

Uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to Saharan dust, ambient urban aerosol and soot: a relative rate study

M. J. Tang, J. Thieser, G. Schuster, and J. N. Crowley

Max-Planck-Institute für Chemie, Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Mainz, Germany

Received: 2 December 2009 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 8 January 2010 Revised: 18 March 2010 – Accepted: 23 March 2010 – Published: 29 March 2010

Abstract. The uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to Saharan dust, ambient aerosols and soot was investigated using a novel and simple relative rate method with simultaneous detection of both NO₃ and N₂O₅. The use of cavity ring down spectroscopy to detect both trace gases enabled the measurements to be carried out at low mixing ratios (<500 pptv or 1×10^{10} molecule cm⁻³). The uptake coefficient ratio, $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5)$, was determined to be 0.9±0.4 for Saharan dust, independent of relative humidity, NO₃ or N₂O₅ mixing ratio and exposure time. Ambient (urban) aerosols showed a very limited capacity to take up N2O5 but were reactive towards NO₃ with γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) >15. A value of $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5) \sim 1.5-3$ was obtained when using candle generated soot. The relative rate obtained for Saharan dust can be placed on an absolute basis using our recently determined value of $\gamma(N_2O_5)=1\times 10^{-2}$ to give $\gamma(NO_3)=9\times 10^{-3}$, which is significantly smaller than the single previous value. With the present uptake coefficient, reaction of NO₃ with mineral dust will generally not contribute significantly to its NO₃ loss in the boundary atmosphere or to the nitration of mineral dust.

1 Introduction

 NO_3 radicals, generated mainly in the oxidation of NO_2 by O_3 (Reaction R1), are important reactive intermediates in the nocturnal atmosphere (Wayne et al., 1991). Due to reaction with NO_2 (Reaction R2a) and the thermal decomposition of the product (Reaction R2b), NO_3 and N_2O_5 concentrations are closely linked. The rapid daytime photolysis of NO_3 and



Correspondence to: J. N. Crowley (john.crowley@mpic.de)

reaction with NO prevent build up of significant NO_3 (and $N_2O_5)$ concentrations during the day.

$$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2 \tag{R1}$$

$$NO_2 + NO_3 + M \rightarrow N_2O_5 + M \tag{R2a}$$

$$N_2O_5 + M \rightarrow NO_3 + NO_2 + M \tag{R2b}$$

 NO_3 plays an important role in nocturnal oxidation of some organic trace gases including various alkenes and dimethyl sulphide (Atkinson et al., 2006). Reactions of NO_3 and N_2O_5 also impact on the partitioning of $NO_x(NO+NO_2)$ and NO_y (the sum of all nitrogen oxides), the removal of NO_x from the atmosphere and thus indirectly the budgets of nitrogen oxides, ozone, and OH radicals (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993; Evans and Jacob, 2005). The heterogeneous reactions of NO_3 and N_2O_5 are important for the formation of aerosol nitrate (see e.g. Zhang et al., 1994; Tang et al., 2004; Vrekoussis et al., 2006; Sullivan et al., 2007), and also the aging of ambient organic aerosols (Rudich, 2003).

Mineral dust, with a currently estimated emission of about 2000 Tg per year, constitutes a major component in atmospheric aerosols (Lunt and Valdes, 2002; Tegen et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2003), and has a significant impact on direct and indirect radiative forcing (Li et al., 1996; Sassen et al., 2003), and on heterogeneous removal of several trace gases (Dentener et al., 1996). The heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ with mineral dust and mineral dust proxies has been studied using bulk (Seisel et al., 2005; Karagulian et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2008) and dispersed samples (Mogili et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2008, 2009). Problems associated with extraction of accurate kinetic data from experiments using powdered or porous bulk samples are well documented (IUPAC, 2009) and arise from uncertainties in estimation of the surface area



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of experimental set-up. F1 (200), F₂ (300), F3 (5000), F4 (5000), and F5 (400) are mass flow controllers. The values in parentheses are typical flow rates in sccm. The heater was operated at 60-65 °C. The ethanol bath housing the N₂O₅ crystals was kept at -60 °C.

available for reaction. This can be largely overcome by using dispersed aerosol samples with appropriate techniques for particle sizing and counting, though uncertainties associated with effects of aspect ratio and particle shape remain for non-spherical particles.

In the present work we have developed a simple method to study the relative efficiency of uptake of NO_3 and N_2O_5 to Saharan dust particles at different humidities. Similar to relative-rate methods applied to the study of gas-phase reactions, kinetic data is obtained by comparing the relative rates of removal of two traces gases (in this case NO_3 and N_2O_5) with a further reactant (in this case a bulk sample). As both trace gases are exposed simultaneously to the same sample (and detected simultaneously) the available surface area is not required in the analysis to derive a relative uptake coefficient. Thus no assumptions about particle size, surface roughness or rate of diffusion in interstitial space need to be made. The only assumption is that both trace gases are well mixed and thus exposed to the same surface.

The main focus in this work was on the heterogeneous interaction of NO_3 and N_2O_5 with Saharan dust, though limited datasets were obtained with soot and ambient aerosols to illustrate the range (and limitations) of the method.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Instrumentation

The apparatus for relative uptake measurements (Fig. 1) consists of three main parts: NO_3 and N_2O_5 production, tracegas sample exposure and NO_3/N_2O_5 detection.

