



# Supplement of

# BrO and inferred $Br_y$ profiles over the western Pacific: relevance of inorganic bromine sources and a $Br_y$ minimum in the aged tropical tropopause layer

T. K. Koenig et al.

Correspondence to: Rainer Volkamer (rainer.volkamer@colorado.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

# Glossary of abbreviations and symbols

Abbreviation	Definition
2D-C	2D-C Hydrometeor Probe
AMAX-DOAS	Airborne MAX-DOAS
AMF	Air Mass Factor
AP1	AMAX-DOAS Acton Pixis covering 330-470 nm
AP2	AMAX-DOAS Acton Pixis covering 440-700 nm
ATTREX	Airborne Tropical TRopopause EXperiment
AWAS	Advanced Whole Air Sampler
BAe-146	British Aerospace 146 aircraft
box-AMF	Air Mass Factor computed in Radiative Transfer Model gridbox
Br	Bromine atom, atomic bromine
BrCl	Bromine chloride
BrNO <sub>2</sub>	Bromine nitrite
BrO	Bromine monoxide
BrO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Primary bromide ozonide
BrONO <sub>2</sub>	Bromine nitrate
BrO <sub>x</sub>	Active bromine (= Br + BrO)
Bry	Total inorganic bromine (= $Br + Br_2 + BrO + BrNO_2 + BrONO_2 + HBr + HOBr +$
	BrCl + IBr)
CAST	Coordinated Airborne Studies in the Tropics
CalNex	California Nexus field campaign
CAM-Chem	Community Atmospheric Model with Chemistry
CCD	Charge Coupled Device
CDP	Cloud Droplet Probe
CFC-11	Trichlorofluoromethane
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CH <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	Dibromomethane, methylene bromide
CH <sub>2</sub> BrCl	Bromochloromethane
CH <sub>2</sub> IBr	Briomoiodomethane
CHBrCl <sub>2</sub>	Bromodichloromethane
CHBr <sub>2</sub> Cl	Dibromochloromethane
CHBr <sub>3</sub>	Bromoform

СНОСНО	Glyoxal		
CI	Confidence Interval		
CIMS	Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry		
СО	Carbon monoxide		
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide		
CONTRAST	CONvective TRansport of Active Species in the Tropics		
CRDS	Cavity Ring Down Spectrometer		
CU	University of Colorado		
dSCD	Differential Slant Column Denisty		
DOAS	Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy		
EA	Elevation Angle		
FT	Free Troposphere		
FWHM	Full width half maximum		
GC-MS	Gas Chromatograph - Mass Spectrometer		
GEOS CCM	Goddard Earth Observing System with Chemistry-Climate Model		
GEOS-Chem	Goddard Earth Observing System with Chemistry model		
GEOS-FP	Goddard Earth Observing System Forward-Processing data products		
GMAO	Global Modelling and Assimilation Office		
GT	Georgia Institute of Technology		
GV	NCAR/NSF Gulfstream V aircraft (synonym for HIAPER)		
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water		
HARP	HIAPER Atmospheric Radiation Package		
HAIS	Hyper Aircraft Instrumentation Solicitation		
HBr	Hydrogen bromide, hydrobromic acid		
HCR	Heterogeneous Chemical Regimes		
НСНО	Formaldehyde		
HEFT-10	HAIS Experimental Flight Test 2010		
HIAPER	High-Performance Instrumental Airborne Platform for Environmental Research		
	(synonym for NSF/NCAR GV)		
HSRL	High Spectral Resolution Lidar		
HOBr	Hypobromous acid		
HO <sub>2</sub>	Hydroperoxy radical		
HO <sub>x</sub>	Hydrogen oxide radicals (= OH + HO <sub>2</sub> )		

IBr	Iodine bromide		
ISAF	In Situ Airborne Formaldehyde		
Ю	Iodine monoxide		
J	Photolysis rate		
k	Reaction rate		
LLS	Longer Lived Species (of organohalogens)		
LS	Lower Stratosphere		
MAX-DOAS	Multi AXis - DOAS		
MBL	Marine Boundary Layer		
MU	Manchester University		
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration		
NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research		
NIR	Near infrared		
NSF	National Science Foundation (USA)		
NO	Nitrogen monoxide		
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide		
NO <sub>x</sub>	Active nitrogen oxides (= $NO + NO_2$ )		
O <sub>3</sub>	Ozone		
O <sub>4</sub>	Oxygen collision pair (O <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>2</sub> )		
ОН	Hydroxy radical		
OVOC	Oxygenated Volatile Organic Compound		
PGI	Product Gas Injection (of organohalogens)		
PMT	Photon Multiplier Tube		
ppb	Parts per billion (nmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
ppm	Parts per million (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
ppt	Parts per trillion (pmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
QDOAS	DOAS analysis software		
RF	Research flight		
RTM	Radiative Transfer Model		
S(IV)	The +4 oxidation state of sulfur		
SA	Surface Area		
SCD	Slant Column Density		
SGI	Source Gas Injection (of organohalogens)		

SSA	Sea-Salt Aerosol			
SZA	Solar Zenith Angle			
TL	Transition Layer			
TOGA	Trace Organic Gas Analyzer			
TTL	Tropical Tropopause Layer			
TTL-LMS	Tropical Tropopause Layer – LowerMost Stratosphere			
tWPO	tropical Western Pacific Ocean			
UHSAS	Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer			
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time			
UTLS	Uppper Troposphere - Lower Stratosphere			
VCD	Vertical Column Density			
VCSEL	Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Laser			
VSLS	Very Short Lived Species (of organohalogens)			
VMR	Volumn Mixing Ratio			
VUV	Vacuum Ultra-Violet			
WS-CRDS	Wavelength-Scanned CRDS			
γ	Surface reaction/uptake probability			
θ	Potential temperature			
λ	Wavelength			

#### Jet Cross Case Studies Description

5

Results from two jet cross flights are included in this work, the flight tracks are shown in Fig. 1. The jet location as crossed in RF15 is also shown. The location of the jet during RF06 is not fully corroborated by measurements as in RF15, but was forecast to be very near the northernmost point of RF06 at 32°N.

