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Table S 1: Overview of HONO input into the smog chamber before switching on the lights. The last column contains the ratio between HONO and $\alpha$-pinene initial concentrations.

| Expt. <br> No. | initial HONO <br> ppbv <br> $( \pm 10 \%$ <br> instrument accuracy $)$ | $\alpha$-pinene <br> ppbv | HONO / $\alpha$-pinene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.6 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 4.9 | 14 | 0.4 |
| 3 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.05 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 22 | 0.05 |
| 7 | 1.9 | 45 | 0.04 |
| 8 | 2.8 | 46 | 0.06 |
| 9 | 5.1 | 50 | 0.1 |

Table S 2: Conditions of blank experiments B1-B5. The SMPS mass concentration is only given for blank experiments without seed. Detection limit is abbreviated with DL.

| Blank | Maximum Organic | RH | T | NO | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | radiation |  | dded | Previous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{av}(\mathrm{sd}) \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{av(sd}(\mathrm{sd}) \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{av}(\mathrm{sd}) \\ \mathrm{ppb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{av}(\mathrm{sd}) \\ \mathrm{ppb} \end{gathered}$ | source | ppb | $\begin{gathered} \text { seed } \\ \mathrm{Lg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | SC use |
| (B1) | SMPS: 0.03 <br> (Suspended) <br> AMS: below DL | Ca. 50* | Ca. $22^{*}$ | 0.9(0.3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { no } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | UV+Xe | - | - | moped emissions (up to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ ) |
| (B2) | SMPS: 0.16 <br> (Suspended) <br> AMS: below <br> DL | 49(2) | 21.8(0.6) | 1.0(0.3) | Below det.lim. | UV+Xe | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\alpha$-pin photooxid. (2-73 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) moped emissions (up to $\left.100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ |
| (B3) | AMS: 1.7 <br> (Suspended) | 61(6)** | 25.2(1.4) | 3.3(0.6) | Below det.lim. | UV+Xe | $\begin{gathered} \text { HONO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ (6.6 \pm 0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$-pin photooxid. $\left(1.4-80 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ |
| (B4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMS: } 0.16 \\ & \text { (wlc) } \end{aligned}$ | 80-85 |  |  |  | UV+Xe | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NO} \\ 40^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$-pin photooxid. $\left(1.4-80 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ |
| (B5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { AMS: } 0.11 \\ \text { (wlc) } \end{gathered}$ | 80-85 |  |  |  | UV+Xe | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HONO} \\ \sim 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ (1.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$-pin photooxid. $\left(1.4-80 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ |

* During blank experiment B1, no radiation shielded T/RH measurement existed. $55 \% \mathrm{RH}$ and $19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were measured in darkness before lights were switched on. Assuming the temperature increases by $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after lights are switched on, leads to a RH of $50 \%$.
** Before lights were switched on, $85 \% \mathrm{RH}$ and $19.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were measured. After lights were switched on, the temperature increased to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in a decreased RH of $60.9 \%$. *** 40 ppbv of NO added to the blank before lights were switched on.