2.1.1 NO₃ and N₂O₅ generation

Gaseous N₂O₅ was eluted from a crystalline sample at $\sim 213 \text{ K}$ in a 200 cm³ (STD) min⁻¹ (henceforth "sccm") flow of He (F1) and diluted dynamically to generate a flow (\sim 500 sccm) containing several hundred pptv of N₂O₅. This was then passed through a section of PFA tubing heated to 60–65 °C to convert some (usually \sim 50%) of the N₂O₅ into NO_3 (Reaction R2b). The flow containing NO_3 and N_2O_5 was subsequently diluted further (F3+F4) by synthetic air to $10 \,\mathrm{dm^3}$ (STD) min⁻¹ (henceforth SLM), the relative humidity of which could be adjusted by changing the ratio of flows through F3 and F4. The concentration of NO3 and N_2O_5 could be readily changed by adjusting F5. The mixed NO3 and N2O5 sample generated in this manner should contain a similar amount of NO2. Previous work has showed that NO₂ interacts only weakly with mineral dust (the uptake coefficient is estimated to be less than 10^{-6} , IUPAC, 2009) and should not significantly affect our measurements. N₂O₅ crystals were generated as described previously in this group (Wagner et al., 2008). To reduce the danger of explosion, only small (<1 g) amounts were prepared without further distillation.

2.1.2 Trace gas – sample interaction

The total 10 SLM flow was directed through a clean, 2-µm pore Teflon (PTFE) membrane filter (Pal Teflo R2PJ047) held in a PFA filter-holder (referred to as the "blank" path) or through an aerosol-loaded Teflon filter (referred to as the "sample" path). The gas-flow could be alternately directed through each path via PFA valves and the two paths were the same in terms of tubing material and residence time, the only difference being the state of the filter. Saharan dust aerosols (aerodynamic diameter \sim 1 micron, for details see (Hanisch and Crowley, 2001; Wagner et al., 2008) were produced by a rotating brush generator and collected on Teflon filters. The mass of the aerosol deposited was recorded ($\sim 0.4-2$ mg) though this parameter is not required for the analysis. Ambient aerosols were sampled directly onto Teflon filters from outside of our lab in the second floor of the MPI Chemistry main building. A sampling flow rate of $1 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ over a typical sampling time of about 48 h was sufficient to collect between ~ 0.4 and 0.8 mg of aerosol. The filters were sealed and stored at 4 °C before use. As the MPI is close to busy roads and several large motorways pass through Mainz, the aerosol is expected to be mainly transport generated carbonaceous aerosol. No aerosol characterisation was carried out, though visual inspection confirmed the discolouration of the filter by dark (soot) particles. Freshly generated soot particles were sampled from the flame of a burning candle onto clean glass microscope slides before transfer to the PFA filters using a clean knife edge. The soot particles did not adhere well to the PFA filters and their weight was not obtained. The composition of soot generated using a candle flame is expected to depend on the burning mode of the candle and on chemical additives to the wax and wick (Pagels et al., 2009), hence the mass fractions of elemental carbon, inorganic and organic matter in our samples are not known.

2.1.3 Detection of NO₃ and N₂O₅

After exiting the filters the gas-mixture was directed into the two cavities of a Cavity Ring-Down spectrometer (CRD). The two-channel CRD instrument has been developed in this group chiefly as a field instrument and is described in detail elsewhere (Schuster et al., 2009; Crowley et al., 2010). Briefly, the CRD technique relies on measurement of the rate of decay of light exiting a high-finesse optical cavity to derive a ring-down constant (k_{rd}), which depends on scattering and absorption losses in the cavity. NO₃ is detected in its strong visible absorption band using a 662 nm laser-diode modulated at 100 Hz. The change in ring down times (Δk_{rd}) in the presence and absence of NO₃ (which was removed by titration with NO at regular intervals) is used to calculate the NO₃ concentration in an ambient temperature optical cavity through which 4 SLM of the total flow passed:

$$[NO_3] = \frac{\Delta k_{\rm rd} \cdot L}{\sigma(NO_3) \cdot c \cdot d} \tag{1}$$

where [NO₃] is the concentration of NO₃ (molecule cm⁻³), Δk_{rd} is the difference in the ring-down decay constant (s⁻¹) with and without NO₃, *L* is the distance between the cavity mirrors (70 cm), *d* is the length of the cavity which is filled with absorber, and *c* is the speed of light. σ (NO₃) is the effective NO₃ absorption cross section at the laser wavelength and was calculated using temperature dependent cross sections from Yokelson et al. (1994), Orphal et al. (2003) and Osthoff et al. (2007).

The rest of the flow (~ 6 SLM) was directed into a thermal converter in front of a second cavity, which were both held at 90 °C, so that all the N₂O₅ decomposed to NO₃. In this channel the sum of NO3 and N2O5 concentrations was measured. The losses of NO₃ and N₂O₅ through the clean filters and through the cavities have been determined frequently and are defined by a transmission efficiency through the Teflon filter of 90% for NO₃ and 99% for N_2O_5 . The wall loss rate constants in the NO₃ and the NO₃+N₂O₅ cavities were measured to be $0.241\pm0.011 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (1 δ) and $0.168\pm0.010 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (1δ) , respectively. With the total flow (and cavity pressures of \sim 600 Torr) used in these experiments, these loss coefficients correspond to transmission efficiencies of 81.6% for the NO₃ cavity and 83.8% for the NO₃+N₂O₅ cavity. The detection limits for NO₃ and N₂O₅ were a few pptv in \sim 3 s signal acquisition time, with a total measurement uncertainty of \sim 15%. As described below, in the present experiments only the change in concentration of NO₃ and N₂O₅ need be measured so that the 15% uncertainty in the absolute concentration is unimportant. The range of measurement of the relative change in concentration is limited only by signal noise, or fluctuations in the NO_3 and N_2O_5 concentrations