- The atmospheric context of RF06 is shown in Fig. S5. The data included for modeling starts at 01:16 UTC on 25 January, when the aircraft was at 23.65°N, 148.41°E, and 13.1km altitude. The flight path was NNW almost level at 13.2 km altitude, with small stepwise ascents in the latter portion of the flight. Figure S5 shows that horizontal wind increased as the aircraft approached the subtropical jet stream. At 01:35 UTC the aircraft crossed from the convective
- 10 TTL to the aged TTL, changes in CO and  $\theta$  corroborate those in O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. The aircraft entered the jet at 13.2 km and 28.42°N, horizontal wind peaked just below 70 m s<sup>-1</sup>. At 02:18 UTC, 32.12°N, 149.90°E, and 13.3 km the GV reversed course, heading SSW continuing to climb. Between 03:20 and 04:00 UTC the aircraft flew at a consistent altitude between 14.3 km and 14.4 km, traveling through the convective TTL transition. The remainder of the flight from 04:00 to 04:56 UTC sampled the more typical convective TTL, including instances of convection.

Figure 3 shows a number of atmospheric tracers for RF15. The data included for modeling start at 00:26Z on 25 February, when the aircraft was at 24.01°N, 145.14°E, and 13.1 km altitude. The aircraft traveled almost due north, with occasional climbs as geopotential altitude gradually decreased. The tropospheric approach of the jet was similar to RF06. The GV transitioned from the convective TTL to the aged TTL at 01:01 UTC, and the jet was first encountered at 01:12 UTC, reaching peak windspeed near 80 m s<sup>-1</sup>. A second component of the jet with the slower windspeed (~65 m s<sup>-1</sup>) was similar to the most stratospheric of the air masses encountered during RF 06: i.e., 100 ppb <  $O_3 < 200$  ppb, and H<sub>2</sub>O/O<sub>3</sub> ~ 0.02 ppm/ppb, characteristic of the TTL. At 01:35 UTC, 32.92°N and 12.9 km, O<sub>3</sub> increased sharply indicating the transition into the stratosphere, and windspeed decreased as the GV crossed into the middleworld LS. Although not shown on Fig. 4, this transition was marked the first time CFC-11 deviated consistently

- from tropospheric values, indicating long residence at high altitude with photochemical processing of the sampled air masses. At 02:25 UTC the aircraft encountered a second rapid O<sub>3</sub> gradient, traveled to the maximum flight latitude of 40.13°N, climbed from 12.6 to 12.9 km, reversed course to due south, and transitioned from the middleworld to the overworld at 02:32 UTC. At 03:26 UTC at 13.5 km and 33.14°N the GV crossed from the overworld back to the aged TTL, skipping the LS middleworld. Nothing analogous to the convective TTL "transition" in RF06 was encountered
- 15 during RF15. Instead there was a smooth change from the aged TTL to the convective TTL at 4:21 UTC. Instances of convection were first encountered at 04:38 UTC.

#### Gas Phase Measurements Used to Constrain the Box Model

5

20

The measurements used to constrain the box model to infer  $Br_y$  from BrO are summarized in Table 1. The handling of AMAX-DOAS data is described in detail in the main text (Sect. 2.1). Other data were used in the following manner with specific exceptions outlined here after. Where data were below detection limit the nominal value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  the

detection limit was used. With the exception of  $NO_2$  discussed below no parameter impacted  $Br_y$  partitioning significantly at the relevant level when near its respective detection limit. Where data was quality flagged it was interpolated across.

High frequency data ~1 Hz, namely Chemiluminescence (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>), HARP photolyses, ISAF HCHO,

- 25 PICARRO CH<sub>4</sub>, UHSAS aerosols, Aerolaser VUV fluorescence CO, and state parameters were averaged over the relevant interval. For profiles this was at the 500 m of flight altitude, for level flight relevant periods of ~ 5 minutes. Lower frequency data, namely AWAS and TOGA were interpolated to the mean; for level flight they were interpolated in altitude, for level flight they were interpolated in time.
- Early research flights including the profile case studies had incomplete data coverage. In particular, AWAS data were
  not available for RF03 and RF04; PICARRO and Aerolaser VUV fluorescence for RF03; and ISAF for a portion of
  RF04. For AWAS measurements with the exception of ethane TOGA measurements were available which were
  substituted. For ethane, AWAS ethane was compared to Picarro methane for tropical profiles from RF05, RF06, and
  RF07 to obtain an average ratio of ethane:methane of 1.59×10<sup>-4</sup>:1. This ratio was used to obtain an ethane
  concentration from the methane concentration for RF03 and RF04. For RF03 where CO and CH<sub>4</sub> were not available
- 35 the mean profiles for each species from GEOS-Chem were used. For the portion of RF04 where ISAF HCHO is not available, TOGA HCHO is substituted.

