



## Supplement of

## **Concentrations and solubility of trace elements in fine particles at a mountain site, southern China: regional sources and cloud processing**

T. Li et al.

Correspondence to: Y. Wang (wy@sdu.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

## Comparison of various experimental conditions

No significant relationships were observed among various studies except the commonly low solubility for crustal elements such as Fe, Al and Cr, as shown in Fig. 7. Accordingly, environment type, extraction method and analysis instrument are compared in Table S5. The main differences are the type of environment and extraction method for total content of trace elements. The higher solubility for Mn, Cu and Fe at Mt. Lushan should be attributed the frequent cloud events at high altitude. Larger aerosol size (TSP) and stronger acid mixture (HNO<sub>3</sub>-HF) were responsible for the various gap of solubility for Ba, Mo, Fe and Al in TSP over East China Sea and in PM<sub>2.5</sub> at Mt. Lushan. However, the differences of extraction method were not so much remarkable and the overall solubility trend for most elements were similar (decreasing from Zn to Cr) in each study, which indicated the much less significance of extraction method than the type of environment to the solubility of aerosol trace elements.

	Detection limit ( $\mu g L^{-1}$ )	Recovery (%)
Al	1.15	75.7
Cr	0.10	79.9
Mn	0.05	87.2
Fe	0.80	78.3
Cu	0.08	90.6
Zn	0.70	88.4
As	0.12	78.7
Se	0.41	72.7
Mo	0.06	78.0
Cd	0.05	93.0
Ba	0.20	92.6
Pb	0.05	86.0

**Table S1**. Detection limits ( $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) and recoveries (%) for trace elements analyzed by ICP-MS.

**Table S2.** Key meteorology parameters (Mean  $\pm$ SD) for the summer of 2011 and the spring of 2012, as well as for the two selected cloud events on 11 September 2011 and 18 April 2012. Note that the statistical meteorology parameters for summer of 2011 and spring of 2012 were computed including fine-days, rainy-days and cloudy-days.

Periods	T ( °C)	RH (%)	WS (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	Visibility (km)
Summer, 2011	$20.0~{\pm}4.5$	88 ±12	$12.7 \pm 9.4$	$11.6 \pm 7.7$
Spring, 2012	$13.6 \pm 4.3$	$76 \pm 26$	$3.6 \pm 2.8$	$10.7\ \pm 8.1$
11 September 2011	$20.7\ \pm 0.4$	99	$1.7 \pm 3.8$	0
18 April 2012	$13.3\ \pm 0.9$	99	$0.5\ \pm 0.5$	0

**Table S3.** Comparison of  $PM_{2.5}$  (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and trace elements (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) at Mt. Lushan with typical mountains and megacities in China.

		Mt. Tai		Mt. Gongga	Mt. Dinghu	Beijing	Guangzhou	Shanghai <sup>a</sup>
	Mt. Luchon			(Yang et al.,	(Yang et al.,	(Yang et al.,	(Yang et al.,	(Chen et
	Mt. Lusnan	(Deng et a	1., 2011)	2009a)	2009b)	2011)	2011)	al., 2008)
	(this study)	Spring,	Summer,	2006	2006	2005.3 -	2008.12 -	2004.4 -
		2006/2007	2006	2006	2006	2006.2	2009.2	2005.4
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	$55.2 \pm 20.1$	46.6/70.1	123.1	-	-	118.5	81.7	65
A 1	$449.1~\pm$	1200	1060	205 9 1 249 0	01/1 + 662 6	700 + 220		
AI	441.1	1200	1960	293.0 ±240.9	$914.1 \pm 005.0$	$790 \pm 320$	-	-
Fa	331.1 ±	<u>810</u>	710	$224.0 \pm 167.2$	560 8 + 227 8	$1120 \pm 410$	1850 + 1120	050 + 520
Fe	236.2	810	/10	224.0 ±107.2	$309.0 \pm 321.0$	$1130 \pm 410$	1830 ±1130	930 ±320
7.	$258.3~\pm$	400	450	1546 + 1006	422 1 + 241 2	520 + 220	1260 + 500	240 + 154
ZII	162.8	400	430	$134.0 \pm 100.0$	+32.1 ±2+1.5	<i>330</i> ± <i>22</i> 0	1300 ± 300	547 ± 154
Ba	$68.2~{\pm}49.3$	-	-	$6.0\ \pm 5.7$	$14.2 \pm 9.3$	$210\pm160$	$70 \pm 20$	$12 \pm 11$
Pb	$63.8 \pm 54.2$	15.2	72	$39.4 \pm 26.0$	$216.2 \pm 180.8$	$240\pm 120$	$450\ \pm 210$	$143\ \pm 117$
Mn	$22.2 \pm 12.2$	39.1	71.9	-	$33.1 \pm 20.6$	$90 \pm 30$	$150\ \pm70$	$51 \pm 28$
As	$21.5~{\pm}19.6$	2.3	3.6	$4.3 \pm 3.0$	$31.8 \pm 26.5$	$20\pm10$	$40 \pm 30$	$28\ \pm 19$
Cr	$13.7 \pm 17.2$	22.9	85.4	-	-	$50\pm30$	$70 \pm 20$	$15 \pm 10$
Cu	$12.4~{\pm}9.6$	24	21.8	$2.2\ \pm 1.2$	$60.6 \pm 47.4$	$70 \pm 30$	$190\ \pm 80$	$29\ \pm 19$
Se	$7.0\pm3.3$	-	-	-	$8.1~{\pm}6.2$	$20\pm10$	-	$3.1 \pm 1.9$
Cd	$2.5\ \pm 1.8$	1	3.4	-	$7.0~{\pm}5.0$	$50 \pm 30$	$20 \pm 10$	$3.7 \pm 1.9$
Mo	2.0 ±2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-: Not reported.

