



## Supplement of

## Ice crystal number concentration estimates from lidar–radar satellite remote sensing – Part 1: Method and evaluation

Odran Sourdeval et al.

Correspondence to: Odran Sourdeval (odran.sourdeval@univ-lille.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure S1: Stacked histogram showing the distribution of PSDs for each campaign described in Sec. 3.2 (color code above figure) per  $10^{\circ}$ C bins from -90 to  $-30^{\circ}$ C.



Figure S2: The left figure shows relative errors on DARDAR-Nice  $N_i$  estimates obtained by propagating the Gaussian standard-deviations on IWC and  $N_0^*$  provided in DARDAR operational retrievals (here based on 500 orbits; Jan-Feb 2008). The propagation method is mentioned in Sec. A2. These errors are provided as function of  $N_i$  per temperature bins (color lines), minimum diameter threshold bins for the  $N_i$  integration (rows; see Sec. 3.3), and instrumental conditions (columns; see Sec. A1). To clearly identify the dominating errors under different temperature and instrumental conditions, the right figure similarly indicates the distribution of  $N_i$  values in each panel.



Figure S3: Analysis of the sensitivity of DARDAR-Nice N<sub>i</sub> estimates to prior PSD shape assumptions ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  parameters in Eq. (4)). The top figure shows PSD predictions by the D05 parameterization in 3 temperature bins. For each bin, representative N<sup>\*</sup><sub>0</sub> and D<sub>m</sub> values (indicated in the legend) have been selected based on all in situ campaigns described in Sec. 3.2. The D05 PSD is shown in blue and other colors correspond to PSDs computed using  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values extracted by Delanoë et al. [2014, D14] from multiple in situ campaigns (see Table 4 of that study or figure below). Vertical plain, dashed and dotted lines indicate the position of D<sub>min</sub> = 5, 25 and 100 $\mu$ m, respectively. The bottom figure indicates relative biases  $\Delta N_i$  between predictions by D05 (N<sub>iD05</sub>) and the N<sub>i</sub> obtained from a wide range of  $\alpha$  (x-axis) and  $\beta$  (y-axis) values. Brown and blue color therefore indicate an overestimation and underestimation of N<sub>i</sub> by D05. Specific  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values from each campaign used in D14 are indicated by various point shapes. D05 is represented by a black dot.  $\Delta N_i$  is computed for each selected D<sub>min</sub> threshold (rows; see Sec. 3.3) and per temperature bins (columns) similarly to the top figures. The N<sub>iD05</sub> values are indicated in æach panel.



Figure S4: Similar to Fig. 2 of the paper, scatterplots of  $N_i$  retrieved by DARDAR-Nice as function of the co-incident 2D-S measurements during SPARTICUS. Red, green and blue dots indicate that  $N_i$  estimates were obtained in lidar-, radar-only and lidar-radar conditions. White isolines show the overall 68% confidence interval per  $D_{min}$  and  $T_c$  bins.



Figure S5: Similar to Fig. S4 but for D05 predictions (based on in situ IWC and  $N_0^*$ ) as function of 2D-S measurements.



Figure S6: Similar to Fig. 4 of the paper, but the histograms are separated per instrumental conditions.



Figure S7:  $N_i$  measured by the 2D-S (first column) and retrieved by DARDAR-Nice (second column) along a projection of the Learjet-25 track on the A-Train overpass, show in Fig. 5(a-b) and Fig. 6 of the paper. The  $N_i$  is provided as function of the aircraft flight time (x-axis), with the distance to the satellite overpass track indicated in brackets. The overpass time (about 19:56 UTC) is shown by a vertical green line. The color background indicates if the DARDAR-Nice  $N_i$  has been estimated under lidar-only (black), radar-only (white) or lidar-radar (grey) conditions.



Figure S8: Spatial distribution of the count of  $N_i$  retrievals by DARDAR-Nice per temperature bin, corresponding to Fig. 7 of the paper.



Figure S9: Spatial distribution of the count of  $N_i$  retrievals by DARDAR-Nice per temperature bin, corresponding to Fig. 9 of the paper.

## **References:**

J. Delanoë, A. J. Heymsfield, A. Protat, A. Bansemer, and R. J. Hogan. Normalized particle size distribution for remote sensing application. J. Geophys. Res, 119(7):4204-4227, 2014. doi: 10.1002/2013JD020700. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020700.