AWAS and TOGA measure some of the same species used in the box model (propane, isobutane, n-butane, and benzene). AWAS data were used for these species for level flight data, where detection limits give more complete coverage in stratospheric air; while TOGA data were used for the profile case studies, where it has a higher frequency and more consistent coverage, further AWAS data is unavailable for RF03 and RF04. The agreement between TOGA

- 5 and AWAS differs among the species measured, but very rarely exceeds their respective reported errors. CFC-11 and bromocarbon measurements were examined to further understand differences, corroborating the level of agreement for hydrocarbons. The species used in the box model (propane, isobutane, n-butane, and benzene) impact Bry partitioning via alkylperoxy radicals which do not impact sufficiently by differences to have a significant impact. NO<sub>2</sub> can have a significant impact on Bry partitioning, not only via direct reaction but indirectly through impacts on
- HO<sub>x</sub>. At high altitude, some Chemiluminescence measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> were less than zero. These data were averaged with GEOS-Chem NO<sub>2</sub> in order to have a physically meaningful value but remain generally consistent with the observations of NO<sub>2</sub> below the levels elsewhere. Further for the RF15 case study, the Leighton ratio deviates significantly from model predictions, and AMAX-DOAS NO<sub>2</sub> was used to constrain the box model for this flight (Fig. S1). In the TTL, despite different measurement principles, AMAX-DOAS and Chemiluminescence NO<sub>2</sub> were roughly
- 15 consistent and generally elevated relative to box models and global model predictions. In the stratosphere high ambient O<sub>3</sub> may impact Chemiluminescence measurements of NO<sub>2</sub>, which deviated further from model predictions. AMAX-DOAS NO<sub>2</sub>, however, while elevated relative to box models, is roughly consistent with CAM-Chem.

#### **Gas Phase Measurement Techniques**

#### Advanced Whole Air Sampler (AWAS)

20 The Advanced Whole Air Sampler (AWAS) consists of modules of 12 custom stainless-steel 1.3 L sampling canisters. Typically five modules (60 canisters) were collected in a flight, sampling was determined by an inflight operator. Sample flow was altitude dependent and varied between 5 slpm and 30 slpm. These samples can then be analyzed for a wide variety of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, organonitrates, and other species.

Samples are analyzed on the ground after flight using a Markes Canister Interface 5 (CIA) and a Unity II system connected to an Agilent 5975 GC/MSD. Samples were dried and pre-concentrated on Markes Ozone Precursor Trap (Markes UT17O3P-2S). Samples of 800 scc are thermally desorbed at 300 °C and split between two GC, 1) a 30 m x 0.25 mm x 5 micron Alumina 10 PLOT column (HP-AL/S, Agilent Technologies) followed by a 1 m GasPro with a flame ionization detector, and 2) a 20 m x 0.2 mm x 1.12 µm DB-624 column (128-1324, Agilent Technologies) to both an electron capture detector and the MSD. Further details on sampling and analysis can be found in Andrews et

30 al. (2016) and Navarro et al. (2015). Calibration was done every five samples against a cryogenically collected standard which in turn is calibrated by a procedure described in Schauffler et al. (1999).

#### **Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (CIMS)**

The Georgia Tech CIMS (GT CIMS) measured gas phase bromine species (BrO, and HOBr  $+Br_2$ ), it is more fully described in Chen et al. (2016). In brief the instrument sampled air from outside the GV through a Teflon tube, ionized

by water-iodide clusters in a flow tube, ions are further processed in a collisional dissociation chamber (CDC), guided by an octopole field, and then analyzed on a mass spectrometer. Air was sampled at 5.2 standard litres per minute (sLpm). HOBr is known to process to  $Br_2$  on the inlet and the sum of the detected signals is used as a result. Up to 1.7 sLpm of this was sampled off the line by an automatic variable orifice with the remainder exhausted to maintain a

5 constant 50 Torr pressure in the flow tube.  $CH_3I$  at a few ppm in 2.9 sLpm  $N_2$  buffer gas was flowed over a <sup>210</sup>Po source to generate iodide and then mixed with 0.1 sLpm humidified  $N_2$  to provide hydrated iodide ions in the flow tube. Gas phase bromine species reacted with these to form clusters. Water was removed from the clusters by the electric field in the CDC which in addition has a vacuum maintained independent of the flow tube. The core ions, dehydrated iodide-analyte clusters, were then guided by the octopole then selected and detected on the quadrapole.

10 The consistency of detected signals from the bromine isotopes  $^{79}$ Br and  $^{81}$ Br was used as a data quality check.

#### Chemiluminescence

15

Two instruments measure the trace gasses NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> using chemiluminescence of the NO+O<sub>3</sub> reaction. The first is a two channel detector for NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. Air sampled from outside the aircraft is split onto the channels. On the NO<sub>2</sub> channel, UV light at 395 nm is used to photolyse NO<sub>2</sub> to NO. Both channels are then reacted with O<sub>3</sub> from two ozonizers, PMT detectors measure the emission of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> product at 600 nm - 2800 nm. NO in N<sub>2</sub> is used as a calibration gas. The Fast-O<sub>3</sub> detects O<sub>3</sub> using a similar system. Ambiently sampled O<sub>3</sub> is reacted with reagent grade (> 99%) NO, and the same product is detected using a PMT.

#### In Situ Airborne Formaldehyde (ISAF)

The In Situ Airborne Formaldehyde (ISAF) instrument utilizes laser induced fluorescence (LIF) at 353.16 nm to selectively measure formaldehyde in air collected off an inlet. A pulsed tunable fiber laser is rapidly tuned on and off of the rotational resonance feature which minimizes interference and serves as a real time monitoring of background. The resulting fluorescence is monitored by a PMT. The instrument was calibrated by standard addition of formaldehyde before and after the campaign. A more complete description of ISAF is available in Cazorla et al. (2015).

