and Platt, U.: MAX-DOAS measurements of BrO and NO₂ in the marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1537, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015811>, 2003.
- Liao, H., Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Wu, S. L., and Mickley, L. J.: Biogenic secondary organic aerosol over the United States: comparison of climatological simulations with observations, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D06201, 1–19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007813>, 2007.
- Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ²¹⁰Pb and ⁷Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 12109–12128, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jd900839>, 2001.
- MacDonald, S. M., Gómez Martín, J. C., Chance, R., Warriner, S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Carpenter, L. J., and Plane, J. M. C.: A laboratory characterisation of inorganic iodine emissions from the sea surface: dependence on oceanic variables and parameterisation for global modelling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 5841–5852, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-5841-2014>, 2014.
- Mahajan, A. S., Plane, J. M. C., Oetjen, H., Mendes, L., Saunders, R. W., Saiz-Lopez, A., Jones, C. E., Carpenter, L. J., and McFiggans, G. B.: Measurement and modelling of tropospheric reactive halogen species over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4611–4624, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4611-2010>, 2010a.
- Mahajan, A. S., Whalley, L. K., Kozlova, E., Oetjen, H., Mendez, L., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Heard, D. E., Plane, J. M. C., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: DOAS observations of formaldehyde and its impact on the HO_x balance in the tropical Atlantic marine boundary layer, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 66, 167–178, 2010b.
- Mahajan, A. S., Sorribas, M., Gómez Martín, J. C., MacDonald, S. M., Gil, M., Plane, J. M. C., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Concurrent observations of atomic iodine, molecular iodine and ultra-fine particles in a coastal environment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2545–2555, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2545-2011>, 2011.
- Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Clair, J. M. St., Crounse, J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J. G., Hall, S. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Cohen, R. C., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., McNaughton, C., Clarke, A. D., Jaeglé, L., Fisher, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., Le Sager, P., and Carouge, C.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x) in the Arctic troposphere in spring, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5823–5838, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010>, 2010.
- Mao, J., Fan, S., Jacob, D. J., and Travis, K. R.: Radical loss in the atmosphere from Cu-Fe redox coupling in aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 509–519, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-509-2013>, 2013.
- Matthews, P. S. J., Baeza-Romero, M. T., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Uptake of HO₂ radicals onto Arizona test dust particles using an aerosol flow tube, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 7397–7408, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7397-2014>, 2014.
- McFiggans, G., Coe, H., Burgess, R., Allan, J., Cubison, M., Alfarra, M. R., Saunders, R., Saiz-Lopez, A., Plane, J. M. C., Wevill, D., Carpenter, L., Rickard, A. R., and Monks, P. S.: Direct evidence for coastal iodine particles from Laminaria macroalgae – linkage to emissions of molecular iodine, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 701–713, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-701-2004>, 2004.
- McFiggans, G., Bale, C. S. E., Ball, S. M., Beames, J. M., Bloss, W. J., Carpenter, L. J., Dorsey, J., Dunk, R., Flynn, M. J., Furneaux, K. L., Gallagher, M. W., Heard, D. E., Hollingsworth, A. M., Hornsby, K., Ingham, T., Jones, C. E.,

- Jones, R. L., Kramer, L. J., Langridge, J. M., Leblanc, C., LeCrane, J.-P., Lee, J. D., Leigh, R. J., Longley, I., Mahajan, A. S., Monks, P. S., Oetjen, H., Orr-Ewing, A. J., Plane, J. M. C., Potin, P., Shillings, A. J. L., Thomas, F., von Glasow, R., Wada, R., Whalley, L. K., and Whitehead, J. D.: Iodine-mediated coastal particle formation: an overview of the Reactive Halogens in the Marine Boundary Layer (RHAMBLe) Roscoff coastal study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2975–2999, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2975-2010>, 2010.
- McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S. C., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in 3-D models: a simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 14653–14665, 2000.
