Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11853–11866, 2016 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/11853/2016/ doi:10.5194/acp-16-11853-2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





Drainage and tillage practices in the winter fallow season mitigate CH_4 and N_2O emissions from a double-rice field in China

Guangbin Zhang¹, Haiyang Yu^{1,2}, Xianfang Fan^{1,2}, Yuting Yang^{1,2}, Jing Ma¹, and Hua Xu¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China
²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Correspondence to: Hua Xu (hxu@issas.ac.cn)

Received: 15 March 2016 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 14 April 2016 Revised: 1 September 2016 – Accepted: 8 September 2016 – Published: 23 September 2016

Abstract. Traditional land management (no tillage, no drainage, NTND) during the winter fallow season results in substantial CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double-rice fields in China. A field experiment was conducted to investigate the effects of drainage and tillage during the winter fallow season on CH₄ and N₂O emissions and to develop mitigation options. The experiment had four treatments: NTND, NTD (drainage but no tillage), TND (tillage but no drainage), and TD (both drainage and tillage). The study was conducted from 2010 to 2014 in a Chinese double-rice field. During winter, total precipitation and mean daily temperature significantly affected CH₄ emission. Compared to NTND, drainage and tillage decreased annual CH₄ emissions in early- and late-rice seasons by 54 and $33 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively. Drainage and tillage increased N2O emissions in the winter fallow season but reduced it in early- and late-rice seasons, resulting in no annual change in N2O emission. Global warming potentials of CH₄ and N₂O emissions were decreased by 1.49 and 0.92 t CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively, and were reduced more by combining drainage with tillage, providing a mitigation potential of 1.96 t CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. A low total C content and high C/N ratio in rice residues showed that tillage in the winter fallow season reduced CH₄ and N₂O emissions in both early- and late-rice seasons. Drainage and tillage significantly decreased the abundance of methanogens in paddy soil, and this may explain the decrease of CH₄ emissions. Greenhouse gas intensity was significantly decreased by drainage and tillage separately, and the reduction was greater by combining drainage with tillage, resulting in a reduction of 0.17 t CO_2 eq. t⁻¹. The results indicate that drainage combined with tillage during the winter fallow season is an effective strategy for mitigating greenhouse gas releases from double-rice fields.

1 Introduction

Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are important greenhouse gases (GHGs). According to the Greenhouse Gas Bulletin of World Meteorological Organization, the concentrations of atmospheric CH₄ and N₂O reached 1833 and 327 ppb in 2014, respectively (WMO, 2015). Rice paddy fields are major sources of atmospheric CH₄ and N₂O. Effective options for mitigating CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice paddy fields worldwide have been studied over the last two decades (McCarl and Schneider, 2001; Yan et al., 2005; Hussain et al., 2015). Ideas have included modifying irrigation and fertilization patterns (Cai et al., 2003; Hussain et al., 2015; Linquist et al., 2015), establishing integrated soil-crop system management practices (Zhang et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014), and selection of rice cultivars with high yields but low GHGs emissions (Ma et al., 2010; Hussain et al., 2015; Su et al., 2015), etc. Nevertheless, other potential mitigation methods might be useful due to the diversity of rice-based ecosystems and the variety of agronomic management practices (Weller et al., 2016).

China is one of the largest rice producers in the world, and its harvested area contributes 18.9% of the world rice total (FAOSTAT, 2014). In China, total CH₄ and N₂O emissions from paddy fields are estimated to be 6.4 Tg yr⁻¹ and 180 Gg yr^{-1} , respectively (Zhang et al., 2014). Double rice is the major rice-cropping system in China, accounting for

over 40% of the total cultivation area (Yearbook, E. B. O. C. A., 2014) and emitting ca. 50% of the total paddy CH₄ in China (Zhang et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013). Double-rice fields mainly occur south of the Yangtze River where relatively high precipitation and warm temperatures occur during the winter fallow season. Traditionally, the fields are fallow in winter season with the soil being neither drained nor tilled after the late-rice harvest, which are often flooded after a heavy or prolonged rain. It is very likely to bring about CH₄ emissions from these fields during the winter fallow season and further to promote emissions during the following rice growth season. Modeling data show that CH₄ emission levels were significantly correlated with simulated soil moisture and mean precipitation during the preceding nonrice growth season (Kang et al., 2002). Incubation and pot experiments also showed that high soil water content in the non-rice growth season was associated with high CH₄ production rates and greater CH₄ emissions in the subsequent rice season (Xu et al., 2003). An available mitigation option is proposed for this region. Fields can be drained to decrease the accumulation of rainwater in the winter fallow season and to reduce the effect of winter precipitation on CH₄ emission. However, drainage possibly stimulates N₂O emission from paddy fields in winter because soil water content changes more rapidly. Soil moisture regulates the processes of denitrification and nitrification and thus N2O emission (Bateman and Baggs, 2005; Lan et al., 2013). Since the overall balance between the net exchange of CH₄ and N₂O emissions constitutes the global warming potentials (GWPs) of the rice ecosystem, the effects of soil drainage in the winter fallow season on mitigating the yearly GWPs from doublerice fields are unclear.

Soil tillage is a conventional practice in rice cultivation, and tilling the soil prior to rice transplanting can play a key role in CH₄ and N₂O emissions (Hussain et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016). Tillage after rice harvest in the winter fallow season is also likely to have important effects on CH₄ and N₂O emissions. It is beneficial for rainwater to penetrate into the subsoil because this minimizes rainwater accumulation in winter. However, tillage makes it difficult to establish a strict anaerobic environment in the top soil, which would directly reduce CH₄ emissions during the non-rice growing season and indirectly inhibit CH₄ emissions during the following rice season. On the contrary, tillage allows rice residues to contact the soil, and soil microorganisms accelerate the decomposition of organic matter and facilitate CH₄ production and emission in the fallow season (Pandey et al., 2012; Hussain et al., 2015). Tillage may also play a key role in CH₄ emission during the following rice season owing to the incompletely decomposed rice residues (Tang et al., 2016). In addition, tillage during the winter fallow season may increase N₂O emissions, but the extent of this is not clear. The evidence for the promotion or reduction in N₂O emissions from rice fields by soil tillage is contradictory. For example, tillage changed the soil properties (soil porosity and soil moisture, etc.) and then promoted N₂O emissions (Mutegi et al., 2010; Pandey et al., 2012), whereas incorporation of rice residues by tillage reduced N₂O emissions as a result of N immobilization (Huang et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2010). A possible mitigating strategy that includes crop residues plowed into the soil along with drainage in the winter fallow season has been proposed for a double-rice field (Shang et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the mitigation potential of drainage combined with tillage in the winter fallow season on annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double-rice fields remains unclear.

An in situ field measurement was conducted continuously for 4 years (2010 to 2014) to study the CH₄ and N₂O emissions from a typical double-rice field in China. The objectives were to (1) investigate the effects of soil drainage and tillage during the winter fallow season on CH₄ and N₂O emissions, (2) estimate the mitigation potential of drainage and tillage, and (3) suggest optimal land management strategies during the winter fallow season for reducing GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions.

2 Methods and materials

2.1 Field site and experimental design

The experimental field is located at Yujiang Town, Yingtan City, Jiangxi Province, China (28°15′ N, 116°55′ E). The region has a typical subtropical monsoon climate with an annual mean temperature of 18 °C and an annual mean precipitation of 1800 mm. Prior to the experiment, the field was cultivated with early rice from April to July and late rice from July to November, and then kept fallow until spring planting. The soil type at the experimental field is classified as Typic Haplaquepts (Soil Survey Staff, 1975). The initial properties of the soil (at 0–15 cm) were pH (H₂O) 4.74, organic carbon (SOC) 17.0 g kg⁻¹, and total N 1.66 g kg⁻¹. Daily air temperature (°C) and rainfall (mm) throughout the entire observational period were provided by the Red Soil Ecological Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Supplement Fig. S1).

Four treatments, laid out in a randomized block design with three replicates, were conducted in the experimental field from 2010 to 2014 after late-rice harvest: NTND plots were neither drained nor tilled during the entire winter fallow season. This is the traditional winter land management in the region. NTD plots had drainage but were not tilled. TND plots were tilled but not drained. TD plots were both drained and tilled. Rice stubble in all treatments was 25–35 cm long and 3.0–4.0 t ha⁻¹ during the four winter fallow seasons. After the entire winter fallow season in 2012 and 2013, a small sample of rice stubble was collected before early-rice transplanting and the total C and N contents were measured using the wet oxidation–redox titration method and the micro-Kjeldahl method, respectively (Lu, 2000). Soil water content in the winter fallow season was determined gravimetrically after drying at 105 °C for 8 h.

