



Corrigendum to

“Development towards a global operational aerosol consensus: basic climatological characteristics of the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction Multi-Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME)” published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 335–362, 2015

W. R. Sessions¹, J. S. Reid², A. Benedetti³, P. R. Colarco⁴, A. da Silva⁴, S. Lu⁵, T. Sekiyama⁶, T. Y. Tanaka⁶, J. M. Baldasano⁷, S. Basart⁷, M. E. Brooks⁸, T. F. Eck⁹, M. Iredell⁵, J. A. Hansen², O. C. Jorba⁷, H.-M. H. Juang⁵, P. Lynch¹, J.-J. Morcrette³, S. Moorthi⁵, J. Mulcahy⁸, Y. Pradhan⁸, M. Razinger³, C. B. Sampson², J. Wang^{5,10}, and D. L. Westphal²

¹CSC Inc., Monterey, CA, USA

²Marine Meteorology Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, USA

³European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reading, UK

⁴NASA Goddard SFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA

⁵NOAA NCEP, College Park, MD, USA

⁶Atmospheric Environment and Applied Meteorology Research Department, Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba Japan

⁷Earth Sciences Department, Barcelona Supercomputing Center-Centro Nacional de Supercomputación, Barcelona, Spain

⁸Met Office, Exeter, UK

⁹USRA, NASA Goddard SFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA

¹⁰I. M. Systems Group Inc., Rockville, MD, USA

Correspondence to: J. S. Reid (jeffrey.reid@nrlmry.navy.mil)

In the above-mentioned paper, Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 were incorrect in the original article. Figure 12 should be Fig. 13, and Fig. 13 is the wrong version. See below the correct Fig. 13 and a new version of Fig. 12.

In addition, NMMB was incorrectly defined as "National Materials and Manufacturing Board" in the last paragraph of the introduction. The correct definition is "Nonhydrostatic Multi-scale Meteorological Model on the B grid".




Figure 12. An example of the derivation of threat scores for the CapeV site. (a) One-year time series of first-day forecasted ICAP-MME mean AOT with corresponding AERONET coarse mode AOT. Insets are MODIS RGB images for an actual and artifact dust event. (b) enlargement of (a) for the month of May 2012; (c) scatterplot of forecasted AOT against AERONET; (d) probability distribution of AERONET and forecasted AOT.




Figure 13. ICAP MME-AERONET comparisons for the Kanpur India site. Included are the (a) fine mode and (b) dust components. Marked are the 1, 1.5 and 2 geometric standard deviation lines. Also shown are scatterplots against 12 and 84 h forecasts for (c) fine mode and (d) dust, respectively.