- Millet, D. B., Baasandorj, M., Farmer, D. K., Thornton, J. A., Baumann, K., Brophy, P., Chaliyakunnel, S., de Gouw, J. A., Graus, M., Hu, L., Koss, A., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Neuman, J. A., Paulot, F., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Ryrson, T. B., Warneke, C., Williams, B. J., and Xu, J.: A large and ubiquitous source of atmospheric formic acid, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6283–6304, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-6283-2015>, 2015.
- Müller, K., Lehmann, S., van Pinxteren, D., Gnauk, T., Niedermeier, N., Wiedensohler, A., and Herrmann, H.: Particle characterization at the Cape Verde atmospheric observatory during the 2007 RHAMBLe intensive, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2709–2721, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2709-2010>, 2010.
- Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, D20307, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017934>, 2012.
- Nassar, R., Logan, J. A., Megretskaia, I. A., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., and Jones, D. B. A.: Analysis of tropical tropospheric ozone, carbon monoxide, and water vapour during the 2006 El Niño using TES observations and the GEOS-Chem model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D17304, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011760>, 2009.
- NOAA CMDL flask analysis, <ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/ch4/>, GLOBALVIEW-CH₄, 2010–2011: Cooperative Atmospheric Data Integration Project – Methane. CD-ROM, NOAA ESRL, Boulder, Colorado, also available on Internet via anonymous FTP to <ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/ch4/>, path: [ccg/CH4/GLOBALVIEW](ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/ch4/) (last access: 17 February 2010), 2009.
- Novelli, P. C., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Hurst, D. F., Myers, R., and Elkins, J. W.: Molecular hydrogen in the troposphere: global distribution and budget, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 30427–30444, 1999.
- O'Dowd, C. D. and Hoffmann, T.: *Environ. Chem.*, 2, 245–255, <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN05077>, 2005.
- Olivier, J. G. J. and Peters, J. A. H. W.: CO₂ from non-energy use of fuels: a global, regional and national perspective based on the IPCC Tier 1 approach, *Resour. Conserv. Recy.*, 45, 210–225, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2005.05.008>, 2005.
- Ordóñez, C., Lamarque, J.-F., Tilmes, S., Kinnison, D. E., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Sousa Santos, G., Brasseur, G., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Bromine and iodine chemistry in a global chemistry-climate model: description and evaluation of very short-lived oceanic sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1423–1447, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1423-2012>, 2012.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: implications for policy, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, D15204, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004473>, 2004.
- Parrella, J. P., Jacob, D. J., Liang, Q., Zhang, Y., Mickley, L. J., Miller, B., Evans, M. J., Yang, X., Pyle, J. A., Theys, N., and Van Roozendaal, M.: Tropospheric bromine chemistry: implications for present and pre-industrial ozone and mercury, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 6723–6740, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-6723-2012>, 2012.
- Paulot, F., Crouse, J. D., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kürten, A., St. Clair, J. M., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Unexpected epoxide formation in the gas-phase photooxidation of isoprene, *Science*, 325, 730–733, 2009.
- Peters, C., Pechtl, S., Stutz, J., Hebestreit, K., Hönninger, G., Heumann, K. G., Schwarz, A., Winterlik, J., and Platt, U.: Reactive and organic halogen species in three different European coastal environments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 3357–3375, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-3357-2005>, 2005.
- Pye, H. O. T., Liao, H., Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Henze, D. K., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Effect of changes in climate and emissions on future sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosol levels in the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 114, D01205, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010701>, 2009.
- Read, K. A., Mahajan, A. S., Carpenter, L. J., Evans, M. J., Faria, B. V. E., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Lewis, A. C., Mendes, L., McQuaid, J. B., Oetjen, H., Saiz-Lopez, A., Pilling, M. J., and Plane, J. M. C.: Extensive halogen mediated ozone destruction over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, *Nature*, 453, 1232–1235, 2008.
- Saiz-Lopez, A. and Plane, J. M. C.: Novel iodine chemistry in the marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L04112, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL019215>, 2004.