30 Table S 3: Changes in unit mass resolution fragmentation table compared to Aiken et al.

| m/z | Expt. 7 <br> (with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}$ seed) | Expt. 1-6; 8-9 <br> (without $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}$ seed) | Aiken et al. (2008) (standard fragmentation table) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organics |  |  |  |
| 14 | 3.84 •frag_organic[13] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (0.14- } \\ & \text { 4.17)•frag_organic[13] } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 16 | $0.107 \cdot$ frag_organic[17] | 0.107 •frag_organic[17] | $0.25 \cdot$ frag_organic[17] |
| 28 | $0.93 \cdot$ frag_organic[44] | $0.93 \cdot \mathrm{frag}$ _organic[44] | $1 \cdot$ frag_organic[44] |
| 30 | 0.16 -frag_organic[29] | $0.16 \cdot$ frag_organic[29] | 0.022 -frag_organic[29] |
| 36 | 36,-frag_air[36] | 36,-frag_air[36] |  |
| 37 | 37 | 37 | 37,-frag_chloride[37] |
| 38 | 38,-frag_air[38] | 38,-frag_air[38] | 38,-frag_chloride[38],frag_air[38] |
| 39 | $5 \cdot \mathrm{frag}$ _organic[38] | 5•frag_organic[38] |  |
| 40 | 40,-frag_air[40] | 40,-frag_air[40] |  |
| 46 | $0.025 \cdot$ frag_organic[44] | $0.025 \cdot$ frag_organic[44] |  |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |  |
| 48 | 4•frag_organic[62] | 0.19 $\cdot$ frag_organic[62] | $0.5 \cdot$ frag_organic[62] |
| 64 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[50]+0 \\ & \cdot \text { frag_organic[78] } \end{aligned}$ | $0.07 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[50]+0.0$ $3 \cdot \text { frag_organic[78] }$ | $0.5 \cdot$ frag_organic[50]+0.5 -frag_organic[78] |
| 65 | $0.55 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[51]+0$ <br> .15 frag_organic[79] | ```0.55 frag_organic[51]+0.1 frag_organic[79]``` | $0.5 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[51]+0.5$ -frag_organic[79] |
| 80 | $2 \cdot$ frag_organic[94] | 1.1-frag_organic[94] | $0.75 \cdot$ frag_organic[94] |
| 81 | $0.4 \cdot$ frag_organic [67] +0 . <br> $25 \cdot f r a g \_o r g a n i c[95]$ | ```0.3 frag_organic[67]+0.35 frag_organic[95]``` | $0.5 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[67]+0.5$ $\cdot \text { frag_organic[95] }$ |
| 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[84]+0 \\ & .55 \cdot \text { frag_organic }[112] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \cdot \text { frag_organic[84] }[0.7 \cdot \mathrm{f} \\ & \text { rag_organic[112] } \end{aligned}$ | $0.5 \cdot$ frag_organic [84] +0.5 -frag_organic[112] |
| air |  |  |  |
| 14 | 14,-frag_nitrate[14],frag_organic[14] | 14,-frag_nitrate[14],- frag_organic[14] | 14,-frag_nitrate[14] |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.77•frag_NH4[17] | 0.77•frag_NH4[17] | ```16,-frag_water[16],- frag_air[16],- frag_sulfate[16],- frag_organic[16]``` |


|  | $\mathbf{N O}_{3}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 46 | 46,-frag_organic[46] | 46,-frag_organic[46] | 46 |
|  | $\mathbf{K}$ |  |  |
| 39 | 39,-frag_organic[39] | 39,-frag_organic[39] | 39 |

## Correction for collection and transmission efficiency

The volumes derived from the two instruments SMPS and AMS were compared, applying the following densities in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ to the AMS species: $\sigma_{\mathrm{Org}}=1.4 ; \sigma_{\mathrm{SO} 4}=1.78 ; \sigma_{\mathrm{NO} 3}=1.72 ; \sigma_{\mathrm{NH} 4}=1.75$; $\sigma_{\mathrm{ChI}}=1.4$. The AMS data were corrected by applying the following correction factors (CF) to the organic mass concentration based on the ratio volume SMPs $^{\text {/volume }}{ }_{\text {AMS }}$. Possible reasons for a disagreement between SMPS and AMS are given in brackets.
$\mathrm{CF}=2.7$ for experiment 1 (volume weighted $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}=60-70 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
$\mathrm{CF}=1.5$ for experiment 2 (volume weighted $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}=70 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
$C F=(1.0-1.2)$ for experiments $3,4,5,6,8,9$ (volume weighted $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}=150-200 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
$\mathrm{CF}=1.5$ for experiment 7 (bouncing of sulfate)
For experiments 1 and 2, the volume weighted mean diameter is on the lower edge of the AMS measurement range and therefore the AMS samples significantly less than the SMPS. As all experiments except expt. 7 were nucleation experiments, the chemical composition is not expected to vary substantially as a function of diameter, relevant for expt. 1.