Local rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivars, Zhongzao 33 and Nongxiang 98, were planted in the following early-rice and late-rice seasons, respectively. Seeds were sown in the seedling nursery and then transplanted to the experimental plots at the third to fourth leaf stage. Each season, nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) fertilization in the form of urea and potassium chloride (KCl) were split into three applications, namely, basal fertilizers consisting of 90 kg N ha⁻¹ and 45 kg K ha^{-1} , tillering fertilizers consisting of 54 kg N ha^{-1} and 60 kg K ha^{-1} , and panicle initiation fertilizers consisting of $36 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ and $45 \text{ kg K} \text{ ha}^{-1}$. Phosphorus (P) fertilization in the form of phosphorus pentoxide (P_2O_5) was applied to all treatments as a basal fertilizer at a rate of 75 kg P ha^{-1} . After early-rice harvest, rice straw and stubble were removed from the plots. A more detailed description of the water management and fertilization in early- and late-rice seasons is provided in Supplement Table S1.

2.2 CH₄ and N₂O fluxes sampling and measurements

Both CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were measured once every 2–6 and 7–10 days during the rice and non-rice seasons, respectively, using the static chamber technique (Zhang et al., 2011). The flux chamber measured $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1$ m, and a plastic base $(0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ m})$ for the chamber was installed before initiation of the experiment. Four gas samples from each chamber were collected using 18 mL vacuum vials at 15 min intervals. Soil temperature and soil redox potential (Eh) at 0.1 m depth were simultaneously measured during gas collection. Rice grain yields were determined in each plot at early- and late-rice harvests.

The concentrations of CH₄ and N₂O were analyzed with a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (Shimadzu GC-12A, Shimadzu Co., Japan) and with an electron capture detector (Shimadzu GC-14B, Shimadzu Co., Japan), respectively. Both the emission fluxes were calculated from the linear increase of gas concentration at each sampling time (0, 15, 30 and 45 min during the interval of chamber closure) and adjusted for area and volume of the chamber. Sample sets were rejected unless they yielded a linear regression value of $r^2 > 0.90$. The amounts of CH₄ and N₂O emissions were calculated by successive linear interpolation of mean CH₄ and N₂O emissions on the sampling days. This assumed that CH₄ and N₂O emissions followed a linear trend during the periods when no samples were taken.

2.3 GWPs and greenhouse gas intensity estimates

The 100-year GWPs (CH₄ and N₂O) in different treatments were calculated by using IPCC factors (100-year GWPs (CH₄+N₂O) = $28 \times$ CH₄+265 × N₂O) (Myhre et al., 2013). The greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) represented the GWPs per unit rice grain yield (Li et al., 2006): GHGI = GWPs/grain yield.

2.4 Soil sampling and DNA extraction

During the 2013–2014 winter fallow and early- and late-rice seasons, soil samples were collected at the beginning, middle, and end of each season from the experimental plots and analyzed for levels of methanogens and methanotrophs. In total, there were 108 soil samples (3 seasons \times 3 stages in each season \times 4 treatments \times 3 replicates). Each sample was a combined mixture of three subsamples collected at 0–5 cm depth. All samples were stored at 4 °C for analyses of soil characteristics, and subsamples were maintained at -80 °C for DNA extraction.

For each soil sample, genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5 g soil using a FastDNA spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals LLC, Ohio, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. The extracted soil DNA was dissolved in 50 μ L of elution buffer, checked by electrophoresis on 1% agarose, and then quantified using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) (Fan et al., 2016).

2.5 Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) quantification of *mcrA* and *pmoA* genes

The abundance of methanogenic *mcrA* gene copies and of methanotrophic *pmoA* gene copies was determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Fan et al., 2016). Fragments of the *mcrA* and *pmoA* genes, encoding the methyl coenzyme-M reductase and the α subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase, respectively, were amplified using primers according to Hales et al. (1996) and Costello and Lidstrom (1999), respectively. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on a CFX96 Optical Real-Time Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Hercules, USA). For detailed method descriptions please refer to Fan et al. (2016).

2.6 Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc., USA). Differences in seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emissions, 100-year GWPs (CH₄ and N₂O), and grain yields among treatments were analyzed with a repeated-measures one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and least significant differences (LSD) test. The significance of the factors (land management and year) was examined by using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistically significant differences and correlations were set at P < 0.05.



Figure 1. Seasonal variation of CH₄ emission from 2010 to 2014.

3 Results

3.1 CH₄ emission

Significant CH₄ fluxes were observed over the four winter fallow seasons, particularly during the 2011-2012 season, though a small net sink of CH₄ to the atmosphere was measured occasionally (Fig. 1). Total CH₄ emissions of the four treatments were significantly lower (P < 0.05) in the 2010– 2011 winter fallow season ($\sim 0.1-1 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$) than in the following three winter fallow seasons ($\sim 1-11 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$), and they ranged from 1.73 to 4.91 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ on average (Table 1). Seasonal CH₄ emissions varied significantly with year and land management (Table 2, P < 0.01). Tillage increased CH₄ emissions by 43-69 % relative to non-tillage over the four winter fallow seasons. In comparison to nondrainage, drainage reduced CH₄ emissions by 40-50 %. Consequently, CH₄ emissions were decreased by 14.8% relative to treatment NTND with the combined effects of soil drainage and tillage (Table 1).

During the four early- and late-rice seasons, the CH₄ fluxes of all treatments dramatically increased under continuous flooding, and the highest CH₄ fluxes were observed about 20-30 days after rice transplanting in early-rice seasons and about 10-30 days after rice transplanting in laterice seasons (Fig. 1). Subsequently, they sharply decreased after midseason aeration. An obvious flux peak was observed again approximately 1-2 weeks after re-flooding, particularly in the early-rice season. CH₄ emissions always showed a higher flux peak in treatment NTND than in treatment TD.

Seasonal CH₄ emissions in early-rice season varied significantly with land managements, but it was not highly influenced by year or interactions (Table 2). In contrast, total CH₄ emission significantly varied with land management and year in the late-rice season (Table 2). In comparison to treatment NTND, CH₄ emissions were decreased by soil drainage and

