



Supplement of

How can mountaintop CO_2 observations be used to constrain regional carbon fluxes?

John C. Lin et al.

Correspondence to: John C. Lin (john.lin@utah.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

- 15 Three-dimensional plots of the terrain over a domain of $\sim 1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ surrounding the NWR
- 16 site, as resolved by the WRF 1.3-km model. The NWR site is indicated by the triangle.
- 17 A small subsample of the numerous stochastic trajectories simulated by STILT, driven by
- 18 WRF started at 2100 UTC (1400 MST), are drawn as black lines. Also shown is the
- 19 average back trajectory (pink), derived by averaging locations of the stochastic
- trajectories. In addition, the PBL heights averaged along the backtrajectory are shown asthe blue line.

24 Adjusting the CT-2013b diurnal cycle

25 In the CarbonTracker assimilation process, attempts to match CO₂ observations could 26 result in "dipoles" in scaling factors between nearby ecoregions, leading to negative 27 fluxes even at night (Fig. S2a). While respiration can occur during the day when 28 vegetation is under stress (e.g., droughts), photosynthetic uptake (negative fluxes) at 29 night, in the absence of sunlight, is biologically unphysical. In order to correct the 30 reversed diurnal cycle seen in CarbonTracker, a reversal had to be first detected within 31 CarbonTracker for the selected grid cell for a given day. Once the reversal was detected, 32 the sign of the biospheric flux was flipped. The positive flux was then adjusted so that the net flux for the selected gridcell for the given day was equal to 0. Finally, the negative 33 34 flux was adjusted so that the final net flux was equal to the original net flux, which 35 preserved the total net flux for the day (Fig. S3). The resulting biosperic flux pattern can

36 seen in Fig. S2b.

37

38 Fig. S2

- 39 Mean biospheric fluxes from Jun~Aug 2012 averaged between 0600~0900 UTC
- 40 (2300~0200 MST). (a) Biospheric fluxes for the unmodified CarbonTracker flux fields
- 41 and (b) biospheric fluxes for the adjusted CarbonTracker flux fields. The black circle
- 42 represents HDP, the black diamond represents SPL, and the black star represents NWR.

43

44 **Fig. S3**

- 45 Schematic showing the adjustment of erroneous diurnal pattern in biospheric flux within
- 46 CarbonTracker (red line), with nighttime uptake, to a corrected biospheric flux (green
- 47 line). The dashed line represents a flux of 0.

48

- 50 Average contributions to CO₂ variations at HDP, SPL, and NWR from biospheric,
- anthropogenic, and wildfire fluxes at different times of the day between Jun~Aug 2012 as
- 52 simulated by STILT, driven with WRF-1.3km winds. Also shown are the observed
- 53 variations, calculated by subtracting out the STILT-derived background (see Sect. 2.3).
- 54

55 **Fig. S5**

- 56 Time series of CO₂ errors (Simulated Observed) at the HDP, SPL, and NWR sites
- 57 during the study period (Jun~Aug 2012) for the different model configurations—i.e.,
- 58 WRF-1.3km AGL, 4-km AGL, 12-km AGL, and GDAS-ASL. The thin lines denote CO₂
- 59 errors calculated at high frequency, at 3-hourly time spacing. The thick lines represent
- 60 the CO₂ errors smoothed with a 4-day centered running average that will be correlated
- 61 with other meteorological variables (Table S1). The bias and root-mean-square error
- 62 (RMSE) reported on the top-right hand box are calculated based on the 3-hourly time
- 63 series. The gap in the earlier part of July at NWR is due to missing observations.

64

65 **Fig. S6**

- 66 Mean CO₂ concentrations extracted from the bottom 8 levels of CarbonTracker, in the
- 67 respective gridcells where the HDP, SPL, and NWR sites are located. The mean model
- 68 heights of the bottom 8 levels are (in meters AGL): 25, 103, 247, 480, 814, 1259, 1822,
- 69 2508. The concentrations interpolated to the heights of the 3 sites are indicated by the
- 70 orange dashed line. The observed values are drawn in black, with unfiltered data 71 (dashed) and a frameworking the filter for any series level influences (solid).
- 71 (dashed) and after applying the filter for removing local influences (solid; Sect. 2.1).

72

73 **Fig. S7**

- 74 The average footprint (shown in log_{10}) for the SPL at 0200 MST (0900 UTC), gridded at 75 $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$. The site is denoted as a triangle. The average back trajectory (averaged over
- 75 $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$. The site is denoted as a triangle. The average back trajectory (averaged over 76 the stochastic STILT trajectories) is drawn as a line, with points indicating trajectory
- restochastic STILT trajectories) is drawn as a line, with points indicating trajectory
 locations every hour, as the trajectory moves back from the site indicated as points.
- Magenta parts of the trajectory refer to the nighttime (1900~0700 MST), while pink
- 79 portions indicate the daytime (0700~1900 MST). Parts of the trajectory are shaded with
- 80 blue when it is found below the average height of the PBL along the trajectory.