#### 25 Piccaro CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> Flight Analyzer

The Piccaro G1301-c Methane/Carbon Dioxide Analyzer utilizes Wavelength-Scanned Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy (WS-CRDS) driven by an NIR laser. Gas sampled from outside the aircraft is circulated through a cavity with an effective path length of 20km. The instrument has been ruggedized for aircraft operation and utilizes a patented, high-precision wavelength monitor to minimize interference from other trace gasses. Only methane is used in the box model.

30 in the box

#### Trace Organic Gas Analyzer (TOGA)

TOGA consists of a custom GC-MS system which measures a variety of species including hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and non-acid oxygenated volatile organic compound (OVOC). Samples are collected off the main inlet line at 15 ml

per minute for 35 seconds through a series of three cold traps, then run on a custom GC with a Restek MXT-624 column. Effluent from the GC is analyzed on an Agilent Technologies 5973N quadrupole mass spectrometer system ruggedized for aircraft use by substitution of the vacuum pump with a Varian Model V301 NAV. Total time to process a single sample is 2.0 minutes. Further details on TOGA can be found in Andrews et al. (2016) and Apel et al. (2003, 2010, and 2015).

VUV Carbon Monoxide

5

The VUV carbon monoxide instrument generates vacuum UV light through RF plasma discharge, this is bandpass filtered (8 nm FWHM) around 150 nm to excite CO fluorescence while minimizing interference from water. Fluorescence is monitored by PMT. A more complete description of the instrument is available in Gerbig et al. (1999).

#### 10 Particle Measurements

#### **2D-C Hydrometeor Probe (2D-C)**

The 2D-C Hydrometeor Probe is customized through installation on high speed electronics and installation of a 64 element photodiode array from a product originally produced by Particle Measuring Systems Inc. An open path between two arms perpendicular to flight is illuminated and images of individual particles are imaged on the 64

15 element array. Particles with diameters between 62.5 µm and 1587.5 µm are detected, though the depth of field limits sensitivity to smaller particles. Furthermore, the arms are known to shatter larger particles, though they have been designed to minimize this effect. 2D-C images were not directly referenced, rather, a processed data product classifying particles into 60 size bins was used an indication of cirrus clouds. Calculations of cirrus ice surface area were used to inform box model sensitivity studies as discussed in Section 2.3.1.

#### 20 Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP)

The Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP) manufactured by Droplet Measurement Technologies, Inc. utilizes forward scattering to detect particles in the range of 2  $\mu$ m to 50  $\mu$ m in size. A diode laser is run across an open path perpendicular to flight. Particles which pass through the depth of field in the open path are counted and sized into one of 30 bins in the size range. A more complete description of the CDP is available in Lance et al. (2010). CDP data was referenced and utilized in sensitivity studies but not ultimately utilized in the box model. This is discussed in Section 2.3.1.

#### Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS)

The wing-mounted Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer utilizes a laser at 1054 nm to optically detect particles along the GV flight path. The instrument is sensitive to particles in the 0.060 µm to 1.0 µm diameter range and records particles into 99 roughly logarithmic size bins covering this size range. During CONTRAST, however, frequent noise necessitated discarding the smallest twelve bins, making 0.084 nm the effective lower bound of the probe. A more complete description of a ground-based version of the UHSAS may be found in Cai et al. (2008). UHSAS data were used in the box model for all flights to compute aerosol surface area for heterogeneous reactions. Furthermore,

sensitivity studies were conducted increasing diameter by a factor of two based on the results of a previous optical closure study (Volkamer et al., 2015); this is further illustrated for the RF03 profile in Fig. S3. Increasing particle size by a factor of two leads to general agreement between AMAX-DOAS retrieved extinction using  $O_4$  and extinction computed from UHSAS using Mie theory above ~3 km. In the boundary layer even increasing particle size and including supermicron particles from the CDP still yields sub-Rayleigh scattering at 360 nm, significantly below the extinction measured by AMAX-DOAS.

5

20

#### **Meteorological Parameters**

#### HIAPER Airborne Radiation Package (HARP)

The HIAPER Airborne Radiation Package (HARP) includes CCD spectroradiometers, and irradiance detectors
 measuring upwelling and downwelling radiation. Detectors are mounted on an actively motion stabilized platform to maintain horizontal stability despite aircraft deviations of up to 5 degrees. Data from the spectroradiometers was used in this work. These measure actinic flux in the range of 280 nm to 680 nm. These are then processed using a modified version of the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiative transfer model to generate photolysis frequencies for a wide variety of species including O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>y</sub> species, small aldehydes and ketones, organonitrates, Br<sub>y</sub> species, and Cl<sub>y</sub> species. These were used for all photolysis reactions used in the box model. Further details on HARP can be found

in Pilewskie et al. (2003) and Shetter and Müller (1999).

#### Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Laser (VCSEL) hygrometer

The Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Laser (VCSEL) a tunable NIR laser based hygrometer measuring absolute water concentration. The instrument achieves a high dynamic range by monitoring two different water absorptions at two similar wavelengths, a 'strong' absorption at 1854.03 nm and a 'weak' one at 1853.37 nm. The cavity has a physical extent of 14.95 cm which is passed 25 times for a path length of 3.74 m. Measurement is typically done by second harmonic detection except in the mid-troposphere where the second harmonic of the strong band is nonlinear and direct absorption is used. Further information on VCSEL is available in Zondlo et al. (2010).