2.2 Data analysis

In the experimental determination of absolute uptake coefficients for a heterogeneous process, the number of collisions of the trace gas is usually calculated (based on gas-kinetic theory) and the net rate of change in concentration of the trace gas monitored during exposure of the trace gas to the surface of interest. The experimental uptake coefficient, γ , is the fraction of collisions that lead to removal of the trace gas from the gas-phase and is related to the experimental observables by:

$$\frac{d[X]}{dt} = \frac{\gamma \cdot \bar{c}}{4} \cdot \frac{a}{V} \cdot [X]$$
⁽²⁾

where [X] is the gas-phase concentration of species X (molecule cm⁻³), a/V is the surface area $(a, \text{ cm}^2)$ per volume $(V, \text{ cm}^3)$, \bar{c} is the mean molecular velocity of the trace gas (cm s⁻¹). By measuring fractional changes in concentration this becomes:

$$\frac{d[X]}{dt[X]} = k_{\exp} = \frac{\gamma \cdot \bar{c}}{4} \cdot \frac{a}{V}$$
(3)

implying that measurement of the experimental uptake coefficient requires accurate knowledge of the available surface area (a). As described in Sect. 1, for bulk powder samples this is non-trivial and is almost always the major source of uncertainty in heterogeneous kinetics. Different treatments such as use of geometric surface area, BET surface areas or application of pore-diffusion corrections can lead to uptake coefficients that deviate by orders of magnitude for the same reaction (Goodman et al., 2000; Underwood et al., 2000; Hanisch and Crowley, 2001).

For two well mixed trace gases, in this case NO_3 and N_2O_5 , interacting simultaneously with the same sample we have:

$$k_{\exp}(\mathrm{NO}_3) = \frac{\gamma(\mathrm{NO}_3) \cdot \bar{c}(\mathrm{NO}_3)}{4} \cdot \frac{a}{V}$$
(4)

$$k_{\exp}(N_2O_5) = \frac{\gamma(N_2O_5) \cdot \bar{c}(N_2O_5)}{4} \cdot \frac{a}{V}$$
(5)

where

$$-k_{\exp}(X) = \frac{(\ln[X]_0 - \ln[X]_s)}{t}$$
(6)

and $[X]_0$ and $[X]_s$ are the concentrations of trace gas measured via the blank line and sample line, respectively. In the relative rate method, the parameters *a*, *V* and *t* are unchanged for both NO₃ and N₂O₅. By rearranging Eqs. (E4)–(E6) we derive:

$$\frac{\gamma(\text{NO}_3)}{\gamma(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)} = \frac{\ln[\text{NO}_3]_0 - \ln[\text{NO}_3]_s}{\ln[\text{N}_2\text{O}_5]_0 - \ln[\text{N}_2\text{O}_5]_s} \cdot \frac{\bar{c}(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)}{\bar{c}(\text{NO}_3)}$$



Fig. 2. NO₃ and N_2O_5 mixing ratios observed when the gas mixture was passed through the blank and sample filters. Upper panel: a clean (control) filter was used in the sample path; lower panel: a filter loaded with 1.15 mg of Saharan dust was used in the sample path.

The ratio of molecular velocities (last term on right-hand side of equation) is calculated from the ratio of the square roots of the molecular masses of N_2O_5 and NO_3 and is 0.758.

In the present set-up, the relative change in NO_3 and N_2O_5 gas-phase concentration was measured as the trace gases passed through filters loaded with reactive particles. As described above, two filters/filter holders were used, one was used as blank and one containing the sample. As seen in Fig. 1, the gas-flows were directed through different sections of tubing on route to the CRD. Control experiments, in which both filter-holders contained fresh, un-reactive filters were conducted regularly. The result of passing a flow containing ~ 180 pptv NO₃ and 160 pptv N₂O₅ through the "blank" path or the "sample" path without aerosol on the filter are shown in Fig. 2. Some losses (less than 5% and quite stable) of both NO₃ and N₂O₅ are observed, showing that, despite the absence of sample on the filter, the tubing/filter holder in the "sample" path is slightly more reactive than in the "blank" path. Values of $[NO_3]_s$ and $[N_2O_5]_s$ obtained in the presence of a sample were always corrected for this effect. We note that this loss of NO₃ and N₂O₅ in the absence of a sample places a lower limit of $\sim 10\%$ on the change of concentration of NO₃ and N₂O₅ that can be accurately measured.

In a relative uptake experiment (i.e. with a loaded sample filter), the gas mixture was first switched through the blank filter, and $[NO_3]_0$ and $[N_2O_5]_0$ were measured by the CRD. The flow was then switched to the sample path, to monitor $[NO_3]_s$ and $[N_2O_5]_s$. During the experiment, the flow was regularly switched back to the blank path (e.g. at 8, 16, 24, 32 and 39 min in the lower panel of Fig. 2) to determine whether $[NO_3]_0$ and $[N_2O_5]_0$ were both stable over time. Only the datasets in which $[NO_3]_0$ and $[N_2O_5]_0$ fulfilled this requirement were used.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Saharan dust

The uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to Saharan dust was the main focus of this work and experiments were carried out with different dust loadings and relative humidities (RH). In order to observed a measurable change in the NO₃/N₂O₅ mixing ratios, dust samples in excess of \sim 0.5 mg were required.

The uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to 1.15 mg of Saharan dust at RH=0% is displayed in Fig. 2 (lower panel). NO₃ and N_2O_5 mixing ratios decrease rapidly when the gas flow is passed through the dust-loaded filter, indicating substantial interaction. The initial change in mixing ratio is from ~ 180 to 40 pptv for NO₃ and from 160 to 60 pptv for N_2O_5 . Both NO₃ and N₂O₅ signals did however increase again during the ~ 40 min of exposure, presumably due to a reduction in rate of uptake as the number of reactive surface sites depletes as reaction progresses. Using a BET surface area of $39.6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for our Saharan dust (Wagner et al., 2008) we calculate a total sample surface area of \sim 450 cm², which corresponds to about 1×10^{17} surface sites (assuming each site for NO₃ and N₂O₅ is about 5×10^{-15} cm² in area). Over an exposure period of ~ 40 min, the sum of molecules of NO₃ and N₂O₅ which passed through the filter is $\sim 3 \times 10^{15}$. Contrary to observation, the number of NO3 and N2O5 molecules is thus calculated to be insufficient to deactivate a significant fraction of all adsorption/reaction sites in this Saharan dust sample. However, this simple calculation assumes that the entire BET surface area is available for NO3 and N2O5 uptake. As the filters were loaded with aerosol at relatively slow flow rates (0.8 SLM) the area of the filter containing dust was larger than that through which the NO₃/N₂O₅ gasflow (\sim 5 SLM) passed during an uptake experiment. Visual inspection of aerosol deposits at high flow rates suggest that flow dynamics leads to only a small area ($\sim 1 \text{ cm}^2$) of the total filter was used. This implies that the dust area (and thus mass) available for reaction was actually smaller than given for each sample, though the exact factor remains unknown. Indeed, we find that the rate of deactivation of the dust surface is independent of the sample mass, confirming that only a small fraction of the mass (presumably that fraction located on the filter directly under the 1/4'' inlet) is available.

Time dependent uptake coefficients (decreasing with exposure time), indicating consumption of reactive sites on bulk mineral dust samples have been reported previously for the reaction with N_2O_5 (Seisel et al., 2005; Karagulian et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2008) and also with NO_3 (Karagulian and Rossi, 2005). The Knudsen reactor studies of Seisel et al. (2005) and Karagulian et al. (2005, 2006) used orders of magnitude larger NO_3 and N_2O_5 concentrations, but generally also much larger sample masses in the 100–1000 mg range. Those studies were also carried out using very dry samples, with relative humidities close to 0% and were not able to investigate the role of H_2O in the reactivation of the



Fig. 3. Uptake coefficient ratios for NO_3 and N_2O_5 uptake to Saharan dust particles at four different relative humidities. The sample masses used in each experiment are also indicated.

dust sample due to surface reorganisation of (soluble) nitrate containing sites. The phenomenon of reactivation is anticipated to take place in the atmosphere as evidenced by measurements of a substantial nitrate mass fraction (and loss of carbonate) in chemically aged mineral dust particles implying that (on sufficiently long time scales) reaction with acidic trace gas is not confined to the initially available surface following dust mobilisation (see e.g. Carmichael et al., 1996 or Matsuki et al., 2005). This has been confirmed qualitatively in laboratory experiments on CaCO₃ (Krueger et al., 2003). In our study, surface saturation/deactivation also occurred at relative humidities up to 70% and at approximately the same rate as at 0% RH. A deactivation rate which is independent of RH may simply indicate that the rate limiting step in surface reorganisation (e.g. nitrate dissolution and re-crystallization) takes place over longer time scales than the 40 min duration of the experiment.

Although the rates of uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ decreased with time, the uptake coefficient ratio, γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅), changed only slightly or insignificantly during the experiment as shown in Fig. 3, which summarises results for Saharan dust at different relative humidities and different masses of dust. A slight decrease in the γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) was observed for most samples (generally less than 15%) though this is close to the minimum in discernable change in γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) above the experimental noise. For this reason, average (exposure independent) values of γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) are listed in Table 1. Also apparent from Fig. 3, is the fact that the value of γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) did not change systematically with relative humidity between 0 and 70%. Within our experimental uncertainty, we can state that the uptake coefficient does not change by more than 50% within this RH range. Initially, this result may appear unexpected, as heterogeneous reactions of N_2O_5 are generally considered to be driven by hydrolysis (Mentel et al., 1999; Thornton et al., 2003), whereas the reaction of NO_3 should not depend on available surface H_2O , but on the number of oxidisible sites.

On the other hand, our result substantiates our previous report that the uptake coefficient for N₂O₅ with the same Saharan dust sample is independent of the relative humidity (up to 58%) and close to 1×10^{-2} , which is similar to the value found on water. We also found no significant change in $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5)$ upon varying the absolute mixing ratios of NO₃ and N₂O₅ within the range indicated in Table 1. The ratio of NO₃ to N₂O₅ concentration was also varied by a factor of ~ three, with no measurable change in the relative uptake coefficient. This is related to the use of relatively low concentrations of both reactants, which (at least at low exposure times) will not modify the surface reactivity significantly.

The results were generally consistent from experiment to experiment (using slightly different aerosol masses), the exception being the data set displayed in panel (a) where ratios of 0.75 and 1.3 were obtained. Taking this as an indication of the maximum variability, for all data sets we derive an average uptake coefficient ratio of $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5)=0.9\pm0.4$, independent of concentration of NO₃ or N₂O₅ and independent of the relative humidity.

Particle type	RH (%)	NO ₃ (pptv)	N_2O_5 (pptv)	Particle mass (mg)	$\gamma(\mathrm{NO}_3)/\gamma(\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_5)^a$
	0	200	160	0.43	с
Saharan dust	0	630	340	0.53	с
	0	175	155	1.15	1.33 ± 0.15
	0	560	320	2.15	$0.78 {\pm} 0.09$
	23	335	440	1.04	$0.80{\pm}0.07$
	23	360	430	1.48	$0.77 {\pm} 0.07$
	46	220	320	0.71	1.01 ± 0.13
	46	320	410	0.89	$1.04{\pm}0.14$
	70	365	200	1.09	$0.91{\pm}0.14$
	70	360	165	1.67	$0.73 {\pm} 0.13$
Soot	0	295	190	b	1.2–1.8 ^d
	0	510	370	b	$2.31 {\pm} 0.26$
Ambient aerosols	0	450	310	0.36	> 15
	0	450	360	0.55	> 15
	0	450	390	0.80	> 15

Table 1. Uptake coefficient ratios and experimental conditions.