- Saiz-Lopez, A. and von Glasow, R.: Reactive halogen chemistry in the troposphere, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6448–6472, 2012.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Plane, J. M. C., and Shillito, J. A.: Bromine oxide in the mid-latitude marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L03111, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018956>, 2004.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Shillito, J. A., Coe, H., and Plane, J. M. C.: Measurements and modelling of I₂, IO, OIO, BrO and NO₃ in the mid-latitude marine boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1513–1528, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1513-2006>, 2006.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Ordóñez, C., Orlando, J. J., Conley, A. J., Plane, J. M. C., Mahajan, A. S., Sousa Santos, G., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Sander, S. P., Schauffler, S., Thompson, A. M., and Brasseur, G.: Estimating the climate significance of halogen-driven ozone loss in the tropical marine troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3939–3949, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-3939-2012>, 2012.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Fernandez, R. P., Ordóñez, C., Kinnison, D. E., Gomez Martin, J. C., Lamarque, J.-F., and Tilmes, S.: Iodine chemistry in the troposphere and its effect on ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 13119–13143, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-13119-2014>, 2014.
- Sander, R., Keene, W. C., Pszenny, A. A. P., Arimoto, R., Ayers, G. P., Baboukas, E., Caine, J. M., Crutzen, P. J., Duce, R. A., Hönninger, G., Huebert, B. J., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Turekian, V. C., and Van Dingenen, R.: Inorganic bromine in the

- marine boundary layer: a critical review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 1301–1336, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-1301-2003>, 2003.
- Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Abbatt, J. P. D., Barker, J. R., Burkholder, J. B., Golden, D. M., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Wine, P., Huie, R. E., and Orkin, V. L.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation Number 17, Tech. rep., NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, 2011.
- Sandu, A. and Sander, R.: Technical note: Simulating chemical systems in Fortran90 and Matlab with the Kinetic PreProcessor KPP-2.1, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 187–195, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-187-2006>, 2006.
- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 161–180, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-161-2003>, 2003.
- Schmidt, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Horowitz, H. M., Hu, L., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Liang, Q., Suleiman, R. M., Oram, D. E., Breton, M. L., Percival, C. J., Wang, S., Dix, B., and Volkamer, R.: Modeling the observed tropospheric BrO background: importance of multiphase chemistry and implications for ozone, OH, and mercury, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 121, 11819–11835, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024229>, 2016.
- Schwarz, S. E.: Mass-transport considerations pertinent to aqueous phase reactions of gases in liquid-water clouds, in: *Chemistry of Multiphase Atmospheric Systems*, NATO ASI Series, G6, edited by: Jaeschke, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 415–471, 1986.
- Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Andrews, S. J., Lidster, R. T., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Sinreich, R., Ortega, I., Volkamer, R., Saiz-Lopez, A., Prados-Roman, C., Mahajan, A. S., and Ordóñez, C.: Iodine's impact on tropospheric oxidants: a global model study in GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 1161–1186, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1161-2016>, 2016a.
- Sherwen, T., Schmidt, J. A., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Großmann, K., Eastham, S. D., Jacob, D. J., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Sinreich, R., Ortega, I., Volkamer, R., Saiz-Lopez, A., Prados-Roman, C., Mahajan, A. S., and Ordóñez, C.: Global impacts of tropospheric halogens (Cl, Br, I) on oxidants and composition in GEOS-Chem, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 12239–12271, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12239-2016>, 2016b.
- Sherwen, T. M., Evans, M. J., Spracklen, D. V., Carpenter, L. J., Chance, R., Baker, A. R., Schmidt, J. A., and Breider, T. J.: Global modeling of tropospheric iodine aerosol, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 19912–10019, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070062>, 2016c.
- Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Schmidt, J. A., and Mickley, L. J.: Halogen chemistry reduces tropospheric O₃ radiative forcing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1557–1569, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1557-2017>, 2017.
- Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric halogen chemistry: sources, cycling, and impacts, *Chem. Rev.*, 115, 4035–4062, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006638>, 2015.
- Smith, S. C., Lee, J. D., Bloss, W. J., Johnson, G. P., Ingham, T., and Heard, D. E.: Concentrations of OH and HO₂ radicals during NAMBLEX: measurements and steady state analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1435–1453, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1435-2006>, 2006.