		Winter fallow sease	m		Early-rice	season			Late-rice	season	
Year Treatment	CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)	N_2O emission (g N ₂ O–N ha ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{l} GWPs \\ (tCO_2eq.ha^{-1}) \end{array}$	CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)	N_2O emission (gN_2O-Nha^{-1})	GWPs (t CO ₂ eq. ha ⁻¹)	Yield (tha ⁻¹)	CH_4 emission $(kg CH_4 ha^{-1})$	N_2O emission $(g N_2O-N ha^{-1})$	GWPs (t CO ₂ eq. ha ⁻¹)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
2010–2011 TD TND NTD NTND	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \pm 0.02 \\ 1.05 \pm 0.13 \\ 0.11 \pm 0.19 \\ 0.38 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.4\pm1.5\\ 30.4\pm3.1\\ 42.7\pm5.3\\ 32.2\pm5.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.04 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.02 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.02 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 49.0 \pm 7.2 \\ 46.6 \pm 7.1 \\ 45.3 \pm 11.1 \\ 38.9 \pm 12.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \pm 0.39 \\ 2.28 \pm 0.06 \\ 2.00 \pm 0.16 \\ 2.38 \pm 0.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.44 \pm 0.82 \\ 6.29 \pm 0.20 \\ 6.08 \pm 0.60 \\ 5.82 \pm 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 133.9 \pm 18.6 \\ 158.5 \pm 28.3 \\ 147.0 \pm 15.6 \\ 179.6 \pm 26.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 98.5 \pm 4.3 \\ 67.4 \pm 2.1 \\ 62.8 \pm 5.1 \\ 44.5 \pm 11.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.79 \pm 0.17 \\ 4.46 \pm 0.40 \\ 4.14 \pm 0.02 \\ 5.05 \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.13 \pm 0.07 \\ 7.33 \pm 0.09 \\ 6.72 \pm 0.22 \\ 6.83 \pm 0.84 \end{array}$
2011–2012 TD TND NTD NTD	$\begin{array}{c} 5.06 \pm 1.18 \\ 11.1 \pm 2.51 \\ 4.54 \pm 0.32 \\ 7.09 \pm 1.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.0\pm1.8\\ 35.1\pm2.7\\ 27.3\pm11.3\\ 14.1\pm4.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.33 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.14 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.20 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$		$17.7 \pm 7.9 \\ 16.2 \pm 7.2 \\ 28.2 \pm 6.1 \\ 23.4 \pm 4.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.35 \\ 2.54 \pm 0.23 \\ 1.92 \pm 0.22 \\ 3.01 \pm 0.27 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.67 \pm 0.08 \\ 7.03 \pm 0.50 \\ 6.36 \pm 0.36 \\ 6.67 \pm 0.47 \end{array}$	$79.6 \pm 8.8 \\ 103.1 \pm 6.0 \\ 81.0 \pm 4.3 \\ 126.4 \pm 12.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.2 \pm 7.8 \\ 35.4 \pm 8.0 \\ 63.0 \pm 9.6 \\ 47.2 \pm 11.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.25 \pm 0.24 \\ 2.90 \pm 0.16 \\ 2.30 \pm 0.80 \\ 3.56 \pm 0.66 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.63 \pm 0.09 \\ 6.70 \pm 0.21 \\ 6.57 \pm 0.35 \\ 6.53 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$
2012–2013 TD TND NTD NTD NTND	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \pm 0.21 \\ 3.75 \pm 0.21 \\ 0.73 \pm 0.22 \\ 2.11 \pm 0.23 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88.2 \pm 14.7 \\ 59.7 \pm 18.0 \\ 52.0 \pm 9.1 \\ 56.5 \pm 13.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.13 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.04 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.08 \pm 0.00 \end{array}$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 79.7 \pm 15.2 \\ 101.1 \pm 14.8 \\ 80.6 \pm 9.6 \\ 108.7 \pm 5.8 \end{vmatrix} $	$\begin{array}{c} 27.5 \pm 4.1 \\ 17.7 \pm 15.0 \\ 36.4 \pm 13.1 \\ 24.1 \pm 14.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.24 \pm 0.49 \\ 2.84 \pm 0.42 \\ 2.27 \pm 0.27 \\ 3.05 \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.33 \pm 0.50 \\ 6.48 \pm 0.78 \\ 6.05 \pm 0.47 \\ 6.38 \pm 0.73 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.3 \pm 2.1 \\ 52.7 \pm 11.1 \\ 60.8 \pm 11.8 \\ 65.9 \pm 12.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.3 \pm 3.7 \\ 15.3 \pm 3.5 \\ 38.1 \pm 2.4 \\ 32.3 \pm 6.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \pm 0.07 \\ 1.48 \pm 0.31 \\ 1.72 \pm 0.34 \\ 1.86 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.46 \pm 0.41 \\ 6.30 \pm 0.23 \\ 6.27 \pm 0.50 \\ 6.08 \pm 0.24 \end{array}$
2013–2014 TD TND NTD NTD	$\begin{array}{c} 2.94 \pm 0.78 \\ 3.73 \pm 0.85 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.48 \\ 2.01 \pm 0.09 \end{array}$	$96.1 \pm 22.9 \\ 44.7 \pm 26.0 \\ 52.0 \pm 28.4 \\ 42.9 \pm 10.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.12 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.06 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$		$76.0 \pm 15.1 \\ 42.1 \pm 8.0 \\ 85.4 \pm 10.9 \\ 49.4 \pm 13.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.94 \pm 0.29 \\ 2.15 \pm 0.11 \\ 2.51 \pm 0.21 \\ 3.37 \pm 0.33 \end{array}$	$7.07 \pm 0.34 \\ 6.43 \pm 0.60 \\ 6.19 \pm 0.23 \\ 6.16 \pm 0.36$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.6 \pm 4.7 \\ 72.1 \pm 9.2 \\ 70.6 \pm 13.6 \\ 82.2 \pm 3.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.5 \pm 2.8 \\ 42.1 \pm 12.9 \\ 99.7 \pm 7.5 \\ 54.4 \pm 9.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ 2.04 \pm 0.25 \\ 2.02 \pm 0.39 \\ 2.32 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.64 \pm 0.31 \\ 6.38 \pm 0.47 \\ 6.46 \pm 0.61 \\ 6.16 \pm 0.12 \end{array}$
Mean* TD	$\begin{array}{c} 2.47 \pm 0.10 \text{bc} \\ 4.91 \pm 0.43 \text{a} \\ 1.73 \pm 0.37 \text{c} \\ 2.90 \pm 0.21 \text{b} \end{array}$	68.2±16.4a 42.5±12.3ab 43.5±18.4ab 36.4±13.5b	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \pm 0.02 b \\ 0.16 \pm 0.02 a \\ 0.07 \pm 0.00 c \\ 0.10 \pm 0.02 b \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 42.5\pm11.2a\\ 30.6\pm15.0a\\ 48.8\pm18.1a\\ 34.0\pm6.9a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.93 \pm 0.32b \\ 2.45 \pm 0.37ab \\ 2.15 \pm 0.19b \\ 2.96 \pm 0.44a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.62 \pm 0.25a \\ 6.56 \pm 0.49a \\ 6.17 \pm 0.27a \\ 6.26 \pm 0.33a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 80.1 \pm 2.7c \\ 96.6 \pm 8.3b \\ 89.9 \pm 1.2bc \\ 113.5 \pm 8.0a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 56.4 \pm 17.4 ab \\ 40.0 \pm 4.3 b \\ 65.9 \pm 6.6 a \\ 44.6 \pm 8.0 b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.27 \pm 0.08c\\ 2.72 \pm 0.23b\\ 2.54 \pm 0.03bc\\ 3.20 \pm 0.22a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.71 \pm 0.14a \\ 6.68 \pm 0.24a \\ 6.51 \pm 0.39a \\ 6.40 \pm 0.20a \end{array}$
NTD											
Mean* TD TND	$\begin{array}{c} 1.52\pm0.48\\ 2.01\pm0.09\\ 2.47\pm0.10bc\\ 4.91\pm0.43a\\ 1.73\pm0.37c\\ 2.90\pm0.21b\\ \end{array}$	52.0 ± 28.4 42.9 ± 10.6 68.2 ± 16.4a 42.5 ± 12.3ab 43.5 ± 18.4ab 36.4 ± 13.5b	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06\pm0.02\\ 0.07\pm0.04\\ 0.10\pm0.02b\\ 0.16\pm0.02a\\ 0.07\pm0.00c\\ 0.10\pm0.02b\\ \end{array}$		85.4 ± 10.9 49.4 ± 13.6 42.5 ± 11.2a 30.6 ± 15.0a 48.8 ± 18.1a 34.0 ± 6.9a	$\begin{array}{c} 2.51 \pm 0.21 \\ 3.37 \pm 0.33 \\ 1.93 \pm 0.32b \\ 2.45 \pm 0.37ab \\ 2.15 \pm 0.19b \\ 2.96 \pm 0.44a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.19 \pm 0.23 \\ 6.16 \pm 0.36 \\ 6.62 \pm 0.25a \\ 6.56 \pm 0.49a \\ 6.17 \pm 0.27a \\ 6.26 \pm 0.33a \end{array}$	70.6 \pm 13.6 82.2 \pm 3.1 80.1 \pm 2.7c 96.6 \pm 8.3b 89.9 \pm 1.2bc 113.5 \pm 8.0a	99.7 ± 7.5 54.4 ± 9.5 56.4 ± 17.4ab 40.0 ± 4.3b 65.9 ± 6.6a 44.6 ± 8.0b	$\begin{array}{c} 2.02 \pm 0.39 \\ 2.32 \pm 0.08 \\ 2.27 \pm 0.08 \\ 2.72 \pm 0.23 \\ 2.72 \pm 0.23 \\ 2.54 \pm 0.03 \\ 5.20 \pm 0.22 \\ a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.46 \pm 0. \\ 6.16 \pm 0. \\ 6.71 \pm 0. \\ 6.68 \pm 0. \\ 6.51 \pm 0. \\ 6.40 \pm 0. \end{array}$

Table 1. Seasonal CH_4 and N_2O emissions, global warming potentials (GWPs), and rice grain yields over the 4 years from 2010 to 2014



Figure 2. Seasonal variation of N₂O emission from 2010 to 2014.

tillage and, on average, reduced by 22.2 and 17.8 % in earlyand late-rice seasons, respectively (Table 1). Soil drainage combined with tillage further reduced CH₄ emission by 35.0 and 29.4 % in early- and late-rice seasons, respectively. Compared to the early-rice season (68.3–105.1 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹), total CH₄ emission in the late-rice season was 8.0–17.9 % greater.

Annually, total CH₄ emission ranged from 151 to $222 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$. An average of 46.1 and 52.1% of this came from the early- and late-rice seasons, respectively (Tables 1 and 3). Soil drainage and tillage played important roles in decreasing CH₄ emission. Relative to treatment NTND, the mean CH₄ emission was decreased by 24.3 and 14.9% by drainage and tillage, separately, and it was significantly reduced by 32.0% when drainage and tillage were combined (Table 3).

