Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2943–2970, 2017 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/17/2943/2017/ doi:10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014: quantifying the roles of rising Asian emissions, domestic controls, wildfires, and climate

Meiyun Lin^{1,2}, Larry W. Horowitz², Richard Payton³, Arlene M. Fiore⁴, and Gail Tonnesen³

 ¹Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
²NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
³US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Air Program, Denver, CO 80202, USA
⁴Lamont-Doherty Earth-Observatory and Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964, USA

Correspondence to: Meiyun Lin (meiyun.lin@noaa.gov)

Received: 4 December 2016 – Discussion started: 7 December 2016 Revised: 2 February 2017 – Accepted: 6 February 2017 – Published: 1 March 2017

Abstract. US surface O₃ responds to varying global-toregional precursor emissions, climate, and extreme weather, with implications for designing effective air quality control policies. We examine these conjoined processes with observations and global chemistry-climate model (GFDL-AM3) hindcasts over 1980-2014. The model captures the salient features of observed trends in daily maximum 8 h average O₃: (1) increases over East Asia (up to 2 ppb yr^{-1}), (2) springtime increases at western US (WUS) rural sites $(0.2-0.5 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ with a baseline sampling approach, and (3) summertime decreases, largest at the 95th percentile, and wintertime increases in the 50th to 5th percentiles over the eastern US (EUS). Asian NO_x emissions have tripled since 1990, contributing as much as 65 % to modeled springtime background O₃ increases $(0.3-0.5 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ over the WUS, outpacing O_3 decreases attained via 50 % US NO_x emission controls. Methane increases over this period contribute only 15% of the WUS background O₃ increase. Springtime O₃ observed in Denver has increased at a rate similar to remote rural sites. During summer, increasing Asian emissions approximately offset the benefits of US emission reductions, leading to weak or insignificant observed O₃ trends at WUS rural sites. Mean springtime WUS O3 is projected to increase by $\sim 10 \text{ ppb}$ from 2010 to 2030 under the RCP8.5 global change scenario. While historical wildfire emissions can enhance summertime monthly mean O_3 at individual sites by 2-8 ppb, high temperatures and the associated buildup of O₃ produced from regional anthropogenic emissions contribute most to elevating observed summertime O_3 throughout the USA. GFDL-AM3 captures the observed interannual variability of summertime EUS O_3 . However, O_3 deposition sink to vegetation must be reduced by 35% for the model to accurately simulate observed high- O_3 anomalies during the severe drought of 1988. Regional NO_x reductions alleviated the O_3 buildup during the recent heat waves of 2011 and 2012 relative to earlier heat waves (e.g., 1988, 1999). The O_3 decreases driven by NO_x controls were more pronounced in the southeastern US, where the seasonal onset of biogenic isoprene emissions and NO_x-sensitive O_3 production occurs earlier than in the northeast. Without emission controls, the 95th percentile summertime O_3 in the EUS would have increased by 0.2-0.4 ppb yr⁻¹ over 1988–2014 due to more frequent hot extremes and rising biogenic isoprene emissions.

1 Introduction

Within the United States, ground-level O_3 has been recognized since the 1940s and 1950s as an air pollutant detrimental to public health. Decreases in summertime O_3 were observed in parts of California and throughout the EUS (e.g., Cooper et al., 2012; Simon et al., 2015), following regional NO_x controls after the lowering of the US National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for O_3 in 1997 to 84 ppb. On the basis of health evidence, the NAAQS level for O_3

has been further lowered to 75 ppb in 2008 and to 70 ppb in 2015 (Federal Register, 2015). There are concerns that rising Asian emissions and global methane (Jacob et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2015b), more frequent large wildfires in summer (e.g., Jaffe, 2011; Yang et al., 2015; Abatzoglou et al., 2016), and late spring deep stratospheric O₃ intrusions (Lin et al., 2012a, 2015a; Langford et al., 2014) may pose challenges in attaining more stringent O3 standards in high-elevation WUS regions. A warming climate would also offset some of the air quality improvements gained from regional emission controls (e.g., Fiore et al., 2015). Quantitative understanding of sources of O₃ variability on daily to multi-decadal timescales can provide valuable information to air quality control managers as they develop O₃ abatement strategies under the NAAQS. Here we systemically investigate the response of US surface O₃ means and extremes to changes in Asian and North American anthropogenic emissions, global methane, regional heat waves, and wildfires over the course of 35 years from 1980 to 2014, using observations and chemistry-climate model (GFDL-AM3) hindcasts (Lin et al., 2014, 2015a, b).

Rapid economic growth has led to a tripling of O₃ precursor emissions from Asia in the past 25 years (e.g., Granier et al., 2011; Hilboll et al., 2013). Observed 1 h O3 mixing ratios can frequently reach 200-400 ppb during regional pollution episodes in eastern China (Wang et al., 2006; Li et al., 2016), with a seasonal peak in the late spring to early summer (Wang et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2009). A synthesis of available observations from the mid-1990s to the 2000s indicates increases of $1-2 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$ in spring to summer O₃ in China (Ding et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016). Longrange transport of Asian pollution plumes towards western North America has been identified by aircraft and satellite measurements and in chemical transport models (e.g., Jaffe et al., 1999; Fiore et al., 2009; Brown-Steiner and Hess, 2011; Lin et al., 2012b; Huang et al., 2013; Verstraeten et al., 2015). Systematic comparison of observed and modeled long-term O₃ trends over Asia is lacking in the published literature but is needed to establish confidence in models used to assess the global impacts of rising Asian emissions.

Model simulations indicate that import of Asian pollution enhances mean WUS surface O_3 in spring by $\sim 5 \text{ ppb}$ (Zhang et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2012b), and occasionally contributes 8–15 ppb during springtime pollution episodes observed at rural sites (Lin et al., 2012b) as supported by in situ aerosol composition analysis (VanCuren and Gustin, 2015). Stratospheric intrusions can episodically increase daily 8 h average surface O₃ by 20–40 ppb, contributing to the highest observed O₃ events at high-elevation WUS sites (Lin et al., 2012a, 2015a), in addition to pollution transport from California (e.g., Langford et al., 2010). In the densely populated EUS, both changes in regional anthropogenic emissions and air pollution meteorology have the greatest impacts on summer surface O₃ during pollution episodes (e.g., Jacob and Winner 2009; Rieder et al., 2015; Porter et al., 2015; Pusede et al., 2015). Discerning directly the effect of climate change on air quality from long-term observation records of O_3 would be ideal, but concurrent trends in precursor emissions and large internal variability in regional climate impede such an effort. It is difficult to separate the impacts of changes in global-to-regional precursor emissions and different meteorological factors on O_3 at given locations without the benefit of multiple sensitivity experiments afforded by models.

On the other hand, process-oriented assessments of the models are needed to build confidence in their utility for assessing pollution control strategies, estimating tropospheric O₃ radiative forcing and projecting pollution extremes under future climate scenarios (e.g., Monks et al., 2015). A number of studies show that global models capture observed decreases in summertime O₃ over the EUS during 1990-2010, but have difficulty simulating O₃ increases measured at remote high-elevation sites that are believed to represent hemispheric-scale conditions with little influence from fresh local pollution (hereafter referred to as "baseline") (e.g., Lamarque et al., 2010; Koumoutsaris and Bey, 2012; Parrish et al., 2014; Brown-Steiner et al., 2015; Strode et al., 2015). Recently, Lin et al. (2015b) examined the representativeness of O₃ trends derived from sparse measurements in the free troposphere over the WUS, originally reported by Cooper et al. (2010) and used in prior model evaluations. They found that discrepancies between observed and simulated O₃ trends reflect measurement sampling biases. Here we seek additional insights into the causes of the modelobservation disagreement at the WUS rural sites with continuous, high-frequency measurements. Notably, we reconcile observed and simulated O₃ trends at these sites with a baseline sampling approach in the model.

Our goal in this paper is 2-fold: first, to systematically evaluate how well the GFDL-AM3 model represents trends and variability of surface O3 observed at rural sites across the US; second, to examine changes in US surface O₃ means and extremes in a suite of multi-decadal hindcast simulations designed to isolate the response of O₃ to increases in Asian anthropogenic emissions, North American emission controls, rising global methane, wildfires, and interannual variability in meteorology. We examine trends across the entire probability distribution of O₃ concentration, which is crucial to assessing the ability of models to simulate the surface O_3 response under different temperature and chemical regimes depending on seasons, geographical location, and regional transport patterns. Specifically, we evaluate the trends separately for the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the O₃ concentration distribution in spring (MAM), summer (JJA), autumn (SON), and winter (DJF).

Section 2 briefly describes the observational records, model experiments, and analysis approach. As a first step towards assessing our understanding of the impacts of rising Asian emissions, we briefly review Asian O_3 trends from observations in recent publications and evaluate modeled trends (Sect. 3). We then focus our analysis on the US, using both observations and models to assess the response of US surface O₃ to changes in background O₃, regional anthropogenic emissions and meteorology (Sect. 4). In Sect. 5, we further separate the influence of background on WUS O_3 into components driven by rising Asian anthropogenic emissions, global methane, and wildfires. We quantify the contribution of these factors to surface O₃ in both rural areas such as national parks (Sect. 5.1 to 5.3) and in densely populated regions such as the Denver metropolitan area (Sect. 5.4). After evaluating historical trends, we additionally draw upon two simulations following the 21st century RCP4.5 versus RCP8.5 global change scenarios to project WUS O₃ through 2050 (Sect. 5.2). Section 6 examines how the EUS summertime O₃ probability distribution and pollution extremes respond to large-scale heat waves, droughts, and regional NO_x reductions over the past decade, and how well our model simulates the observed features. Finally, we summarize in Sect. 7 the key drivers of US surface O3 trends and extremes and discuss the implications of this study.

2 Model and observations

2.1 Chemistry-climate model experiments

The GFDL-AM3 model includes interactive stratospheretroposphere chemistry and aerosols on a cubed sphere grid with a resolution of approximately $200 \times 200 \text{ km}^2$ (Donner et al., 2011). Table 1 summarizes the meteorology, radiative forcing agents, and emissions used in each experiment. The hindcast simulations (1979-2014) are nudged to the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis zonal and meridional winds using a height-dependent nudging technique (Lin et al., 2012b). Biogenic isoprene emissions and lightning NO_x are tied to model meteorology (Guenther et al., 2006; Rasmussen et al., 2012) and thus can respond to changes in climate, whereas soil NO_x and chemical dry deposition velocities are set to a monthly climatology (Naik et al., 2013), with a diurnal cycle applied for O₃ dry deposition. To investigate the possible influence of drought on O₃ removal (e.g., Emberson et al., 2013), we additionally conduct a sensitivity simulation for 1988 with reduced O_3 deposition velocity (see Sect. 6). Our BASE simulation and two additional simulations with modified emissions (FIXEMIS and IAVFIRE) were previously used to interpret the causes of increasing autumnal O₃ measured at Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii since 1974 (Lin et al., 2014), interannual variability of springtime O₃ (Lin et al., 2015a) and the representativeness of free tropospheric O_3 measurements over the WUS (Lin et al., 2015b).

With anthropogenic emissions and methane held constant (Table 1), the FIXEMIS and IAVFIRE simulations isolate the influence from meteorology and wildfire emissions, respectively. In IAVASIA, anthropogenic emissions from East Asia (15–50° N, 95–160° E) and South Asia (5–35° N, 50–95° E) are allowed to vary from year to year as in BASE, while anthropogenic emissions in the other regions of the

world, global methane and wildfire emissions are held constant as in FIXEMIS. In IAVCH₄, global methane is allowed to vary over time as in BASE, but with anthropogenic and wildfire emissions held constant as in FIXEMIS. The IAVA-SIA and IAVCH₄ simulations thus isolate the role of rising Asian anthropogenic emissions and global methane, respectively, by contrasting with the FIXEMIS simulation. Both BASE and IAVCH₄ simulations apply observed time-varying methane concentrations as a lower boundary condition for chemistry (Supplement Fig. S1). Thus, underestimates in historical methane emissions reported recently by Schwietzke et al. (2016) do not affect our results. We quantify the total contributions to surface O₃ from meteorological variability, stratosphere-to-troposphere transport, pollution from foreign continents and O₃ produced by global methane, lightning NO_x , wildfires and biogenic emissions with the Background simulation, in which North American anthropogenic emissions are zeroed out relative to BASE. We additionally draw upon two simulations with the GFDL Coupled Model CM3 following the 21st century RCP global change scenarios to project changes in WUS O₃ through 2050. Details of these CM3 simulations were described in John et al. (2012).

2.2 Anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions

We first examine how well the emission inventories in AM3 BASE represent changes in regional NO_x emissions over recent decades inferred from satellite measurements of tropospheric vertical column density (VCD_{trop}) of NO₂. The combined record of GOME and SCIAMACHY shows that VCD_{trop} NO₂ over the highly polluted region of eastern China almost tripled during 1996-2011 (Fig. 1a). In contrast, VCD_{trop} NO₂ over the EUS decreased by $\sim 50\%$ in the 2000s (Fig. 1b) due to NO_x State Implementation Plans (commonly known as the NO_x SIP Call) and many rules that tighten emission standards for mobile sources (McDonald et al., 2012). Similar decreases occurred in WUS cities, resulting from the NO_x control programs to achieve O_3 and regional haze planning goals. These trends are consistent with those reported by a few recent studies (e.g., Hilboll et al., 2013), including those using OMI NO₂ data (Russell et al., 2012; Duncan et al., 2016). For comparison with satellite data, we sample the model archived every 3h closest to the time of satellite overpass for the SCIAMACHY and GOME products we use in Fig. 1 (10:00-10:30 local time). Trends in VCD_{trop} NO₂ are similar to those in NO_x emissions (orange lines versus red triangles in Fig. 1a-b), indicating that any changes in NO_x chemical lifetime or partitioning have negligible influence in our model, consistent with NO₂ loss against OH being minor during the morning overpasses of GOME and SCIAMACHY. The emission inventory used in BASE, from Lamarque et al. (2010) with annual interpolation after 2000 to RCP8.5 (Lamarque et al., 2012), mimics the opposing changes in NO_x emissions over eastern China versus the EUS during 1996-2011, consistent with

Experiment	Time periods	Meteorology	Radiative forcings	CH ₄ (chemistry)	Anthropogenic emissions	Fire emissions
BASE	1979–2014	Nudged to NCEP	Historical	Historical	Historical	Historical
Background	1979–2014	As BASE	Historical	Historical	Zeroed out in N. America; as in BASE elsewhere	Historical
FIXEMIS	1979–2014	As BASE	Historical	2000	Constant ¹	Constant ¹
IAVFIRE	1979-2014	As BASE	Historical	2000	Constant ¹	Historical
IAVASIA	1979–2012 ²	As BASE	Historical	2000	Varying in Asia as in BASE; as in FIXEMIS elsewhere	Constant ¹
IAVCH ₄	1979–2012 ²	As BASE	Historical	Historical	Constant ¹	Constant ¹
CM3_RCP4.5	2005-2050	Free running	RCP4.5	RCP4.5	RCP4.5	RCP4.5
CM3_RCP8.5	2005-2050	Free running	RCP8.5	RCP8.5	RCP8.5	RCP8.5

Table 1. Summary of forcings and emissions used in AM3 hindcasts and CM3 projections.