^aThe errors (1σ) are statistical only. ^bNot measured. ^cUptake coefficient ratios were not reported due to the relative small change in [NO₃] and [N₂O₅]. ^dtime dependent, see text for details.

This result is the first measurement of a relative uptake coefficient for NO3 and N2O5 to any surface but can be compared to absolute values for $\gamma(NO_3)$ and $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ obtained using similar samples. Uptake coefficients for NO₃ (Karagulian and Rossi, 2005) and N₂O₅ (Karagulian et al., 2006) with Saharan dust are presented in different publications but were obtained by the same group using the same Knudsen reactor method. From the uptake coefficients (both initial and steady state) reported by Karagulian et al. ([NO₃]= 4×10^{12} and [N₂O₅]= 3.8×10^{12} molecules cm⁻³) we calculate $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5) \sim 1.8$ for the initial uptake and a steady state value of ~ 1.1 . Experiments with $[NO_3]=7\times10^{11}$ and $[N_2O_5]=4\times10^{11}$ molecules cm⁻³, resulted in values of $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5) \sim 0.8$ (initial uptake) and ~ 0.6 (steady state uptake). Considering the large uncertainties in uptake coefficients of heterogeneous reactions, the ratios directly measured in present work agree well with the values calculated from the previous absolute studies (Karagulian and Rossi, 2005; Karagulian et al., 2006).

Combining our relative measurement with the absolute value of $\gamma(N_2O_5)=1\times10^{-2}$ that we determined using the same Saharan dust sample (Wagner et al., 2008) for RH up to ~60% we derive a value of $\gamma(NO_3)=9\times10^{-3}$ with an uncertainty that stems mainly from uncertainty in our absolute value which is up to a factor of two. This value is a factor of ~10–20 lower than the uptake coefficient of 0.1–0.2 reported by (Karagulian and Rossi, 2005) using bulk samples of Saharan dust. The large difference in these results is most likely related to the use of Karagulian and Rossi (2005) of the geometric surface area of the sample to calculate the uptake coefficient, which must result in an upper limit.

3.2 Ambient aerosols

The underlying intention behind conducting experiments using ambient aerosol was to establish the operational range of the relative method, so that a sample with a relative reactivity not close to unity was sought. The uptake of N2O5 to ambient surfaces can be important for the night-time NO_x budget, whereas NO₃ is generally though to be lost less rapidly to aerosol, its lifetime usually dominated by gas-phase reactions. Carrying out relative uptake experiments on real samples could thus provide a means to characterise the aerosol "reactivity", with a low value of $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5)$ expected for aqueous, acidic inorganic particles and a larger value if the particles were coated with organics or nitrates, which tend to reduce the uptake coefficient for N₂O₅ (Mentel et al., 1999; Hallquist et al., 2000; Folkers et al., 2003; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Cosman et al., 2008; Griffiths et al., 2009).

The interaction of NO₃ and N₂O₅ with ambient aerosols was investigated at RH=0% only. Raw data from the uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to three ambient aerosol samples are displayed in Fig. 4. The behaviour is quite different to that of Saharan dust, with a relatively small uptake of N₂O₅ compared to NO₃. In the top panel of Fig. 4, the N₂O₅ mixing ratio initially decreased slightly from ~380 to 360 pptv upon exposure, whereas the NO₃ mixing ratio plummeted from 450 to about 50 ppt. The small change in the mixing ratio of N₂O₅ is close to that detectable with our instrument (noise levels in the N₂O₅ detection channel are ~20 ppt) so that we report only a lower limit of γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅) >15. Laboratory experiments have shown orders of magnitude larger efficiency of uptake of NO₃ to large, unsaturated organic species (e.g. such as oleic acid) compared to N₂O₅ (Gross et al., 2009) and we expect that a significant organic fraction is responsible for the large NO₃ uptake observed here. During a recent field campaign (Crowley et al., 2010) using similar filters (but exposed to ambient air for only 1 h) we also noticed an occasional loss of NO₃ on the filter, (but never loss of N₂O₅) and concluded that the aerosol at the rural measurement site was largely organic or coated with organic compounds. As mentioned above, the dark colour of the urban aerosol sampled at the institute indicates a significant mass fraction of elemental carbon, though it is possible that during generation and through aging this has become coated with organics.

A further difference between the uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to the ambient aerosol compared to the Saharan dust was the relatively slow deactivation of the NO₃ uptake despite the use of higher NO₃ mixing ratios. The high reactivity and large capacity is further evidence for an important role for unsaturated organic components, which are very reactive to NO₃ (Moise et al., 2002; Gross and Bertram, 2009). More insight into the relative reactivity of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on ambient aerosols would be gained by sampling from widely different environments (urban, rural, marine etc). This is beyond the scope of the present publication, which focuses on mineral dust, but will be explored in future studies.