3.2 N₂O emission

Substantial N₂O emission was measured in the non-rice growth season though the fields were fallowed with no Nfertilization (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Total N₂O emissions over the four winter fallow seasons varied significantly with land management and year, but the interaction effect was not significant (Table 2). Seasonal N₂O emissions were relatively lower in the 2010–2012 winter fallow seasons than the following two winter fallow seasons. Compared with treatment NTND, soil drainage and tillage generally increased N₂O emissions, separately, and N₂O emissions were significantly stimulated when drainage and tillage were combined. Over the four winter fallow seasons, seasonal N₂O emissions averaged 36.4–68.2 g N₂O–N ha⁻¹, being 87.3, 64.5 and 57.5 % higher in treatment TD than in treatments NTND, TND, and NTD, respectively (Table 1).

After rice transplanting, pronounced N₂O fluxes were observed with N-fertilization and midseason aeration, particularly during the period of dry–wet alternation (Fig. 2). Twoway ANOVA analyses indicated that seasonal N₂O emissions during the early- and late-rice seasons were not highly influenced by land management, and the interactions of land management and year, except that N₂O emissions depended significantly on year (Table 2). Compared with treatments NTND and NTD, tillage increased N₂O emission in 2011 early- and late-rice seasons, whereas there were generally reduced N₂O emissions during the following rice seasons (Table 1).

Over the four early-rice seasons, drainage increased seasonal N₂O emissions by 38.9–43.5 % while tillage decreased N₂O emissions by 10–12.9 %, although the differences were not significant (Table 1). In contrast, the effects of drainage and tillage seemed to be more important over the four laterice seasons. For instance, drainage increased seasonal N₂O emissions by 41.0–47.8 % while tillage decreased N₂O emissions by 10.3–14.4 %. Annually, total N₂O emissions ranged from 113 to 167 g N₂O–N ha⁻¹. An average of 34.4 % of this was derived from the winter fallow season (Tables 1 and 3). There was no significant difference in total N₂O emission among the four treatments (Table 3).

3.3 Global warming potential (GWP)

Throughout the four winter fallow seasons, soil drainage and tillage had important effects on GWPs (CH₄ and N₂O) over the 100-year time, although it was, on average, very small, ranging from 0.07 to 0.16 t CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 1). Compared with treatment NTND, drainage significantly decreased GWPs while tillage significantly increased it. Consequently, soil drainage combined with tillage played a rather slight role in GWPs relative to treatment NTND.

In contrast, both soil drainage and tillage decreased GWPs in compared to treatment NTND over the four early-rice seasons, with 16.0–36.2 and 4.2–36.2 % lower values in treatment NTD and treatment TND, respectively (Table 1). GWPs were more decreased by drainage combined with tillage, being 26.6–42.4 % lower in treatment TD, than in treatment NTND. Drainage significantly reduced GWPs by 27.4 % for treatment NTD, and 34.8 % for treatment TD that had the integrated effect of drainage and tillage relative to treatment NTND. Tillage also tended to decrease GWPs relative to treatment NTND, but this effect was not statistically significant.

Similar effects of soil drainage and tillage on GWPs were observed over the four late-rice seasons (Table 1). Compared with treatment NTND, GWPs were 7.5–35.4 and 11.7–20.4% lower in treatments NTD and TND, respectively. Soil drainage combined with tillage significantly decreased GWPs by 23.7–36.8% for treatment TD in comparison to treatment NTND. On average, drainage and tillage reduced GWPs by 20.6 and 15%, separately, and GWPs were significantly reduced (29.1%) by combining drainage with tillage simultaneously.

			CH4 (kg CH ₄ ha)	N ₂ O (g	N ₂ O–N	ha ⁻¹)	Yi	eld (t ha⁻	-1)
Season	Factors	$\mathrm{d}f$	SS	F	Р	ss	F	Р	ss	F	Р
Early-rice	L	3	3052.7	5.196	0.005	820.1	1.007	0.403	0.603	2.361	0.090
	Y	3	692.3	1.178	0.333	4357.4	5.349	0.004	0.598	3.340	0.092
	$L \times Y$	9	254.2	0.433	0.907	267.0	0.328	0.959	0.161	0.631	0.762
	Model	15	901.5	1.535	0.151	1195.7	1.468	0.176	0.337	1.319	0.248
	Error	32	587.5			814.7			0.256		
Late-rice	L	3	2379.4	4.700	0.008	1635.2	1.528	0.226	0.259	1.522	0.228
	Y	3	22545.7	44.534	0.000	3515.8	3.286	0.033	1.193	7.015	0.001
	$L \times Y$	9	223.0	0.440	0.903	826.9	0.806	0.614	0.057	0.338	0.955
	Model	15	5118.8	10.111	0.000	1547.9	1.447	0.185	0.325	1.910	0.061
	Error	32	506.3			1070.0			0.170		
Winter	L	3	21.582	5.215	0.005	2367.6	4.537	0.009			
	Y	3	86.036	20.788	0.000	3265.9	6.259	0.002			
	$L \times Y$	9	4.020	0.971	0.481	314.4	0.603	0.785			
	Model	15	23.935	5.783	0.000	1315.4	2.521	0.014			
	Error	32	4.139			521.8					

Table 2. Two-way ANOVA for the effects of land management (L) and year (Y) on CH₄, N₂O emissions, and rice grain yields.

Table 3. Mean annual CH_4 and N_2O emissions, global warming potentials (GWPs) of CH_4 and N_2O emissions, rice grain yields, and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) over the 4 years from 2010 to 2014.

Treatment	CH_4 emission (kg CH_4 ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	N_2O emission (g N_2O-N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	GWPs (t CO2 eq. ha-1 yr-1)	Rice yields $(t ha^{-1} yr^{-1})$	GHGI (t CO_2 eq. t ⁻¹ yield)
TD TND	$\begin{array}{c} 151\pm10d\\ 189\pm15b\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 167 \pm 28a \\ 113 \pm 13a \end{array}$	$4.29 \pm 0.27d$ $5.33 \pm 0.41b$	$13.3 \pm 0.3a$ $13.2 \pm 0.6a$	$0.32 \pm 0.02c$ $0.40 \pm 0.05b$
NTD NTND	$168 \pm 6cd$ $222 \pm 9a$	$\begin{array}{c} 158\pm27a\\ 115\pm38a \end{array}$	4.76 ± 0.17 cd 6.25 ± 0.26 a	$12.7 \pm 0.6a$ $12.7 \pm 0.1a$	$0.38 \pm 0.02b$ $0.49 \pm 0.02a$

Note: different letters within the same column indicate statistical differences among treatments at P < 0.05 level by LSD test.

Annually, the GWP average ranged from 4.29 to $6.25 \text{ t CO}_2 \text{ eq. ha}^{-1}$, 46 and 52 % of which was derived from the early-rice and late-rice seasons, respectively (Tables 1 and 3). Compared with treatment NTND, GWPs were significantly reduced by 0.92–1.49 t CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ in treatments TND and NTD, respectively, and it was decreased much more (1.96 t CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹) in treatment TD (Table 3).

3.4 Rice grain yields

Grain yields of treatments TND and TD were generally higher than those of treatments NTND and NTD over the four annual cycles (Table 1) though the yields varied with land management and year as well as their interaction (Table 2). The average yields in treatments TND and TD were over $6.5 \text{ th}a^{-1}$, which was 4.8–7.3 and 3.1–4.4 % higher than yields of treatments NTND and NTD during the early- and late-rice seasons, respectively. Annually, there was no difference in total yields among the treatments over the 4 years (Table 3). Throughout the four late-rice seasons, a positive correlation was observed between grain yields of the four treatments and the corresponding CH₄ emissions (r = 0.733, P < 0.01).

3.5 Greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI)

Annual GHGI ranged from 0.32 to $0.49 \text{ t} \text{CO}_2 \text{ eq. t}^{-1}$ yield, and it varied significantly among the treatments owing to the GWPs' strong control while annual rice yields were slightly influenced by soil drainage and tillage (Table 3). Compared to treatment NTND, drainage and tillage reduced GWPs by 23.8 and 14.7 %, thus causing GHGI to significantly decrease by 22.4 and 18.4 %, separately. As expected, soil drainage combined with tillage reduced GHGI much more, with a 34.7 % reduction relative to treatment NTND.