¹ Averaged over the whole 1970–2010 period. ² Note that the IAVASIA and IAVCH₄ simulations only extend to 2012.



Figure 1. Changes in NO_x emissions. (**a**–**b**) Mean annual vertical column densities of tropospheric (VCDtrop) NO₂ normalized to the year 2000 for the eastern China and eastern US domains (black boxes on map) from GOME (1996–2002, open circles) and SCIAMACHY (2003–2011, closed circles) measurements and AM3 BASE simulations (orange lines). Triangles indicate trends in NO_x emissions (normalized to 2000) from Lamarque et al. (2010) with annual interpolation after 2000 to RCP8.5 (red) versus RCP4.5 (blue). (**c**–**d**) Differences in annual mean SCIAMACHY VCDtrop NO₂ from 2003–2005 to 2009–2011. The red boxes denote the regions where emissions vary over time in the IAVASIA simulation (Table 1). Satellite NO₂ data are from www.temis.nl, with the retrieval technique described in Boersma et al. (2004).

changes in VCD_{trop} NO₂ retrieved from the satellite instruments. For comparison, the RCP4.5 interpolation for 2001– 2010 in CMIP5 historical simulations analyzed by Parrish et al. (2014) underestimates the increase in Chinese NO_x emissions by a factor of 2 (Fig. 1a). Recent reductions in Chinese NO_x emissions after 2011 (Duncan et al., 2016) are not represented in the inventories used in AM3.

Our BASE model applies interannually varying monthly mean emissions from biomass burning based on the RETRO inventory (Schultz et al., 2008) for 1970 to 1996 and GFEDv3 (van der Werf et al., 2010) for 1997 onwards, distributed vertically as recommend by Dentener et al. (2006). Figure S2 illustrates the interannual variability of biomass burning CO emissions from the main source regions of the Northern Hemisphere over the period 1980–2014. Boreal fire emissions in Eurasia almost doubled from 1980–1995 to 1996–2014, with large fires occurring more frequently in the recent decade, as found for the WUS (Dennison et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2015).

2.3 Ozone observation records and uncertainties

Long-term surface O_3 observation records were obtained at 70 selected rural monitoring sites with 20 (1995–2014) to 27 (1988–2014) years of continuous hourly measurements



Figure 2. Measurement uncertainties. (a) Comparison of observed monthly mean MDA8 O_3 at WUS CASTNet sites. All sites have more than 90% data availability in every month shown. The gray shading denotes the period when data at Yellowstone (red) and Rocky Mountain (black) were inconsistent with the other sites. (bc) The 1990–2010 trends of median JJA MDA8 O_3 at Yellowstone and median MAM MDA8 O_3 at Rocky Mountain with and without data in 1990.

from the US National Park Services, the US Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet), and the US EPA Air Quality System. Cooper et al. (2012) reported trends in daytime (11:00–16:00) O₃ over 1990–2010 at 53 rural sites. We investigate trends in daily maximum 8h averaged (MDA8) O₃ and expand the analysis of Cooper et al. (2012) using additional data to 2014 and including 17 additional sites with measurements begun in 1991-1995. All sites have at least 20 years of data. If a site has less than 50 % data availability in any season, then that particular season is discarded. The trend is calculated separately for the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of daily MDA8 O₃ for each season through ordinary linear least-square regression. Statistics are derived for the slope of the linear regression in units of ppb yr^{-1} , the range of the slope with a 95 % confidence limit (not adjusted for sample autocorrelation), and the p value indicating the statistical significance of the trend based on a two-tailed t test.

A cross-site consistency analysis was performed to determine robust changes in the time evolution of O_3 over the WUS during 1988–2014 (Fig. 2). The monitor at Yellowstone National Park was moved 1.5 km from the Lake Yellowstone site to the Water Tank site in 1996. While the local transport patterns are slightly different for the two sites, using MDA8 data from the well-mixed midday period minimizes the differences (Jaffe and Ray, 2007). Observed O_3 interannual variations show large-scale similarity across sites over the Intermountain West except for the earlier period 1989-1990. During this period, observations at Yellowstone and Rocky Mountain national parks show low-O₃ anomalies that do not appear at other sites, but there is no change in measurement technique. Jaffe and Ray (2007) suggest this represents large-scale variations in background O₃ that are seen in common at these two parks. However, analysis of meteorological fields and model diagnostics does not reveal any obvious transport anomaly influencing O₃ variations at these sites in 1990 (Lin et al., 2015a). Observations at Pinedale in January-February 1990 are also anomalously low relative to Grand Canyon (GRC474), Centennial (CNT169), and Gothic (GTH161). These anomalous data at the beginning of measurement records can substantially influence trends calculated from short records. For example, Cooper et al. (2012) found a summer O₃ increase of 0.42 ± 0.30 ppb yr⁻¹ at Yellowstone over 1990-2010. Removing 1990, we find a weaker increase of 0.28 ± 0.27 ppb yr⁻¹ (Fig. 2b). Removing 1990 at Rocky Mountain resulted in a weaker springtime O₃ increase of 0.29 ± 0.17 ppb yr⁻¹ compared to 0.43 ± 0.23 ppb yr⁻¹ over 1990-2010 (Fig. 2c). To assess robust O₃ changes, we thus remove these apparently uncertain measurements in 1990 from the subsequent analysis.

2.4 Model baseline sampling approach

Springtime O₃ observations at WUS high-elevation sites (> 1.5 km a.s.l.) typically represent baseline conditions with little influence from fresh local pollution. In a global model with $\sim 200 \times 200 \,\mathrm{km^2}$ horizontal resolution, however, these remote sites can reside in the same grid cell that contains urban cities where NO_x emissions decreased over the analysis period. For example, Rocky Mountain National Park (2.7 km a.s.l.) is less than 100 km from the Denver metropolitan area in Colorado. This limitation of large-scale models in resolving urban-to-rural gradients and sharp topography results in an artificial offset of increased baseline O₃ at remote sites by decreased urban pollution within the same model grid cell. Thus, coarse-resolution models are often unable to reproduce observed O_3 increases at the high-elevation sites representative of remote baseline conditions (Fig. 3a versus b), as found in many prior modeling analyses (e.g., Parrish et al., 2014; Strode et al., 2015, and references therein). This limitation can be addressed by using a baseline selection procedure to identify conditions for sampling the model to avoid model artifacts caused by poor spatial resolution, as described below.

All measurements presented in this study are unfiltered. We implement a set of regional CO-like tracers (COt), with a 50-day exponential decay lifetime and surface emissions constant in time from each of four northern mid-latitude source regions (Lin et al., 2014). We use these COt tracers to bin modeled O_3 according to the dominant influence of different continental air regimes. To represent observed baseline conditions at WUS sites, we sample AM3



Figure 3. Influence of baseline sampling. Median spring MDA8 O_3 trends over 1988–2014 at WUS sites from (a) observations, (b) BASE model sampled at the surface, (c) BASE sampled at 700 hPa and filtered to remove the influence from fresh local pollution (see Sect. 2.4), (d) BASE sampled at 700 hPa without filtering, and (e–f) Background (with North American anthropogenic emissions shut off) sampled at the surface versus at 700 hPa. Note that three low-elevation (< 1.5 km) sites, Joshua Tree, Big Bend and Glacier national parks, are always sampled at the surface. Larger circles indicate sites with statistically significant trends (p < 0.05).

at 700 hPa (\sim 3 km a.s.l.) and filter the O₃ data in the BASE simulation to remove the influence from fresh local pollution. Specifically, our filter excludes days when North American COt (NACOt) exceeds the 67th percentile for each season. This procedure yields higher calculated baseline O₃ increases (Fig. 3c), bringing it closer to observations (Fig. 3a). When sampled at 700 hPa without filtering (Fig. 3d), BASE gives statistically significant O3 increases, but the rate of increase is $\sim 0.1 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$ weaker than with filtering. With North American anthropogenic emissions shut off, the model simulates significant O₃ increases that are similar at the surface (Fig. 3e) and at 700 hPa (Fig. 3f). This finding indicates that the underestimate of O₃ increases in BASE, when sampled at the surface (Fig. 3b), reflects an excessive offset from domestic pollution decreases in the model relative to observed conditions, as opposed to the insufficient mixing of free tropospheric O₃ to the surface. As individual sites display observed trends falling in between the filtered model, and those sampled at the surface versus aloft, we can use the model to interpret which sites most frequently sample baseline versus being influenced by North American anthropogenic emissions. For consistency, in the subsequent analysis we apply model baseline filtering to all WUS sites with elevations greater than 1.5 km altitude. In the EUS, where the terrain and monitor elevations are much lower than in the west and observed O_3 trends are largely controlled by regional emission changes, we always sample the model at the surface without filtering.

3 Global distribution of lower tropospheric O₃ trends

3.1 Global O₃ burden and distribution of trends

We begin by examining the global distribution of lower tropospheric O₃ trends over 1988-2014 from the BASE simulation (Fig. 4) and focus on the differences between the surface and free troposphere (\sim 700 hPa), with implications for understanding the impact of trends in hemispheric baseline O₃ on surface air quality. The model indicates that surface MDA8 O₃ levels in Asia have increased significantly by 1.5-2.5 ppb yr⁻¹ in the 95th percentile (Fig. 4a-b) and by 1- 2 ppb yr^{-1} in the median values (Fig. 4c–d), with the largest increases occurring in southern Asia during spring and over eastern China during summer. In contrast, there is a marked decrease in surface MDA8 O₃ in WUS cities, throughout the EUS and in central Europe, particularly at the high percentiles and during summer. The increase in surface O₃ over Asia and decreases over the US and Europe are consistent with changes in regional emissions of O₃ precursors over this period (Fig. 1).

Over Southeast Asia (south of 30° N) during spring, earlier springtime O₃ photochemical production at lower latitudes coupled with active frontal transport (Liu et al., 2002; Carmichael et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2010) leads to a comparable or even greater increase in O₃ in the free troposphere than at the surface (Fig. 4c versus e). In contrast, over central eastern China during summer the simulated trends of O₃ in the free troposphere are at least a factor of 3 weaker than in surface air (Fig. 4d versus f), consistent with the analysis of MOZAIC aircraft data over Beijing in 1995-1999 versus 2003–2005 (Ding et al., 2008). Mean O₃ at 700 hPa above parts of North America and Europe show little change in summer or even increase during spring in the model, similar to the trends at 500 hPa (Fig. S3), despite the significant decreases in surface air. The global tropospheric O_3 burden in the BASE simulation increases by approximately 30 Tg over the past 35 years (Fig. 5a), attributed mainly to changes in anthropogenic emissions. Over the 2004-2015 OMI/MLS satellite era, however, meteorological variability contributes approximately half to the total simulated decadal trends of O₃ burden (Fig. 5a), indicating that attribution of the satellite-derived decadal trends of global tropospheric O₃ burden requires consideration of internal climate variability.

3.2 Comparison of observed and simulated O₃ trends in Asia

Long-term O_3 observations are very sparse in Asia, making it difficult to evaluate modeled O_3 trends. We compile available measurements from the published literature, includ-



Figure 4. Global distribution of MDA8 O₃ trends from AM3 BASE over 1988–2014 for boreal spring (left) and summer (right) for the 95th percentile at the surface (**a–b**), median at the surface (**c–d**), and median in the free troposphere (700 hPa; **e–f**). Stippling indicates areas where the trend is statistically significant (p < 0.05). The color scale is designed to resolve regional features rather than extreme values and saturates. The range of the trends is -1 to +2.5 ppb yr⁻¹.

ing ozonesonde profiles at Hong Kong (2000–2014; www. woudc.org) and Hanoi (2005–2015; SHADOZ, Thompson et al., 2007), MOZAIC aircraft profiles collected on summer afternoons in the boundary layer (below 1250 m altitude) over Beijing for 1995–2005 (Ding et al., 2008), groundbased measurements at Mt. Tai (1.5 km a.s.l.) in central eastern China for July–August 2003–2015 (Sun et al., 2016), at the GAW stations, Shangdianzi north of Beijing for 2004– 2014 (Ma et al., 2016) and Mt. Waliguan (3.8 km a.s.l.) on the Tibetan Plateau for 1994–2013 (Xu et al., 2016), at Taiwan for 1994–2007 (Lin et al., 2010), South Korea for 1990– 2010 (Lee et al., 2014), Mt. Happo (1.9 km a.s.l.) in Japan for 1991–2011 (Tanimoto, 2009; Parrish et al., 2014), and a coastal site at Hong Kong in southern China for 1994–2007 (Wang et al., 2009).