81

82 Fig. S8

83 Similar to Fig. S7, but for 1400 MST (2100 UTC).

- 84
- 85 Fig. S9
- 86 Similar to Fig. S7, but for the NWR site.
- 87

- 89 Similar to Fig. S9, but for 1400 MST (2100 UTC).
- 90

91 Fig. S11

92 Three dimensional plots of the terrain over a domain of $\sim 1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ surrounding HDP, as 93 resolved by the WRF and GDAS models at various grid spacings. Also shown is the

93 resolved by the WRF and GDAS models at various grid spacings. Also shown is the 94 average back trajectory, derived by averaging locations of the numerous stochastic

average back trajectory, derived by averaging locations of the numerous stochastic
 trajectories simulated by STILT, driven by the various WRF meteorological fields and

trajectories simulated by STILT, driven by the various WRF meteorological fields and
 the global GDAS field. Back trajectories were started from HDP at 1400 MST (2100

97 UTC). Points indicate trajectory locations every hour, as the trajectory moves back from

98 the site indicated as points. Magenta portions of the trajectory refer to the nighttime

99 (1900~0700 MST), while pink portions indicate the daytime (0700~1900 MST). In

addition, the PBL heights averaged along the backtrajectory are shown as the blue line.

101

- 102 **Fig. S12**
- 103 Similar to Fig. S11, but for SPL.

104

105 **Fig. S13**

- 106 Similar to Fig. S11, but for NWR.
- 107
- 108

109 **Table S1**

110 Correlation coefficients between CO₂ errors smoothed with a 4-day centered running

111 average (Fig. S5) and potential explanatory meteorological variables observed near the

112 HDP, SPL, and NWR sites. The smoothing window of 4-days was selected to focus on

113 synoptic scale meteorological changes. The meteorological observations come from

- 114 radiosondes launched at 00-UTC and 12-UTC from the following airports: Salt Lake
- 115 City, Grand Junction, and Denver for the HDP, SPL, and NWR sites, respectively. The 116 meteorological variables are extracted at the 500-hPa level and include the observed
- 117 geopotential height (GPH), geopotential height gradient between NWR and HDP (NWR
- HDP), observed windspeed, as well as the U- and V- components of the observed wind
- vector. The GPH time series is processed by subtracting its 20-day running average
- 120 (centered) to remove trends and seasonal variations and then smoothed with a 4-day
- 121 running average. Pearson correlation coefficients are reported here; coefficients with
- lower statistical significance (p>0.05) are not shown and indicated with "-" in the Table.

123

	HDP				SPL				NWR			
	WRF 1.3-km	WRF	WRF	GDAS	WRF	WRF	WRF	GDAS	WRF	WRF	WRF	GDAS
		4-km	12-km		1.3 - km	4-km	12-km		1. 3- km	4-km	12-km	
GPH	0.35	0.57	-	0.39	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	-0.19
GPH gradient	-0.37	-0.39	-	-	0.20	0.25	0.57	-	-0.71	-0.69	-0.64	-0.66
U wind	-	-	-0.30	-	0.60	0.64	0.54	0.49	0.30	0.33	0.20	0.37
V wind	-0.53	-0.49	-	-	-	0.19	0.49	0.24	-0.52	-0.52	-0.44	-0.49
Windspeed	-0.16	-0.24	-0.18	-	0.43	0.49	0.59	0.34	-	-	-	-

124







Average Diurnal Contributions from Different CO₂ Sources







HDP

SPL



NWR



Time

Fig. S5



SPL ave footprint: WRF-1.3km (AGL)



SPL ave footprint: WRF-12km (AGL)



0900 UTC (0200 MST)



Fig. S7

SPL ave footprint: WRF-4km (AGL)



SPL ave footprint: GDAS-1° (ASL)



log10(footprint)

SPL ave footprint: WRF-1.3km (AGL)



SPL ave footprint: WRF-12km (AGL)



2100 UTC (1400 MST)



Fig. S8

SPL ave footprint: WRF-4km (AGL)



SPL ave footprint: GDAS-1° (ASL)



NWR ave footprint: WRF-1.3km (AGL)



NWR ave footprint: WRF-12km (AGL)

Cheyenne _ Sidney ueblo -3 🐨 -2 Map data @2017 log10(footprint)

Nighttime (1900~0700 MST) IJ **Trajectory in** PBL

0900 UTC

(0200 MST)



Fig. S9

NWR ave footprint: WRF-4km (AGL)



NWR ave footprint: GDAS-1° (ASL)



log10(footprint)

NWR ave footprint: WRF-1.3km (AGL)



NWR ave footprint: WRF-12km (AGL)



2100 UTC (1400 MST)



Fig. S10

NWR ave footprint: WRF-4km (AGL)



NWR ave footprint: GDAS-1° (ASL)



log10(footprint)

HDP: Mean 3D Trajectory of Stochastic Particles & PBL ht for Different Runs



SPL: Mean 3D Trajectory of Stochastic Particles & PBL ht for Different Runs

2100 UTC (1400 MST)



NWR: Mean 3D Trajectory of Stochastic Particles & PBL ht for Different Runs