#### **GV State Parameters**

- 25 The National Science Foundation/National Center for Atmospheric Research Gulfstream V (NSF/NCAR GV) aircraft records a wide variety of state parameters. Some of these such as temperature and pressure were utilized in the box model. Others such as  $\theta$  and horizontal wind speed were used as context for model results. Finally, in order to match the spatial scale probed by in situ sensors and remote sensors, the median photon sampled by the AMAX-DOAS was calculated by radiative transfer, and the in situ data were averaged, and shifted in time to match DOAS sampled air
- 30 volumes. For the horizontal case studies RF06 and RF15 the lateral wind speed was strong, such that the aircraft heading and the measurement vector are offset by approximately 5°. No further corrections were made to attempt account for this perpendicular offset. However, the air masses probed remotely are blown towards the aircraft, and the time delay at which the in situ data were averaged partially account for this offset.

#### Statistical tests of the local maxima/minima of Bry in the convective upper FT and aged TTL

5

We test whether there is a local minimum in the aged TTL in three steps, first we assess the likelihood that  $Br_y$  in the aged TTL is less than  $Br_y$  in the convective TTL and upper FT ( $B_{ry aTTL} < B_{ry uFT,cTTL}$ ), second the probability that  $Br_y$  in the aged TTL is less than  $Br_y$  in the lower stratosphere ( $B_{ry aTTL} < B_{ry LS}$ ), and finally the joint probability that these are simultaneously true.

Comparison of inferred Br<sub>y</sub> in the upper FT and convective TTL with the aged TTL presents a challenge, the distribution of inferred Br<sub>y</sub> values in the upper FT and convective TTL is skew and not normally distributed; to tackle this a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank test is used (Mann and Whitney, 1947). In brief, this method sums for elements from one distribution the number of elements from the other distribution which they are greater than, termed the *U*-

- 10 statistic. The *U*-statistic is assessed against a null hypothesis; because the distributions (e.g. variance and skew) of  $Br_y$ in the upper FT and convective TTL, and in the aged TTL are dissimilar a one-tailed null hypothesis is stated in terms of stochastic ordering. Specifically, we test against the null hypothesis, H<sub>0</sub>:  $Br_y = s_t Br_y = b_t$ , i.e. that for any and all concentrations of  $Br_y$  the likelihood of a random inferred  $Br_y$  in region a is greater than that concentration is greater than the likelihood that a random inferred  $Br_y$  in region b is greater than the same concentration. A statistic related to
- 15  $U,\rho$ , assesses the overlap of the data sets; a value of 0.5 indicates a statistical tie, while values of 0 and 1 indicate a sweep for one set or the other.

Applying Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank tests we find that  $Br_y$  in the aged TTL is lower than in the convective TTL and in the upper FT (U = 2781,  $n_{uFT,cTTL}$ =111,  $n_{aTTL}$ =60,  $\rho$ =0.4176, p<0.04, reject H<sub>0</sub>:  $Br_{y aTTL} \ge_{st} Br_{y uFT,cTTL}$ ), and that it is lower than in the lower stratosphere (LS) (U = 4,  $n_{uFT,cTTL}$ =51,  $n_{aTTL}$ =60,  $\rho$ =0.0013, p<<0.01, reject H<sub>0</sub>:  $Br_{y aTTL}$ 

- 20 ≥<sub>st</sub>  $Br_{y LS}$ ). The p value for the second test is vanishingly small; applying the Vysochanskij-Petunin modification of the Chebyschev inequality obtains a more conservative value of p ≈ 0.02. Taking the more conservative significance and using the Bonforroni-Holm (Holm, 1979) method we can reject both null hypotheses with p<0.05. With 95% confidence there is a  $Br_y$  minimum in the aged TTL.
- 25 The Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank tests was also applied to assess whether  $Br_y$  in the mid FT is lower than in the convective TTL and in the upper FT (U = 2034,  $n_{uFT,cTTL}$ =111,  $n_{mFT}$ =51,  $\rho$ =0.3593, p<0.02, reject H<sub>0</sub>:  $Br_{y mFT} \ge_{st} Br_{y uFT,cTTL}$ ). This is used to infer that the tropospheric profile has a maximum at altitude and is C-shaped with 98% confidence.

# Supplemental Tables and Figures

# Table S1: Parameters input and output for optimal estimation.

Parameter	RF03	<b>RF04</b>	RF07	
p, T, H <sub>2</sub> O, NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , O <sub>4</sub>	in situ data where available, model above flight altitude			
Aerosol Properties	·			
AOD (360 nm)	0.304	0.272	0.231	
g-parameter	0.77 (0-2.4 km), 0.72 (2.4-6.0 km), 0.7 (>6.0 km)			
Single Scattering Albedo	0.98			
Surface Albedo	0.05			
Inversion Properties	·			
ab initio profile	1.0 ppt constant tropospheric mixing ratio			
ab initio error	2,000%	10,000%	10,000%	
Inversion Grid	500 m (aerosol at 200 m resolution)			
Degrees of Freedom	18.2	17.2	26.9	
Mean Averaging Kernel	0.728	0.953	0.962	

