1 <u>Supplemental Information for:</u>

2 Size distribution and temporal variation of biological aerosol particles in the

- Amazon rainforest characterized by microscopy and real-time UV-APS
 fluorescence techniques during AMAZE-08
- J. A. Huffman^{1,2*}, B. Sinha^{3,4}, R.M. Garland^{2%}, A. Snee-Pollmann^{3#}, S.S. Gunthe^{2&}, P. Artaxo⁵,
 S.T. Martin⁶, M.O. Andreae², and U. Pöschl²

9 ¹University of Denver, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Denver, CO, USA

²*Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany*

- ³*Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Particle Chemistry Department, Mainz, Germany*
- 12 ⁴ IISER Mohali, Department of Earth Sciences, S. A. S. Nagar, Manauli PO, India
- 13 ⁵*Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil*
- ⁶Harvard University, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences & Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences,
 Cambridge, MA, USA
- 16 [%]Now at Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Natural Resources and the Environment, Pretoria,
 17 South Africa

18 #Now at Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Pharma Research and Early Development, Penzberg, Germany

- ⁴Now at Indian Institute for Technology Madras, Department of Civil Engineering & EWRE Division, Chennai-36,
 India
- 21
- 22 **Corresponding author: alex.huffman@du.edu, +1 (303) 871-4404*
- 24 25

23

26 **S1.1 Filter period definition**

27

28	Sample #	Focus Period	Date/Time Range (LT)
29	M30	High Dust	29 Feb 13:58 – 1 Mar 13:50
30	M02	High Dust	1 Mar 13:53 – 2 Mar 17:15
31	M07	High Dust	7 Mar 16:05 – 8 Mar 16:00
32	M04	Low Dust	3 Mar 17:17 – 5 Mar 10:05
33	M08	Low Dust	8 Mar 16:15 – 9 Mar 15:53
34	M12	Low Dust	12 Mar 14:38 – 14 Mar 14:30

35

- Filter M02 was nominally within the High Dust focus period, but was not included here, because
- the temporal overlap between filter period and available UV-APS data was poor. Times are
- 38 listed as local time (LT).

39 <u>Plots:</u>

- 40 Figure S1. Comparison of particle size distributions for two individual samples (A: M04 and B: M08) from three
- 41 techniques. Each panel: (top) lactophenol cotton blue fungal stain, (middle) counts from SEM analysis, (bottom)
- 42 UV-APS. Colored areas are stacked plots. Middle panel: regions of solid color indicate uncoated particles, diagonal
- 43 bars indicate particles coated with organic material.
- 44
- 45 Figure S2. Diurnal cycles of FBAP and total particle concentrations for measurement campaign, contrasted to Fig. 8
- 46 showing data only from low dust period. Number and mass integrated 1-20 μm. (A) total number, (B) FBAP
- 47 number, (C) total mass, (D) FBAP mass. Time of day shown as LT Black line is the same in panels (A) and (B)
- 48 and (C) and (D), showing relative number and mass contribution, respectively, from fluorescent biological particles.
- 49 Mass is unit-normalized.
- 50
- 51 Figure S3. Diurnal patterns of three meteorological variables (downward solar flux, air temperature and relative
- 52 humidity) compared to integrated number concentration of coarse FBAP ($N_{\rm F,c}$). Axes of temperature and solar flux
- are reversed to show overlap of all parameters. Times are shown as LT.



56

57



58 59



61 Figure S3.

60