Recently, Zhang et al. (2016) compiled sparse O₃ profiles above Southeast Asia from IAGOS commercial aircraft and ozonesondes from Hanoi for 1994–2004 versus 2005–2014 and found a total springtime O₃ increase of 20–25 ppb between the two periods ($\sim 2 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$). However, our model indicates an increase of up to 1 ppb yr⁻¹ for free tropospheric O₃ over Southeast Asia in spring (Fig. 4e). We illustrate the possible influence of sampling deficiencies on the O₃ trends inferred from sparse observations (Fig. 5). The ozonesonde frequency is four profiles per month at Hong Kong and only one to two profiles per month at Hanoi. To determine the representativeness of O₃ trends derived from these sparse measurements, we compare observations and model results co-sampled on sonde launch days, with the "true average" determined from O₃ fields archived every 3 h from the model, as in our prior work for WUS sites (Lin et al., 2015a, b). Figure 5b and c show the comparisons for the annual trends of O₃ over 900-600 hPa. The trends are generally consistent across the sonde data, model cosampled and "true average" results for Hong Kong, with an increase of 0.5 ± 0.1 ppb yr⁻¹ over 2000–2014. Observations at Hanoi show an apparently rapid O₃ increase of $1.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$ over 2005–2014. AM3 BASE, when sampled sparsely as in the ozonesondes, captures the observed variability $(r^2 = 0.7)$, whereas the "true average" over this period indicates the trend $(0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ is only 63 % of that inferred from observations. Moreover, interannual variability of O₃ resulting from wildfire emissions and meteorology in IAVFIRE is as large as the total O₃ change in



Figure 5. (a) Time series of changes in global tropospheric O₃ burden relative to the 1981–1990 mean from BASE and FIXEMIS simulations (Table 1). (b) Time series of 12-month running mean anomalies (relative to the 2005–2014 mean) of O₃ averaged over 900–600 hPa at Hong Kong from the averages of ozonesonde samples (black circles) and the BASE model co-sampled on sonde launch days (orange circles) versus the true average from BASE and IAVFIRE with continuous daily sampling (solid lines). (c) Same as (b), but for Hanoi.

BASE over the short period 2005–2014. We conclude that measurement sampling artifacts influence the O_3 trends reported by Zhang et al. (2016).

Expanding the comparison to a suite of sites across East Asia (Fig. 6), we find that AM3 captures the key features of observed O₃ trends in Asia, including their seasonal to regional variations, summertime increases $(1-2 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ in central eastern China where NO_x emissions have approxi-



Figure 6. Surface O₃ trends in Asia. (a) Observation sites superimposed on a map of the 95th percentile summer MDA8 O₃ trends over 1995–2014 from AM3 BASE. (b) Comparison of median O₃ trends from AM3 (1995–2014) with observations (see text for periods): in central eastern China at Mt. Tai (July–August, Sun et al., 2016), Beijing (May–June–July, Ding et al., 2008) and Shangdianzi (SDZ) (JJA, Ma et al., 2016); in South China at Hong Kong (HK) (annual average, Wang et al., 2009) and Taiwan (MAM, Lin et al., 2010); at Mt. Waliguan (WLG) in western China (MAM, Xu et al., 2016); in South Korea (JJA, Lee et al., 2014) and Mt. Happo Japan (MAM, Tanimoto, 2009). For Mt. Happo (triangle on map) AM3 is sampled at 700 hPa and filtered for the influence from Asian continental air – more representative of observed baseline conditions in spring.

mately tripled since 1990 (Fig. 1a), and springtime increases $(0.5 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ at Taiwan and Mt. Happo that are driven by pollution outflow from the Asian continent. Note that to place the trends derived from the short observational records into a broader context, we show the 20-year trends over 1995–2014 from the model, except for South Korea (1990–2010) and Happo, Japan (1991–2011). We match the time period in the model with observations at these two sites because AM3 shows weaker O₃ increases when data for the recent years are included, which likely reflects the offsetting effects of regional emission reductions in South Korea and Japan.



Figure 7. Linear trends in spring (MAM) MDA8 O_3 over 1988–2014 at US rural sites for the 95th, 50th, and 5th percentiles as observed (left) and simulated (right) in AM3 BASE. Larger circles indicate sites with statistically significant trends (p < 0.05). For WUS high-elevation sites, the model is sampled at 700 hPa and filtered to remove local influence (see text in Sect. 2.4).

Parrish et al. (2014) show that three CMIP5-like models underestimate the observed springtime O₃ increase at Mt. Happo by a factor of 4. This discrepancy may reflect a combination of factors: (1) underestimates of Asian emission growth in the RCP4.5 interpolation after 2000 used in CMIP5 historical simulations (Fig. 1a); (2) trends driven by interannual meteorological variability that free-running CMIP5 models are not expected to reproduce exactly; (3) an excessive offset from Japanese pollution decreases in the models owing to their coarse resolution and limitation in resolving observed baseline conditions at Mt. Happo. Sampling our BASE model at 700 hPa above Happo, we find an O_3 increase of 0.35 ± 0.13 ppb yr⁻¹. When focusing on days strongly influenced by outflow from the East Asian continent (Chinese $COt \ge 67$ th), the model O₃ trend increases to 0.48 ± 0.13 ppb yr⁻¹, approximating the observed increase of 0.76 ± 0.35 ppb yr⁻¹ at Mt. Happo (Fig. 6b). The observed and simulated trends are not statistically different given the overlapping confidence limits. The larger confidence limit (uncertainty) derived from the Happo observations reflects the measurement inconsistency before 1998 and instrumental problems after 2007 (Tanimoto et al., 2016). We conclude that GFDL-AM3 captures 65-90% of the observed O₃ increases in Asia, lending confidence in its application to assess the global impacts of rising Asian emissions.

4 Regional and seasonal variability of US surface O₃ trends

We next focus our analysis on the US, where dense, highfrequency, long-term, reliable measurements of surface O_3 facilitate process-oriented model evaluation. Comparisons of surface O_3 trends over 1988–2014 at 70 rural monitoring sites across the US as observed and simulated in AM3 BASE are shown in Fig. 7 for spring, Fig. 8 for summer, Fig. 9 for winter, and in Fig. S4 for autumn. The trends are calculated separately for the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the daily MDA8 O_3 concentration distribution, with larger circles on



Figure 8. As in Fig. 7, but for summer (JJA). Note that the color scale saturates at ± 0.8 .

the maps indicating sites with statistically significant trends (p < 0.05). We first discuss observations (Sect. 4.1), followed by model evaluation and trend attribution (Sect. 4.2).

4.1 Observations

In spring (Fig. 7), observations indicate spatial heterogeneity in O₃ trends across the Intermountain West and the northeastern (north of 38° N) and southeastern US. At the 95th percentile (Fig. 7a) the pattern of observed trends is homogeneous across the northeastern and southeastern US, with approximately 85% of the sites having statistically significant O_3 decreases of 0.4–0.8 ppb yr⁻¹ and no sites showing a significant increase. In contrast, significant increases occur at 25 % of the sites in the Intermountain West. Only Joshua Tree National Park located downwind of the Los Angeles Basin shows a significant decrease at the 95th percentile. At the 50th percentile (Fig. 7b) there are significant O₃ decreases of $0.2-0.4 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$ in the southeast and little overall change in the northeast, while significant increases of 0.2-0.5 ppb yr⁻¹ occur at 50 % of the sites in the Intermountain West. Significant springtime O3 increases occur at all observed percentiles at Lassen Volcanic National Park in California, Great Basin National Park in Nevada, Rocky Mountain National Park and US Air Force Academy in Colorado. At the 5th percentile (Fig. 7c) significant O₃ increases occur at most sites in the northeast, while little change and some negative trends are found in the southeast. The occurrence of the greatest observed O₃ decreases for the highest percentiles is consistent with high-temperature O₃ production being more NO_xlimited (Pusede et al., 2015) and thus more responsive to decreases in NO_x emissions.

The north-to-south gradient in springtime O_3 trends over the EUS reflects the earlier seasonal transition from NO_x saturated to NO_x -sensitive O_3 production regimes in the southeast, where plentiful radiation in spring enhances HO_x supply and biogenic isoprene emissions begin earlier than in the northeast. The different response of springtime O_3 to NO_x controls in the southeast versus northeast noticed in this work is not present in prior analyses for shorter time periods (1990–2010 in Cooper et al., 2012, and 1998–2013 in Simon et al., 2015). We find 72 % of the southeastern sites experiencing significant median O_3 decreases in spring over



Figure 9. As in Fig. 7, but for winter (DJF). Large squares in (a) denote AQS sites with significant O₃ decreases in the 95th percentile.

1988–2014, while Cooper et al. (2012) found only 8 %. Sites with significant 95th percentile springtime O₃ decreases in the EUS are also much more common in our study (85 % versus 43 % in Cooper et al. (2012). In the 5th percentile, 45 % of the northeastern sites in our analysis have significant spring O₃ increases, with only 15 % in Cooper et al. (2012) Stronger O₃ reductions in the southeast than the northeast also occur during autumn (Fig. S4), reflecting an extension of biogenic isoprene emissions and NO_x-sensitive O₃ production in the southeast to autumn.

In summer (Fig. 8), as radiation intensifies and isoprene emissions peak seasonally, the O₃ production becomes more NO_x-limited across both the southeastern and northeastern US, where NO_x emission controls have led to significant O₃ decreases of 0.8–1.8 ppb yr⁻¹ in the 95th percentile and 0.4–0.8 ppb yr⁻¹ in the median value (Fig. 8a–b). In the southeast, significant decreases have also occurred at the lowest percentiles during summer (Fig. 8c), in contrast to the weak response during spring (Fig. 7c). Many northeastern states in the late 1990s and early 2000s did not turn on power plant NO_x emission controls until the O₃ season (May–September), which may contribute to observed differences between spring and summer O₃ trends. Compared to the 1990–2010 trends reported in Cooper et al. (2012), the EUS summer O₃ decreases reported here with additional data to 2014 are 33 % stronger. Despite reductions in precursor emissions in the WUS cities (Fig. 1d), there are no significant summer O₃ decreases at the intermountain sites, except in Yosemite and Joshua Tree national parks for the 95th percentile. Instead, a significant summer increase of ~ 0.3 ppb yr⁻¹ occurs across the entire O₃ distribution at Yellowstone. Significant summer increases are found in the 5th percentile for Lassen, Mesa Verde, and Rocky Mountain national parks.

In winter (Fig. 9), observed O_3 increases are more common than in spring and summer across the US. The wintertime O_3 increases are strongest in the lowest percentiles over the EUS, indicating the influence from weakened NO_x titration as a result of regional NO_x emission controls (see also Gao et al., 2013; Clifton et al., 2014; Simon et al., 2015). Even during winter, some decreasing O_3 trends are found in the highest percentiles over the southeast (Fig. 9a),

most prominently in Texas (Dallas and Houston), where tropical climate and year-round active photochemistry makes O_3 most responsive to regional NO_x emission controls. Despite the greatest NO_x emission reductions over the past decade in the central and northeastern US regions, observed O_3 reductions have been most pronounced in the southeast, particularly in spring and autumn.

4.2 Model evaluation and attribution of observed O₃ trends

The BASE simulation with GFDL-AM3 captures the salient features of observed O3 trends over 1988-2014 at rural sites across the US: (1) the overall springtime increases and the lack of significant trends in summer over the Intermountain West; (2) the north-to-south gradients in O_3 trends during spring and the largest decreases in the 95th percentile during summer over the EUS; (3) wintertime increases in the 5th and 50th percentiles (left versus right panels in Figs. 7 to 9). AM3 also simulates a median springtime O₃ increase of 0.32 ± 0.11 ppb yr⁻¹ over 1988–2014 (0.64 ± 0.50 ppb yr⁻¹ over 2004-2014) at Mount Bachelor Observatory in Oregon, consistent with the positive trend $(0.63 \pm 0.41 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ observed over the shorter 2004-2015 period (Gratz et al., 2014). These analyses imply that GFDL-AM3 represents the underlying chemical and physical processes controlling the response of US surface O₃ means and extremes to changes in global-to-regional precursor emissions and climate, despite mean state biases (Figs. S5-S6).

The filtered model shows greater 95th percentile O_3 increases than observed at some WUS sites (e.g., Yosemite; Grand Canyon; Canyonlands) for both spring and summer (Figs. 7a, d and 8a, d), reflecting that observations at these sites sometimes can be influenced by transport of photochemically aged plumes from nearby urban areas and from southern California during late spring and summer. When sampled at the surface, AM3 simulates small summertime O_3 decreases in the 95th and 50th percentiles over the Intermountain West (Fig. 4b, d), consistent with observations at Yosemite, Grand Canyon, and Canyonlands (Fig. 8a, b). As illustrated in Fig. 3 for spring and discussed in Sect. 2.4, individual sites in the west display observed trends falling in between the filtered model and those sampled at the surface versus aloft.

We examine how US surface O_3 responds to changes in regional anthropogenic emissions, hemispheric background, and meteorology by comparing O_3 trends in the BASE, Background, and FIXEMIS experiments (Figs. 10–11). With North American anthropogenic emissions shut off in the Background simulation, little difference is discernable from the BASE simulation for WUS O_3 trends during spring (first versus second rows in Fig. 10), indicating the key role of hemispheric background driving increases in springtime O_3 over the WUS. With anthropogenic emissions held constant in time, FIXEMIS still shows statistically significant spring O₃ increases in the 95th percentile (Fig. 10c), approximately half of the trends simulated in BASE, for Grand Canyon, Canyonlands, Mesa Verde and Rocky Mountain national parks. Prior work shows that deep stratospheric intrusions contribute to the highest observed and simulated surface O₃ events at these sites (Langford et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2012a). Strong year-to-year variability of such intrusion events (Lin et al., 2015a) can confound the attribution of springtime O₃ changes over the WUS to anthropogenic emission trends, particularly in the highest percentile and over a short record length. Summer avoids this confounding influence when stratospheric intrusions are at their seasonal minimum, as evidenced by little O₃ change in FIXEMIS over the WUS (Fig. 11c, f). In contrast to spring, the model shows larger differences in WUS O₃ trends between BASE and Background for summer when North American pollution peaks seasonally (Fig. 10a, d versus b, e compared to Fig. 11a, d versus b, e). There are significant increases of 0.2- 0.5 ppb yr^{-1} in the 95th and 50th percentile summer background O₃ at more than 50 % of the western sites (Fig. 11b, e), offsetting the O_3 decreases resulting from US NO_x reductions and leading to little overall change in total observed and simulated O₃ at WUS rural sites during summer (Fig. 8).

