Supplement to: Projecting Future HFC-23 Emissions

B. R. Miller and L. J. M. Kuijpers

Correspondence to: B. R. Miller (Ben.R.Miller@NOAA.gov)

The following tables and figures provide the additional data used in modeling the three HFC-23 emissions scenarios (Reference Case, Less Mitigation and Best Practices) discussed in the main paper.

Table S1. HFC-23 emission projections for Reference Case (RC), Less Mitigation (LM) and Best Practices (BP) scenarios. Developed countries HFC-23 emissions, and developing countries HFC-23 production from HCFC-22 production for dispersive uses and feedstock uses are common components to all three scenarios. The RC and BP scenarios assume that CDM projects are renewed whereas the LM scenario assumes that CDMs are not renewed. Further, the BP scenario assumes that additional incineration is implemented globally to reduce emissions to virtually zero by 2020. Developed countries' HFC-23 emissions, developing countries' HFC-23 production from dispersive and feedstock production of HCFC-22, and CDM "non-released" amounts of HFC-23 for 1990-2008 are tabulated in Table 4 of Miller et al. (2010). Non-released HFC-23, which essentially equals the incinerated quantity, refers to that quantity produced in a given year by the CDM projects but not released to the atmosphere that same year, as defined by Miller et al. (2010). All quantities are given in ktonnes yr⁻¹.

| | | | | | | | | | Additional |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Developing | Developing | | | HFC-23 |
| | RC | | | Developed | countries HFC-23 | countries HFC-23 | CDM "non- | CDM "non- | incineration |
| | Global | LM Global | BP Global | countries | prod. from | prod. from | released" | released" | req'd for |
| | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | dispersive HCFC-22 | feedstock HCFC-22 | HFC-23 if | HFC-23 if no | zero |
| Year | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | Prod. | Prod. | renewed | renewal | emissions |
| 2009 | 9.13 | 9.13 | 9.13 | 1.37 | 10.92 | 5.09 | 8.26 | 8.26 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 11.34 | 11.54 | 11.34 | 2.39 | 12.34 | 4.86 | 8.26 | 8.06 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 13.29 | 13.49 | 13.29 | 2.40 | 13.76 | 5.39 | 8.26 | 8.06 | 0.00 |
| 2012 | 15.24 | 15.44 | 15.24 | 2.41 | 15.17 | 5.91 | 8.26 | 8.06 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 12.24 | 12.55 | 12.18 | 2.42 | 11.63 | 6.43 | 8.26 | 7.94 | 0.06 |
| 2014 | 12.77 | 16.88 | 12.52 | 2.43 | 11.63 | 6.96 | 8.26 | 4.14 | 0.25 |
| 2015 | 11.89 | 17.84 | 11.30 | 1.83 | 10.47 | 7.48 | 7.90 | 1.94 | 0.58 |
| 2016 | 13.26 | 20.08 | 10.95 | 1.85 | 10.47 | 8.14 | 7.20 | 0.38 | 2.31 |
| 2017 | 13.99 | 20.81 | 3.47 | 1.87 | 10.47 | 8.79 | 7.15 | 0.33 | 10.52 |
| 2018 | 14.96 | 21.78 | 2.79 | 1.89 | 10.47 | 9.45 | 6.86 | 0.04 | 12.17 |
| 2019 | 15.67 | 22.49 | 1.91 | 1.91 | 10.47 | 10.10 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 13.76 |
| 2020 | 13.05 | 19.87 | 0.00 | 1.55 | 7.56 | 10.76 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 13.05 |

A 1 1.4.