3.3 Soot particles

As indicated above, the ambient aerosols sampled at the institute contained an elemental carbon fraction. A limited set of experiments (two) was therefore carried out to examine the interaction of NO₃ and N₂O₅ with carbonaceous aerosol, at RH=0%. The results displayed in Fig. 5 (top left) indicate that the soot particles were reactive to both NO₃ (initially at \sim 300 pptv decreasing to 30 pptv upon exposure) and N₂O₅ (decreasing from \sim 180 to 50 ppt). In this sample there is evidence for a more rapid rate of surface deactivation for N2O5 than NO₃, with the ratio $\gamma(NO_3)/\gamma(N_2O_5)$ increasing from \sim 1.5 to 2.5 over the 30 min of exposure (top right panel). This contrasts the behaviour seen for Saharan dust. A change in the relative uptake coefficient with exposure indicates different modes of reactivity for NO3 and N2O5 as might be expected if NO₃ is reacting with unsaturated hydrocarbons and N₂O₅ is undergoing hydrolysis The second soot sample (bottom, left panel) showed somewhat different behaviour, with no apparent saturation of either NO₃ or N₂O₅ losses during the 30 min of exposure. Also, the ratio of uptake coefficient was larger, favouring NO3 uptake more strongly. The sampling of soot from a candle flame is a haphazard process and we attribute the different reactivity of the two soot samples to chaotic sampling from steady burning and flickering flames, which lead to very different chemical characteristics of the soot and different organic/inorganic contents (Pagels et al., 2009). A lack of characterisation of our soot samples



Fig. 4. Uptake of NO_3 and N_2O_5 to three different masses of filtersampled ambient aerosol at RH=0%.

means that no real quantitative comparison can be made with results from other studies. We simply note that previous experiments support a larger uptake coefficient for NO_3 than N_2O_5 (Saathoff et al., 2001; Karagulian and Rossi, 2007).

4 Summary and atmospheric implications

We have investigated the relative rates of uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to Saharan dust at various relative humidities and made some exploratory experiments on the relative uptake to ambient aerosols sampled from outside of our laboratory and candle generated soot. The uptake coefficients ratio for Saharan dust, γ (NO₃)/ γ (N₂O₅), was determined to be 0.9±0.4, indicating similar reactivity for NO3 and N2O5 and resulting in an uptake coefficient of $\gamma(NO_3)=9\times10^{-3}$ with an associated uncertainty of at least factor of two. The limited set of experiments with poorly characterised soot and ambient aerosols allows no comparison to be made with previous work or quantitative conclusions to be made regarding their role in the atmosphere. Of interest however is the relatively large uptake of NO₃ compared to N₂O₅ to the urban aerosol sampled at our institute and this will be explored in future applications. The experiments on soot and ambient aerosol



Fig. 5. Raw data (left-side panels) and uptake coefficient ratios (right-side panels) for NO3 and N2O5 uptake to candle-generated soot.

also illustrate that a range of relative uptake coefficients can be made using the method and that samples with a maximum factors of ~ 10 differences in reactivity for uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ can be examined. The maximum (or minimum) measurable change in concentration could be improved by increasing the stability of the NO₃/N₂O₅ source and reducing the noise in the N₂O₅ channel.

For Saharan dust, our measurements are only the second data set for the uptake of NO₃. An important role for this reaction has been proposed based on an uptake coefficient of close to 0.1 (Karagulian and Rossi, 2005) which is more than a factor of 10 larger than we derive here. Assuming an average mineral dust particle diameter of 1 µm and a density of 2.7 g cm⁻³, and neglecting diffusive limitations to mass transport, the lifetime (τ , in s) of a trace gas with respect to the reaction with dust can be estimated using the following expression (Wagner et al., 2008):

$$\tau (\text{NO}_3 + \text{dust}) = \frac{4}{\gamma (\text{NO}_3) \cdot \bar{c} \cdot M} \cdot 4.5 \times 10^7 \tag{7}$$

where $\gamma(NO_3)$ is the uptake coefficient, \bar{c} is the average molecular speed in cm s⁻¹, and *M* is the atmospheric dust loading in µg m⁻³. Using the value of $\gamma(NO_3)$ from this work and incorporating \bar{c} =31800 cm s⁻¹ at 296 K a mineral dust concentration of about 20 µg m⁻³ (dust-impacted background atmosphere) would result in a NO₃ lifetime with respect to dust uptake of about 9 h. The lifetime of NO₃ with respect to other direct losses in the nocturnal boundary (e.g. reaction with organics) is very variable but usually less than 1 h. Only at dust loadings of a few 100 µg and above (dust plume) would loss of NO₃ to mineral dust contribute significantly to its lifetime. On the other hand, NO₃ lifetimes in the free troposphere are significantly larger (Aliwell and Jones, 1998; Allan et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2007) due to the reduction in mixing ratio of surface emitted reactants. As a significant fraction of dust is transported above the boundary layer (typically 2-6 km), the lifetime of NO₃ entrained in dust plumes at such altitudes could be significantly impacted. From the point of view of dust composition, the rate of nitration of a dust surface (leading e.g. to higher solubility) by NO₃ can be contrasted to that by N_2O_5 and HNO₃. We have shown here that the uptake coefficients for NO3 and N_2O_5 reacting with dust are similar. N_2O_5 concentrations are however generally larger than NO₃ concentrations (especially at the lower temperatures of the free troposphere) so that nitration by N₂O₅ would be expected to dominate. Similarly, HNO₃ is usually present at significantly higher mixing ratios than NO₃ (both day and night) and also has a larger uptake coefficient for uptake to mineral dust (IUPAC, 2009). Modelling studies would be useful in constraining the role of NO₃ interaction with mineral dust in different parts of the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements. This work was financed by the International Max-Planck-Research school at the MPI in Mainz and the Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft (DFG-INSU/CNRS, "Atmochem – CR246/1-1").

The service charges for this open access publication have been covered by the Max Planck Society.

