



Supplement of

High-spatial-resolution mapping and source apportionment of aerosol composition in Oakland, California, using mobile aerosol mass spectrometry

Rishabh U. Shah et al.

Correspondence to: Albert A. Presto (apresto@andrew.cmu.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

S1 Accounting for temporal trends

Over the course of mobile sampling, the urban background air quality can have daily and diurnal variations due to meteorological changes. These variations can be accounted for with the help of concurrent stationary measurements performed at an urban background location, provided this background location is not in close proximity (\leq 50 m) of a major emission source

- 5 (e.g., a street with > 3000 daily vehicles, construction sites, industrial emissions, etc; Hoek et al., 2002; Van den Bossche et al., 2015). Further, different meteorological conditions (temperature, relative humidity, solar irradiation) influence individual components of particulate matter differently (e.g., the gas-particle partitioning of NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and OA have different sensitivities to temperature; more irradiation can indirectly result in more secondary OA). Ideally, the temporal correction of mobile measurements of a particular PM component would be performed using background measurements of that individual component
- 10 performed in the same manner. Thus, mobile AMS measurements should ideally be corrected using concurrent stationary AMS measurements (Mohr et al., 2015).

We did not perform concurrent stationary AMS measurements in Oakland in this campaign. Stationary measurements of criteria pollutants (CO, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, etc.) were made by a regulatory monitor operated by the Bay Area air quality management district. However, this monitor was located in a parking lot within ~ 30 m of a major street (> 20,000 vehicles daily; Knoderer

- 15 et al., 2016). Due to this, we did not use this monitor as an indicator of diurnal variations in urban background. Instead, we assumed that by shuffling the order of visiting each polygon within the sampling domain, repeated measurements at a given point in space were well-balanced in time. We validated this assumption by running the timestamps of our data through the spatial aggregation routine described earlier. The resulting map (Figure S1) confirms that the measurements in this study were indeed well-balanced in space and time. Further, diurnal variations in meteorological factors had a consistent pattern on all
- 20 days of this campaign (Figure S2), hence by balancing our samples in space and time, we assume that the effects of diurnal variations in urban background are nullified upon aggregation of data from multiple days.

Figure S2 shows that daily variations in meteorological factors is typically larger and sporadic relative to diurnal variations. To account for the possible effect of these daily variations on urban background, we tested a daily multiplicative correction factor to our mobile measurements based on stationary measurements of $PM_{2.5}$ at the regulatory site.



Figure S1. Spatially aggregated timestamp of all AMS measurements. Typically, drives were performed from 0800 to 1800 hours. If all parts of the domain were sampled randomly everyday, the average of all measurement timestamps should ideally occur at 1300 hours. This figure shows that this ideality was indeed realized in this campaign and that we balanced our measurements in space and time reasonably well. On most days, we used the south- and north-bound lanes of Interstate 980 to enter and exit the domain at 0800 and 1800 hours, respectively. As a result, there is a temporal bias in sampling this highway, as shown by the figure.



Figure S2. Diurnal and daily variations in meteorological factors in Oakland during the sampling period. Diurnal variations have a consistent pattern that repeats everyday. By comparison, daily variations have more sporadic variations.

- Uncorrected

o Corrected

Sticks indicate 95% confidence intervals



Figure S3. Effect of applying a daily correction factor to mobile measurements of a) PM₁ and b) OA. The 95% confidence intervals are achieved from bootstrapping and represent the difference between the 5th and 95th percentiles of the bootstrapped medians. Each day's correction factor is calculated as $CF_i = \frac{C_i}{C_{campaign}}$, where C_i is the median PM_{2.5} concentration measured on the *i*th day of the campaign, and $C_{campaign}$ is the median PM_{2.5} concentration measured on all days of the campaign. Stationary PM_{2.5} measurements were made by the regulatory monitor in West Oakland (Knoderer et al., 2016). Since we typically drove everyday from 8 AM to 6 PM, we only used stationary data from this daily time period.



Figure S4. Sampling coverage of the domain. Inset: Cumulative distribution of raw and unique samples in all magnets in domain.



Figure S5. Polygon-specific cumulative distribution function (CDF) curves of spatially-aggregated OA concentrations. *Insets:* Probability distribution function (PDF) histograms for central tendency statistics (mean and median) of synthetic datasets created using bootstrap resampling. The PDF histogram for bootstrapped medians is shown with a coarser bin-width to guide the eye better. The abscissae on the insets have the same units as the parent abscissa, but with a zoomed-in scale.



Figure S6. Cumulative distribution functions of primary fraction of OA (i.e., COA + HOA), resolved by area. Insets are results of bootstrapping.



Figure S7. Bootstrapped statistics of OA and its factors, resolved by area and by time periods.