Altitude	<b>HBr VCD</b> ×10 <sup>12</sup>	HBr VCD (GEOS-	HBr VCD (GEOS-	HBr VCD (CAM-
	(box-model -case 1)	Chem no SSA	Chem w/ SSA	chem)
		source)	source)	
MBL	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.1 (0.0, 0.8)	5.2 (0.8, 9.0)	0.8 (0.4, 2.9)
TL	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	3.1 (1.5, 5.4)	18.9 (9.3, 28.4)	3.1 (1.1, 6.5)
Lower FT	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	6.2 (3.7, 9.2)	14.6 (7.6, 27.3)	9.0 (3.9, 17.0)
Mid FT	0.3 (0.1, 0.8)	1.5 (0.9, 2.6)	2.3 (1.0, 4.4)	2.7 (0.7, 5.2)
Upper FT	2.7 (2.1, 5.2)	2.2 (1.6, 2.7)	3.4 (2.6, 4.1)	1.0 (0.0, 1.7)
TTL	0.1 (0.0, 0.8)	1.0 (0.6, 1.3)	1.5 (0.9, 1.9)	0.3 (0.0, 0.9)
Troposphere	3.2 (2.1, 6.8)	14.1 (8.3, 22.0)	46.0 (22.2, 75.2)	17.0 (6.2, 34.3)

Table S2: Comparisons of partial and total tropospheric HBr VCDs predicted by different models.

Values in parentheses are the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile. Global models have much more HBr, especially in the lower atmosphere. This is likely related to the handling of HBr uptake as discussed in the text. The global models also show an HBr minimum in the upper FT and TTL, which is the box model maximum.

#### 6 Table S3: Summary of BrO and Br<sub>y</sub> over the tWPO.

Region	BrO ppt	Br <sub>y</sub> ppt			
		case 0	case 1	case 2	case 3
MBL	1.7 (0.2, 1.0, 3.8, 4.4)	13.8 (2.0, 5.5, 30.2, 35.0)	13.8 (2.0, 5.5, 30.2, 35.0)	13.8 (2.0, 5.5, 30.2, 35.0)	13.8 (2.0, 5.5, 30.2, 35.0)
TL	1.2 (0.3, 0.6, 1.3, 1.8)	5.8 (1.9, 3.4, 8.7, 12.4)	5.8 (1.9, 3.4, 8.7, 12.4)	5.8 (1.9, 3.4, 8.7, 12.4)	5.8 (1.9, 3.4, 8.7, 12.4)
1FT	0.7 (-0.1, 0.4, 0.9, 1.2)	2.8 (0.9, 1.6, 5.0, 6.1)	2.8 (0.9, 1.6, 5.0, 6.1)	2.8 (0.9, 1.6, 5.0, 6.1)	2.8 (0.9, 1.6, 5.0, 6.1)
mFT	0.6 (0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.3)	3.6 (0.8, 1.7, 5.1, 6.0)	1.6 (0.8, 1.2, 3.5, 4.1)	1.6 (0.7, 1.2, 3.4, 3.9)	1.6 (0.7, 1.2, 3.4, 3.9)
uFT	0.6 (0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.8)	6.2 (2.1, 2.6, 7.6, 10.9)	2.1 (0.8, 1.5, 3.1, 6.2)	1.7 (0.5, 0.7, 2.1, 2.3)	1.7 (0.5, 0.7, 2.1, 2.3)
cTTL	0.9 (0.3, 0.5, 1.1, 1.1)	4.8 (2.9, 4.0, 6.5, 10.5)	3.3 (1.7, 2.6, 4.2, 5.3)	2.6 (1.4, 1.8, 3.1, 3.4)	2.6 (1.4, 1.8, 3.1, 3.4)
aTTL	1.2 (0.7, 0.9, 1.8, 1.9)	2.7 (1.7, 2.1, 3.6, 4.2)	2.7 (1.6, 2.0, 3.5, 4.1)	2.6 (1.6, 2.0, 3.4, 3.9)	2.6 (1.6, 2.0, 3.2, 3.7)
LS	3.1 (2.6, 2.8, 3.2, 3.3)	6.9 (5.5, 6.5, 7.5, 8.2)	6.8 (5.5, 6.4, 7.5, 8.2)	6.9 (5.5, 6.5, 7.5, 8.2)	6.0 (4.9, 5.7, 6.5, 7.0)

7 Values in table are reported as follows: median, (1<sup>st</sup> decile, 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile, 9<sup>th</sup> decile). Air masses are classified as elsewhere, see Sects. 3.1 and 3.2.



Figure S1: Comparison of NO<sub>2</sub> between (black) in situ NO<sub>2</sub>, (gold) DOAS NO<sub>2</sub>, (green) NO<sub>2</sub> modeled using the box model constrained by in situ measured NO, (blue) NO<sub>2</sub> modeled by a second box model operated at the University of Maryland, constrained by in situ measured NO. AMAX-DOAS and in-situ NO<sub>2</sub> agree within error bars in the troposphere. However, DOAS is systematically lower

5 than in-situ NO<sub>2</sub> in the stratosphere, where high O<sub>3</sub> is believed to introduce a high bias to the in situ NO<sub>2</sub> measurements; O<sub>3</sub> is shown in red on the right axis. DOAS NO<sub>2</sub> was used to constrain the box model in this case study.



Figure S2: Optimal estimation of BrO profiles for case studies 1) RF03, 2) RF04, 3) RF07. Panels A: the retrieved aerosol extinction profile at 360 nm, the aerosol optical depth is integrated over the column retrieved assuming zero above maximum flight altitude. Panels B: left subpanel in black measured O<sub>4</sub> SCDs, in red modeled O<sub>4</sub> SCDs, right subpanel the residual (modeled-measured), individual residuals are within  $\pm 2 \times 10^{42}$ . Panels C: The BrO profiles retrieved by optimal estimation, error bars include fit error,

and optimal estimation errors. Panels D: averaging kernels for the BrO optimal estimation. Sharp peaks near 1 indicate independent information in each altitude bin. The Degrees of Freedom (DoF) is the trace of the matrix here truncated to flight altitudes.