Edited by: J. Thornton

References

- Aliwell, S. R. and Jones, R. L.: Measurements of tropospheric NO₃ at midlatitude, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 5719–5727, 1998.
- Allan, B. J., Plane, J. M. C., Coe, H., and Shillito, J.: Observations of NO₃ concentration profiles in the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4588, doi:10.1029/2002jd002112, 2002.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and IU-PAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II –gas phase reactions of organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3625–4055, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3625/2006/.
- Brown, S. S., Dube, W. P., Osthoff, H. D., Stutz, J., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Warneke, C., De Gouw, J. A., Atlas, E., Neuman, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Angevine, W. M., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Vertical profiles in NO₃ and N₂O₅ measured from an aircraft: Results from the NOAA P-3 and surface platforms during the New England Air Quality Study 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D22304, doi:10.1029/2007jd008893, 2007.
- Carmichael, G. R., Zhang, Y., Chen, L.-L., Hong, M.-S., and Ueda, H.: Seasonal variation of aerosol composition at Cheju island, Korea, Atmos. Environ., 30, 2407–2416, 1996.
- Cosman, L. M., Knopf, D. A., and Bertram, A. K.: N₂O₅ reactive uptake on aqueous sulfuric acid solutions coated with branched and straight-chain insoluble organic surfactants, J. Phys. Chem. A., 112, 2386–2396, 2008.
- Crowley, J. N., Schuster, G., Pouvesle, N., Parchatka, U., Fischer, H., Bonn, B., Bingemer, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Nocturnal nitrogen oxides at a rural mountain-site in south-western Germany, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2795–2812, 2010,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/2795/2010/.

- Dentener, F. J., Carmichael, G. R., Zhang, Y., Lelieveld, J., and Crutzen, P. J.: Role of mineral aerosol as a reactive surface in the global troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 22869–22889, 1996.
- Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Reaction of N_2O_5 on tropospheric aerosols Impact on the global distributions of NO_x , O_3 , and OH, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 7149–7163, 1993.
- Evans, M. J. and Jacob, D. J.: Impact of new laboratory studies of N₂O₅ hydrolysis on global model budgets of tropospheric nitrogen oxides, ozone, and OH, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L09813, doi:10.1029/2005GL022469, 2005.
- Folkers, M., Mentel, T. F., and Wahner, A.: Influence of an organic coating on the reactivity of aqueous aerosols probed by the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1644, doi:10.1029/2003GL017168, 2003.

- Goodman, A. L., Underwood, G. M., and Grassian, V. H.: A laboratory study of the heterogeneous reaction of nitric acid on calcium carbonate particles, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 29053–29064, 2000.
- Griffiths, P. T., Badger, C. L., Cox, R. A., Folkers, M., Henk, H. H., and Mentel, T. F.: Reactive uptake of N₂O₅ by aerosols containing dicarboxylic acids. Effect of particle phase, composition, and nitrate content, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 5082–5090, 2009.
- Gross, S. and Bertram, A. K.: Products and kinetics of the reactions of an alkane monolayer and a terminal alkene monolayer with NO₃ radicals, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D02307, doi:10.1029/2008JD010987, 2009.
- Gross, S., Iannone, R., Xiao, S., and Bertram, A. K.: Reactive uptake studies of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on alkenoic acid, alkanoate, and polyalcohol substrates to probe nighttime aerosol chemistry, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 7792–7803, 2009.
- Hallquist, M., Stewart, D. J., Baker, J., and Cox, R. A.: Hydrolysis of N_2O_5 on submicron sulfuric acid aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 3984–3990, 2000.
- Hanisch, F. and Crowley, J. N.: The heterogeneous reactivity of gaseous nitric acid on authentic mineral dust samples, and on individual mineral and clay mineral components, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 3, 2474–2482, 2001.
- IUPAC, Subcommittee for gas kinetic data evaluation: (Ammann, M., Atkinson, R., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Mellouki, W., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J. and Wallington, T. J.) Evaluated kinetic data: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch. cam.ac.uk/,, 2009.
- Karagulian, F. and Rossi, M. J.: The heterogeneous chemical kinetics of NO₃ on atmospheric mineral dust surrogates, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 7, 3150–3162, 2005.
- Karagulian, F. and Rossi, M. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry of the NO₃ free radical and N₂O₅ on decane flame soot at ambient temperature: Reaction products and kinetics, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 1914–1926, 2007.
- Karagulian, F., Santschi, C., and Rossi, M. J.: The heterogeneous chemical kinetics of N_2O_5 on CaCO₃ and other atmospheric mineral dust surrogates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1373–1388, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1373/2006/.

- Krueger, B. J., Grassian, V. H., Laskin, A., and Cowin, J. P.: The transformation of solid atmospheric particles into liquid droplets through heterogeneous chemistry: Laboratory insights into the processing of calcium containing mineral dust aerosol in the troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1148, doi:10.1029/2002GL016563, 2003.
- Li, X., Maring, H., Savoie, D., Voss, K., and Prospero, J. M.: Dominance of mineral dust in aerosol light-scattering in the North Atlantic trade winds, Nature, 380, 416–419, 1996.
- Lunt, D. J. and Valdes, P. J.: The modern dust cycle: Comparison of model results with observations and study of sensitivities, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4669, doi:10.1029/2002JD002316, 2002.
- Luo, C., Mahowald, N. M., and del Corral, J.: Sensitivity study of meteorological parameters on mineral aerosol mobilization, transport, and distribution, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4447, doi:10.1029/2003JD003483, 2003.
- Matsuki, A., Iwasaka, Y., Shi, G. Y., Zhang, D. Z., Trochkine, D., Yamada, M., Kim, Y. S., Chen, B., Nagatani, T., Miyazawa, T., Nagatani, M., and Nakata, H.: Morphological and chemical modification of mineral dust: Observational insight into the

heterogeneous uptake of acidic gases, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L22806, doi:10.1029/2005GL0244176, 2005.