1

Table S1 (Con't.)

| | | | | | | | | | Additional |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Developing | Developing | | | HFC-23 |
| | RC | | | Developed | countries HFC-23 | countries HFC-23 | CDM "non- | CDM "non- | incineration |
| | Global | LM Global | BP Global | countries | prod. from | prod. from | released" | released" | req'd for |
| | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | HFC-23 | dispersive HCFC-22 | feedstock HCFC-22 | HFC-23 if | HFC-23 if no | zero |
| Year | emissions | emissions | emissions | emissions | Prod. | Prod. | renewed | renewal | emissions |
| 2021 | 13.79 | 20.61 | 0.00 | 1.57 | 7.56 | 11.48 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 13.79 |
| 2022 | 14.54 | 21.35 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 7.56 | 12.20 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 14.54 |
| 2023 | 15.28 | 22.10 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 7.56 | 12.93 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 15.28 |
| 2024 | 16.22 | 22.84 | 0.00 | 1.63 | 7.56 | 13.65 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 16.22 |
| 2025 | 13.18 | 19.80 | 0.00 | 1.65 | 3.78 | 14.37 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 13.18 |
| 2026 | 13.93 | 20.55 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 3.78 | 15.10 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 13.93 |
| 2027 | 14.80 | 21.30 | 0.00 | 1.69 | 3.78 | 15.83 | 6.50 | 0.00 | 14.80 |
| 2028 | 19.35 | 22.06 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 3.78 | 16.56 | 2.71 | 0.00 | 19.35 |
| 2029 | 21.94 | 22.81 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 3.78 | 17.29 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 21.94 |
| 2030 | 20.05 | 20.05 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 0.29 | 18.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.05 |
| 2031 | 20.80 | 20.80 | 0.00 | 1.76 | 0.29 | 18.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.80 |
| 2032 | 21.56 | 21.56 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 0.29 | 19.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.56 |
| 2033 | 22.31 | 22.31 | 0.00 | 1.81 | 0.29 | 20.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.31 |
| 2034 | 23.07 | 23.07 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 0.29 | 20.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.07 |
| 2035 | 23.82 | 23.82 | 0.00 | 1.85 | 0.29 | 21.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.82 |

Table S2. Comparison of assumptions regarding Ottinger Schaefer et al. (2006) and this study.

| Parameter | Ottinger Schaefer et al. (2006) | This Study |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Developing countries HCFC-22 | Follows GDP until 2015, then | (LM,RC,BP) Follows the 2006-2007 growth |
| dispersive production. | decline linearly to 2040 | rate $(+48.1 \text{ ktonnes yr}^{-2})$ until 2013, then |
| | | freeze at baseline and subsequently follow the |
| | | 2007 Montreal revised phase-out. |
| Developing countries HCFC-22 | Follows GDP (EIA 2001; World | (LM,RC,BP) Follows GDP (EIA, 2010). |
| feedstock production. | Bank 2001).` | |
| Developing countries HFC-23 | None | (LM) CDM projects not renewed. (RC) CDM |
| incineration | | projects renewed for full 21 yrs. (BP) CDM |
| | | projects renewed and extended or replaced |
| | | with an equivalent through 2030. |
| Developing countries HFC-23/HCFC-22 | Decreases from 3% in 1998 to 2% | (LM,RC,BP) Constant at mean (2006-2009) |
| co-production ratio. | by 2020. | CDM value of 2.942% |
| Developed countries HCFC-22 | Goes to zero by 2015 or 2020 | (LM,RC) HCFC-22 production, HFC- |
| dispersive production. | (country dependent). | 23/HCFC-22 co-production ratio and HFC-23 |
| Developed countries HCFC-22 | 2.5% annual increase. | incineration assumptions combined under |
| feedstock production. | | assumed HFC-23 emissions, which are |
| Developed countries HFC-23 | 100% abatement by 2020. | calculated as 2008 emissions (Miller et al., |
| incineration. | | 2010) scaled by the ratio of total HCFC-22 |
| Developed countries HFC-23/HCFC-22 | 2% | production (P_i/P_{2008}). HCFC-22 dispersive |
| co-production ratio. | | production follows the 2007 Montreal revised |
| | | schedule and feedstock production follows |
| | | GDP (EIA, 2010). Assumes a constant |
| | | fraction of incineration. (BP) Same as LM and |
| | | RC except 100% abatement phased in over ~ 6 |
| | | yrs. |