Table S 4: Slope of $\Delta \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} / \Delta(\mathrm{OH}$ exposure) for the period where aging dominates (see Fig. S 8 ) and the OH exposure required to increase $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$ by 0.05 .

| Expt. No. | $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} / \Delta(\mathrm{OH}$ exposure $)$ <br> $\left[10^{-9} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right]$ | $\Delta \mathrm{OH}$ exposure $/ \Delta \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$ <br> $\left[10^{7} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \cdot \mathrm{~h} / 0.05\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.20 | 24.50 |
| 3 | 0.35 | 14.43 |
| 8 | 0.84 | 5.96 |
| 1 | 0.93 | 5.37 |
| 2 | 1.10 | 4.55 |
| 9 | 1.11 | 4.50 |
| 6 | 1.52 | 3.29 |
| 7 | 1.57 | 3.18 |
| 5 | 1.63 | 3.07 |

We used the mass spectra (MS) measured after the first, second and third seeding period during blank experiment B 3 , representing different aging times and thus chemical composition, as input for the statistical tool ME-2 (multi-linear engine: model by Paatero et al. (1999), analysis interface by Canonaco et al. (2013) to estimate its contribution to the total organic mass concentration. For the ME-2 runs, the blank experiment mass spectrum was fixed (a-value: 0 ) and two more free components were allowed (similar to the approach of Lanz et al. (Lanz et al., 2007) for ambient measurements). 120 iterations ( 40 for each blank experiment MS) of the model using different randomly distributed initial values resulted in an average contribution of the constrained blank MS between 6.6-9.9\% in the first two hours up to $10-20 \%$ in the last three hours of experiment 4 with the lowest organic mass concentration. For the model runs, the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ range of 12-250 was used. 5 out of 40 iterations resulted in the following time series and mass spectra. The spectrum of blank experiment B3 was fixed as factor 1, shown in Fig. 1a and b. Fig. 1a shows a representative time series of the three factors found for experiment 4 . Factor 2 can be interpreted as early SOA product, while factor 3 represents the later SOA product. Figure 1b includes the mass spectra input (factor 1) and output from the ME-2 model runs.



Fig. S 1 a) Time series of the concentration of the three factors (factor 1: fixed blank B3 spectrum, factor $2+3$ : two free spectra) and $\mathbf{b}$ ) corresponding normalized mass spectra.
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Fig. S 2: Van Krevelen diagram (H:C vs. O:C ratio) of the nine experiments together with the observed range of ambient SOA represented by dashed lines (Ng et al., 2011a) and blank experiment B3. Data points represent 30 min averages, except for Expt. 4 (60min average). Arrows indicate the time when mass spectra for ME-2 model runs were taken.


Fig. S 3: Comparison of OH exposures derived from $\alpha$-pinene decay and butanol-d 9 decay for experiments where butanol-d9 was above detection limit throughout the entire experiment. The dashed line represents the 1:1 line.

## Retrieval of the OH exposure of experiment 1 and 2 from a repeat experiment

As the decay of $\alpha$-pinene in the beginning of experiment 1 and 2 was very rapid, using the $\alpha$ pinene method including the extrapolation to the whole experiment time leads to a possibly strong overestimation of the OH exposure. For this reason a repeat experiment was conducted which showed the same characteristics in $\alpha$-pinene decay, but with the OH tracer butanol-d9 present for the whole experiment time (See Fig. S 4). The repeat experiment resembles strongly experiment 2, which has the same initial $\alpha$-pinene concentration (14 ppbv). During experiment 1 (with an initial $\alpha$-pinene concentration of 7 ppbv ), the reactant decays within the same time. This lower initial $\alpha$-pinene concentration is also the reason for the lower $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ production. The replaced OH exposures derived from the $\alpha$-pinene decay for experiments 1 (black line) and 2 (turquoise line) are shown in the lower panel together with the OH exposure of the repeat experiment (purple line).