- Mentel, T. F., Sohn, M., and Wahner, A.: Nitrate effect in the heterogeneous hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide on aqueous aerosols, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 5451–5457, 1999.
- Mogili, P. K., Kleiber, P. D., Young, M. A., and Grassian, V. H.: N₂O₅ hydrolysis on the components of mineral dust and sea salt aerosol: Comparison study in an environmental aerosol reaction chamber, Atmos. Environ., 40, 7401–7408, 2006.
- Moise, T., Talukdar, R. K., Frost, G. J., Fox, R. W., and Rudich, Y.: Reactive uptake of NO₃ by liquid and frozen organics, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D2), L05811, doi:10.1029/2001JD000334, 2002.
- Orphal, J., Fellows, C. E., and Flaud, P. M.: The visible absorption spectrum of NO₃ measured by high-resolution Fourier transform spectroscopy, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4077, doi:10.1029/2002JD002489, 2003.
- Osthoff, H. D., Pilling, M. J., Ravishankara, A. R., and Brown, S. S.: Temperature dependence of the NO₃ absorption cross-section above 298 K and determination of the equilibrium constant for NO₃+NO₂ < >N₂O₅ at atmospherically relevant conditions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 9, 5785–5793, 2007.
- Pagels, J., Wierbicka, A., Nilsson, E., Isaxon, C., Dahl, A., Gudmundsson, A., Swietlicki, E., and Bohgard, M.: Chemical composition and mass emission factors of candle smoke particles, J. Aerosol Sci., 40, 193–208, 2009.
- Rudich, Y.: Laboratory perspectives on the chemical transformations of organic matter in atmospheric particles, Chem. Rev., 103, 5097–5124, 2003.
- Saathoff, H., Naumann, K. H., Riemer, N., Kamm, S., Mohler, O., Schurath, U., Vogel, H., and Vogel, B.: The loss of NO₂, HNO₃, NO₃/N2O₅, and HO₂/HOONO₂ on soot aerosol: A chamber and modeling study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1957–1960, 2001.
- Sassen, K., DeMott, P. J., Prospero, J. M., and Poellot, M. R.: Saharan dust storms and indirect aerosol effects on clouds: CRYSTAL-FACE results, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1633, doi:10.1029/2003GL017371, 2003.
- Schuster, G., Labazan, I., and Crowley, J. N.: A cavity ring down/cavity enhanced absorption device for measurement of ambient NO₃ and N₂O₅, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 1–13, 2009, http://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/2/1/2009/.
- Seisel, S., Börensen, C., Vogt, R., and Zellner, R.: Kinetics and mechanism of the uptake of N2O5 on mineral dust at 298 K, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3423–3432, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3423/2005/.
- Sullivan, R. C., Guazzotti, S. A., Sodeman, D. A., and Prather, K. A.: Direct observations of the atmospheric processing of Asian mineral dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1213–1236, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1213/2007/.

- Tang, Y. H., Carmichael, G. R., Kurata, G., Uno, I., Weber, R. J., Song, C. H., Guttikunda, S. K., Woo, J. H., Streets, D. G., Wei, C., Clarke, A. D., Huebert, B., and Anderson, T. L.: Impacts of dust on regional tropospheric chemistry during the ACE-Asia experiment: A model study with observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109(D19), D19S21, doi:10.1029/2003JD003806, 2004.
- Tegen, I., Harrison, S. P., Kohfeld, K., Prentice, I. C., Coe, M., and Heimann, M.: Impact of vegetation and preferential source areas on global dust aerosol: Results from a model study, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4576, doi:10.1026/2001JD000963, 2002.
- Thornton, J. A. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: N₂O₅ reaction on submicron sea salt aerosol: Kinetics, products, and the effect of surface active organics, J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 10004–10012, 2005.
- Thornton, J. A., Braban, C. F., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: N₂O₅ hydrolysis on sub-micron organic aerosols: the effect of relative humidity, particle phase, and particle size, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 4593–4603, 2003.
- Underwood, G. M., Li, P., Usher, C. R., and Grassian, V. H.: Determining accurate kinetic parameters of potentially important heterogeneous atmospheric reactions on solid particle surfaces with a Knudsen cell reactor, J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 819–829, 2000.
- Vrekoussis, M., Liakakou, E., Mihalopoulos, N., Kanakidou, M., Crutzen, P. J., and Lelieveld, J.: Formation of HNO₃ and NO₃⁻ in the anthropogenically-influenced eastern Mediterranean marine boundary layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(5), L05811, doi:10.1029/2005GL025069, 2006.
- Wagner, C., Hanisch, F., Holmes, N., de Coninck, H., Schuster, G., and Crowley, J. N.: The interaction of N₂O₅ with mineral dust: aerosol flow tube and Knudsen reactor studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 91–109, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/91/2008/.

- Wagner, C., Schuster, G., and Crowley, J. N.: An aerosol flow tube study of the interaction of N₂O₅ with calcite, Arizona dust and quartz, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5001–5008, 2009.
- Wayne, R. P., Barnes, I., Biggs, P., Burrows, J. P., Canosamas, C. E., Hjorth, J., Lebras, G., Moortgat, G. K., Perner, D., Poulet, G., Restelli, G., and Sidebottom, H.: The nitrate radical-physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ. Part a-General Topics, 25, 1–203, 1991.
- Yokelson, R. J., Burkholder, J. B., Fox, R. W., Talukdar, R. K., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Temperature-dependence of the NO₃ absorption-Spectrum, J. Phys. Chem., 98, 13144–13150, 1994.
- Zhang, Y., Sunwoo, Y., Kotamarthi, V., and Carmichael, G. R.: Photochemical oxidant processes in the presence of dust: An evaluation of the impact of dust on particulate nitrate and ozone formation, J. Appl. Meteor., 33, 813–824, 1994.