Figure S1. HCFC-22 production data of McCulloch (2004) and/or as reported to UNEP (2010) (both as tabulated in Miller et al., 2010) and the projections of HCFC-22 production used to create the Reference Case scenario in this study. Note that global feedstock production exceeds dispersive production by about 2015. The effect of the 2007 revisions to the Montreal Protocol, based on respective baselines for developed (non-A5) and developing (A5) countries, is illustrated to indicate the upper-limit of dispersive production. Developed countries baseline is defined as the 1989 ODP-weighted average production/consumption of the HCFCs plus 2.8% of the CFC production/consumption. Developing countries baseline is defined as the 2009/2010 ODP-weighted average production/consumption of the HCFCs. Note that feedstock projected growth is based on GDP projections (EIA, 2010) for developed countries and China, respectively.



Figure S2. The Less Mitigation (LM) scenario, with the projection of global emissions shown as a thick red dashed line, and components depicted analogous to that for the Reference Case (RC) scenario in Fig. 1. Note that in the LM scenario, the 7-year accredited CDM projects are not renewed after their first period, causing global emissions to rise more quickly during 2013-2029 than in the RC scenario.



Figure S3. The Best Practices (BP) scenario, with the projection of global emissions shown as a thick light-green dashed line, and components depicted analogous to that for the Reference Case (RC) scenario in Fig. 1. Note that in the BP scenario, additional incineration capacity is implemented globally and must continue to grow at a rate sufficient to virtually eliminate all emissions from HCFC-22 production facilities as feedstock production grows. Analogous to the time lag observed in the implementation of the CDM projects, a similar time lag is assumed in implementing the additional incineration.



Figure S4. Correlation of Gross Domestic Product (EIA, 2010) for Developed Countries (left and lower axes in red) and China (right and upper axes in blue) with their respective HCFC-22 production for feedstock use (UNEP 2010) for years 2005-2007. Lines represent linear regressions to obtain factors for converting GDP projections into feedstock production projections. Feedstock data for China was regressed directly. However, for the Developed Countries, the feedstock data exhibited large interannual variations. Rather that limit the information derived for Developed Countries to only 2005-2007 (when GDP estimates were available), we chose to first perform a linear regression on the Developed Country feedstock time series (1996 – 2008, inset in green) to better characterize the long term trend. These regressed feedstock time series data were then used in the correlation with Developed Country GDP (red).

References

McCulloch, A.: Incineration of HFC-23 Waste Streams for Abatement of Emissions from HCFC-22 Production: A Review of Scientific, Technical and Economic Aspects, commissioned by the UNFCCC secretariat to facilitate the work of the Methodologies Panel of the CDM Executive Board, Available online at http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/Background_240305.pdf, 2004.

UNEP: Production and Feedstock Production data for HCFC-22, 1989-2008, as reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol (UNEP Nairobi), March, 2010.

Miller, B. R., Rigby, M., Kuijpers, L. J. M., Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., Leist, M., Fraser, P. J., McCulloch, A., Harth, C., Salameh, P., Mühle, J., Weiss, R. F., Prinn, R. G., Wang, R. H. J., O'Doherty, S., Greally, B. R., and Simmonds, P. G.: HFC-23 (CHF₃) emission trend response to HCFC-22 (CHClF₂) production and recent HFC-23 emission abatement measures, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 10:13179-13217, doi:10.5194/acpd-10-13179-2010, 2010.

Ottinger Schaefer, D., Godwin, D. and Harnisch, J.: Estimating future emissions and potential reductions of HFCs, PFCs, and SF6. *Energy J Special Issue* 3:63–88, 2006.

UNFCCC: data in the Common Reporting Format, Available online at: http://unfccc.int/di/DetailedByParty.do, 2010.

EIA (2010) *International Energy Outlook 2010, Reference Case Projections*, Appendix A (U. S. Energy Information Administration) Available online at: http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/ieo/pdf/ieorefcase.pdf. 2010.