Over the EUS, AM3 also simulates background O₃ increases, occurring in both the 95th and 50th percentiles, with a rate of 0.1–0.3 ppb yr⁻¹ during spring (Fig. 10b, e) and 0.2–0.5 ppb yr⁻¹ during summer (Fig. 11b, e). Based on prior model estimates that springtime background O₃ is greater in the northeast than the southeast (Lin et al., 2012a, b; Fiore et al., 2014), one might assume that the springtime O₃ increases in the 5th percentile observed over the northeast (Fig. 7c) have been influenced by a rising background. However, AM3 simulates homogeneous background O₃ trends across the entire EUS (Fig. 10b, e), indicating that the observed north-to-south gradient in O₃ trends reflects an earlier seasonal onset of NO_x-sensitive photochemistry in the southeast, as opposed to the background influence.

A warming climate is most likely to worsen the highest O₃ events in polluted regions (e.g., Schnell et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2016). With anthropogenic emissions held constant in time over 1988-2014, FIXEMIS suggests significant increases of 0.2-0.4 ppb yr⁻¹ in the 95th percentile summertime O₃ over the EUS (Fig. 11c). Using self-organizing map cluster analysis, Horton et al. (2015) identified robust increases in the occurrence of summer anticyclonic circulations over eastern North America since 1990. We find that biogenic isoprene emissions over this period increased significantly by 1-2% yr⁻¹ (10 to 20 mg C m⁻² summer⁻¹) throughout the EUS in the model, consistent with simulated increases in the 90th percentile JJA daily maximum temperature (Fig. 12a-b). Increases in isoprene emissions contribute to raising EUS background O₃ in summer (Fig. 11b, e). Using the Global Land-Based Datasets for Monitoring Climate Extremes (GHCNDEX; Donat et al., 2013), we find increases in the number of warm days above the 90th percentile and



Figure 10. Linear trends in the 95th (left) and 50th (right) percentile springtime MDA8 O_3 over 1988–2014 at US rural sites from BASE (top), Background (middle) and FIXEMIS simulations (bottom). Larger circles indicate sites with statistically significant trends (p < 0.05). Top panels are repeated from Fig. 7d, e. Note that the 95th (50th) percentile is sampled separately from the Background and FIXEMIS simulations without depending on the times when the BASE simulation is experiencing the 95th (50th) percentile days.

maximum temperature over the southeastern US in August (Fig. 12c–d). The trends in temperature extremes are similar between June and August, but there is no significant trend in July (not shown). While changes in regional temperature extremes on 20- to 30-year time series may reflect internal climate variability (Shepherd, 2015), we suggest that increasing hot extremes and biogenic isoprene emissions over the last 2 decades may have offset some of the benefits of regional NO_x reductions in the EUS.

5 Impacts of rising Asian emissions, methane and wildfires on western US O₃

5.1 Historical western US O₃ trends in spring

Further indications of the factors driving baseline O_3 changes over the WUS can be inferred by examining the time series at several high-elevation sites, which most frequently sample baseline O_3 in the free troposphere during spring (Sect. 2.4). Figure 13 shows the results, both observed and simulated, for six such monitoring sites: Great Basin National Park in Nevada (2.1 km a.s.l.), Rocky Mountain National Park (2.7 km a.s.l.) in Colorado, US Air Force Academy (1.9 km a.s.l.) in Colorado Springs, Yellowstone National Park (2.4 km a.s.l.) and Pinedale (2.4 km a.s.l.) in Wyoming, and Mesa Verde National Park (2.2 km a.s.l.) in the Colorado–New Mexico–Arizona–Utah four-corner



Figure 11. As in Fig. 10, but for summer. Top panels are repeated from Fig. 8d, e.

region. The observed median values of springtime MDA8 O_3 have increased significantly at a rate of 0.2–0.5 ppb yr⁻¹ over the past 20–27 years at these sites, except Pinedale, where the increase in background O_3 is likely offset by the O_3 decrease due to recent emission control for the large oil and gas production fields in this area (http://deq.wyoming.gov/aqd/winter-ozone/resources/technical-documents/).

When filtered to remove the influence from fresh local pollution (Sect. 2.4), AM3 BASE captures the long-term trends of O_3 observed at these sites.

Correlating AM3 Background with observed O_3 indicates that most of the observed variability reflects changes in the background, with fluctuations in stratospheric influence contributing to anomalies on interannual timescales (e.g., the 1999 anomaly, Lin et al., 2015a), whereas Asian influence dominates the decadal trends as discussed below. The O_3 reduction resulting from US anthropogenic emission controls is less than 0.1 ppb yr⁻¹ (BASE minus Background) at these baseline sites. We show model results for the entire 1980– 2014 period for Great Basin, Rocky Mountain, and the US Air Force Academy to provide context for observed trends in the 2 most recent decades (Fig. 13a). In the 1980s when Chinese NO_x emissions (~ 4 Tg yr⁻¹ NO) were much lower than US NO_x emissions (~ 15 Tg yr⁻¹ NO) (Granier et al., 2011), there was little overall O₃ change over the WUS in the model. From the mid-1990s onwards, with NO_x emissions in China rising steeply (Fig. 1a) and surpassing US emissions in the 2000s, the O₃ trends at remote WUS sites appear to be dominated by trends of background, reflecting rising emissions outside the US. The largest spring O₃ increases from 1981– 1990 to 2003–2012 at 700 hPa extend from Southeast Asia to the subtropical North Pacific Ocean to the southwestern US (Fig. S7a), consistent with the influence of rising Asian precursor emissions.

Table 2 contains a summary of the drivers of O_3 trends in the model at seven CASTNet sites that exhibit a significant spring O_3 increase observed over 1988–2012. Here we focus our attribution analysis on the period 1988–2012 (in-



Figure 12. The 1990–2012 trends in (**a**) model JJA total biogenic isoprene emissions, (**b**) model 90th percentile JJA daily maximum temperature, (**c**) the warmest daily maximum temperature and (**d**) the frequency of warm days (i.e., those above the 90th percentile for the base period 1961–1990) for August obtained from the GHCNDEX dataset (Donat et al., 2013; available at http://www.climdex.org/viewdownload.html). Stippling denotes areas where the change is statistically significant (p < 0.05). Note that the trends are calculated for the 1990–2012 period, instead of 1988–2014, to avoid the influence from hot extremes in 1988 and cold conditions in 2014 (Sect. 6). When these years are included, the trends in (**c**) and (**d**) are swamped by the anomalies. The trends in (**a**) and (**b**) are similar between 1990–2012 and 1988–2014.

Table 2. Summary of springtime median MDA8 O₃ trends (in ppb yr⁻¹) over 1988–2012 at WUS sites from observations and AM3 simulations. Trends with the 95 % confidence intervals and levels of significance (bold: <1 %; italic: 1–5 %; plain: \geq 5 %) were estimated by the two-tailed *t* test.

Experiment	Lassen	Great Basin	Rocky Mountain	Mesa Verde	Yellowstone	Yosemite	Chiricahua
Observed	$\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.14}$	$\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.26}$	$\textbf{0.37} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textit{0.21} \pm \textit{0.19}$	0.37 ± 0.32	$\textbf{0.17} \pm \textbf{0.10}$
BASE*	$\textbf{0.33} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.34} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	0.32 ± 0.13	$\textbf{0.37} \pm \textbf{0.14}$	$\textbf{0.21} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.35} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	0.25 ± 0.19
Background	$\textbf{0.31} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	$\textbf{0.40} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.45}\pm\textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.41} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.32} \pm \textbf{0.21}$
Background _{EA}	$\textbf{0.41} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	$\textbf{0.39} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{0.50} \pm \textbf{0.15}$	$\textbf{0.52} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	$\textbf{0.40} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.21}$
IAVASIA*	$\textbf{0.29} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.31} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.25} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.27} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.19} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{0.24} \pm \textbf{0.14}$	0.15 ± 0.15
IAVASIA _{EA}	$\textbf{0.26} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.26} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	0.35 ± 0.13	$\textbf{0.32} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.27} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$\textbf{0.31} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{0.25} \pm \textbf{0.15}$
$IAVCH_4^*$	0.18 ± 0.12	$\textbf{0.20} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textit{0.12}\pm0.09$	0.16 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.12	0.15 ± 0.16	0.04 ± 0.15
IAVFIRE	0.10 ± 0.12	0.14 ± 0.12	0.17 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.14	0.11 ± 0.13	0.15 ± 0.16	0.08 ± 0.17
FIXEMIS	0.08 ± 0.12	0.12 ± 0.12	0.16 ± 0.12	0.13 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.16	0.04 ± 0.16
O ₃ Strat	0.18 ± 0.18	0.20 ± 0.25	0.18 ± 0.18	0.25 ± 0.23	0.15 ± 0.18	0.27 ± 0.30	0.07 ± 0.24

The * mask indicates data filtered to represent baseline conditions (NACOt \leq 67th). The EA subscript indicates that data were filtered to represent transport conditions favoring the import of Asian pollution (EACOt \geq 67th).

stead of 1988–2014) because the IAVASIA and IAVCH₄ simulations only extend to 2012. Meteorology varies from year to year in all experiments. Thus, we quantify the contributions of rising Asian emissions in IAVASIA, global methane in IAVCH₄, and wildfire emissions in IAVFIRE by subtracting out the slope of the linear regression of seasonal O₃ means in FIXEMIS. Simulated O₃ with anthropogenic emissions varying in both South and East Asia but held constant elsewhere shows statistically significant increases of 0.1– 0.2 ppb yr⁻¹ ($p \le 0.01$; IAVASIA minus FIXEMIS in Table 2), consistent with trends of 0.2 ppb yr⁻¹ estimated by scaling results from HTAP phase 1 multi-model sensitivity experiments with Asian emissions reduced by 20% (Reidmiller et al., 2009). This Asian influence can explain 50– 65% of the modeled background O₃ increase in spring (Table 2).



Figure 13. (a) Time series of median spring MDA8 O_3 anomalies (relative to the 1995–2014 mean) at Great Basin, Rocky Mountain, and US Air Force Academy as observed (black) and simulated in AM3 BASE filtered for baseline conditions (red; see Sect. 2.4) and in Background with North American anthropogenic emissions zeroed out (NAB; green). Presented at the top of the graph are statistics from the linear fit and correlations between observations and simulations. Numbers at the bottom of the graph denote the sample size of observations for each year. Gray dots indicate uncertain observations that are removed from the linear fit (see Sect. 2.3).(b) Same as Fig. 13a, but for Yellowstone, Pinedale, and Mesa Verde over the period 1988–2012.

With only methane varying, the model trends are less than 0.1 ppb yr^{-1} (IAVCH₄ minus FIXEMIS), accounting for an average of 15 % of the background increase. The contribution from wildfire emissions during spring is of minor importance (IAVFIRE minus FIXEMIS, Table 2). A stratospheric O₃ tracer (O₃Strat) in AM3 (Lin et al., 2012a, 2015a) demonstrates a positive but insignificant trend in stratospheric O₃ transport to the sites. We examine the trends of lower tropospheric O₃ at these sites when transport conditions favor the import of Asian pollution into western North America, as diagnosed by the East Asian CO tracer (EACOt) exceeding the 67th percentile for each spring. Similar to the conclusion of Lin et al. (2015b), we find that the rate of O₃ increase in the Background simulation is greater by 0.05–0.1 ppb yr⁻¹ under strong transport from Asia than without filtering. Filter-

ing the IAVASIA simulation for Asian influence also results in greater O_3 increases than filtering for baseline conditions (Table 2).

Rising Asian emissions even influence trends of O_3 downwind of the Los Angeles Basin during spring. O_3 measured in Joshua Tree National Park shows an increase of 0.31 ± 0.25 ppb yr⁻¹ in spring over 1990–2010 (Cooper et al., 2012), despite significant improvements in O_3 air quality in the Los Angeles Basin (Warneke et al., 2012). The O_3 record extended to 2014 shows a decline in the 95th percentile O_3 in Joshua Tree National Park for both spring and summer (Figs. 7–8), whereas the 5th percentile continues to increase in spring and there is no significant trend in the median. Sampling the AM3 Background simulation at this site indicates a rising background (0.31 ± 0.14 ppb yr⁻¹). Air-



Figure 14. Future projections. Time series of median springtime O_3 changes relative to 2010 in GFDL AM3 hindcast (orange circles) and CM3 future simulations for RCP8.5 (red) versus RCP4.5 (blue; shading represents the range of three ensemble members), sampled at 700 hPa over the WUS (35–45° N, 120–105° W). Black circles indicate observed changes averaged from the Lassen, Great Basin, and Rocky Mountain national parks.

craft measurements in May–June 2010 indicate the presence of Asian pollution layers 2 km above southern California with distinct sulfate enhancements coincident with low organic mass (Lin et al., 2012b), supporting the conclusion that rising Asian emissions can contribute to trends of O₃ observed in this region. Yosemite National Park (1.6 km a.s.l.) and Chiricahua National Monument (1.5 km a.s.l.) are also influenced by increases in Asian emissions and concurrent decreases in local pollution in California. O₃ observed at Yosemite shows an increase from 1995 to around 2012 (0.37 ± 0.32 ppb yr⁻¹; Fig. S8), which the model attributes primarily to rising Asian emissions (Table 2), but observations have remained constant since then, reflecting an offset by O₃ decreases in California (Fig. 4).