Figure S8. A: Median SO_4^{2-} at all magnets. **B:** Cumulative distribution function (CDF) curves of spatially-aggregated SO_4^{2-} concentrations. **C:** CDF curves of SO_4^{2-} concentrations without spatial aggregation. *Insets:* Probability distribution function (PDF) histograms for central tendency statistics (mean and median) of synthetic datasets created using bootstrap resampling of raw data. The abscissae on the insets have the same units as the parent abscissa, but with a zoomed-in scale.

It is seen that the CDFs of all polygons become "tighter" after spatial aggregation, which is expected, since the spatial aggregation routine reduces the spread in measurements via data reduction. However, the evidence of downtown being having a higher median (and especially higher mean) SO_4^{2-} than Port and West Oakland is not diminished by this data reduction, confirming that this trend is consistent across all days of sampling. Further, the SO_4^{2-} data exhibit minor positive skewness, suggesting that there are no local point sources of SO_4^{2-} in the domain.

5



Figure S9. PMF factor mass spectra identified in A. Oakland and B. Barcelona (Mohr et al., 2015). Barcelona spectra obtained from the online high-resolution spectral database (Ulbrich et al., 2018, 2009).



Figure S10. LO-OOA factor time series matched against NO₃⁻, a marker for semi-volatile photochemically aged OA.



Figure S11. Residuals of three-factor PMF solution. The boxplots show the median (centerline) and quartiles (box limits) of the scaled residuals.



Figure S12. Mass spectra and elemental ratios of the four factors obtained from PMF analysis



Figure S13. Residuals of four-factor PMF solution. The boxplots show the median (centerline) and quartiles (box limits) of the scaled residuals.



Figure S14. Variation in Q/Q_{exp} and mass fractions of factors with f_{peak} for 3- and 4-factor solutions. Q = sum of square of scaled residuals. $Q_{exp} = \text{number of degrees of freedom of the fitted data. <math>Q/Q_{exp}$ should be ≈ 1 . f_{peak} is a rotational parameter that can be chosen to examine different possible variations within an *n*-factor solution (Ulbrich et al., 2009).



Figure S15. The Van Krevelen plane. Gray points represent all OA measurements in this study. Diamonds represent OA factors identified in the 3-factor (**A**.) and 4-factor (**B**.) PMF solution. Different oxygenation pathways are shown by the black dotted lines. Dotted blue lines are isopleths for average carbon oxidation states ($\overline{OS}_{C} = 2 \times O/C - H/C$). The region of ambient oxygenated OA measurements, as reported by Ng et al. (2011) is shown between the dashed curves.

Marine influence.

Marine influence on aerosol properties has been shown to be important in some locations. For instance, Schmale et al. (2013) reported a "MSA-OA (methanesulfonic acid OA)" factor in their study on a remote island in the Antarctic ocean. By correlating particulate sulfate with this MSA mass contribution, Schmale et al. (2013) indeed show that marine influence on

- 5 aerosol properties can be important (Figure S16A). We do not observe this marine influence in our data. Multiple lines of evidence point to this assessment: a) the correlation between particulate sulfate and MSA in Oakland (our data; Figure S16B) is $R^2 = 0.12$, while that of Schmale et al. (2013) is $R^2 = 0.72$, b) the ratio of MSA/sulfate in Oakland is only ~ 0.01, while that reported by Schmale et al. (2013) is ~ 0.25 , c) the relative contribution of this MSA factor to the total OA in Oakland is less than 1% while that reported by Schmale et al. (2013) is 25%. That Oakland is an urban area and the measurement location
- 10 of Schmale et al. (2013) was a remote island in the Antarctic explains these differences between the two datasets. Similarly, the work of Ovadnevaite et al. (2011) reported measurements in a remote location in Mace Head, Ireland which had a significant influence from marine OA with minor urban sources.



Figure S16. Correlation between particulate sulfate and methanesulfonic acid (MSA) OA reported in A) remote location of Bird Island research station in the sub-Antarctic region by Schmale et al. (2013) and B) Oakland (this study). Note: the axes on the two subplots are scaled differently. In the linear fit in the left subplot, Schmale et al. (2013) excluded data with M-OOA > 0.01 μ gm⁻³ (e.g., data cluster in the ellipse), where M-OOA was a highly oxygenated PMF factor (O/C > 1), attributed to background wind trajectories.