<sup>*a*</sup>: An urban-residential site, Putuo.

	Al	Cr	Mn	Fe	Cu	Zn	As	Se	Mo	Cd	Ba	Pb
Al		0.08	$0.25^{*}$	0.68**	-0.06	0.20	0.07	-0.11	0.16	0.06	$0.24^{*}$	-0.18
Cr	0.12		0.05	$0.40^{**}$	0.14	0.38**	$0.55^{**}$	0.19	0.67**	0.20	$0.28^{*}$	-0.14
Mn	0.08	0.3		$0.47^{**}$	$0.25^{*}$	0.23	$0.26^{*}$	0.35**	$0.29^{*}$	$0.29^*$	0.15	0.31**
Fe	0.64**	0.61**	$0.40^{*}$		-0.06	$0.26^{*}$	0.36**	0.03	0.46**	0.12	0.43**	-0.17
Cu	0.01	0.15	0.35**	0.32		$0.52^{**}$	0.23	0.49**	0.19	0.33**	0.04	$0.48^{**}$
Zn	-0.07	$0.44^{*}$	0.59**	0.14	0.77**		$0.40^{**}$	$0.25^{*}$	0.45**	$0.29^*$	0.33**	0.31**
As	$0.24^*$	-0.27	0.14	-0.17	0.02	0.12		0.46**	0.59**	0.31**	0.35**	$0.28^{*}$
Se	-0.18	0.23	$0.45^{**}$	0.02	$0.54^{**}$	0.72**	0.22		0.41**	$0.40^{**}$	0.07	0.46**
Mo	0.04	0.41*	0.37**	0.28	0.37**	$0.55^{**}$	0.22	0.63**		0.71**	$0.47^{**}$	0.02
Cd	0.07	0.04	$0.45^{**}$	0.17	0.69**	0.64**	0.14	0.63**	0.28		0.12	0.19
Ba	0.19	0.15	-0.17	0.00	0.04	-0.08	0.13	0.00	0.06	-0.07		-0.23
Pb	-0.21	$0.48^{**}$	0.62**	0.17	0.69**	0.82**	0.01	0.82**	0.61**	0.66**	-0.03	

**Table S4.** Correlation matrix of individual trace elements (water-soluble and total). Correlations higher than 0.60 are highlighted in bold.

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Table S5.** Differences of environment type, extraction method and instrument in determining aerosol

 element solubility in various studies.

T (	Type of	Extract	ion method	T / /	Reference	
Location	environment	Soluble	Total	Instrument		
Mt. Lushan (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	Rural mountain	Ultrapure water	HNO <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , microwave digestion	ICP-MS	This study	
East China Sea (TSP)	Sea surface	Milli-Q water	HNO <sub>3</sub> -HF, microwave digestion	ICP-MS	(Hsu et al., 2010)	
Edinburgh,UK (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	Urban background	Ultrapure water	HNO <sub>3</sub> -HCl, heating	ICP-MS	(Heal et al., 2005)	
Nanjing, China (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	Urban city	Glycine	HNO <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , microwave digestion	ICP-OES & ICP-MS	(Hu et al., 2012)	

Total

	11 Septe	mber 2011 (	(cluster E)	18 April 2012 (cluster L)			
Species	Pre-cloud	In-cloud	Post-cloud	Pre-cloud	In-cloud	Post-cloud	
	(4h)	(3h)	(4h)	(9h)	(9h)	(9h)	
Al	1.67		28.68	12		32.35	
Cr			2.02	7.79	рН=3.98	3.37	
Mn	90.35		87.18	65.17		90.8	
Fe	0.27		8.8	20.98		78.59	
Cu	49.54	pH=3.36	84.43	59.09		80.15	
Zn	29.17		93.39	68.02		64.21	
As	68.33		89.12	74.87		83.33	
Se	34.6		85.89	65.02		66.6	
Mo	8.83		21.74	54.08		60.18	
Cd	18.92		56.95	65.33		60.99	
Ba	10.63		33.63	28.52		70.57	
Pb	47.11		57.8	33.38		36.28	
<b>SO</b> <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	14.77	41.70	24.72	15.96	26.78	17.34	

**Table S6.** Solubility (%) of individual elements in  $PM_{2.5}$  before and after two cloud events at Mt.Lushan. Sulfate concentration in  $PM_{2.5}$  (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and cloud water (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) are listed.