5.2 Projecting western US springtime O₃ for the 21st Century

Under the RCP8.5 scenario, Chinese NO_x emissions are projected to peak in 2020–2030, reflecting an increase of ~50% from 2010 (Fig. 1a), followed by a sharp decrease, reaching 1990 levels by 2050. Global methane increases by ~60% from 2010 to 2050 under RCP8.5 (Fig. S1). Under the RCP4.5 scenario, in contrast, NO_x emissions in China change little over 2010–2030 and global methane remains almost constant from 2010 to 2050. NO_x emissions in the US decrease through 2050 under both scenarios, by ~40% from 2010. A number of studies have examined future US O₃ changes under the RCPs (e.g., Gao et al., 2013; Clifton et al., 2014; Pfister et al., 2014; Fiore et al., 2015; Barnes et al., 2016). However, as discussed earlier, the trends of O₃ in the model when sampled near the surface are overwhelmingly dominated by US anthropogenic emission trends. Thus,



Figure 15. Summertime O₃ in Yellowstone National Park. (a) Median JJA MDA8 O₃ trends over 1988–2012 at Yellowstone from observations (black) and simulations sampled at 700 hPa for BASE without filtering (pink), BASE filtered for baseline conditions (hatched pink), IAVASIA (solid purple, baseline), IAVA-SIA filtered for Asian influence (EACOt \geq 67th, hatched purple), IAVCH4 (cyan), IAVFIRE (orange) and FIXEMIS (red). (b) Time series of anomalies in August median MDA8 O₃ at Yellowstone as observed (black) and simulated by the model sampled at the surface, with constant (red) and time-varying wildfire emissions (orange). Trends over 1988–2014 are reported. (c) Interannual correlations of JJA mean MDA8 O₃ observed at Yellowstone with JJA mean daily maximum temperature from observations (Harris et al., 2014).

the future O₃ changes estimated by these prior studies do not represent baseline conditions, particularly the response to rising Asian emissions. In Fig. 14 we show changes in WUS free tropospheric (700 hPa) O₃ relative to 2010 in the CM3 future simulations under RCP8.5 versus RCP4.5. Historical hindcasts and observations are also shown for context. Under RCP4.5, springtime O₃ over the WUS shows little overall change over 2010–2050. Under RCP8.5, in contrast, springtime WUS O₃ increases by ~ 10 ppb from 2010 to 2030 and remains almost constant from 2030 to 2050, consistent with the projected trends in Asian emissions and global methane.

5.3 Trends and variability of western US O₃ in summer

Yellowstone National Park is the only site with statistically significant summer O₃ increases observed across all percentiles (Fig. 8a–c). The 1988–2012 trends for the median observed and simulated O₃ are summarized in Fig. 15a. Observations show an increase of 0.32 ± 0.18 ppb yr⁻¹ for JJA, with a greater rate of increase in June (0.38 ± 0.25 ppb yr⁻¹) than in July–August (0.26 ± 0.18 ppb yr⁻¹). AM3 BASE sampled at 700 hPa and filtered for baseline conditions (hatched pink bar in Fig. 15a) captures the observed increase. Without baseline filtering (solid pink bar), North American emission reductions offset almost 50 % of the simulated

O₃ increase at Yellowstone, causing the model to underestimate the observed O₃ trend. The model attributes much of the observed summer O₃ increase at Yellowstone to rising Asian emissions, with IAVASIA simulating an O₃ increase of 0.31 ± 0.19 ppb yr⁻¹ under baseline conditions, increasing to 0.42±0.23 ppb yr⁻¹ under conditions of Asian influence (EACOt ≥ 67th percentile). The stronger increase measured in June than in July–August is consistent with the influence of the Asian summer monsoon producing a surface O₃ minimum in July–August in East Asia (e.g., Lin et al., 2009), as well as the seasonality of intercontinental pollution transport. Changes in methane, wildfires, and meteorology over this period are of minor importance for the JJA O₃ trends at Yellowstone.

Enhanced wildfire activity in hot and dry weather is thought to be a key driver of interannual variability of surface O₃ in the Intermountain West in summer (Jaffe et al., 2008; Jaffe, 2011). However, hot and dry conditions also facilitate the buildup of O₃ produced from regional anthropogenic emissions, which can complicate the unambiguous attribution of observed O₃ enhancements. Using August data at Yellowstone as an example, we isolate the relative contribution of these two processes to observed O₃ with the IAV-FIRE versus FIXEMIS experiments (Fig. 15b). Here we sample AM3 at the surface to account for any influence of varying boundary layer mixing depths. Even without interannual variations of wildfire emissions, FIXEMIS captures much of the observed year-to-year variability of August mean O₃ at Yellowstone (r = 0.67). IAVFIRE with interannually varying fire emissions only moderately improves the correlations (r = 0.75). FIXEMIS also captures the observed O₃ increase from the early 1990s to around 2002, likely reflecting warmer temperatures and deeper mixing depths allowing more baseline O₃ to mix down to the surface. Over the entire 1988-2014 (or 1980–2014) period, IAVFIRE gives $\sim 0.1 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}$ greater O₃ increases in August than FIXEMIS, consistent with an overall increase in boreal wildfire activity (Figs. S2 and S7b).

Figure 16 shows year-to-year variability in surface MDA8 O₃ enhancements from wildfires during summer, as diagnosed by the differences between IAVFIRE and FIXEMIS. The results are shown for individual months since fires are highly episodic. During the summers of 1998, 2002, and 2003, biomass fires burned a large area of Siberia and parts of the North American boreal forests, raising carbon monoxide across the Northern Hemisphere as detected from space (Yurganov et al., 2005; van der Werf et al., 2010). Longrange transport of Siberian fire plumes resulted in 2-6 ppb enhancements in surface MDA8 O₃ at the US western coast and in parts of the Intermountain West in AM3. The model calculates enhancements in monthly mean MDA8 O₃ of up to 8 ppb from the intense wildfire events in northern California during July 2008 (Huang et al., 2013; Pfister et al., 2013), over Texas-Mexico during June 2011 (Wang et al., 2015), and in Wyoming-Utah during August 2012 (Jaffe et al., 2013). The AM3 estimates are roughly consistent with a previous analysis of boundary layer aircraft data with and without fire influences (as diagnosed by CH_3CN) during June 2008 over California (Pfister et al., 2013).

While fires during hot and dry summers clearly result in enhanced O₃ at individual sites for some summers, the ability of AM3 with constant fire emissions to simulate variability of O₃ for a high (e.g., 1988, 2002, 2006) versus low (e.g., 1997, 2009) fire year (Fig. 15b) indicates that biomass burning is not the primary driver of observed O₃ interannual variability. Year-to-year variability of JJA mean MDA8 O3 observed at Yellowstone is strongly correlated (r > 0.6) with observed large-scale variations in JJA mean daily maximum temperature across the Intermountain West (Fig. 15c). Correlations for other ground stations show a similar large-scale feature. Similar to the conclusion from Zhang et al. (2014), our analysis indicates that the correlation between O₃ and biomass burning reported by Jaffe et al. (2008) and Jaffe (2011) at rural sites reflects common underlying correlations with temperature rather than a causal relationship of fire with O₃. At remote mountain sites (e.g., Yellowstone), warmer surface temperatures lead to deeper mixed layers that facilitate mixing of free tropospheric O3-rich air down to the surface. At sites near sources of air pollution, hot conditions enhance regional O₃ production and orographic lifting of urban pollution to mountaintop sites during daytime, as occurs at Rocky Mountain National Park located downwind of the Denver metropolitan area during summer (Sect. 5.4). Reactive volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from fires may enhance O_3 production in NO_x -rich urban areas (Baker et al., 2016), although evaluating these impacts needs highresolution models and better treatment of sub-grid-scale fire plumes.

5.4 Ozone trends in the Denver metropolitan area

Efforts to improve air quality have led to a marked decrease in high-O₃ events in the Los Angeles Basin as illustrated by the annual 4th highest MDA8 O₃ at Crestline – a regionally representative monitor operated continuously from 1980 to the present (Fig. 17a). In striking contrast, the 4th highest MDA8 O3 in the Denver metropolitan area shows little change over the past decades, despite significant reductions in NO_x (Fig. 1) and CO emissions (-80% from 1990 to 2010; Cooper et al., 2012). Recent field measurements indicate that increased VOC emissions from oil and natural gas operations are an important source of O₃ precursors in the Denver-Julesberg Basin (Gilman et al., 2013; Halliday et al., 2016; McDuffie et al., 2016). However, total VOC emissions in Denver may not be increasing over time due to the marked reductions in VOC emissions from vehicles (Bishop and Stedman, 2008, 2015). We seek insights into the causes of the lack of significant O₃ responses to emission controls in Denver by separately analyzing trends in spring and summer (Fig. 17b–c).

-4

-6

-2

60°

60° I

45° I

45° |

30°

998.08

2003.06

2011.06

4

6



2008.07

2012.08

2

Figure 16. Surface MDA8 O₃ enhancements from wildfire emissions for individual months in the years with large biomass burning in boreal regions (1998, 2002, 2003) and over the WUS (2008, 2011, 2012), as diagnosed by the differences between IAVFIRE and FIXEMIS. The black circle denotes the location of Yellowstone National Park.

()

45° |

The $\sim 200 \times 200 \,\mathrm{km^2}$ AM3 model is not expected to resolve the urban-to-rural differences between Rocky Mountain National Park and the Denver metropolitan area. However, if observed O₃ variability in Denver correlates with that at remote sites in the Intermountain West, then model attribution for the remote sites can be used to infer sources of observed O₃ in Denver. This is demonstrated in Fig. 17b for spring using data at three representative sites in Denver, Rocky Flats North, National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL), and Welby, with continuous measurements since the early 1990s. Year-to-year variability of median MDA8 O₃ at these sites during spring correlates strongly with that in Great Basin National Park (r = 0.7), a fairly remote site in Nevada not influenced by urban emissions from Denver. Median spring O₃ observations in Denver increased significantly by ~ 0.3 ppb yr⁻¹, similar to the rate of increase in Great Basin National Park, which the model attributes to rising background (Fig. 13a), implying that the tripling of Asian emissions since 1990 also raised mean springtime O₃ in the Denver metropolitan area. Trends in the 95th percentile are statistically insignificant.

During summer, changes in regional emissions and temperature have the greatest impacts on the highest observed O3 concentrations in polluted environments. Figure 17c shows times series of July-August 95th percentile MDA8 O₃ in Denver, together with the distribution of daily maximum temperature. In every year since 1993, the highest summer MDA8 O3 observed at these sites exceeds the 70 ppb NAAQS level. There is a small negative trend that is swamped by large interannual variability. The summers with the highest observed O₃ coincide with those with the highest observed temperatures, such as 1998, 2003, 2007, 2011 and 2012. During these summers, enhancements of MDA8 O₃ were also recorded in Rocky Mountain National Park, reflecting enhanced lifting of pollution from Denver under warmer conditions (Brodin et al., 2010). Applying quantile regression (e.g., Porter et al., 2015) to daily observations at Rocky Flats North over 1993–2015, we find a 2 ppb $^{\circ}C^{-1}$ sensitivity of 95th percentile July-August O₃ to changes in maximum daily temperature. We suggest that the substantial increases in extreme heat occurrence over central North America over the last 2 decades, as found by Horton et al. (2015), contribute to raising summer O₃ in Denver, which offsets O₃ reductions that otherwise would have occurred due to emission controls in Denver. Potential shifts in the O₃ photochemistry regime can also contribute to trends of summer O₃ in Denver, although advancing this knowledge would require a high-resolution air quality model.

[ppb]

6 Impacts of heat waves and droughts on eastern US summer O₃

We discuss in this section interannual variability and longterm changes in summer O₃ over the EUS, where air stagnation and high temperatures typically yield the highest O₃ observed in surface air (e.g., Jacob and Winner, 2009). Evaluating the ability of models to simulate the high-O₃ anomalies during historical heat waves and droughts is crucial to establishing confidence in the model projection of pollution extremes under a warming climate. Figure 18a shows comparisons of July mean MDA8 O3 at one regionally representative site, the Pennsylvania State University (PSU) CAST-Net site, from observations and model simulations. With time-varying emissions, the BASE model simulates an O₃ decrease $(-0.45 \pm 0.32 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ consistent with observations $(-0.67 \pm 0.33 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ and captures the observed July mean O_3 interannual variability (r = 0.82) that is correlated with large-scale variations in daily maximum temperature



Figure 17. Surface O_3 trends in Denver. (a) Comparison of observed trends in annual fourth highest MDA8 O_3 at Crestline Los Angeles (brown) and in Denver (blue, computed from all monitors available in Denver non-attainment counties). (b) Time series of observed median MAM MDA8 O_3 at Great Basin National Park (red), in comparison with three monitors in Denver. (c) Time series of observed 95th percentile July–August MDA8 O_3 in Denver, together with statistics (25th, 50th, 75th, 95th) of observed July–August daily maximum temperature at Rocky Flats (red, right axis).

(r = 0.57). In particular, O₃ pollution extremes are successfully simulated during the EUS summer heat waves of 1988, 1995, 1999, 2002, 2011 and 2012 (Leibensperger et al., 2008; Fiore et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2016). Year-to-year variations in meteorology can explain 30% of the total observed O₃ variability (r = 0.55), as inferred by FIXEMIS with constant anthropogenic emissions. If US anthropogenic emissions remained at 1990s levels (as in FIXEMIS), then anomalies in July mean MDA8 O₃ would have been 10 ppb greater during the 2011 and 2012 heat waves. Loughner et al. (2014) found that half of the days in July 2011 would have been classified



Figure 18. (a) Time series of July mean MDA8 O₃ anomalies (relative to 1988–2014) at the Pennsylvania State University (PSU) CASTNET site as observed (black) and simulated by the GFDL-AM3 model with time-varying (purple) and constant anthropogenic emissions (red), along with observed anomalies in July mean daily max temperature (gray lines; right axis). The green triangle denotes the 1988 O₃ anomaly from a sensitivity simulation using BASE emissions but with 35 % decreases in V_{d,O_3} (IAVDEP). (b) Time series of daily MDA8 O₃ at PSU from 1 June to 16 July in 1988 from observations (black), BASE (purple), and IAVDEP simulations (green).

as O_3 exceedance days for much of the mid-Atlantic region if emissions had not declined.