Figure S3: Comparison of aerosol extinction at 360 nm for the RF03 case study profile. AMAX-DOAS extinction is retrieved based on O<sub>4</sub> dSCDs. Particle instrument extinctions are determined by using Mie theory with measured particle size distributions and concentrations as input. Consistent with the findings in Volkamer et al. (2015) measured size distributions do not reproduce the observed extinction and are sub-Rayleigh even in the boundary layer. Increasing particle size improves agreement.



Figure S4: Sensitivity of the BrO<sub>x</sub>/Br<sub>y</sub> ratio to SA and O<sub>3</sub>, under conditions typical of the upper troposphere. (dashed vertical lines) the heterogeneous chemical regimes (HCR, see Sect. 2.3.1). The decreasing HBr fraction with increasing O<sub>3</sub> is visible in HCR1, and is a result of lower bromine atom concentrations (and thus HBr formation rates). HCR2 exhibits the largest sensitivity at low/moderate O<sub>3</sub>, typical of the upper FT (uFT) and convective TTL (cTTL). In HCR3 the ratio is largely insensitive to SA, but strongly depends on O<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S5: Same as Fig. 3 for RF06.



Figure S6: Air mass classification in the context of tracer-tracer classification schemes. Left panel, the scheme from Pan et al., 2014.
Air masses that have neither stratospheric H<sub>2</sub>O nor tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> (outside the grey regions) are in the TTL. Under such a scheme the convective TTL includes tropospheric air and the aged TTL includes stratospheric air. Right panel, the scheme from Chen et al., 2016, which defines a TTL-LMS transition where the slope between CO and O<sub>3</sub> is -0.4 (CO/O<sub>3</sub>), this generally corresponds to the aged TTL in this work, but also includes portions of the convective TTL and a low altitude filament from RF07. Some aged TTL air masses fall on parallel lines with a CO/O<sub>3</sub> slope of -0.03 consistent with the stratosphere in the Chen et al. scheme.



Figure S7: Same as Fig. 4 for RF06.



Figure S8: Comparison of modeled Br<sub>y</sub> for different box model cases: (grey) case 0, (red) case 1, and (cyan) case 2. Boxes indicate the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles and whiskers indicate the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles. All statistics are computed across the altitudes indicated by the horizontal dashes. The different cases are offset vertically in each box for better visualization.



Figure S9: Br<sub>y</sub> from CAM-chem for the flights discussed in this work. Grey points in background are individual data points from the extraction, red boxes represent medians, 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, whiskers indicate 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles. Consistent with the observations, a Br<sub>y</sub> minimum is found in the UTLS, though unlike the observations, at lower θ. CAM-chem has a median (quartile range) of 1.2 (1.0, 1.5) ppt Br<sub>y</sub> near 350-355 K, and 2.3 (2.0, 2.8) ppt for 360-365 K. The median and quartile range are consistent

with the observed Br<sub>y</sub> minimum for 360-365 K, but less Br<sub>y</sub> is predicted at lower  $\theta$ .



Figure S10: Comparison of bromine measurements by the CU Boulder AMAX-DOAS (blue), Georgia Tech CIMS (Chen et al., 2016) (black) aboard the NSF/NCAR GV during CONTRAST, and the Manchester University CIMS (Le Breton et al., 2017) (red) aboard the FAAM aircraft during CAST. Data are presented as box and whisker plots, where boxes show the interquartile range and median, and whiskers show the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. (a) BrO measurements. Data for the CONTRAST instruments are filtered to exclude stratespheric data (using the LS definition described in the main text) (b) Other Br. species. Manchester reports Br2

5 median, and whiskers show the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. (a) BrO measurements. Data for the CONTRAST instruments are filtered to exclude stratospheric data (using the LS definition described in the main text). (b) Other Br<sub>y</sub> species. Manchester reports Br<sub>2</sub>, HOBr, and BrCl separately, these are added and statistics gathered on the resulting sum. Georgia Tech reports a single value for the sum of Br<sub>2</sub> and HOBr. AMAX-DOAS values are inferred using the box model (case 1), and taken as the sum Br<sub>2</sub>+HOBr (the model was run with zero chlorine and hence zero BrCl).

- Andrews, S. J., Carpenter, L. J., Apel, E. C., Atlas, E., Donets, V., Hopkins, J. F. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Lewis, A. C., Lidster, R. T., Lueb, R., Minaeian, J., Navarro, M., Punjabi, S., Riemer, D. and Schauffler, S. S.: A comparison of very shortlived halocarbon (VSLS) and DMS aircraft measurements in the Tropical West Pacific from CAST, ATTREX and CONTRAST, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9(10), 5213–5225, doi:10.5194/amt-2016-94, 2016.
- 5

15

20

Apel, E. C., Hills, A. J., Lueb, R., Zindel, S., Eisele, S. and Riemer, D. D.: A fast-GC/MS system to measure C<sub>2</sub> to C<sub>4</sub> carbonyls and methanol aboard aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 8794, doi:10.1029/2002JD003199, 2003.