- Sommariva, R., Bloss, W. J., Brough, N., Carslaw, N., Flynn, M., Haggerstone, A.-L., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., McFiggans, G., Monks, P. S., Penkett, S. A., Pilling, M. J., Plane, J. M. C., Read, K. A., Saiz-Lopez, A., Rickard, A. R., and Williams, P. I.: OH and HO₂ chemistry during NAMBLEX: roles of oxygenates, halogen oxides and heterogeneous uptake, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1135–1153, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1135-2006>, 2006.
- Sommariva, R., Pilling, M. J., Bloss, W. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Fleming, Z. L., Monks, P. S., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Ball, S. M., Bitter, M., Jones, R. L., Brough, N., Penkett, S. A., Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Read, K. A.: Night-time radical chemistry during the NAMBLEX campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 587–598, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-587-2007>, 2007.
- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Floquet, C. F. A., McQuaid, J. B., Brookes, D. M., Monks, P. S., Purvis, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J., Lewis, A. C., Stewart, D., Murphy, J. G., Mills, G., Oram, D., Reeves, C. E., and Heard, D. E.: HO_x observations over West Africa during AMMA: impact of isoprene and NO_x, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9415–9429, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-9415-2010>, 2010.
- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Edwards, P. M., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Rickard, A. R., Brookes, D. M., Hopkins, J., Leigh, R. J., Lewis, A. C., Monks, P. S., Oram, D., Reeves, C. E., Stewart, D., and Heard, D. E.: Isoprene oxidation mechanisms: measurements and modelling of OH and HO₂ over a South-East Asian tropical rainforest during the OP3 field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6749–6771, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6749-2011>, 2011.
- Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Tropospheric OH and HO₂ radicals: field measurements and model comparisons, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6348–6404, 2012.
- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Walker, H., Ingham, T., Vaughan, S., Ouyang, B., Kennedy, O. J., McLeod, M. W., Jones, R. L., Hopkins, J., Punjabi, S., Lidster, R., Hamilton, J. F., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Carpenter, L. J., Forster, G., Oram, D. E., Reeves, C. E., Bauguitte, S., Morgan, W., Coe, H., Aruffo, E., Dari-Salisburgo, C., Giammaria, F., Di Carlo, P., and Heard, D. E.: Radical chemistry at night: comparisons between observed and modelled HO_x, NO₃ and N₂O₅ during the RONOCO project, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 1299–1321, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1299-2014>, 2014.
- Taketani, F., Kanaya, Y., and Akimoto, H.: Kinetics of heterogeneous reactions of HO₂ radical at ambient concentration levels with (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NaCl aerosol particles, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 112, 2370–2377, 2008.
- Theys, N., Van Roozendaal, M., Hendrick, F., Yang, X., De Smedt, I., Richter, A., Begoin, M., Errera, Q., Johnston, P. V., Kreher, K., and De Mazière, M.: Global observations of tropospheric BrO columns using GOME-2 satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1791–1811, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1791-2011>, 2011.
- Thornton, J. A., Jaegle, L., and McNeill, V. F.: Assessing known pathways for HO₂ loss in aqueous atmospheric aerosols: regional and global impacts on tropospheric oxidants, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D05303, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009236>, 2008.

- van het Bolscher, M.: REanalysis of the TROpospheric chemical composition over the past 40 years: a long-term global modeling study of tropospheric chemistry funded under the 5th EU framework programme, Rep. EU-Contract No. EVK2-CT-2002-00170, 1–77, MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany, 2008.
- Vaughan, S., Ingham, T., Whalley, L. K., Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Carpenter, L. J., Lewis, A. C., Fleming, Z. L., and Heard, D. E.: Seasonal observations of OH and HO₂ in the remote tropical marine boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2149–2172, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2149-2012>, 2012.
- Vogt, R., Crutzen, P., and Sander, R.: A mechanism for halogen release from sea-salt aerosol in the remote marine boundary layer, *Nature*, 383, 327–330, 1996.