Figure 19a compares the probability density functions of MDA8 O₃ at 40 EUS surface sites for JJA in the pre-NO_x SIP Call (1988–2002) versus post-NO_x SIP Call (2003–2014) periods and during the extreme heat waves of 1988 versus 2012. Following the NO_x SIP Call, the probability distribution of observed JJA MDA8 O₃ over the EUS shifted downward (solid black versus dotted gray lines in Fig. 19a). The median value declined by 9 ppb and the largest decreases occurred in the upper tails, leading to weaker day-to-day O₃ variability and a narrower O₃ range (standard deviation σ decreased from 16.4 to 12.9 ppb). These observed O₃ changes driven by regional NO_x reductions are even more prominent when comparing the heat waves of 1988 versus 2012 (solid



Figure 19. (a) Comparisons of probability distributions of summertime MDA8 O₃ from 40 EUS CASTNet sites for the pre-NO_x SIP Call (1988–2002; solid black) versus post-NOx SIP Call (2003– 2014; dashed gray) periods and during the extreme heat waves of 1988 (solid purple) versus 2012 (dashed brown). The median (μ) and standard deviation (σ) are shown (ppb). (**b**) Same as (**a**), but from AM3 BASE. Also shown is the O₃ distribution in 1988 from a sensitivity simulation with 35 % decreases in V_{d,O3} in drought areas (green). (**c**) Standardized soil moisture departures for JJA 1988 (calculated by dividing anomalies by the 1979–2010 climatological standard deviation, using data from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center).

purple versus dotted brown lines in Fig. 19a): $\sigma = 22.3$ versus 13.4 ppb and median value $\mu = 68.6$ versus 52.2 ppb.

Figure 19b shows the corresponding comparisons using the results from AM3 BASE. Despite the high mean model bias ($\sim 20 \text{ ppb}$), AM3 captures the overall structure of the changes in the surface O₃ distributions and thus the response of surface O₃ to the NO_x SIP Call, including the reductions of high-O₃ events during the heat wave of 2012 compared to 1988. Nevertheless, there is a noticeable difference between the observations and simulations in the shape of MDA8 O₃ probability distributions for summer 1988, particularly in the upper tail of the distribution above 110 ppb (purple lines in Fig. 19a versus b). The BASE model also underestimates the observed July mean O_3 anomaly at PSU in 1988 by ~ 10 ppb (purple versus black dots in Fig. 18a). One possible explanation for these biases is that drought stress can effectively reduce the O_3 deposition sink to vegetation, leading to an increase in surface O_3 concentrations as found during the 2003 European heat wave (Solberg et al., 2008), whereas AM3 does not include interannually varying dry deposition velocities.

The North American drought of 1988 ranks among the worst episodes of drought in the US (e.g., Seager and Hoerling, 2014), with JJA soil moisture deficits occurring over the northern Great Plains-Midwest region with magnitudes of 1-2.5 mm standardized departures from the 1979-2010 climatology (Fig. 19c). Huang et al. (2016) found that monthly mean O_3 dry deposition velocities (V_{d,O_3}) for forests decreased by 33 % over Texas during the dry summer of 2011. Based on this estimate, we conduct a sensitivity simulation for 1988 using BASE emissions but decreasing monthly mean V_{d,O_2} from May to August by 35% in the areas over North America (20-60° N) where soil moisture deficits in 1988 exceed -1.0σ mm (Fig. 19c). This experiment (hereafter referred to as IAVDEP) simulates ~ 10 ppb higher July mean MDA8 O₃ at the PSU CASTNet site than the BASE model and matches the observed O₃ anomaly in 1988 relative to the record mean (green symbol in Fig. 18a). The impact is largest (up to 15 ppb) on days when observed MDA8 O₃ exceeds 100 ppb (Fig. 18b; $T_{\text{max}} \ge 30 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$). Simulated JJA MDA8 O₃ at EUS sites in IAVDEP shows an upward shift in the probability distribution, particularly in the upper tail above 110 ppb (green versus purple lines in Fig. 19b), bringing it closer to observations in 1988 (Fig. 19a). The O₃ standard deviation in IAVDEP ($\sigma = 18 \text{ ppb}$) shifts towards that in observations ($\sigma = 22 \text{ ppb}$) relative to the BASE model $(\sigma = 16 \text{ ppb}).$

Quantile mapping can be applied to correct systematic distributional biases in surface O₃ compared to observations (Rieder et al., 2015), but this approach has limitations if there are structural biases in the O_3 distribution due to missing physical processes in the model (e.g., variations of V_{d,O_3} with droughts). Travis et al. (2016) suggest that the National Emission Inventory (NEI) for NO_x from the US EPA is too high nationally by 50 %. Decreasing US NO_x emissions by this amount corrects their model bias for boundary layer O₃ by 12 ppb in the southeast for summer 2013, while surface MDA8 O₃ in their model is still biased high by 6 ± 14 ppb, which the authors attribute to excessive boundary layer mixing. US NO_x emissions in the emission inventory used in AM3 (Sect. 2.2) are approximately 15% lower than those from the NEI. The 35 % decrease in NO_x emissions from the pre-NO_x SIP Call to the post-NO_x SIP Call in the model reduces mean O_3 by 8 ppb in the EUS, implying that the NO_x emission bias could correct 40 % of our model mean bias of \sim 20 ppb. These estimates support the idea that the common



Figure 20. Summary of US surface O₃ trends and drivers. Changes in decadal mean MDA8 O₃ from 1981–1990 to 2003–2012 simulated in a suite of GFDL-AM3 experiments for spring and summer for the western (32–46° N and 123–102° W), northeastern (37– 45° N and 90–65° W) and southeastern (30–36° N and 95–77° W) US domains. Observations are not shown because limited data are available during 1981–1990. Experiments are color-coded, with the error bars indicating the range of the mean change at the 95 % confidence level. Filled circles represent the changes under Background (green) and IAVASIA (purple) when filtered for Asian influence (EACOt \geq 67th), while other results are from the unfiltered models. The text near the bottom of the plot provides the change in NO_x emissions over the same period for each region.

model biases in simulating surface O₃ over the southeastern US (e.g., Fiore et al., 2009) may partly reflect excessive NO_x emissions. Some of the positive O₃ biases could be also due to the averaging over a deep vertical box in the model surface layer ($\sim 60 \text{ m in AM3}$) that can not resolve near-surface gradients (Travis et al., 2016).

7 Conclusions and recommendations

Through an observational and modeling analysis of interannual variability and long-term trends in sources of O_3 over the past 35 years, we have identified the key drivers of O_3 pollution over the US. We initially evaluated the trends of O_3 in Asia resulting from rising Asian precursor emissions (Figs. 4–6). Our synthesis of available observations and simulations indicates that surface O_3 over East Asia has increased by 1–2 ppb yr⁻¹ since 1990 (i.e., 25–50 ppb over 25 years), with significant implications for regional air quality and global tropospheric O_3 burden. Shifting next to the US, we find 0.2–0.5 ppb yr⁻¹ increases in median springtime MDA8 O_3 measured at 50% of 16 WUS rural sites, with 25% of the sites showing increases across the entire O_3 concentration distribution, despite stringent US domestic emission controls (Fig. 7). While many prior studies show that global models have difficulty simulating O₃ increases observed at rural baseline sites (e.g., Parrish et al., 2014; Strode et al., 2015), we reconcile observed and simulated O₃ trends in GFDL-AM3 with a novel baseline sampling approach (Figs. 3 and 13). We suggest that the common modelobservation disagreement in baseline O3 trends reflects limitations of coarse-resolution global models in resolving observed baseline conditions. This representativeness problem can be addressed by filtering model O₃ for hemisphericscale baseline conditions using the easy-to-implement, lowcost regional CO-like tracers. This approach allows trends of O₃ measured at baseline sites to be compared directly with multi-decadal global model hindcasts, such as those being conducted for the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI; Morgenstern et al., 2017).

The ability of the GFDL-AM3 model to reproduce observed US surface O₃ trends lends confidence in its application to attribute these observed trends to specific processes (Figs. 7 to 11). We summarize the overall statistics in Fig. 20, drawing upon the decadal mean O₃ changes from 1981-1990 to 2003-2012 in the BASE and sensitivity simulations. The changes in BASE are over the WUS 4.3 ± 1.8 ppb for spring and 1.6 ± 1.2 ppb for summer; over the northeast, -1.8 ± 1.7 ppb for spring and -6.0 ± 2.0 ppb for summer; and over the southeast, -3.9 ± 1.4 ppb for spring and -7.5 ± 1.6 ppb for summer. Increasing O₃ in the WUS under BASE coincides with an increase in background O₃ by 6.3 ± 1.9 ppb for spring and 4.2 ± 2.0 ppb for summer. Under conditions of strong transport from Asia (East Asian COt > 67th), the background trend rose to 7.6 ± 2.2 ppb for spring and 6.0 ± 2.1 ppb for summer (green dots in Fig. 20). The WUS background O₃ increase reflects contributions from increases in Asian anthropogenic emissions (accounting for 50 % of background increase in spring; 52 % in summer), rising global methane (13% in spring; 23% in summer), and variability in biomass burning (6 % in spring; 12 % in summer; excluding the meteorological influence).

We conclude that the increase in Asian anthropogenic emissions is the major driver of rising background O₃ over the WUS for both spring and summer in the past decades, with a lesser contribution from methane increases over this period. The tripling of Asian NO_x emissions since 1990 contributes up to 65% of modeled springtime background O₃ increases $(0.3-0.5 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1})$ over the WUS, outpacing O₃ decreases resulting from 50 % US NO_x emission controls $(\leq 0.1 \text{ ppb yr}^{-1}; \text{ Table 2 and Fig. 10}).$ Springtime O₃ observed in the Denver metropolitan area has increased at a rate similar to remote rural sites (Fig. 17b). Mean springtime O₃ above the WUS is projected to increase by $\sim 10 \text{ ppb}$ from 2010 to 2030 under the RCP8.5 global change scenario but to remain constant throughout 2010 to 2050 under the RCP4.5 scenario (Fig. 14). As NO_x emissions in China continue to decline in response to efforts to improve air quality (Krotkov et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016), rising global methane and NO_x emissions in the tropical countries (e.g., India) in Asia, where O_3 production is more efficient, may become more important in the coming decades. A global perspective is necessary when designing a strategy to meet US O_3 air quality objectives.

During summer, a tripling of Asian anthropogenic emissions from 1988 to 2014 approximately offsets the benefits of 50 % reductions in US domestic emissions, leading to weak or insignificant O₃ trends observed at most WUS rural sites (Figs. 8 and 11). Rising Asian emissions contribute to observed summertime O_3 increases (0.3 ppb yr⁻¹) at Yellowstone National Park. Our findings confirm the earliest projection of Jacob et al. (1999) with a tripling of Asian emissions. While wildfire emissions can result in 2-8 ppb enhancements to monthly mean O₃ at individual sites in some summers, they are not the primary driver of observed O₃ interannual variability over the Intermountain West (Figs. 15 and 16). Instead, boundary layer depth, high temperatures and the associated buildup of O_3 produced from regional anthropogenic emissions contribute most to the observed interannual variability of O₃ in summer. Summertime O₃ measured in Denver during pollution episodes frequently exceeds the 70 ppb NAAQS level, with little overall trend despite stringent precursor emission controls (Fig. 17c), likely due to the effects of more frequent occurrences of hot extremes in the last decade.

In the eastern US, if emissions had not declined, the 95th percentile summertime O₃ would have increased by 0.2- 0.4 ppb yr^{-1} over 1988–2014 (Fig. 11c), due to more frequent hot summer extremes and increases in biogenic isoprene emissions $(1-2\% \text{ yr}^{-1})$ over this period (Fig. 12). Regional NO_x reductions alleviated the O_3 buildup during the recent heat waves of 2011 and 2012 relative to earlier heat waves (e.g., 1988, 1995, 1999). GFDL-AM3 captures yearto-year variability in monthly mean O3 enhancements associated with large-scale variations in temperatures (Figs. 18 and 19). However, there is a need to improve the model representation of O₃ deposition sink to vegetation, in particular its reduced efficiency under drought stress, as we demonstrated for the severe North American drought of 1988. Such landbiosphere couplings are poorly represented in current models and further work is needed to examine their impacts on O_3 pollution extremes in a warming climate.

Following the NO_x SIP Call, surface O₃ in the eastern US declined throughout its probability distribution, with the largest decreases occurring in the highest percentiles during summer (-0.8 to -1.8 ppb yr⁻¹; Fig. 8). Spatially, historical O₃ decreases during non-summer seasons were more pronounced in the southeast, where the seasonal onset of biogenic isoprene emissions and NO_x-sensitive O₃ production occurs earlier than in the northeast (Figs. 7, 9 and S4). The 95th percentile O₃ concentration in the southeast has even decreased during winter. Despite high mean-state biases, GFDL-AM3 captures the salient features of observed O₃ trends over the eastern US, including wintertime increases in

the 5th and 50th percentiles in the northeast, greater springtime decreases in the southeast than the northeast, and summertime decreases throughout the O_3 concentration distribution. These results suggest that NO_x emission controls will continue to provide long-term O_3 air quality benefits in the southeastern US during all seasons.

8 Data availability

All data derived from observations and model simulations used in this study are archived at NOAA GFDL and are available to the public upon request to Meiyun Lin.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017-supplement.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by funding from NASA grants NNH13ZDA001N-AURAST and NNX14AR47G to Meiyun Lin. We thank O. Cooper, S. Fan and J. Schnell for helpful comments on the manuscript. We acknowledge the free use of ozonesonde data at Hong Kong available on www.woudc.org and GOME-SCIAMACHY tropospheric NO₂ column data available on www.temis.nl. Arlene M. Fiore acknowledges support under EPA Assistance Agreement no. 83587801. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the agency. Meiyun Lin devotes this article to her father Tianci Lin, who is the motivation of her life and research career.