Fig. S 4: The $\alpha$-pinene and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ concentrations of experiment 1,2 and the repeat experiment are shown as a function of light exposure time (upper panel). The lower panel shows the OH concentration and exposure retrieved from the decay of the tracer butanol-d9, present during the repeat experiment as well as the OH exposures derived from the $\alpha$-pinene method, which were replaced by the repeat experiment for analysis.


Fig. S 5: OH exposures for the nine different experiments (color code) derived from the decay of $\alpha$-pinene, butanol-d 9 or a combination of both. The OH exposure of experiment 1 and 2 was derived from a repeat experiment.


Fig. S 6: The measured organic mass concentration (green) was fitted exponentially (black) for the last three hours of experiment 5 where wall loss dominates over organic mass production. This procedure results in a lower limit of the wall loss corrected organic mass concentration (purple).


Fig. S 7. 30-min averages (except expt. 4: 60 min ) of $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$ ratio vs. organic mass fraction $f_{44}$ (7a) and of hydrogen-to-carbon ratio vs. organic mass fraction $f_{43}(\mathbf{7 b})$ color coded for the nine different experiments; $2-\mathrm{min}$ data is represented by the grey dots. The linear regressions are compared to the fit of Aiken et al. (2008) and to the linear and polynomial fit in Ng et al. (2011a).


Fig. S 8: O:C ratio as a function of OH exposure for the nine smog chamber experiments. The data was fitted with a line for the period when aging dominates, i.e. after the peak of suspended organic mass is reached. The slopes of $\Delta \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C} / \Delta(\mathrm{OH}$ exposure) are shown in Table S 4 . An OH exposure between 3 and $25 \cdot 10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \cdot \mathrm{~h}$ is required to increase $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$ by 0.05 .


Fig. S 9: Squares of the Pearson correlation coefficients, $R^{2}$, of measured mass spectra in comparison with LV-OOA (filled symbols) and SV-OOA (empty symbols) reference spectra ( Ng et al., 2011b) as a function of the organic mass concentration (wlc). The correlation was performed on $30-\mathrm{min}$ averaged MS at specific OH exposures ( $\pm 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ) indicated by the color code. The corresponding mass spectra are presented in Fig. S 10.
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Fig. S 10. 30-min-averaged organic mass spectra of the nine experiments at OH exposures (if reached) of $2 \cdot, 4 \cdot, 6 \cdot, 8 \cdot$, and $11 \cdot 10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \cdot \mathrm{~h}( \pm 15 \mathrm{~min})$, together with reference LV-OOA and SV-OOA spectra from Ng et al. (2011b). The reference spectra were converted to the fragmentation table of Aiken et al. (2008) and normalized. Correlation tests of each spectrum with both reference spectra were performed, while $m / z$ 's directly proportional to $m / z 44$ and $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 's present in only one, the measured or reference spectrum, were excluded.

## References

Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 4478-4485, 2008.
Canonaco, F., Crippa, M., Slowik, J., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: A newly developed interface for analyzing generalized Multilinear engine (ME-2) results: Application on aerosol mass spectrometer data, in prep., 2013.
Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hueglin, C., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Source apportionment of submicron organic aerosols at an urban site by factor analytical modelling of aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1503-1522, 2007.

Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6465-6474, 2011a.
Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Zhang, Q., Ulbrich, I. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Real-Time Methods for Estimating Organic Component Mass Concentrations from Aerosol Mass Spectrometer Data, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 910-916, 2011b.
Paatero, P.: The multilinear engine - A table-driven, least squares program for solving multilinear problems, including the n-way parallel factor analysis model, Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 8, 854-888, 10.2307/1390831, 1999.