3.6 Precipitation, temperature, soil Eh and soil water content in winter fallow season

Over the four winter fallow seasons, total precipitation varied greatly and ranged from ~ 400 to ~ 750 mm during 2010–2012. Subsequently, it was relatively stable at ~ 600 mm in 2012–2014 (Table 4). In contrast, mean daily air temperature

G. Zhang et al.: Practices for mitigating CH₄ and N₂O emissions

Winter fallow Precipitation Temperature Soil Eh CH₄ flux N₂O flux $(mg \, CH_4 \, m^{-2} \, h^{-1})$ $(\mu g N_2 O - N m^{-2} h^{-1})$ season (mm) $(^{\circ}C)$ (mV) 0.02 ± 0.01 5.01 ± 0.26 2010 (2 Dec 2010 to 15 Apr 2011) 404 9.1 152 ± 11 2011 (3 Nov 2011 to 19 Apr 2012) 754 10.0 0.18 ± 0.08 3.11 ± 0.31 102 ± 13 2012 (5 Dec 2012 to 15 Apr 2013) 574 9.7 141 ± 34 0.07 ± 0.04 8.41 ± 0.54 2013 (11 Nov 2013 to 5 Apr 2014) 9.4 92 ± 12 0.08 ± 0.03 7.06 ± 0.38 661

Table 4. Total precipitation, mean daily temperature, mean* soil Eh, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes over the four winter fallow seasons.

Note: *mean soil Eh, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes were the average of 4 treatments.



Figure 3. Soil water content in the 2010 winter fallow season (a) and the relationships between mean CH_4 emission and total winter precipitation (b), and mean daily air temperature (c) and soil Eh (d) over the four winter fallow seasons (data from Table 4).

varied little, with values of ca. 9.0 to $10.0 \,^{\circ}$ C. Soil Eh, on average, fluctuated greatly from highest values (~ 150 mV) in 2010–2011 to the lowest values (~ 90 mV) in 2013–2014. Soil water content in the 2010 winter fallow season was higher in treatment NTND than in treatments NTD and TND, and lowest in treatment TD (Fig. 3a), with mean values of 55, 50, 44, and 38 %, respectively. We found that the higher the precipitation and temperature, the lower the soil Eh, and thus the greater the CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season (Table 4). Statistical analyses showed that a significant exponential relationship existed between mean CH₄ emission and total precipitation (Fig. 3b, P < 0.01), and mean CH₄ emission was positively correlated with mean temperature (Fig. 3c, P < 0.05) and negatively correlated with soil Eh (Fig. 3d, P < 0.01).

3.7 Abundance of methanogen and methanotroph populations

The level of methanogens in paddy soil decreased significantly from the winter fallow season to the following earlyrice season, but it increased again during the late-rice season (Fig. 4a). Compared to non-drainage (treatments NTND and TND), the drainage (treatments NTD and TD) generally de-



Figure 4. The abundance of methanogen and methanotroph populations in paddy soil from 2013 to 2014. WS, ES, and LS mean winter fallow season, early-rice season, and late-rice season, respectively.

creased the level of methanogens throughout the winter fallow (Fig. 4a, P < 0.001) and following early- and late-rice seasons (Fig. 4a, P < 0.05). Relative to non-tillage treatments (NTND and NTD), tillage treatments (TND and TD) also significantly decreased the abundance of methanogens throughout the winter fallow and following early- and late-rice seasons (Fig. 4a, P < 0.001).

The abundance of methanotrophs was highest in the winter fallow season, and then it gradually decreased (Fig. 4b). Drainage treatments (NTD and TD) relative to non-drainage treatments (NTND and TND) significantly decreased the abundance of methanotrophs over the winter fallow and early-rice seasons (Fig. 4b, P < 0.05) though this was not significant during the late-rice season. In addition, tillage treatments (TND and TD) significantly decreased the abundance of methanogens during the previous winter (Fig. 4b, P < 0.001) and following early-rice seasons (Fig. 4b, P < 0.01) in comparison to non-tillage treatments (NTND and NTD), except in the late-rice season.

4 Discussion

4.1 CH₄ emission from double-rice fields

In situ measurements of CH_4 emissions in China were first made from 1987 to 1989 in a double-rice field in Hangzhou City (Shangguan et al., 1993b). Subsequently, more CH_4 emissions from double-rice fields were measured (Cai et al., 2001; Shang et al., 2011). However, few investigations have made related measurements during the non-rice growth season. Fortunately, Shang et al. (2011) found that the doublerice fields in Hunan Province, China, usually acted as a small net sink of CH₄ emission (as low as $-6 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$) in the winter fallow season. Although an occasional negative CH₄ flux was also observed over the four winter fallow seasons (Fig. 1), the double-rice field in this study was an entire source of CH₄ emission, in particular during the 2011–2012 winter fallow season (Table 1). On average, around 2% of the annual CH₄ emission occurred during the winter fallow season.

Because of the residues (mainly roots and stubble) of early rice as well as high temperatures resulting in substantial CH₄ production in paddy fields (Shangguan et al., 1993a; Yan et al., 2005), the CH₄ emission from the late-rice season was higher than that of early-rice season. More importantly, a very high CH₄ flux peak was usually observed shortly (a few days) after late-rice transplanting (Cai et al., 2001; Shang et al., 2011). In the present study, CH₄ emission in late-rice seasons was 80.1-113.5 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹, and 8.0–17.9% greater than that of early-rice seasons (Table 1) though total CH₄ emission in the last two early-rice seasons was slightly greater than emission in the late-rice seasons (Fig. 1). Mean annual CH₄ emission varied between 151 and $222 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ over the 4 years (Table 3), which was much lower than previous results. Differences in these CH₄ measurements were probably due to different water and rice straw management practices.

Significant differences in CH₄ emission from the fields in winter fallow and late-rice seasons were observed (Table 2), indicating large changes in interannual CH₄ emission. Climatic variability may be the major factor leading to interannual variation of CH₄ emission at the macroscopic scale (Cai et al., 2009). In this study we found that total winter rainfall had an important effect on CH₄ emission. The higher the rainfall, the greater the CH₄ emission throughout the four winter fallow seasons (Table 4). An exponential relationship was observed between mean CH₄ emission and total rainfall in the winter fallow season (Fig. 3b). The importance of rainfall in controlling CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season, to some extent, was also demonstrated by the negative relationships between mean soil Eh and CH₄ emission (Fig. 3d). In different rice fields from the four main rice growing regions in China, a similar correlation was found between rainfall in the winter fallow season and CH₄ emission in the rice growth season (Kang et al., 2002).

However, we found no correlations between rainfall in the winter fallow season and CH₄ flux in early- or late-rice seasons in this study. This suggests that rainfall in the winter fallow season significantly regulated CH₄ flux on-season, but not off-season. In contrast, a significant linear relationship was found (P < 0.01) between CH₄ emissions and corresponding yields over the four late-rice seasons, indicating that good crop growth benefited rice yield and biomass and thus stimulated CH₄ emission. Seasonal CH₄ emission can

depend greatly on the amount of rice biomass based on results from a long-term fertilizer experiment (Shang et al., 2011). Furthermore, changes in temperature over the four winter fallow seasons (Table 4) were expected to play a key role in CH₄ emission, and the positive correlation supported this expectation (Fig. 3c). Many field measurements have demonstrated the importance of temperature to CH₄ emission (Parashar et al., 1993; Cai et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2011).

4.2 Effect of soil drainage in winter fallow season on CH₄ emission

Many measurements of CH₄ emission affected by soil drainage during the winter fallow season have been made in single-rice fields. Most of these were taken from permanently flooded fields. Clearly, drainage significantly decreases CH₄ emission (Table 5). The drainage of flooded fields inhibits CH₄ production and CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season directly, and it plays an important role in reducing CH₄ production and its emission in the subsequent rice-growing season (Zhang et al., 2011). Compared with non-drainage, drainage in this study significantly decreased CH₄ emission both in the previous winter fallow seasons and the following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1). Over the 4-year study, mean annual CH₄ emission was reduced by $38-54 \text{ kg CH}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (Table 3). Such changes were very likely due to the decrease of methanogens in paddy soils throughout the winter and early- and late-rice seasons by soil drainage (Fig. 4a). Drainage increases soil aeration and hence effectively reduces the survival rate and activity of methane-producing bacteria. In microcosm experiments, Ma and Lu (2011) found that the total abundance of methanogenic archaeal populations decreased by 40 % after multiple drainages, and quantitative PCR analysis showed that both mcrA gene copies and mcrA transcripts significantly decreased after dry-wet alternation (Ma et al., 2012).