Edited by: B. N. Duncan Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References

- Abatzoglou, J. T. and Williams, A. P.: Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 11770–11775, doi:10.1073/pnas.1607171113, 2016.
- Baker, K. R., Woody, M. C., Tonnesen, G. S., Hutzell, W., Pye, H. O. T., Beaver, M. R., Pouliot, G., and Pierce, T.: Contribution of regional-scale fire events to ozone and PM_{2.5} air quality estimated by photochemical modeling approaches, Atmos. Environ., 140, 539–554, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.06.032, 2016.
- Barnes, E. A., Fiore, A. M., and Horowitz, L. W.: Detection of trends in surface ozone in the presence of climate variability, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 6112–6129, doi:10.1002/2015jd024397, 2016.
- Bishop, G. A. and Stedman, D. H.: A decade of on-road emissions measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 1651–1656, doi:10.1021/es702413b, 2008.

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2943–2970, 2017

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/17/2943/2017/

M. Lin et al.: US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014

- Bishop, G. A. and Stedman, D. H.: Reactive Nitrogen Species Emission Trends in Three Light-/Medium-Duty United States Fleets, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 11234–11240, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02392, 2015.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., and Brinksma, E. J.: Error analysis for tropospheric NO2 retrieval from space, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D04311, doi:10.1029/2003jd003962, 2004.
- Brodin, M., Helmig, D., and Oltmans, S.: Seasonal ozone behavior along an elevation gradient in the Colorado Front Range Mountains, Atmos. Environ., 44, 5305–5315, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.033, 2010.
- Brown-Steiner, B. and Hess, P.: Asian influence on surface ozone in the United States: A comparison of chemistry, seasonality, and transport mechanisms, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D17309, doi:10.1029/2011jd015846, 2011.
- Brown-Steiner, B., Hess, P. G., and Lin, M. Y.: On the capabilities and limitations of GCCM simulations of summertime regional air quality: A diagnostic analysis of ozone and temperature simulations in the US using CESM CAM-Chem, Atmos. Environ., 101, 134–148, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.001, 2015.
- Carmichael, G. R., Tang, Y., Kurata, G., Uno, I., Streets, D., Woo, J. H., Huang, H., Yienger, J., Lefer, B., Shetter, R., Blake, D., Atlas, E., Fried, A., Apel, E., Eisele, F., Cantrell, C., Avery, M., Barrick, J., Sachse, G., Brune, W., Sandholm, S., Kondo, Y., Singh, H., Talbot, R., Bandy, A., Thorton, D., Clarke, A., and Heikes, B.: Regional-scale chemical transport modeling in support of the analysis of observations obtained during the TRACE-P experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8823, doi:10.1029/2002jd003117, 2003.
- Clifton, O. E., Fiore, A. M., Correa, G., Horowitz, L. W., and Naik, V.: Twenty-first century reversal of the surface ozone seasonal cycle over the northeastern United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 7343–7350, doi:10.1002/2014gl061378, 2014.
- Cooper, O. R., Parrish, D. D., Stohl, A., Trainer, M., Nedelec, P., Thouret, V., Cammas, J. P., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., McDermid, I. S., Jaffe, D., Gao, R., Stith, J., Ryerson, T., Aikin, K., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., and Avery, M. A.: Increasing springtime ozone mixing ratios in the free troposphere over western North America, Nature, 463, 344–348, doi:10.1038/nature08708, 2010.
- Cooper, O. R., Gao, R.-S., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., and Sweeney, C.: Long-term ozone trends at rural ozone monitoring sites across the United States, 1990–2010, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D22307, doi:10.1029/2012JD018261, 2012.
- Dennison, P. E., Brewer, S. C., Arnold, J. D., and Moritz, M. A.: Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984-2011, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 2928–2933, doi:10.1002/2014gl059576, 2014.
- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J.-P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321–4344, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006, 2006.
- Ding, A. J., Wang, T., Thouret, V., Cammas, J.-P., and Nédélec, P.: Tropospheric ozone climatology over Beijing: analysis of aircraft data from the MOZAIC program, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1–13, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1-2008, 2008.

- Donat, M., Alexander, L., Yang, H., Durre, I., Vose, R., and Caesar, J.: Global Land-Based Datasets for Monitoring Climatic Extremes, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 94, 997–1006, doi:10.1175/Bams-D-12-00109.1, 2013.
- Donner, L. J., Wyman, B. L., Hemler, R. S., et al.: The Dynamical Core, Physical Parameterizations, and Basic Simulation Characteristics of the Atmospheric Component AM3 of the GFDL Global Coupled Model CM3, J. Climate, 24, 3484–3519, doi:10.1175/2011jcli3955.1, 2011.
- Duncan, B. N., Lamsal, L. N., Thompson, A. M., Yoshida, Y., Lu, Z. F., Streets, D. G., Hurwitz, M. M., and Pickering, K. E.: A space-based, high-resolution view of notable changes in urban NO_x pollution around the world (2005–2014), J. Geophys. Res., 121, 976–996, doi:10.1002/2015jd024121, 2016.
- Emberson, L. D., Kitwiroon, N., Beevers, S., Büker, P., and Cinderby, S.: Scorched Earth: how will changes in the strength of the vegetation sink to ozone deposition affect human health and ecosystems?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6741–6755, doi:10.5194/acp-13-6741-2013, 2013.
- Federal Register: US Environmental Protection Agency, National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone – Final Rule, Federal Register 80, available at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/ FR-2015-10-26/pdf/2015-26594.pdf, 65292–65468, 2015.
- Fiore, A. M., Dentener, F. J., Wild, O., et al.: Multimodel estimates of intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D04301, doi:10.1029/2008jd010816, 2009.
- Fiore, A. M., Oberman, J. T., Lin, M. Y., Zhang, L., Clifton, O. E., Jacob, D. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Pinto, J. P.: Estimating North American background ozone in U.S. surface air with two independent global models: Variability, uncertainties, and recommendations Atmos. Environ., 96, 284–300, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.045, 2014.
- Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., and Leibensperger, E. M.: Air Quality and Climate Connections, J. Air Waste Manage., 65, 645–685, doi:10.1080/10962247.2015.1040526, 2015.
- Gao, Y., Fu, J. S., Drake, J. B., Lamarque, J.-F., and Liu, Y.: The impact of emission and climate change on ozone in the United States under representative concentration pathways (RCPs), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9607–9621, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9607-2013, 2013.
- Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Kuster, W. C., and de Gouw, J. A.: Source Signature of Volatile Organic Compounds from Oil and Natural Gas Operations in Northeastern Colorado, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 1297–1305, doi:10.1021/es304119a, 2013.
- Granier, C., Bessagnet, B., Bond, T., D'Angiola, A., van der Gon, H. D., Frost, G. J., Heil, A., Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Klimont, Z., Kloster, S., Lamarque, J.-F., Liousse, C., Masui, T., Meleux, F., Mieville, A., Ohara, T., Raut, J.-C., Riahi, K., Schultz, M. G., Smith, S. J., Thompson, A., van Aardenne, J., van der Werf, G. R., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Evolution of anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales during the 1980–2010 period, Climatic Change, 109, 163–190, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0154-1, 2011.
- Gratz, L. E., Jaffe, D. A., and Hee, J. R.: Causes of increasing ozone and decreasing carbon monoxide in springtime at the Mt. Bachelor Observatory from 2004 to 2013, Atmos. Environ., 109, 323– 330, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.05.076, 2014.

Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.

- Halliday, H. S., Thompson, A. M., Wisthaler, A., Blake, D. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Mikoviny, T., Muller, M., Eichler, P., Apel, E. C., and Hills, A. J.: Atmospheric benzene observations from oil and gas production in the Denver-Julesburg Basin in July and August 2014, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 11055–11074, doi:10.1002/2016jd025327, 2016.
- Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Lister, D. H.: Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations – the CRU TS3.10 Dataset, Int. J. Climatol., 34, 623–642, doi:10.1002/joc.3711, 2014.
- Hilboll, A., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Long-term changes of tropospheric NO₂ over megacities derived from multiple satellite instruments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4145-4169, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4145-2013, 2013.
- Horton, D. E., Johnson, N. C., Singh, D., Swain, D. L., Rajaratnam, B., and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Contribution of changes in atmospheric circulation patterns to extreme temperature trends, Nature, 522, 465–469, doi:10.1038/nature14550, 2015.
- Huang, L., McDonald-Buller, E. C., McGaughey, G., Kimura, Y., and Allen, D. T.: The impact of drought on ozone dry deposition over eastern Texas, Atmos. Environ., 127, 176–186, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.022, 2016.
- Huang, M., Carmichael, G. R., Chai, T., Pierce, R. B., Oltmans, S. J., Jaffe, D. A., Bowman, K. W., Kaduwela, A., Cai, C., Spak, S. N., Weinheimer, A. J., Huey, L. G., and Diskin, G. S.: Impacts of transported background pollutants on summertime western US air quality: model evaluation, sensitivity analysis and data assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 359–391, doi:10.5194/acp-13-359-2013, 2013.
- Jacob, D. J. and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43, 51–63, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009.
- Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., and Murti, P. P.: Effect of rising Asian emissions on surface ozone in the United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 2175–2178, doi:10.1029/1999g1900450, 1999.
- Jaffe, D.: Relationship between Surface and Free Tropospheric Ozone in the Western U.S, Environ. Sci. Technol, 45, 432–438, doi:10.1021/es1028102, 2011.
- Jaffe, D. and Ray, J.: Increase in surface ozone at rural sites in the western US, Atmos. Environ., 41, 5452–5463, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.02.034, 2007.
- Jaffe, D., Chand, D., Hafner, W., Westerling, A., and Spracklen, D.: Influence of fires on ozone concentrations in the western US, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 5885–5891, doi:10.1021/es800084k, 2008.
- Jaffe, D., Wigder, N., Downey, N., Pfister, G., Boynard, A., and Reid, S. B.: Impact of Wildfires on Ozone Exceptional Events in the Western US, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 11065–11072, doi:10.1021/es402164f, 2013.
- Jia, L. W., Vecchi, G. A., Yang, X. S., Gudgel, R. G., Delworth, T. L., Stern, W. F., Paffendorf, K., Underwood, S. D., and Zeng, F. R.: The Roles of Radiative Forcing, Sea Surface Temperatures, and Atmospheric and Land Initial Conditions in US Summer

Warming Episodes, J. Climate, 29, 4121–4135, doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-15-0471.1, 2016.

- John, J. G., Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Dunne, J. P.: Climate versus emission drivers of methane lifetime against loss by tropospheric OH from 1860–2100, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12021–12036, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12021-2012, 2012.
- Koumoutsaris, S. and Bey, I.: Can a global model reproduce observed trends in summertime surface ozone levels?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6983–6998, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6983-2012, 2012.
- Krotkov, N. A., McLinden, C. A., Li, C., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Marchenko, S. V., Swartz, W. H., Bucsela, E. J., Joiner, J., Duncan, B. N., Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Levelt, P. F., Fioletov, V. E., Dickerson, R. R., He, H., Lu, Z., and Streets, D. G.: Aura OMI observations of regional SO₂ and NO₂ pollution changes from 2005 to 2015, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4605– 4629, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4605-2016, 2016.
- Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., Mc-Connell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7017–7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- Lamarque, J. F., Kyle, G. P., Meinshausen, M., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., van Vuuren, D. P., Conley, A. J., and Vitt, F.: Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the Representative Concentration Pathways, Climatic Change 109, 191–212, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, 2012.
- Langford, A. O., Aikin, K. C., Eubank, C. S., and Williams, E. J.: Stratospheric contribution to high surface ozone in Colorado during springtime, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L12801, doi:10.1029/2009gl038367, 2009.
- Langford, A. O., Senff, C. J., Alvarez II, R. J., Banta, R. M., and Hardesty, R. M.: Long-range transport of ozone from the Los Angeles Basin: A case study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L06807, doi:10.1029/2010g1042507, 2010.
- Langford, A. O., Senff, C., Alvarez II, R., Brioude, J., Cooper, O., Holloway, J., Lin, M., Marchbanks, R., Pierce, R., Sandberg, S., Weickmann, A., and Williams, E.: An overview of the 2013 Las Vegas Ozone Study (LVOS): Impact of stratospheric intrusions and long-range transport on surface air quality, Atmos. Environ., 109, 305–322, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.040, 2014.
- Lee, H.-J., Kim, S.-W., Brioude, J., Cooper, O. R., Frost, G. J., Kim, C.-H., Park, R. J., Trainer, M., and Woo, J.-H.: Transport of NO_x in East Asia identified by satellite and in situ measurements and Lagrangian particle dispersion model simulations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 2574–2596, doi:doi:10.1002/2013JD021185, 2014.
- Leibensperger, E. M., Mickley, L. J., and Jacob, D. J.: Sensitivity of US air quality to mid-latitude cyclone frequency and implications of 1980–2006 climate change, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 7075–7086, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7075-2008, 2008.
- Li, G., Bei, N., Cao, J., Wu, J., Long, X., Feng, T., Dai, W., Liu, S., Zhang, Q., and Tie, X.: Widespread and Persistent Ozone Pollution in Eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-864, in review, 2016.