Contrasting to these two studies in remote locations, Crippa et al. (2013a) and Mohr et al. (2015) performed measurements in urban areas and their PMF results showed urban OA factors (HOA, COA, SV-OOA). Similar to our results from Oakland, Mohr

et al. (2015) revealed no marine OA influence in Barcelona, despite it being a coastal location and receiving sea breezes. On the other hand, Crippa et al. (2013a) reported a marine factor in Paris. Paris receives influence from different directions (urban as well as clean marine wind masses). Due to these very different static contributions to the total OA, the PMF analysis of Crippa et al. (2013a) was able to identify a distinct marine factor. However, in the case of Oakland, we are unable to mathematically show a distinct marine factor presence because the wind directions remain relatively stable. As a result, rather than identify a distinct marine factor, PMF performs what is likely an artificial splitting, as explained previously in this section.



Figure S17. A map of the San Francisco (SF) Bay area, showing the location of the sampling domain in Oakland relative to SF city and downtown. Wind rose plots show hourly median wind speed and direction measured at two stationary anemometers in Oakland and San Francisco (BAAQMD, 2018). Purple wind rose arrows are wind measurements acquired during periods of concurrent mobile sampling (typically 8 am to 6 pm), while black arrows are measurements from when mobile sampling was not performed. A campaign-wide average of wind directions during periods of concurrent mobile sampling is shown by the triangle marker on each wind rose plot. The dashed lines with triangle markers are linear extrapolations of these campaign-wide average wind directions and are meant to guide the eye towards the regions over which these winds travel.



Figure S18. Maps of COA and HOA concentrations.



Figure S19. Building height data for downtown polygon, obtained from City of Oakland (City of Oakland, 2017). The dashed black border shows limits of the downtown domain used in this study.



Figure S20. Black carbon and CO maps.



Figure S21. Polygon-specific cumulative distribution function (CDF) curves of black carbon (BC) concentrations. *Insets:* Probability distribution function (PDF) histograms for central tendency statistics (mean and median) of synthetic datasets created using bootstrap resampling of raw data. The PDF histograms are shown with a coarser bin-width to guide the eye better. The abscissae on the insets have the same units as the parent abscissa, but with a zoomed-in scale.

References

10

BAAQMD: Interactive Data Maps, http://www.baaqmd.gov/research-and-data/interactive-data-maps, 2018.

- City of Oakland: City of Oakland Planning and Zoning, http://www2.oaklandnet.com/government/o/PBN/OurOrganization/PlanningZoning/ s/codes/index.htm, 2017.
- 5 Crippa, M., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., Decarlo, P. F., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Marchand, N., Sciare, J., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S.: Identification of marine and continental aerosol sources in Paris using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 118, 1950–1963, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50151, 2013a.
 - Hoek, G., Meliefste, K., Cyrys, J., Lewné, M., Bellander, T., Brauer, M., Fischer, P., Gehring, U., Heinrich, J., Van Vliet, P., and Brunekreef, B.: Spatial variability of fine particle concentrations in three European areas, Atmospheric Environment, 36, 4077–4088, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00297-2, 2002.
 - Knoderer, C., Nguyen, D., Alrick, D., and Hoag, K.: 2015 Air Monitoring Network Plan, Tech. rep., Bay Area Air Quality Management District, San Francisco, CA, http://www.baaqmd.gov/{~}/media/files/technical-services/2015{_}network{_}plan-pdf.pdf?la=en, 2016.
 - Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Richter, R., Crippa, M., Querol, X., Baltensperger, U., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Spatial Variation of Aerosol Chemical Composition and Organic Components Identified by Positive Matrix Factorization in the Barcelona Re-
- 15 gion, Environmental Science and Technology, 49, 10421–10430, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02149, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02149, 2015.
 - Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 6465–6474, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6465-2011, 2011.
- 20 Ovadnevaite, J., O'Dowd, C., Dall'Osto, M., Ceburnis, D., Worsnop, D. R., and Berresheim, H.: Detecting high contributions of primary organic matter to marine aerosol: A case study, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, 2–6, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046083, 2011.
 - Schmale, J., Schneider, J., Nemitz, E., Tang, Y. S., Dragosits, U., Blackall, T. D., Trathan, P. N., Phillips, G. J., Sutton, M., and Braban, C. F.: Sub-Antarctic marine aerosol: Dominant contributions from biogenic sources, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 8669–8694, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8669-2013, 2013.
- 25 Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation of Organic Components from Positive Matrix Factorization of Aerosol Mass Spectrometric Data., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2891, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, http: //dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009, 2009.

Ulbrich, I. M., Handschy, A., Lechner, M., and Jimenez, J. L.: High-Resolution AMS Spectral Database, http://cires1.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/HRAMSsd/, 2018.

30 Van den Bossche, J., Peters, J., Verwaeren, J., Botteldooren, D., Theunis, J., and De Baets, B.: Mobile monitoring for mapping spatial variation in urban air quality: Development and validation of a methodology based on an extensive dataset, Atmospheric Environment, 105, 148–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.017, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.017, 2015.