4.3 Effect of soil tillage in the winter fallow season on CH₄ emission

Although CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season was increased by soil tillage, it was significantly reduced during the following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1), and over the 4 years, on average, it was reduced by 17– 33 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 3). Compared to non-tillage, tillage promotes the decomposition of rice residues, which stimulates CH₄ production and emission in the winter fallow season. By contrast, as the readily decomposable portion of the residues has largely been decomposed after an entire winter fallow season, the remaining less-decomposable part of organic matter has little effect on promoting CH₄ emission the following year (Watanabe and Kimura, 1998). The total C content in rice residues generally lower in treatments TND and TD than in treatments NTND and NTD (Table 6)

lable 5. Relat	tive mitigating GWPs of GHGs emissions fron	a paddy fields with various land manage	ment practices as comp	ared to trad	itional n	nanagemen	in the winter crop season.
				Mitig	ation pot	ential ^a (%)	
Type	Traditional management	Suggested practice	GHGs	MS	ES	S. Annu	al Reference
Double rice	Winter fallow without drainage nor tillage	Drainage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	30	27	24	This study
		Tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	-60	17	5 15	
		Drainage combined with tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	0	35	9 32	
Single rice	Winter wheat with drainage	Tillage	CH_4 and N_2O	21	14	15	Zhang et al. (2015)
Single rice	Winter ryegrass with drainage	Tillage	N ₂ O	^b N.m.	22	N.m.	Bayer et al. (2015)

Vote: WS, ES, and LS mean winter fallow season, early-rice season, respectively; annual is the total of winter and rice seasons.^a Mitigation potential of combined gases was calculated on the basis of CO₂ equivalents by summing GWPs for CH₄ and N₂O as 28 and 265 times the equivalent mass of CO₂ over a 100-year period (Myhre et al., 2013); GWPs (CH₄ + N₂O + CO₂) = (CH₄ × 28) + (N₂O × 265) + (CO₂ × 1), ^b N.m. indicates no measurements. Cai et al. (2003) Cai et al. (1998) N.m. N.m. + 43 - 71 - 71 - 56 56 59 - 58 - 58 22 57 57 55 30 30 88 ^bN.m. 38 4 N.m. -21 53 53 N.m. CH₄ Wheat with drainage Winter fallow and continuous flooding Single rice Single rice

CH₄, N₂O, and CO₂ CH_4 and N_2O CH_4 and N_2O CH_4 and N_2O CH_4 and N_2O N_2O CH_4 CH₄ Oil-seed rape with drainage and tillage Oil-seed rape with drainage Wheat with drainage Wheat with drainage Drainage Tillage **Fillage** tillage Winter fallow with drainage but non-tillage Winter fallow without drainage nor tillage Winter fallow and continuous flooding Winter fallow and continuous flooding Winter fallow and continuous flooding Winter ryegrass with drainage Winter wheat with drainage Single rice Single rice Single rice Single rice Single rice Single rice

Table 6. Total C $(g kg^{-1})$ and total N $(g kg^{-1})$ contents in rice stubble before early-rice transplanting in 2012 and 2013. Total C

Ital	meannenn	Iotal C	TOTAL IN	C/N
2012	TD	338	6.9	49
	TND	314	7.8	40
	NTD	356	12.7	28
	NTND	374	10.4	36
2013	TD	368	8.7	42
	TND	364	7.1	51
	NTD	404	12.8	32
	NTND	397	13.4	30

has well demonstrated that tillage decreased the carbon substrates necessary for methanogenesis. In a rice-wheat rotation system, our field measurements also showed that the carbon content of rice straw incorporated into the soil in the winter fallow season decreased sharply compared to straw applied to the field just prior to rice transplanting (Zhang et al., 2015). In addition, tillage substantially reduced the abundance of methanogens throughout the winter and early- and late-rice seasons (Fig. 4a), which helps to explain the decreased CH₄ emission.

N₂O emission from double-rice paddy fields 4.4

Direct N₂O emission from rice-based ecosystems mainly happens during midseason aeration and subsequent dry-wet alternation in the rice-growing season and in the winter crop or winter fallow season (Cai et al., 1997; Yan et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2013). Most cropland N₂O emission comes from uplands, and just 20-25 % of this is from rice fields in China (Zhang et al., 2014). In China, field measurements of N2O emission began in 1992 from a singlerice field in Liaoning Province (Chen et al., 1995). Considerable observations have since been made from doublerice fields (Xu et al., 1997; Shang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013). The total N₂O emission of early- and late-rice seasons in this study, on average, ranged from 70.6 and 114.7 g N₂O–N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ over the 4 years (Table 1), and these data were significantly lower than values reported by Shang et al. (2011) and Zhang et al. (2013) but similar to our previous measurements (Ma et al., 2013). Furthermore, over 33 % of annual N₂O emission came from the winter fallow season (Table 1), indicating that N₂O emission from paddy fields in the winter fallow season is very important. Earlier field observations showed that as high as 60-90 % of N2O annual emission occurred in the winter fallow season (Shang et al., 2011). On a national scale in China, 41 Gg N₂O–N yr⁻¹ is emitted in the non-rice growth period, and this constitutes 45 % of the total N₂O emission from rice-based ecosystems (Zheng et al., 2004). Although N₂O emission from rice fields was significantly affected by year (Table 2), reasons for the

Shiratori et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2012)

(ao et al. (2013)

Liang et al. (2007)

iang et al. (2006)

between-year variation are poorly known. In order to understand yearly changes in N_2O emission, it is essential to maintain year-round long-term stationary field observations of N_2O emission from the double-rice fields.

4.5 Effect of soil drainage in winter fallow season on N₂O emission

The production of soil N₂O is mainly achieved by the microbial processes of nitrification and denitrification while soil water content determines the general direction of soil nitrogen transformation. Soil drainage can reduce the soil water content and accelerate soil dry-wet alternation, thus promoting N₂O emission from paddy fields (Davidson, 1992; Cai et al., 1997). The soil dry-wet alternation stimulates the transformation of C and N in the soil, in particular the microbial biomass C and N turnover (Potthoff et al., 2001). Drainage typically decreased the soil water content in this study (Fig. 3a) and then increased N₂O emission, on average, by 42 % relative to non-drainage in the winter fallow season (Table 1). Drainage in the previous winter fallow season also had a positive effect on N₂O emission from paddy fields during the following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1). It is possible that drainage in the winter fallow season created soil moisture more beneficial to N2O production in the subsequent rice-growing seasons. Early reports demonstrated that the production and emission of soil N2O was related to the soil moisture regime at the time and also strongly affected by the previous soil moisture regime (Groffman and Tiedje, 1988). Regardless of how the water conditions were at an earlier time, the previous soil moisture conditions affected the concentration of reductase or synthetic ability of the enzymes, thus affecting denitrification (Dendooven and Anderson, 1995; Dendooven et al., 1996). The annual total N₂O emission increased by 37-48 % in drainage treatments compared to non-drainage treatments though there was no significant difference among the four treatments (Table 3).

4.6 Effect of soil tillage in winter fallow season on N₂O emission

Compared to non-tillage, tillage treatments increased N_2O emission in the winter fallow season by an average of 39 % over the 4 years (Table 1). At least two factors help to explain this. First, tillage increases soil aeration, which promotes the nitrification process. A soil column experiment demonstrated that moderate O_2 concentration is conducive to N_2O production (Khdyer and Cho, 1983). Second, tillage accelerates rainwater percolation from the plowed layer into the subsoil layer, stimulating the processes of soil dry–wet alternation and thus promoting the transformation of N and production of N_2O in the soil (Cai et al., 1997; Potthoff et al., 2001). Tillage usually decreased soil water content (Fig. 3a), and this supports the second point. In contrast, tillage had negative effects on N_2O emission during the following early- and

late-rice seasons, and mean N_2O emission over the 4 years was reduced by 12 and 13 %, respectively (Table 1). Compared to non-tillage, tillage decreased the level of total N in rice residues, which probably reduced the substrates needed for nitrification and denitrification. More importantly, the ratio of C / N in rice residues was increased by tillage (Table 6). The decomposition of rice residues with a high C / N ratio probably resulted in more N immobilization in the soil and less N available for nitrification and denitrification for N₂O production (Huang et al., 2004; Zou et al., 2005). As a whole, however, soil tillage played a relatively minor role in annual N₂O emission over the 4 years (Table 3).

4.7 Effect of soil drainage and tillage on GWPs and GHGI

Although drainage increased N₂O emission throughout the winter fallow and early- and late-rice seasons, it significantly decreased CH₄ emission from paddy fields (Table 1). As a consequence, it greatly reduced GWPs, with a decrease of 1.49 t CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ annually (Table 3). Many studies have demonstrated that drainage results in a trade-off between CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice fields (Table 5), but drainage is widely considered to be an effective mitigation option. Annually, the mitigation potential of GWPs from paddy fields using drainage in the winter fallow season is >50%. However, these measurements are mostly related to single-rice fields with continuous flooding (Table 5), and little information is available about the effect on GWPs from double rice-cropping systems. In this study, we found that 21-30 % of the GWPs were reduced by drainage in the winter fallow season throughout the previous winter fallow and following early- and late-rice seasons, and there is a 24 % annual mitigation potential (Table 3).