M. Lin et al.: US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014

- Lin, M., Holloway, T., Oki, T., Streets, D. G., and Richter, A.: Multiscale model analysis of boundary layer ozone over East Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3277–3301, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3277-2009, 2009.
- Lin, M., Holloway, T., Carmichael, G. R., and Fiore, A. M.: Quantifying pollution inflow and outflow over East Asia in spring with regional and global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4221– 4239, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4221-2010, 2010.
- Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Cooper, O. R., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Levy, H., Johnson, B. J., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., and Senff, C. J.: Springtime high surface ozone events over the western United States: Quantifying the role of stratospheric intrusions, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V22, doi:10.1029/2012jd018151, 2012a.
- Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Cooper, O. R., Naik, V., Holloway, J., Johnson, B. J., Middlebrook, A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warner, J. X., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilson, J., and Wyman, B.: Transport of Asian ozone pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V07, doi:10.1029/2011jd016961, 2012b.
- Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Oltmans, S. J., Fiore, A. M., and Fan, S.: Tropospheric ozone trends at Mauna Loa Observatory tied to decadal climate variability, Nat. Geosci., 7, 136–143, doi:10.1038/ngeo2066, 2014.
- Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Oltmans, S. J., Tarasick, D., and Rieder, H. E.: Climate variability modulates western U.S. ozone air quality in spring via deep stratospheric intrusions, Nat. Commun., 6, 7105, doi:10.1038/ncomms8105, 2015a.
- Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Cooper, O. R., Tarasick, D., Conley, S., Iraci, L. T., Johnson, B., Leblanc, T., Petropavlovskikh, I., and Yates, E. L.: Revisiting the evidence of increasing springtime ozone mixing ratios in the free troposphere over western North America, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 8719–8728, doi:10.1002/2015GL065311, 2015b.
- Lin, Y.-K., Lin, T.-H., and Chang, S.-C.: The changes in different ozone metrics and their implications following precursor reductions over northern Taiwan from 1994 to 2007, Environ. Monit. Assess., 169, 143–157, doi:10.1007/s10661-009-1158-4, 2010.
- Liu, F., Zhang, Q., Ronald, J. V., Zheng, B., Tong, D., Yan, L., Zheng, Y. X., and He, K. B.: Recent reduction in NO_x emissions over China: synthesis of satellite observations and emission inventories, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 114002, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/11/114002, 2016.
- Liu, H. Y., Jacob, D. J., Chan, L. Y., Oltmans, S. J., Bey, I., Yantosca, R. M., Harris, J. M., Duncan, B. N., and Martin, R. V.: Sources of tropospheric ozone along the Asian Pacific Rim: An analysis of ozonesonde observations, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4573, doi:10.1029/2001jd002005, 2002.
- Loughner, C. P., Duncan, B. N., and Hains, J.: The benefit of historical air pollution emissions reductions during extreme heat, Environ. Manage., 9, 34–38, 2014.
- Ma, Z., Xu, J., Quan, W., Zhang, Z., Lin, W., and Xu, X.: Significant increase of surface ozone at a rural site, north of eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3969–3977, doi:10.5194/acp-16-3969-2016, 2016.
- McDonald, B. C., Dallmann, T. R., Martin, E. W., and Harley, R. A.: Long-term trends in nitrogen oxide emissions from motor

vehicles at national, state, and air basin scales, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V18, doi:10.1029/2012jd018304, 2012.

- McDuffie, E. E., Edwards, P. M., Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Dube, W. P., Trainer, M., Wolfe, D. E., Angevine, W. M., de-Gouw, J., Williams, E. J., Tevlin, A. G., Murphy, J. G., Fischer, E. V., McKeen, S., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Holloway, J. S., Aikin, K., Langford, A. O., Senff, C. J., Alvarez, R. J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Lantz, K. O., and Brown, S. S.: Influence of oil and gas emissions on summertime ozone in the Colorado Northern Front Range, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 8712–8729, doi:10.1002/2016jd025265, 2016.
- Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889–8973, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- Morgenstern, O., Hegglin, M. I., Rozanov, E., O'Connor, F. M., Abraham, N. L., Akiyoshi, H., Archibald, A. T., Bekki, S., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Deushi, M., Dhomse, S. S., Garcia, R. R., Hardiman, S. C., Horowitz, L. W., Jöckel, P., Josse, B., Kinnison, D., Lin, M., Mancini, E., Manyin, M. E., Marchand, M., Marécal, V., Michou, M., Oman, L. D., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Revell, L. E., Saint-Martin, D., Schofield, R., Stenke, A., Stone, K., Sudo, K., Tanaka, T. Y., Tilmes, S., Yamashita, Y., Yoshida, K., and Zeng, G.: Review of the global models used within phase 1 of the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 639–671, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-639-2017, 2017.
- Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Fiore, A. M., Ginoux, P., Mao, J. Q., Aghedo, A. M., and Levy, H.: Impact of preindustrial to presentday changes in short-lived pollutant emissions on atmospheric composition and climate forcing, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 8086– 8110, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50608, 2013.
- Parrish, D. D., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Horowitz, L., Shindell, D. T., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H.-E., Steinbacher, M., and Frühlich, M.: Long-term changes in lower tropospheric baseline ozone concentrations: Comparing chemistry-climate models and observations at northern midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 5719–5736, doi:10.1002/2013JD021435, 2014.
- Pfister, G. G., Walters, S., Emmons, L. K., Edwards, D. P., and Avise, J.: Quantifying the contribution of inflow on surface ozone over California during summer 2008, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 12282–12299, doi:10.1002/2013jd020336, 2013.
- Pfister, G. G., Walters, S., Lamarque, J. F., Fast, J., Barth, M. C., Wong, J., Done, J., Holland, G., and Bruyere, C. L.: Projections of future summertime ozone over the US, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 5559–5582, doi:10.1002/2013jd020932, 2014.
- Porter, W. C., Heald, C. L., Cooley, D., and Russell, B.: Investigating the observed sensitivities of air-quality extremes to meteorological drivers via quantile regression, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 10349–10366, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10349-2015, 2015.
- Pusede, S. E., Steiner, A. L., and Cohen, R. C.: Temperature and Recent Trends in the Chemistry of Continental Surface Ozone, Chem. Rev., 115, 3898–3918, doi:10.1021/cr5006815, 2015.
- Rasmussen, D. J., Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., McGinnis, S. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Surface ozone-temperature rela-

M. Lin et al.: US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014

tionships in the eastern US: A monthly climatology for evaluating chemistry-climate models, Atmos. Environ., 47, 142–153, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.021, 2012.

- Reidmiller, D. R., Fiore, A. M., Jaffe, D. A., Bergmann, D., Cuvelier, C., Dentener, F. J., Duncan, B. N., Folberth, G., Gauss, M., Gong, S., Hess, P., Jonson, J. E., Keating, T., Lupu, A., Marmer, E., Park, R., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D. T., Szopa, S., Vivanco, M. G., Wild, O., and Zuber, A.: The influence of foreign vs. North American emissions on surface ozone in the US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5027–5042, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5027-2009, 2009.
- Rieder, H. E., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., and Naik, V.: Projecting policy-relevant metrics for high summertime ozone pollution events over the eastern United States due to climate and emission changes during the 21st century, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 784–800, doi:10.1002/2014jd022303, 2015.
- Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI NO₂ observations over the United States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12197–12209, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012, 2012.
- Schnell, J. L., Prather, M. J., Josse, B., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Zeng, G., Shindell, D. T., and Faluvegi, G.: Effect of climate change on surface ozone over North America, Europe, and East Asia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 3509–3518, doi:10.1002/2016GL068060, 2016.
- Schultz, M. G., Heil, A., Hoelzemann, J. J., Spessa, A., Thonicke, K., Goldammer, J. G., Held, A. C., Pereira, J. M. C., and van het Bolscher, M.: Global wildland fire emissions from 1960 to 2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, Gb2002, doi:10.1029/2007gb003031, 2008.
- Schwietzke, S., Sherwood, O. A., Ruhwiler, L. M. P. B., Miller, J. B., Etiope, G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Michel, S. E., Arling, V. A., Vaughn, B. H., White, J. W. C., and Tans, P. P.: Upward revision of global fossil fuel methane emissions based on isotope database, Nature, 538, 88–91, doi:10.1038/nature19797, 2016.
- Seager, R. and Hoerling, M.: Atmosphere and Ocean Origins of North American Droughts, J. Climate, 27, 4581–4606, doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-13-00329.1, 2014.
- Shen, L., Mickley, L. J., and Gilleland, E.: Impact of increasing heat waves on US ozone episodes in the 2050s: Results from a multimodel analysis using extreme value theory, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 4017–4025, doi:10.1002/2016gl068432, 2016.
- Shepherd, T. G.: CLIMATE SCIENCE: The dynamics of temperature extremes, Nature, 522, 422–424, 2015.
- Simon, H., Reff, A., Wells, B., Xing, J., and Frank, N.: Ozone Trends Across the United States over a Period of Decreasing NO_x and VOC Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 186–195, doi:10.1021/es504514z, 2015.
- Solberg, S., Hov, O., Sovde, A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Coddeville, P., De Backer, H., Forster, C., Orsolini, Y., and Uhse, K.: European surface ozone in the extreme summer 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D07307, doi:10.1029/2007jd009098, 2008.
- Strode, S. A., Rodriguez, J. M., Logan, J. A., Cooper, O. R., Witte, J. C., Lamsal, L. N., Damon, M., Van Aartsen, B., Steenrod, S. D., and Strahan, S. E.: Trends and variability in surface ozone over the United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 9020–9042, doi:10.1002/2014JD022784, 2015.
- Sun, L., Xue, L., Wang, T., Gao, J., Ding, A., Cooper, O. R., Lin, M., Xu, P., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Wen, L., Zhu, Y., Chen, T.,

Yang, L., Wang, Y., Chen, J., and Wang, W.: Significant increase of summertime ozone at Mount Tai in Central Eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10637–10650, doi:10.5194/acp-16-10637-2016, 2016.

- Tanimoto, H.: Increase in springtime tropospheric ozone at a mountainous site in Japan for the period 1998–2006, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1358–1363, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.006, 2009.
- Tanimoto, H., Zbinden, R. M., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Consistency of tropospheric ozone observations made by different platforms and techniques in the global databases, Tellus B, 67, 27073, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v67.27073, 2016.
- Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Smit, H. G. J., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Kirchhoff, V. W. J. H., and Schmidlin, F. J.: Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998–2004 tropical ozone climatology: 3. Instrumentation, station-to-station variability, and evaluation with simulated flight profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D03304, doi:10.1029/2005jd007042, 2007.
- Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., Yu, K., Miller, C. C., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Thompson, A. M., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Wolfe, G. M., Pollack, I. B., Peischl, J., Neuman, J. A., and Zhou, X.: Why do models overestimate surface ozone in the Southeast United States?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13561– 13577, doi:10.5194/acp-16-13561-2016, 2016.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997– 2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707–11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.
- VanCuren, R. and Gustin, M. S.: Identification of sources contributing to PM_{2.5} and ozone at elevated sites in the western US by receptor analysis: Lassen Volcanic National Park, California, and Great Basin National Park, Nevada, Sci. Total Environ., 530, 505–518, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.091, 2015.
- Verstraeten, W. W., Neu, J. L., Williams, J. E., Bowman, K. W., Worden, J. R., and Boersma, K. F.: Rapid increases in tropospheric ozone production and export from China, Nat. Geosci., 8, 690–695, doi:10.1038/Ngeo2493, 2015.
- Wang, T., Ding, A. J., Gao, J., and Wu, W. S.: Strong ozone production in urban plumes from Beijing, China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L21806, doi:10.1029/2006g1027689, 2006.
- Wang, T., Wei, X. L., Ding, A. J., Poon, C. N., Lam, K. S., Li, Y. S., Chan, L. Y., and Anson, M.: Increasing surface ozone concentrations in the background atmosphere of Southern China, 1994– 2007, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6217–6227, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6217-2009, 2009.
- Wang, Y., McElroy, M. B., Munger, J. W., Hao, J., Ma, H., Nielsen, C. P., and Chen, Y.: Variations of O₃ and CO in summertime at a rural site near Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6355–6363, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6355-2008, 2008.
- Wang, Y., Xie, Y., Cai, L., Dong, W., Zhang, Q., and Zhang, L.: Impact of the 2011 Southern US Drought on Ground-Level Fine Aerosol Concentration in Summertime, J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 1075– 1093, doi:10.1175/jas-d-14-0197.1, 2015.
- Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Atlas, E., Blake, D., Trainer, M., and Parrish, D. D.: Multiyear trends in volatile organic compounds in Los Angeles, Cal-

ifornia: Five decades of decreasing emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00v17, doi:10.1029/2012jd017899, 2012.

- Xu, W., Lin, W., Xu, Xu, Tang, J., Huang, J., Wu, H., and Zhang, X.: Long-term trends of surface ozone and its influencing factors at the Mt Waliguan GAW station, China – Part 1: Overall trends and characteristics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6191–6205, doi:10.5194/acp-16-6191-2016, 2016.
- Yang, J., Tian, H. Q., Tao, B., Ren, W., Pan, S. F., Liu, Y. Q., and Wang, Y. H.: A growing importance of large fires in conterminous United States during 1984–2012, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 120, 2625–2640, doi:10.1002/2015jg002965, 2015.
- Yurganov, L. N., Duchatelet, P., Dzhola, A. V., Edwards, D. P., Hase, F., Kramer, I., Mahieu, E., Mellqvist, J., Notholt, J., Novelli, P. C., Rockmann, A., Scheel, H. E., Schneider, M., Schulz, A., Strandberg, A., Sussmann, R., Tanimoto, H., Velazco, V., Drummond, J. R., and Gille, J. C.: Increased Northern Hemispheric carbon monoxide burden in the troposphere in 2002 and 2003 detected from the ground and from space, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 563–573, doi:10.5194/acp-5-563-2005, 2005.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Boersma, K. F., Jaffe, D. A., Olson, J. R., Bowman, K. W., Worden, J. R., Thompson, A. M., Avery, M. A., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Flock, F. M., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, L. G., McMillan, W. W., Singh, H. B., and Weinheimer, A. J.: Transpacific transport of ozone pollution and the effect of recent Asian emission increases on air quality in North America: an integrated analysis using satellite, aircraft, ozonesonde, and surface observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6117–6136, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6117-2008, 2008.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5295– 5309, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.
- Zhang, Y. Q., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Thompson, A. M., Nedelec, P., Ogino, S. Y., and West, J. J.: Tropospheric ozone change from 1980 to 2010 dominated by equatorward redistribution of emissions, Nat. Geosci., 9, 875–879, doi:10.1038/Ngeo2827, 2016.