**Figure S1.** Topographical map of Mt. Lushan and the sampling site (Guniubei). The geographic data are available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database v4.1 (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org; Jarvis et al., 2008).



Figure S2. Schematic of the cloud droplet residues sampling system (Li et al., 2011).



**Figure S3**. Linear regression of concentration for individual elements between water soluble fraction and total fraction.



**Figure S4.** Satellite image of Taklimakan desert captured by MODIS on NASA's Aqua satellite (http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/?eocn=topnav&eoci=home), OMI Aerosol Index (http://macuv.gsfc.nasa.gov/OMIAerosol.md) and 36-h backward trajectory arriving at Mt. Lushan for dust storm events during 23–25 March 2012 (top) and 25–26 April 2012 (bottom).



**Figure S5.** Geographical distribution of (a) coal-fired power plants (Tian et al., 2014) and (b) municipal solid waste (MSW) incineration plants (Tian et al., 2012) in China, 2010. The triangle indicates Mt. Lushan.



Figure S6. Trace elements concentrations in  $PM_{2.5}$  at Mt. Lushan for five clusters.



**Figure S7.** Inverse relationships between total concentration and element solubility for Al, Fe, Cr and Mn.



Figure S8. Solubility of trace elements in  $PM_{2.5}$  at Mt. Lushan for five air mass clusters. Solid points and whiskers represent mean values and half of standard deviations, respectively.

## References

- Chen, J., Tan, M., Li, Y., Zheng, J., Zhang, Y., Shan, Z., Zhang, G., and Li, Y.: Characteristics of trace elements and lead isotope ratios in PM(2.5) from four sites in Shanghai, J. Hazard. Mater., 156, 36-43, doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.11.122, 2008.
- Deng, C., Zhuang, G., Huang, K., Li, J., Zhang, R., Wang, Q., Liu, T., Sun, Y., Guo, Z., Fu, J. S., and Wang, Z.: Chemical characterization of aerosols at the summit of Mountain Tai in Central East China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7319-7332, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7319-2011, 2011.
- Heal, M. R., Hibbs, L. R., Agius, R. M., and Beverland, I. J.: Total and water-soluble trace metal content of urban background PM10, PM2.5 and black smoke in Edinburgh, UK, Atmos. Environ., 39, 1417-1430, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.11.026, 2005.
- Hsu, S.-C., Wong, G. T. F., Gong, G.-C., Shiah, F.-K., Huang, Y.-T., Kao, S.-J., Tsai, F., Candice Lung, S.-C., Lin, F.-J., Lin, I. I., Hung, C.-C., and Tseng, C.-M.: Sources, solubility, and dry deposition of aerosol trace elements over the East China Sea, Mar. Chem., 120, 116-127, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2008.10.003, 2010.
- Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Ding, Z., Wang, T., Lian, H., Sun, Y., and Wu, J.: Bioaccessibility and health risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and PM2.5 in Nanjing, China, Atmos. Environ., 57, 146-152, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.056, 2012.
- Jarvis, A., Reuter, H. I., Nelson, A., Guevara, E.: Hole-filled SRTM for the globe Version 4, available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database, available at: http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org (last access: 10 July 2015), 2008.
- Li, W., Li, P., Sun, G., Zhou, S., Yuan, Q., and Wang, W.: Cloud residues and interstitial aerosols from non-precipitating clouds over an industrial and urban area in northern China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 2488-2495, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.044, 2011.
- Tian, H., Gao, J., Lu, L., Zhao, D., Cheng, K., and Qiu, P.: Temporal trends and spatial variation characteristics of hazardous air pollutant emission inventory from municipal solid waste incineration in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 10364-10371, doi:10.1021/es302343s, 2012.
- Tian, H., Liu, K., Zhou, J., Lu, L., Hao, J., Qiu, P., Gao, J., Zhu, C., Wang, K., and Hua, S.: Atmospheric emission inventory of hazardous trace elements from China's coal-fired power plants--temporal trends and spatial variation characteristics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 3575-3582, doi:10.1021/es404730j, 2014.
- Yang, F., Tan, J., Zhao, Q., Du, Z., He, K., Ma, Y., Duan, F., Chen, G., and Zhao, Q.: Characteristics of PM2.5 speciation in representative megacities and across China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5207-5219, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5207-2011, 2011.
- Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Wen, T., Li, W., Zhao, Y., and Li, L.: Elemental composition of PM2.5 and PM10 at Mount Gongga in China during 2006, Atmos. Res., 93, 801-810, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.03.014, 2009a.
- Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Wen, T., Zhao, Y., and Li, J.: Element Characterisitics and Sources of PM2.5 at Mount Dinghu in 2006, Environmental Science (in Chinese), 30, 988-992, 2009b.