- Apel, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Karl, T., Flocke, F., Hills, A. J., Madronich, S., Lee-Taylor, J., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J., Richter, D., Tie, X., Mauldin, L., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Sive, B., Kleinman, L., Springston, S.,
- Zaveri, R., Ortega, J., Voss, P., Blake, D., Baker, A., Warneke, C., Welsh-Bon, D., de Gouw, J., Zheng, J., Zhang, R.,
   Rudolph, J., Junkermann, W. and Riemer, D. D.: Chemical evolution of volatile organic compounds in the outflow of
   the Mexico City Metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(5), 2353–2375, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2353-2010, 2010.
  - Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Blake, N. J., Barth, M. C., Weinheimer, A., Cantrell, C., Rutledge, S. A., Basarab,
    B., Crawford, J., Diskin, G., Homeyer, C. R., Campos, T., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Blake, D. R., Brune, W., Pollack, I.,
    Peischl, J., Ryerson, T., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Huey, G., Heikes, B., O'Sullivan,
    D. and Riemer, D. D.: Upper tropospheric ozone production from lightning NOx -impacted convection: Smoke ingestion
    case study from the DC3 campaign, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120(6), 2505–2523, doi:10.1002/2014JD022121, 2015.
  - Le Breton, M., Bannan, T. J., Shallcross, D. E., Khan, M. A., Evans, M. J., Lee, J., Lidster, R., Andrews, S., Carpenter, L. J., Schmidt, J., Jacob, D., Harris, N. R. P., Bauguitte, S., Gallagher, M., Bacak, A., Leather, K. E. and Percival, C. J.: Enhanced ozone loss by active inorganic bromine chemistry in the tropical troposphere, Atmos. Environ., 155, 21–28, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.003, 2017.
    - Cai, Y., Montague, D. C., Mooiweer-Bryan, W. and Deshler, T.: Performance characteristics of the ultra high sensitivity aerosol spectrometer for particles between 55 and 800nm: Laboratory and field studies, J. Aerosol Sci., 39(9), 759–769, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2008.04.007, 2008.
- 25 Cazorla, M., Wolfe, G. M., Bailey, S. A., Swanson, A. K., Arkinson, H. L. and Hanisco, T. F.: A new airborne laser-induced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of formaldehyde throughout the troposphere and lower stratosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(2), 541–552, doi:10.5194/amt-8-541-2015, 2015.
  - Chen, D., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Salawitch, R. J., Anderson, D. C., Wales, P. A., Pan, L. L., Atlas, E. L., Hornbrook, R. S., Apel, E. C., Blake, N. J., Campos, T. L., Donets, V., Flocke, F. M., Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T. F., Hills, A. J., Honomichl,
  - S. B., Jensen, J. B., Kaser, L., Montzka, D. D., Nicely, J. M., Reeves, J. M., Riemer, D. D., Schauffler, S. M., Ullmann,
     K., Weinheimer, A. J. and Wolfe, G. M.: Airborne measurements of BrO and the sum of HOBr and Br<sub>2</sub> over the Tropical

West Pacific from 1 to 15 km during the CONvective TRansport of Active Species in the Tropics (CONTRAST) experiment, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121(20), 12,560-12,578, doi:10.1002/2016JD025561, 2016.

Gerbig, C., Schmitgen, S., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., Dewey, K. and Haaks, D.: An improved fast-response vacuum-UV resonance fluorescence CO instrument, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D1), 1699–1704, doi:10.1029/1998JD100031, 1999.

Holm, S.: A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure, Scand. J. Stat., 6, 65–70, doi:10.2307/4615733, 1979.

5

15

30

- Lance, S., Brock, C. A., Rogers, D. and Gordon, J. A.: Water droplet calibration of the Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP) and inflight performance in liquid, ice and mixed-phase clouds during ARCPAC, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 3(6), 1683–1706, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1683-2010, 2010.
- 10 Mann, H. B. and Whitney, D. R.: On a Test of Whether one of Two Random Variables is Stochastically Larger than the Other, Ann. Math. Stat., 18(1), 50–60, doi:10.1214/aoms/1177730491, 1947.
  - Navarro, M. A., Atlas, E. L., Saiz-Lopez, A., Rodriguez-Lloveras, X., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Tilmes, S., Filus, M., Harris, N. R. P., Meneguz, E., Ashfold, M. J., Manning, A. J., Cuevas, C. A., Schauffler, S. M. and Donets, V.: Airborne measurements of organic bromine compounds in the Pacific tropical tropopause layer., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 112(45), 13789–13793, doi:10.1073/pnas.1511463112, 2015.
  - Pilewskie, P., Pommier, J., Bergstrom, R., Gore, W., Howard, S., Rabbette, M., Schmid, B., Hobbs, P. V. and Tsay, S. C.: Solar spectral radiative forcing during the Southern African Regional Science Initiative, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108(D13), doi:10.1029/2002JD002411, 2003.
- Schauffler, S. M., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Flocke, F., Lueb, R. A., Lee-Taylor, J. M., Stroud, V. and Travnicek, W.:
   Distributions of brominated organic compounds in the troposphere and lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D17), 21513–21535, doi:10.1029/1999JD900197, 1999.
  - Shetter, R. E. and Müller, M.: Photolysis frequency measurements using actinic flux spectroradiometry during the PEM-Tropics mission: Instrumentation description and some results, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D5), 5647–5661, doi:10.1029/98JD01381, 1999.
- Volkamer, R., Baidar, S., Campos, T. L., Coburn, S., DiGangi, J. P., Dix, B., Eloranta, E. W., Koenig, T. K., Morley, B., Ortega, I., Pierce, B. R., Reeves, M., Sinreich, R., Wang, S., Zondlo, M. A. and Romashkin, P. A.: Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>2</sub> and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8(5), 2121–2148, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015, 2015.

Zondlo, M. A., Paige, M. E., Massick, S. M. and Silver, J. A.: Vertical cavity laser hygrometer for the National Science Foundation Gulfstream-V aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 115(D20), D20309, doi:10.1029/2010JD014445, 2010.