- Vogt, R., Sander, R., von Glasow, R., and Crutzen, P. J.: Iodine chemistry and its role in halogen activation and ozone loss in the marine boundary layer: a model study, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 32, 375–395, 1999.
- Volkamer, R., Baidar, S., Campos, T. L., Coburn, S., DiGangi, J. P., Dix, B., Eloranta, E. W., Koenig, T. K., Morley, B., Ortega, I., Pierce, B. R., Reeves, M., Sinreich, R., Wang, S., Zondlo, M. A., and Romashkin, P. A.: Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO₂, H₂O, O₂–O₂ and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 2121–2148, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015>, 2015.
- von Glasow, R., von Kuhlmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Platt, U., and Crutzen, P. J.: Impact of reactive bromine chemistry in the troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 2481–2497, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-2481-2004>, 2004.
- Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Mao, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Carouge, C. C., Le Sager, P., Kondo, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., and Doherty, S. J.: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols and deposited black carbon in the Arctic in winter-spring: implications for radiative forcing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12453–12473, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12453-2011>, 2011.
- Wang, S.-Y., Schmidt, J., Baidar, S., Coburn, S., Dix, B., Koenig, T., Apel, E., Bowdalo, D., Campos, T., Eloranta, E., Evans, M., DiGangii, J., Zondlo, M., Gao, R.-S., Haggerty, J., Hall, S., Hornbrook, R., Jacob, D., Morley, B., Pierce, B., Reeves, M., Romashkin, P., ter Schure, A., and Volkamer, R.: Active and widespread halogen chemistry in the tropical and subtropical free troposphere, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 112, 9281–9286, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1505142112>, 2015.
- Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃–NO_x–hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 10713–10725, <https://doi.org/10.1029/98jd00158>, 1998.
- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistance to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, *Atmos. Environ.*, 23, 1293–1304, 1989.
- Whalley, L. K., Furneaux, K. L., Gravestock, T., Atkinson, H. M., Bale, C. S. E., Ingham, T., Bloss, W. J., and Heard, D. E.: Detection of iodine monoxide radicals in the marine boundary layer using laser induced fluorescence spectroscopy, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 58, 19–39, 2007.
- Whalley, L. K., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Lee, J. D., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Read, K. A., Kaaden, N., Carpenter, L. J., Lewis, A. C., Plane, J. M. C., Saltzman, E. S., Wiedensohler, A., and Heard, D. E.: The chemistry of OH and HO₂ radicals in the boundary layer over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1555–1576, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1555-2010>, 2010.
- Whalley, L. K., Blitz, M. A., Desservettaz, M., Seakins, P. W., and Heard, D. E.: Reporting the sensitivity of laser-induced fluorescence instruments used for HO₂ detection to an interference from RO₂ radicals and introducing a novel approach that enables HO₂ and certain RO₂ types to be selectively measured, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 3425–3440, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-3425-2013>, 2013.
- Wild, O., Zhu, X., and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J: accurate simulation of in- and below-cloud photolysis in tropospheric chemical models, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 37, 245–282, <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006415919030>, 2000.
- Xiao, Y., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Hudman, R. C., Yantosca, R., and Blake, D. R.: Global budget of ethane and regional constraints on US sources, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D21306, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009415>, 2008.
- Yan, C., Kocavska, S., and Krasnoperov, L. N.: Kinetics of the reaction of CH₃O₂ radicals with OH studied over the 292–526 K temperature range, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 120, 6111–6121, 2016.
- Yang, X., Cox, R. A., Warwick, N. J., Pyle, J. A., Carver, G. D., O'Connor, F. M., and Savage, N. H.: Tropospheric bromine chemistry and its impacts on ozone: a model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D23311, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006244>, 2005.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Logan, J. A., Chance, K., Eldering, A., and Bojkov, B. R.: Intercomparison methods for satellite measurements of atmospheric composition: application to tropospheric ozone from TES and OMI, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4725–4739, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4725-2010>, 2010.