



## *Corrigendum to*

# **“Chemical characterization and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing: seasonal perspective” published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7053–7074, 2013**

**R. Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>, J. Jing<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, J. Tao<sup>3,\*</sup>, S.-C. Hsu<sup>4,\*</sup>, G. Wang<sup>5</sup>, J. Cao<sup>5</sup>, C. S. L. Lee<sup>6</sup>, L. Zhu<sup>3</sup>, Z. Chen<sup>7</sup>, Y. Zhao<sup>7</sup>, and Z. Shen<sup>8</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment Research for Temperate East Asia, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Meteorological Observation Center of CMA, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>South China Institute of Environmental Sciences, Ministry of Environmental Protection, Guangzhou, China

<sup>4</sup>Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup>K LAST, SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>7</sup>ESPC, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>8</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to:* S.-C. Hsu (schsu815@rcec.sinica.edu.tw)

We have found an error in the abstract of our above mentioned paper. The percentages for each of the source factors that contributed to the measured PM<sub>2.5</sub> masses should be corrected as follows: soil dust 15 %, coal combustion 18 %, biomass burning 12 %, traffic and waste incineration emission 4 %, industrial pollution 25 %, and secondary inorganic aerosol 26 %.