In contrast, tillage clearly increased both CH₄ and N₂O emissions and highly increased GWPs in the winter fallow season (Table 1). Indeed, in a single-rice field, Liang et al. (2007) found that it increased the GWPs of CH₄, N₂O, and CO₂ emissions in the winter fallow season (Table 5). Fortunately, tillage significantly decreased CH₄ and N₂O emissions both in early- and late-rice seasons and, as a result, it reduced GWPs by 17 and 15 %, respectively (Table 1). Annually, GWPs were reduced by 0.92 t CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹, with 15 % of mitigation potential (Table 3). As expected, the integrated effects of soil drainage and tillage decreased GWPs much more, with a further reduction by 1.04 t CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Moreover, the annual mitigation potential (as high as 32%) of soil drainage combined with tillage in this study was in the range of previous results reported by Zhang et al. (2012) and Zhang et al. (2015) in single-rice fields (Table 5). It is obvious that soil drainage together with tillage in the winter fallow season is an effective option for mitigating the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double rice-cropping systems.

G. Zhang et al.: Practices for mitigating CH $_4$ and N $_2$ O emissions

No significant differences in rice grain yields were observed among the four treatments over the 4 years (Tables 1 and 3). This indicates a low risk of rice yield loss when the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions are decreased by means of soil drainage or tillage in the winter fallow season. Soil drainage and tillage significantly decreased GHGI by 22.4 and 18.4 %, separately, and the GHGI was decreased much more by combining drainage with tillage, with a reduction of 0.17 t CO₂ eq. t⁻¹ yield (Table 3). Balanced fertilizer management, in particular on P fertilizer supplement, was suggested as an available strategy for double rice-cropping systems (Shang et al., 2011). In this study, the effective mitigation option in double-rice fields we propose is soil drainage combined with tillage in the winter fallow season.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that in the winter fallow season large differences in CH₄ emissions are probably due to variation in total precipitation and temperature. Soil drainage and tillage, either separately or in combination, during the winter fallow season significantly decreased CH₄ emission and the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions from the double-rice field. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that drainage and tillage decreased the abundance of methanogens in the paddy soil. Low total C content in rice residues due to tillage and subsequent decomposition is a potential reason for reduced CH₄ emission in the following early- and late-rice seasons. Finally, tillage reduced the total N content, but increased the C / N ratio in rice residues would help decrease N₂O emissions. For achieving both high rice grain yield and low GWPs in double-rice fields, we propose that the fields be drained immediately after late-rice harvest and tilled with rice residues incorporated into the soil. These practices can aid in the development of optimal management strategies for double-rice systems.

5 Data availability

Data used in this article can be provided upon request by email to the corresponding author, Hua Xu (hxu@issas.ac.cn), or the first author, Guangbin Zhang (gbzhang@issas.ac.cn).

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-16-11853-2016-supplement.

Acknowledgements. This work was financially supported by the "Strategic Priority Research Program" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB15020103), National Key Technology Research and Development Program (2013BAD11B02), National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (41571232, 41271259), Foundation of the State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture (Y412010003), and the Knowledge Innovation Program of Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (ISSASIP1654). We thank the Red Soil Ecological Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for providing climate information. Appreciation also goes to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. We also thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this article.

Edited by: G. Frost Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References

- Bateman, E. J. and Baggs, E. M.: Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N₂O emissions from soils at different waterfilled pore space, Biol. Fert. Soils, 41, 379–388, 2005.
- Bayer, C., Zschornack, T., Pedroso, G. M., Rosa, C. M. D., Camargo, E. S., Boeni, M., Marcolin, E., Reis, C. E. S. D., and Santos, D. C. D.: A seven-year study on the effects of fall soil tillage on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emission from flood irrigated rice in a humid subtropical climate, Soil Till. Res., 145, 118–125, 2015.
- Cai, Z. C., Xing, G. X., Yan, X. Y., Xu, H., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K., and Minami, K.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddy fields as affected by nitrogen fertilisers and water management, Plant Soil, 196, 7–14, 1997.
- Cai, Z. C., Xu, H., Lu, W. S., Liao, Z. W., Wei, C. F., and Xie, D. T.: Influence of water management in winter crop season on CH₄ emission during the rice growing season, Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 9, 171–175, 1998 (in Chinese).
- Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., Rong, X. M., Xu, H., and Yuan, Z. P.: CH4 emissions from rice paddies managed according to farmer's practice in Hunan, China, Biogeochemistry, 56, 75–91, 2001.
- Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., Gao, M., Xu, H., and Wei, C. F.: Options for mitigating methane emission from a permanently flooded rice field, Glob. Change Biol., 9, 37–45, 2003.
- Cai, Z. C., Xu, H., and Ma, J.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice-based ecosystems, Science and Technology University of China Press, Hefei, China, 2009 (in Chinese).
- Chen, G. X., Huang, G. H., Huang, B., Wu, J., Yu, K. W., Xu, H., Xue, X. H., and Wang, Z. P.: CH₄ and N₂O emission from a rice field and effect of *Azolla* and fertilization on them, Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology, 6, 378–382, 1995 (in Chinese).
- Chen, H., Zhu, Q. A., Peng, C. H., Wu, N., Wang, Y. F., Fang, X. Q., Jiang, H., Xiang, W. H., Chang, J., Deng, X. W., and Yu, G. R.: Methane emissions from rice paddies natural wetlands, lakes in China: synthesis new estimate, Glob. Change Biol., 19, 19–32, 2013.
- Chen, X., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Vitousek, P., Zhao, M., Ma, W., Wang, Z., Zhang, W., Yan, X., Yang, J., Deng, X., Gao, Q., Zhang, Q., Guo, S., Ren, J., Li, S., Ye, Y., Huang, J., Tang, Q., Sun, Y., Peng, X., Zhang, J., He, M., Zhu, Y., Xue, J., Wang, G., Wu, L., An, N., Ma, L., and Zhang, F.: Producing more grain with lower environmental costs, Nature, 514, 486–489, 2014.
- Costello, A. M. and Lidstrom, M. E.: Molecular characterization of functional and phylogenetic genes from natural populations of methanotrophs in lake sediments, Appl. Environ. Microb., 65, 5066–5074, 1999.
- Davidson, E. A.: Sources of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide following wetting of dry soil, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 56, 95–102, 1992.
- Dendooven, L. and Anderson, J. M.: Maintenance of denitrification potential in pasture soil following anaerobic events, Soil Biol. Biochem., 27, 1251–1260, 1995.
- Dendooven, L., Duchateau, L., and Anderson, J. M.: Gaseous products of the denitrification process as affected by the antecedent water regime of the soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 28, 239–245, 1996.
- Fan, X., Yu, H., Wu, Q., Ma, J., Xu, H., Yang, J., and Zhuang, Y.: Effects of fertilization on microbial abundance and emissions of greenhouse gases (CH₄ and N₂O) in rice paddy fields, Ecol. Evol., 6, 1054–1063, 2016.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division (FAOSTAT), http://faostat3.fao.org/download/Q/QC/E (last access: 19 July 2016), 2014.
- Groffman, P. M. and Tiedje, J. M.: Denitrification hysteresis during wetting and drying cycles in soil, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 52, 1626– 1629, 1988.
- Hales, B. A., Edwards, C., Ritchie, D. A., Hall, G., Pickup, R. W., and Saunders, J. R.: Isolation and identification of methanogenspecific DNA from blanket bog peat by PCR amplification and sequence analysis, Appl. Environ. Microb., 62, 668–675, 1996.
- Huang, Y., Zou, J. W., Zheng, X. H., Wang, Y. S., and Xu, X. K.: Nitrous oxide emissions as influenced by amendment of plant residues with different C: N ratios, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36, 973– 981, 2004.
- Hussain, S., Peng, S. B., Fahad, S., Khaliq, A., Huang, J. L., Cui, K. H., and Nie, L. X.: Rice management interventions to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions: a review, Environ. Sci. Pollut. R, 22, 3342–3360, 2015.
- Jiang, C. S., Huang, Y. S., Zheng, X. H., Zhu, B., and Huang, Y.: Effects of tillage-cropping systems on methane and nitrous oxide emissions from permanently flooded rice fields in a cen tral Sichuan hilly area of south west China, Environm. Sci., 27, 207– 213, 2006 (in Chinese).
- Kang, G. D., Cai, Z. C., and Feng, Z. H.: Importance of water regime during the non-rice growing period in winter in regional variation of CH₄ emissions from rice fields during following rice growing period in China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 64, 95–100, 2002.
- Khdyer, I. I. and Cho, C. M.: Nitrification and denitrification of nitrogen fertilizers in a soil column, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 47, 1134–1139, 1983.
- Lan, T., Han, Y., Roelcke, M., Nieder, R., and Cai, Z. C.: Processes leading to N_2O and NO emissions from two different Chinese soils under different soil moisture contents, Plant Soil, 371, 611– 627, 2013.
- Li, C. S., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B., and Rose, S.: Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse gas emissions and increasing yields from rice production in china over the next twenty years, J. Environ. Qual., 35, 1554–1565, 2006.
- Liang, W., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Yue, J., and Huang, G. H.: Greenhouse gas emissions from northeast China rice fields in fallow season, Pedosphere, 17, 630–638, 2007.
- Linquist, B. A., Anders, M. M., Adviento-Borbe, M. A. A., Chaney, R. L., Nalley, L. L., da Rosa, E. F. F., and van Kessel, C.: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and grain arsenic levels in rice systems, Glob. Change Biol., 21, 407–417, 2015.
- Lu, R.: Soil agro-chemical analyses, Agricultural Technical Press, Beijing, 2000 (in Chinese).
- Ma, E., Zhang, G., Ma, J., Xu, H., Cai, Z., and Yagi, K.: Effects of rice straw returning methods on N₂O emission during wheatgrowing season, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 88, 463–469, 2010.
- Ma, J., Ji, Y., Zhang, G. B., Xu, H., and Yagi, K.: Timing of midseason aeration to reduce CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double rice cultivation in China, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 59, 35–45, 2013.
- Ma, K. and Lu, Y. H.: Regulation of microbial methane production and oxidation by intermittent drainage in rice field soil, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 75, 446–456, 2011.

G. Zhang et al.: Practices for mitigating CH₄ and N₂O emissions

- Ma, K., Qiu, Q. F., and Lu, Y. H.: Microbial mechanism for rice variety control on methane emission from rice field soil, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 3085–3095, 2010.
- Ma, K., Conrad, R., and Lu, Y.: Responses of Methanogen mcrA Genes and Their Transcripts to an Alternate Dry/Wet Cycle of Paddy Field Soil, Appl. Environ. Microb., 78, 445–454, 2012.
- McCarl, B. A. and Schneider, U. A.: Climate change-Greenhouse gas mitigation in US agriculture and forestry, Science, 294, 2481–2482, 2001.
- Mutegi, J. K., Munkholm, L. J., Petersen, B. M., Hansen, E. M., and Petersen, S. O.: Nitrous oxide emissions and controls as influenced by tillage and crop residue management strategy, Soil Biol. Biochem., 42, 1701–1711, 2010.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F. M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J. F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., and Zhang, T. T. A. H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 659–740, 2013.
- Pandey, D., Agrawal, M., and Bohra, J. S.: Greenhouse gas emissions from rice crop with different tillage permutations in ricewheat system, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 159, 133–144, 2012.
- Parashar, D. C., Gupta, P. K., Rai, J., Sharma, R. C., and Singh, N.: Effect of soil temperature on methane emission from paddy fields, Chemosphere, 26, 247–250, 1993.
- Potthoff, M., Joergensen, R. G., and Wolters, V.: Short-term effects of earthworm activity and straw amendment on the microbial C and N turnover in a remoistened arable soil after summer drought, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 583–591, 2001.
- Shang, Q., Yang, X., Gao, C., Wu, P., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Zou, J., and Guo, S.: Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a 3year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2196–2210, 2011.
- Shangguan, X. J., Wang, M. X., Chen, D. Z., and Shen, R. X.: Methane production in rice paddy fields, Advance in Earth Sciences, 8, 1–12, 1993a (in Chinese).
- Shangguan, X. J., Wang, M. X., and Shen, R. X.: Regularity of methane emission from rice paddy fields, Advance in Earth Sciences, 8, 23–36, 1993b (in Chinese).
- Shiratori, Y., Watanabe, H., Furukawa, Y., Tsuruta, H., and Inubushi, K.: Effectiveness of a subsurface drainage system in poorly drained paddy fields on reduction of methane emissions, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 53, 387–400, 2007.
- Soil Survey Staff: Soil taxonomy: A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys, in, US Government Printing Office, Handbook No. 436. Washington, DC, 1975.
- Su, J., Hu, C., Yan, X., Jin, Y., Chen, Z., Guan, Q., Wang, Y., Zhong, D., Jansson, C., Wang, F., Schnurer, A., and Sun, C.: Expression of barley SUSIBA2 transcription factor yields high-starch lowmethane rice, Nature, 523, 602–606, 2015.
- Tang, S., Cheng, W., Hu, R., Guigue, J., Kimani, S. M., Tawaraya, K., and Xu, X.: Simulating the effects of soil temperature and

moisture in the off-rice season on rice straw decomposition and subsequent CH_4 production during the growth season in a paddy soil, Biol. Fert. Soils, 52, 739–748, 2016.

- Watanabe, A. and Kimura, M.: Effect of rice straw application on CH₄ emission from paddy fields IV. Influence of rice straw incorporated during the previous cropping period, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 44, 507–512, 1998.
- Weller, S., Janz, B., Jörg, L., Kraus, D., Racela, H. S. U., Wassmann, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Kiese, R.: Greenhouse gas emissions and global warming potential of traditional and diversified tropical rice rotation systems, Glob. Change Biol., 22, 432–448, 2016.
- World Meteorological Organization (WMO): The State of Greenhouse Gases in the Atmosphere Based on Global Observations through 2014, Greenhouse Gas Bulletin, 1–4, 2015.
- Xu, H., Guangxi, X., Cai, Z. C., and Tsuruta, H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from three rice paddy fields in China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 49, 23–28, 1997.
- Xu, H., Cai, Z. C., and Tsuruta, H.: Soil moisture between ricegrowing seasons affects methane emission, production, and oxidation, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 67, 1147–1157, 2003.
- Yan, X. Y., Akimoto, H., and Ohara, T.: Estimation of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide and ammonia emissions from croplands in East, Southeast and South Asia, Glob. Change Biol., 9, 1080–1096, 2003.
- Yan, X. Y., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H., and Akimoto, H.: Statistical analysis of the major variables controlling methane emission from rice fields, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 1131–1141, 2005.
- Yao, Z. S., Zheng, X. H., Wang, R., Xie, B. H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Zhu, J. G.: Nitrous oxide and methane fluxes from a riceewheat crop rotation under wheat residue incorporation and notillage practices, Atmos. Environ., 79, 641–649, 2013.
- Yearbook, E. B. O. C. A. (Editorial Board of China Agriculture): China Agriculture Yearbook 2014, China Agriculture Press, Beijing, 2014 (in Chinese).
- Zhang, G. B., Zhang, X. Y., Ma, J., Xu, H., and Cai, Z. C.: Effect of drainage in the fallow season on reduction of CH₄ production and emission from permanently flooded rice fields, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 89, 81–91, 2011.
- Zhang, H. L., Bai, X. L., Xue, J. F., Chen, Z. D., Tang, H. M., and Chen, F.: Emissions of CH₄ and N₂O under different tillage systems from double-cropped paddy fields in southern China, Plos One, 8, e65277, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065277, 2013.
- Zhang, J. K., Jiang, C. S., Hao, Q. J., Tang, Q. W., Cheng, B. H., Li, H., and Chen, L. H.: Effects of tillage-cropping systems on methane and nitrous oxide emissions from ago-ecosystems in a purple paddy soil, Environm. Sci., 33, 1979–1986, 2012 (in Chinese).
- Zhang, W., Yu, Y. Q., Huang, Y., Li, T. T., and Wang, P.: Modeling methane emissions from irrigated rice cultivation in China from 1960 to 2050, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 3511–3523, 2011.
- Zhang, W., Yu, Y., Li, T., Sun, W., and Huang, Y.: Net greenhouse gas balance in China's croplands over the last three decades and its mitigation potential, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 2589–2597, 2014.
- Zhang, W. F., Dou, Z. X., He, P., Ju, X. T., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu, Y. L., Zhang, Y., and Wu, L.: New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 8375–8380, 2013.

- Zhang, Y. F., Sheng, J., Wang, Z. C., Chen, L. G., and Zheng, J. C.: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from a Chinese wheatrice cropping system under different tillage practices during the wheat-growing season, Soil Till. Res., 146 261–269, 2015.
- Zhao, X., Liu, S.-L., Pu, C., Zhang, X.-Q., Xue, J.-F., Zhang, R., Wang, Y.-Q., Lal, R., Zhang, H.-L., and Chen, F.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions under no-till farming in China: a metaanalysis, Glob. Change Biol., 22, 1372–1384, 2016.
- Zheng, X. H., Han, S. H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y. S., and Wang, M. X.: Re-quantifying the emission factors based on field measurements and estimating the direct N₂O emission from Chinese croplands, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB2018, doi:10.1029/2003gb002167, 2004.
- Zou, J. W., Huang, Y., Jiang, J. Y., Zheng, X. H., and Sass, R. L.: A 3-year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB2021, doi:10.1029/2004gb002401, 2005.